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## VOLUME XI.

## No l-AN INSCRIPTION AT DEVAGERI

## By J F Fleet, ICS (Retd), PifD, Cie

This inscription has been mentioned by me in vol 5 above, p. 172 and $I$ have given a brief statement of the parport of it under No 29 in my List of Sparions Recordsin the Ind Ant, vol. 30 (1901), p 217 I pablish it now for the first time, and give a facsimile of it from au ink-impression made for me by Mr Kalyan Sitaram Chitre in 1890, when (if my memory 18 correct) he was Mämlatdär of the Sampganm tanluba of the Belgaum District

The ioscription is on a stone which was found in a field, Survey No 85, at Dēvagērı, a village about sir miles west-by-south from Karajgi, tine head-quarters of the Karajg taluka, Dhärwār District The Indiau Atlas sheet No 42 (1827) shews the place as 'Dergaeree' The Man of the Dhärwā Collectorate (1874) shews it as 'Dpogeree' The Postal Directory of the Bombay Circle (1879) presents its name as 'Deogirl'. And the Dhārwār volume (1884) of the Bombay Gazetteer treats it as 'Devgiri' (p 665). In connexion with some early Kadamba copperplate records which were obtained at this village, I orginally gave its name as 'Dēvagiri',' in accordance with those spellings Subsequently I was given to auderstand that the cultivators call it 'Devagere', aud that this shonld be taken as its real name and I have sometimes uned this form ${ }^{2}$ Since then, however, I have ascertaned that a record of A D 1075 in the temple of Basavanna at the village itself distinctly gives its name as Dēvamgēri, as also does a record of the penod $A$ D 1210-47 at tho temple of Mārtandadeva at a reighbourng village, Kolūr also, that the impression of a record of A D 1121 in the temple of Basaranna, while leaving it doubtful whether the onginal does or does not present the anusiara again distanctly gives the second component of the name as gērr, and thuf jields either Dēvamgērn or Dēvagērı Farthea, Mr K S Chitre, while writing the name ou the impressions sent by him to mo as 'Devagari' in Englash characters, according to the official spelling, wrote it as 'Dēvageri', in the snme place, in the Modi or carrent Marathi characters I therefore entertann no dnubt that what the cultivators really call the village 18, not 'Dēvagere' (as reported to me), bat Dēvagēri, and that this

[^0]is the form of the name that should be used ${ }^{1}$ At the some time, in justification to a certan extent of the official form of the name, I may state that a record of AD 1674 on a pullar in the same temple of Basavanna does distnetly present the name as Dēvagurı, and thns carries back the corrapt form for an appreciable tume The inscription now published does not mention the name Dēvamgēr in any form, but speaks only of a village called Palarūr This name seems to be another form, by transposition, ${ }^{2}$ of the name which we have as Paralür (Ind Ant, vol 11, p 70) in the inscription of the time of Kirtivarman II (A D 746-47 and 757) at Adūr, about elght mules sonth-west-bj-west from Dēvagērı, and as Brıhat-Paralūr (2d, vol 7, p 35, line 9) in the still earlier copperplate grant, of the third year of Mrigésavarman, which was discovered at Dēragēri itself In any case, the parport of our record distinctly implies that the stone which bears $1 t$, and which was found in a field in the lands of Dēvagēr, was set up in the village Palarinr, and thas marks Palarūr as being then the name of the place It may be added thet the ruscription of AD 1075, mentioned above, registers an assignment of tolls, for certan purposes of the god Kankaléspara of Dēvamgērı, at Dēvamgērı and Palavūr and in the tala, 'site or tract', composed of Eleya-Tammnge and two nnnamed villages here we may possibly bave still another form of the name Paralūr, Palarīr bntitis difficnit to account for the $v$ in the place of the $r$, and Palavir may be another village which does not now exist Any such name as Paralūr, Palarūr, and Palavū1, is not now fonnd in maps, etc And the position seems to be tbat Dēvagērı was origınally a dēvangèr or dēvapurī, a 'god's ward', of Palarūı, that the lands of Palarür and sumc other nillages have been absorbed into the lands of Déragẽrı, which 18 a somewhat large village, nud that consequently the latter namc only his survived The inscription now published places Palarinr, tacitly but plannly, in the Banavāsi twelve-thousand province So, also, the record of $\triangle D 1075$ in the same way places Dēvamgeerı, with the other villages mentioned in it in that same province, and, further, in a division of it known as the Bäsavara one-hundred-and-forty

At the top of the stone there are sculptures, shoming a bull, recumbent to the nght (proper left) and apparently intended for the usual Nand, and below it, planly not as a family or dynastic emblem bat in conncxion with the topic of the record, an elophant, standing to the right (proper left), mith a man standing behind it with uplifted arms The writing covers an area about $1^{\prime} 8^{\prime}$ broad in hnes 9 to 14 by $3^{\prime} 0^{\prime}$ high. The stone on which it $1 s$ engraved seems to have been even origmally of an urregular shape, and $1 t 18$ only at the end of lines 1 to $8,17,20$, and 21, and at the beginnug of lines 18 to 22 , that any portions of it have been broken away

The charactors are Kanarese, boldly formed and, generally, well cxecuted In hnes 1 to
 line 15, in lines 16 ff it increasea up to (for single letters) $1 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ in the ba of säsurbar, line 19, and it almost scems that this part of the record may have been written by another hand the $y n \bar{u}$ of $a y-n \bar{u} r a$, line $2,181 \frac{z^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ high the $\ln \bar{i}$ of masayal=nīran, live $11,182^{\prime \prime}$ high and the chchr of mechchrdem, line 16, is $2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ high They are of the general standard of the tenth century $\Delta D$ and closely thereabouts They melude both the types of the mital short $\tau$, on which detal see p 7 ff below the earlier type of this vowel occars in rrppatt-, hne 2 , the later type is found in $2 d a m$, Ine 20 , also in vdan= at the begrning of line 18 , where, however, it is mostly broken away Tnc $1 . h, j$. $b$, and $l$ are all of the later types, the guttnral nasal $n$ does not occnr. No distunction geems to be made between $d$ and $d$ In chhatra, for chchhatira, line 6 , we have the rare fall

[^1]form of chh, which necessanly can seldom occar except when, as here, it is used mstead of chehb

The language is Kanarese, of the arohaic type, in prose Tho record was neatly put together by the use of the sainsaptami or locative infinitives ene, lines $10,11,14$, are, lune 14 , bare, line 15, and nule, line 15 , coupled with the subjunctive or conditional endode, lincs 12, 13, 16 And not unworthy of note, in respect of the micety of the composition, is the point that, wheress the remander of the recond, appropnately cast in pare Kanarese, natarally presents the words pul, pullu, for 'grass' (hnes 11, 14, 15), and äne for 'elephant' (line 15), into the ruling prince's mouth there are pat (in accordanre with the theory of the Hindu drama, that kings and sach poople shonld spcak Sanskrit) Sanskrit words, Woven however into a Kanarese centence, which include trina and hastin ${ }^{1}$ Line 7 gives us nëvarade, the instrumental bingular of a word nētaza which eeems to be a longer form of nëra, = nër (3), 'straightness, propricty, pleasantnesg'. In hne $10-11$ we have hudugal as a variant of hudugōl, kudagōl, Frdugol, fudugalu, 'a kind of suckle', for which forms sec Kittel's Kannada-English Dictionary under kudu (3): In the first component of the term būdagūl , 'an offering of boiled rice to ghosts', hnes 12, 17, Tre have būda, instead of the moro neasl būta, as a tadbhava-corruption of the Sanskrit bhüta In arasara and ada, line 12, pulla, line 14, and hoyvara, hne 15, we have enther a careless omission of the final on (n), or, with equal probability, instances of the accusative in $a$ instgad of $a n(a n)^{3}$ Linc 8 presents the word ball (3), 'a man who calls or inntes' or Te may eay 'a snmmoner', in connexion with mhich the following remarks may be made In the form baln (with $l$ instead of $l$ ) we have this same word in an anpablished inscription of AD 1052 at Niralgı in the Hāagal talakn, Dhärwar, in a passage which runs -Ériman-mahs̄mandalēśraram Hankēsarıdēvar , śrimad-agrabāram Nırıhya mabājanam münürvarige balıyan=atti barien, "the illastrıoas Mahämandalēstara Harkēsaridēva . ;
hanng sent a sammoner to the threc-hnndred Mahijanas of the holy agrahära Nurilh, and baving cansed them to come," etc and amilar passages occar in rceords of A D 1074 and 1075 at the eame place And we can now recognaze that we bave the word bala itself in line 20 of the
 translate -" The Gurāta Prabhāchandra, the sammoner of the Jan tcmple of Paralīr, obtanned this grant" It wonld seem that, in addition to mcaning generally 'any man who calls or invites', the word denoted also a recognized official of some kind, both rehgions and seculer

In respect of orthography wo may note (1) the use of $b$ for $v$ in sambatsura twice in lines 1 to 3 , (2) the ase of $s$ for $s$ throaghout, (3) the mistakes of $h \hbar$ for $k$ in sakha, lino 1 , of $d$ for $d h$ in samadigata, line 4, and of $r$ for $m$ in trina, line 94

The inseription can only be treated as a spurious record, as which it has been entercd nnder No 29 mmy Inst of Spurions Flecords in the Ind $\Delta n t$, vol 30 (1901), p 217, becanse it

[^2]puiports to have been framed on a date wheh 18 utterly incompatible with the period to whieh its charaeters refei it Af, however, it states (apart from the date) nothing that is in any way unnataral, snspicious, or in reconeilable with the loeal history for its tine period, we may accept the information given in it as trae It deals with a thing whieh it ealls būdagū is eomposed of būda, a tadbhava-foım of the Sanskrit bluüta, 'a spint, goblin, ghost', and the Kanarese $h \bar{u} l$, $h \bar{u} l u$, , 'bolled wee', and it denstes 'an offering of boiled rice to the ghosts'. Othen names of the offering are bhätabsll and blṻtayajūa, also the word balı by itsclf, which is explaned in Kittel's Kannada-Enghsh Dictionary as meaning, amongst other things, 'an offeing to demons (especially also Durgà or Käli), ete, performed by putting heapy of boiled nce, ol by killugg sheep, buffaloes, etc, and also men' As a regnlar sacriffiee, the offerng ranked as one of the pañchnmah $\bar{\chi} y a j \tilde{n} a$ or 'five great sacıfiees' sce, for instance, the Mánavadh ırmaśastıa, 370 I have been told, however, that in a more special way the bluatabale is offered in connexion with the garlhálãana or ccremony paformed to ensuie eonception I further learnt fiom the late Sir James Campbell that the bhūtabalı is offered in eases of barieuness, from the point of vien that that misfortnne is due to the influence of maliciqus spirnts And we thus obtain a clear explanation as to why the record represents the village-manlens as
 or gieat fendal prirce named s̄āntivarman, who was governing the Banavāsı twelve-thousand province It degi, ripes him as belonging to the Mātūra race, and as baring the heieditary title of "supreme lord of the town Trikundapura", with refer ence to the place of origin of his famly, and as posscssing the Nandanavana umbrella, the horse crest, and the mirror banner ${ }^{1}$ It recites that Śantisaman eame, in the eonsc of a tonr, to Palarūr, and demanded a supply of grass for his hoises and elcphants For some reason not stated, the right to mahe the offering of bolled riee to the ghosts was in abeyanee, appaiently under a scutence of excommunication Inerted by the village-mardens (hodagūsugal, huc 11), who sard that, if be could get the restrietion removed, he might many as many of them as he might wish, a man named Allagunda ent a supply of giass so mueh to the satisfartion of Sannivarman that the latter offcied to giant him a boon Whereupon Allagunda asked for, and obtamed, the restoration of the mght to make the offering to the ghosts As to whether the villagemardens kept their promise to nim, the 1 ecord is slent

The insci iption is dated on a Monday conpled with the second tithe of the bright fortuight of Märgasira of tho Kildarukta samuatsara, Sikn-samvat 522 This date, however, is obvionsly not authentic and all else thit need be said about it is as follows By the mean-sign system, which is the one that apphes for thet time, Fälagulta began on 29 Oet ber, AD 600, in Sika samvat 522 expued, and ended on 25 October, A D 601 In that period, the given tuth ended elosely 2 bout 22 honis 53 minut s after mean sunrise (for $U_{\text {yjain }}$ ) on Sunday, 13 November, A D GOn, and cannot he ennected with the Mondry And fiom this we sec that the case 16 not one in whele the writer of the record obtamed a eorseet date by caleulation

As one means tonaids determmeng the leal date of the recold, we may cite some other notiees of the Mātūa family, to which the iecord refers the MFahäsāmantādhupatı Sāntivarman We hare one mention of it in the Siarana-Belgola epitaph of the great Ganga prince Nolambintaka-Märasimha (A D 963-64 to 974), which deserıbes him as sciplug the posscssious of the lond of the Van raisi country, and eausing him on those who belong to the Mütina racc to do obcisance to him (crite vol 5, p 179) No personal name, howevel, is mentioned there Some other notices of the famils, of a speetfic raturo, fiom other reeonds in Mysore, are as
follows -
(1) An inserıptıon a Heehche in the Sorab täluha, Shımnga Distıct - Epı Carı, vol 8,

[^3]Sb 476 This record refers itself to the reıgn of the Räshtrakñta hing Krishna III, and 18 dated in the Vikārn samıatsara, Śaka samvat 861 (cxpued), with detanls falling in December, A. D 839. It tells us that the Mahāsämantädhrpať Mächıga, Māchıdēva, -whom it descrıbes as "lord of Triknndapura tho best of towns", born in the Mātūra race, and having the Nandavana umbrella, the holse crest, and the murrol banuer, -was then ruling at Herdese ${ }^{1}$ the sphere of his government is not stated
(2) An inscription at Ōtüru in the same tīluka $2 b 2 d, \mathrm{Sb} 70$ This record 18 not dated but it refers itself to the same reign, and is therefore to be plared botween A D. 939 and 958 It tells us that the Mahäsämantädhıpať Māchyarasa was then lond of the Banavābi twelvethousand It describes him just as Māchaga, Māchdèva, is described in No 1 above, and he is plaunly the same perion
(3) An inscruption at Kakkarasi in the same tilnka abid, Sb 474. This recoid refers itself to the same reign, and is dated in the Ānanda samıatsara, Śaka samvat 876 (expired), with detalls falling in October, AD 954 It tells ns that the Mahäsāmantādhpatı Mächyarasadescribed in the same terms as in Nos 1 and 2 above- was then rnling over "the twelve thousand 12
(4) Another mseription at Heehche $2 b r d, \mathrm{Sb} 479$ This recond refers atself to the reign of the Rāshtrakūta hing Kakka IL, and consequently, thongh not dated, may be referred to closely about A D 972 It tells us that the Mahāsämanta Śäntavarmnn- Whom it describes as "Jord of Trikundapura the best of towns", born in the Mätūra race, and having the Nandanarana umbrella, the horse crest, and the murroi banner-lyas then luling at Herdese
(5) Another inscription at Hechehe $a b u d, \mathrm{Sb} 477$ This record refeis itself to the reign of the Western Chillubya hing Āharamalla-Taila II, and 16 dated (without full details) in the Khara samvatsara, Saka samvat 913 (expired) $=A D$ 981-92 It tells us that the Mahäsämantädhıpatı Ŝāntıvarman - described otherwise in just the same tcıms as in No 4 abovewas then rulng the Belgahe 70, the Edenãd 70, the Tandavira 12, the Gcdeya 12, the Mugunda 12, the Pulivatti 12, the Kalvattı 7, and the Sintalige 1000

These other notiees of the Mriturra family agrice with the palæogiaphe evidence in placing the real date of the record in the tenth century A D And as a means tor ards determanng ats exact date we take the given samvatsara, Kilayuhta In the tenth century, this samvatsara camc only once By the southern lumsolan system, which $1 s$ applicable for thes time to the locality to which the record belongs, it concided with Saka-samvat 880 expued And in this year the given detals arc correct for Monday, 15 November, A D 958, on $w$ hach day the speeified tethe ended at about 17 hours 30 minutes after mean sunrisc (for Ujjain) This iesult satisfies the requrements of the case, both palmographe and histoncal And we entertan no doubt that this is the ieal date on which the record was framcd, and that the Śantivarman mentioned in it 18 the Śantivarman of the Hechche inscriptions Nos 4 and 5 above As to why the writer of the record antedated it by practically six of the sixty-ycars cycles, we can only conjecture that the ban laid upon the village had existed for some long time, that it had been disregaided, and that antedating (made in fact to a pleposteious extent) was necesary to legalize acts which had been peiformed in spite of it

[^4]
## TEXT 1



## TRANSLATION

Hail' On the aecond tathx, and on Monday, of the bright fortnight of Mārgasira of the Kalayukta samvatsara which was the five hundred and twenty-second (year of) the centumes of years elapsed of the era of the Saka kings.-
(Lnne 4) Hall' The Ifahāsāmantādhipatı Śāntıvarman, - Who has attamed the pañchamahāsabda, who is a supreme lord of the town Trikundapura, who has been born in the Brahmakshatriya Meatūra race, ${ }^{5}$ who 15 decorated with the Nandanavana umbrella and the horse crest and the murror banner,-whule governing the Banavāsi twelve thousand with rectitudc and accordmg to established castoms, came in due course to Palarūr, and sent a summonel to the seventy ( $\mathrm{Maha} \boldsymbol{y}$ ganas) to say -"A supply of grass is wanted for Our troop of horses and elephants ${ }^{1 "}$
(L 10) Thercapon (the cutters) said -"Rught well wull we cutl", and were going ont Then Allagunda said -"Pour ye out water to whet ( $m y$ ) sickle '" Thereupon the young maidens said -" This is the man who will cut grass, and please the king, and cause the offerng of boiled rice for the ghosts to be set free" Therenpon he said -" If I cause that to be set

[^5]
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free, what will ge do for me" To whuch they said -"Marry just as many of as as thou majest like ${ }^{1 \prime}{ }^{1}$
(L 14) Thereapon he went ont And when, while he was eutting grass, the king, monnted on an elephant, came looking on at the cutters, Allaganda stood up on the very bnadle of grass which was fur the elephant Then, behold', the king sard - "We are pleased ask a boon 1 " Thercapon he said - "Sct ye freo the offorng of bolled rice for the ghosts" and thus he caused it to be set free
(L 18 ) Ho who destrogs the shall meur the gnit of destroying Viranasi and a thonsand brown cows and a thousand Brahmans : He who piotects this 18 a protector of just 80 mach 1
(L 21 ) The northy Rämasinga set this up [May it be aurpieions (P)]

## Note on the Kanarese and Telugu initial short 1

The alphabet exhibited in this Dēr agen inseripion is a specimen, helonging to the teath centnry A D, of that whech Professor Bübler in has Indische Palacographze, § 29, termed the Kanarese and Telugu alphabet: An interesting detan in this particular record is thit it presents the two types of the initial short $i$ of this alphabet we have the earlier type in irppatt-, line 2, and the later type in sdam, line 20 the latter is found also in rdan=, at the beginning of line 18 , but 18 mach dimaged there And we hate to eonsder the eircumstances in which this misture of the two types could occur I had homed to ave a sheteh with plates, of the full history of the rowel in question, and at the sume tume of the initial long? because, in addition to the anterest that attaches to the study atself, the types and forms of theac tro letters may at any tume be found particalarly instructive in respect of the proper placing of undated gename records, and of fixing himits for the fabrication of fome of the spurious records For the present, bowever, it has been found impracticable to prepase the requisite plates, chiefly in consequence of a want of published facsimles for the crucial period when the earler types were beng sapplanted by the later ones I must, thacrefore, confine my treatment of the matter to the history of the initial short in the Fanarese and Tolugu countrios during the transitional period, and limit my remarks to such details as can be made clear without more than half a dozen illustrations

The earlier type of the Kanarese and Telnga initial short 2 has been illastrated by Professor Buhler in his plate VII, line 3, eols XII, XIII, XV to XVIII, and plate VIII, hne 3, cols II to $V$ I giro two other illustrations an the margin $A$ is drawn from the 1 of slimur, vortm, hne 20, letter No 13, in the Suutirnseription of AD 866 from the Dhärwar District, Bombay, ante, vol 7, p 206, plate $B$ is from the 2 of arugarge, line 5, No 22, in the Bēgūr inscription of the peiod A D 908-38 from the Bangalore Distriet, Mysore, ante, vol 6, p 48, plate In this type the cbaracter consisted, when fully made, of two parts, with vanous forms aeeolding to certan differences in the details of the two parts The lower part consisted of two eomponents, which were placed sometimes on the lower line of the writing, sometimes belon it These compononts, when made with unformity, were sometimes two small crrcles, as in $\mathcal{B}$, oi two round marhs which were not completely closed in as circles, and sometimes two dets, as in A, whieh might be exther round or of irregular shape Bat sometimes, whether owing to caprice or carelessness of the winters, or to indifferent work by the engravers, or to the material breaking away (especially in the case of records on stone) in tho hands of the engravers, these details are met with interchanged, and we have a curcle accompanied by a dot And oceasionally the components of

[^6]the lower part were omitted, and the ends of the apper part were then usually brought down to the lower line of the writing for a published instance of this, from the eastern parts of Soutbern Indıa, see $2 t$, line 43 , No 17 , urgula, lime 66, No 5 , and $\begin{gathered}\text { ddzyürı, the eame line, No } 14 \text {, }\end{gathered}$ in the Kaluehumbarru grant of the period AD 945-70, ante, vol 7, p 186, plate In a quite exceptional instance of AD 982 from Mysore (see p 13 below) the lower part consists of three cucles, instead of two this ean only be regarded as a freak The upper part sometimes took the form of a plann smooth areh, as in $2 t t o d u$, hne 2, No 5, and attodãna, line 3, No 11, in the unscription of the period AD 597-608 outside the Varshnava eave No 3 at Bādāmi in the Bujäpür District, Bombay, Archrool Surv West Indra, vol 1, p 24, plate, Ind Ant, vol 10, p 59, plate But asually there was a more or less marhed notch or bend down, pointed or curved, in the centre of the top we have this in its pointed form in the illustrations $A$ and $B$ given herewith, and more markedly in $2 t$, line 21, No. 12, in one of the early Kadamba copperplate records, Ind Ant, vol 6, p 96, plate in its curved form, which had the effect of giving a waving shape to the top stroke, we have it in $2 t$, the last hnc, No 9, in the Haidarabad plates of AD 612, 2bid, p 74, plate And sometimes this notched form was made so flatly that it resembles rather closely the outspread wings of a hovering bird see, for instance (though these cases do not come from the particular territories with which we are concerncd), Piofessor Buhler's plate VII, 3, IV, VI, VII, IX, and plate VIII, 3, I The upper part is usually fonnd single, formed by one continuous sweeping movernent but in some cascs it has a disjointed appearance, with a break in the middle, as if it was made by two separate stiokes, as in adam=, line 9 , No 17, in the Neruir plates of the pernod A D 609-42 from the Sawantwádi State, Bombay, Ind Ant, vol 8, p 4t, plate, and in ua, hne 42 , No 9 , from the cnd, $2 d a m$, line 56 , No 9 from the end, and $2 d i g \bar{u} r=$, lue 82 , No 10 ftom the end, in the Kadaba plates from the Tumkir Distriet, Mysore, which bcar a date in A D 812 or 813, Ind Ant, vol 12, p 14, plateEpi Oarn, vol 12, Gb 6l, plate as, however, this feature is only notieed in records on copper, it is evidently to be attributed to the piocess of engraving, not to the writers The suics of the upper part were not alwags of equal length and sometimes the left side is curled in mach more than the right side, as, for instance, in the cases mentioned above from the Haidarābād and Kaduba plates, and, in fact, in the Dévagērı inscription itself Sometımes both the sides were well carled in, as in the illustiations A and B above, and more markedly in irddu, hine 4, No 1 , and $v e u$, line 5 , No 1 , in the Hatti-Mattūr inscription of about $\triangle D$ 765, ante, vol 6, p 162 , plate so also in an instance from the eastern paits of Southein Inda, in $2 t$, hane 85, No 7 from the cod, in the Ranastipundi graut of A D 1019, ante, vol 6, p 357, plate Occasionally, the right side was contimned downwards in a slanting direction to, or towaids, between the two components of the lower part une instance of this is found in idam= (for mmam=), line 13 , No 40, in the Mahākāta pillar mscription of AD 602, Ind Ant, vol 19, p 18, plate another ${ }_{1 s}$ Professor Buhler's plate VII, 3, XVIII, which is from the 1 t $y=$ in line 13 , No 11, of the Easturn Chalukya record of A D 6u8, Ind Ant, vol 7, p 186, with plate in vol S, p 320 And sometimes the right side was conunued down into the right component of the lowel part this was a transitional furm, which will be noticed below

The later type of the Kanarese and Telngu mitial short 2 has been allustrated by Profcssor Buhler in his plate VIII, hene 3, cols VI, VIII, IX I give taree other illnstrations in the margin $C$ from attham=, lime 22, No 2 from the end, in the ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) Masulipatam plates or Abnlamannandu grant of the period AD 934-45 (see p 15 below) $D$ is drawn from the $\imath$ of $\imath n t=\imath n 2 t u$, in line 46 of the inscription of A D 980 at Sanndstin in the Belganm District, Bombar, Jour Bo $B r \boldsymbol{R}$ As Soc, vol 10, p 207 (no plate), and it is practically the modern form now used,
 both in Kanarese and in Telogn $E$ is from the 2 of $2 v u$, line 25, No 4 , in the inscription of A.D 1064, on the Jattinga-Rāmésvara hill in the Chitaldroog District, Mysore, ante, vol $4, \mathrm{p} 212$, plate In this type the character, when properly and
customarily formed, did not consist of separato parts, bat was mado in one unbroken whole by a continnous sweepng movement of the reed or shlus But, as with the earher ty pe, tho top 18 occassonalls found in 3 dibjo nicd shape, as a result of the process of engraving for instance, in that, lime 79, No 4 , in the Chellir plates of $A$ D 1143, Ind Ant, vol 14, p 59, plate And the top part inf the mstance figared as $C$ above was esidently made by the writer by tro strohes iustead of one contmuons movement The top of tho letter in thas type is the apper part of the earler tspe, in ats notched form but a very oxceptional form is oeczsionally found in records fiom the castern side of Sonthern Inda, in whoh tho character begins with a curl down tomards the left, mutcad of the cuil ap to the right seo, for mosiance, ith, line 102 , the last a!shera bat one, in the Tihe phates of AD 1080.87, ante, rol 6, $\mathrm{p} 3 \mathrm{~A}^{3}$, plate The romainder of it vas made by continumg tho right eide of the top downwards, and then in a loop upmads to the left and torning to the right to mect the dorn-stioke, and it mas furshed ofir a propection takes to the rinht and tamed domn into a sort of tanl in some casos, homerer, thes projection to the right 15 rery rudimentary, as, indecd, in tho illastiation E , and in othere, of the tmnstion al class (see belon), it doce not appear at all

The method of the transition from the earlaer to the later type can be easily recogouzed though an intur cmug link or tro $z$ anj bo wanting The first step was a continmation of tho right eade of the upper part of the carlicr ty po down to tonch the right component of the loner part I give an instance of thas, in the allastration $F$ in the margin, from an Eastern Chulahya record, the Treminpara grant, of the period $\triangle$ D. 8ft- 88 (see p 15 bolori) Tho next step was to form the nght component of tho lower part, not by an entirely separite morement after raning tho reed or stilus,

$$
\mathrm{F}
$$ but bj in uubrohen cuntinuation of the dorin st ohe we liave an angance of this, with the right lorer component formed by a continuation of that inovement to the left, in Professor Büler's plate VIII, 3, IT, which is from tho of int app ai in line 13, No 4 from the end, in the Kanareso rccord of Gormia III of 4 D. 801, Ind Ant, vol 11, p 137, plate ${ }^{1}$ It must, however, hare become castomary, in this continuous formation of the right lowor component, to mako the morement to the right, anstead of the loft The next step probably was to mako the entire letter by one conminous stroke, mithoutany lifung of the reed or stilus, in the manner suggested in illustration $G$ given in the margin, with the two crrcles of the lower part ranang into each other In the carsivo movement thas set up, a aubsequent step cortands was to make one large loop earvo the pnrpose of the two circles, as in allastration $H$ - wo have instances of this an sy=üra (for $\bar{i} y=\bar{u} r a)$, line 12, No 7 , and $\tau$ (for $\mathfrak{i}$ ) dammavan=, line 16, the last akshara, in the miscription of A D 1047-4S at Mindigal in the Kilir District, Mysoro, ante, vol 5, p 207, plate It sems to have been then recognized that a coafnion was liable to ariso between the mitial short $t$ and the mital at of the ssomo alphabet ${ }^{2}$ and it must have $b$ en in order to avord snoh

[^7]confusion that there was added the projecting tail to the right, which produced the form illustrated in C, D, and $E$ above

Professor Buhler's latest instances of the earlier type are as follows. As noted in the preceding paragraph, his plate VIII, $3,7 \mathrm{II}$, is an 2 of $A$ D. 804, and 15 really a transitional form. $H_{1 s}$ plate VIII, 3, III, is from the reeord on the Kadabr plates from Mysore, bearing a date in A.D 812 or 813 the vowel is found foartecn thmes in this record the instanco figared seems to be from vva, line 15, No 23 (side $11 a$, line 1), Ind Ant, vol 12, p. 14, plate, Epi Carn, vol. 12 (Tumkür), Gb 61, plate His remaning two illastrations are fiom the eastern parta of Southern Indaa The later of them, plate VIII, $3, V, 1 s$ entered as if it was taken from the copperplate reeord which gives the date of the eoronation of Amma II in AD 945, Ind Ant, vol 7, p 15, plates but that record does not include any mitinl 2 , and the allustration seems to have been supphed from $2 h=a \imath a t e$, line 40, No 7 (side m $b$, last line), in the 'Paganavaram' plates or Diggubarra grant of the period A.D. 934-45, Ind Ant, rol 13, p 214, plate On the other side, his earliest instance of the later type is plate VIII, 3, VI it is from the eastern part of Southern Indıa, from the copperplate iecord wheh gaves the date of the anomement of Rajarija I in A.D. 1022, and may be of any date from that year up to about A. D. 1083; and it appears to be the 2 of $2 t$, line 11, No 5, Ind $A n t$, vol 11, p 50, plate There 15 thus a gap in the hustory of the Kanarese and Telugu imitial short 1 , of ronghly a century, from A D 934-45 to $1022-63$, to be extended, in faet, as regards the Kanarese country, to even two centuries in respect of which we have, so far, no information We have now to see how this gap can be bradged over It was chnefly due, as far as Professor Buhler was concerned, to a laek of maternals in the shape of published facsimiles The same want still exists to almost the eame extent But we can now cite varions facsimules whieh have been published sunce has tume and I can supplemont them by ink-impressions which necessarily were not available to him

We will consider first sach materials as are avaulable from the Kanarese districts of the Bombay Presidency; takng tho matter ap from the earlest instance, bnorn to me, after Piofessor Buhler's latest instance of the earher type Here I ase only records which are speerfieally dated, and, when I cannot refor to a pablished plate, I cite my detals from intimpressions which were prepared under my direction when I was in the districts in question ${ }^{\text {I }}$

From these parts, ro still have the earLer type of the mitial short 1 runnug through the reeords of the time of the Räshtrakūta king Amoghavarsha I We have it in the Nilgand iuseription, dated in A D 886, from the Gadag tīluha, Dhārwãr, ante, vol 6, p 102, plate, in $2 t$, line 6 , No 17 it oceurs also in $2 d a n=$ towards the end of line 28 , to whieh part of the record, however, the plate does not estend We have it agan in the Sirür inscription of the same date, from the Nawalgund tāluha, Dhärwär, ante, vol 7, p 206, plate, in itz, line 4, No 6, and ilnūrvvorum, line 20, No. 13 the illastration $A$ on $p 7$ above is from the latter instance Again in an unpublished inseription, dated in AD 872 or 874, at Chiñchlı in the Gadag tālnka, in indapayyam and $2 n t=\bar{z}$, live 4 Agan in an unpublished inseription, dated in AD 874, at Ron, the head-quarters of the Ron taluka in the same district, in adan=, line 10 also in the same word in hane 4 of a second record, not dated, below that one. And again in the Niḍagunda mscription of A D. 874-75, or wathin a year on either side, from the Bankäpür tāluka, Dhärwăr, ante, vol 7, p 213, plate, m 2dam, line 16, No 13, in 2dan=, hne 17, No 12, and mn (for i) kallam, hne 19, No 8 also in 2 (for $\overline{\text { t }}$ ! tanamam in line 25 in the supplementary record at the rop of the stone, not shown in the plate And for the next reign, that of Krishna II, we

[^8]have it in an unpnblished mssription, dated in A D. 897-98, at the village Chnichli mentioned above, in $2 t \iota$, line 7 , and $2 d a m$, hnes 14 and 17

On the other hand, we have the later type in an unpnblished fragmentary inscription of the same reıgn, of Krishna II, dated in AD 901-2, at Yelh-Śrrār in the Gadag tăluka, Dhārwār, in rrppatta, line 1 here the piccisc form is a lop-sided ono, voiy much like that whoch we have in ety $=$, linc 41, No 12, in the Tekx plates of A D 1086-S7 from the eastern side of Southein India, ante, vol 6, p 339, plate And the later type 15 found again in the Nandwädige mscription of the same reign, dated in AD 903, fiom the Hnngnnd tāluka, Bijāpür, Ind Ant, vol 12, p 221, with plate in vol 11, p 127, in arppatt-, line 2, No. 1 These two cases are the earliest instances of the later type that I ean cite from cither the western or the eastern parts of Sonthern India but they are iun close by an instance of A D. 909-10 from the Kolar District, Mysore (sce p 12 below)

The next record takes us back to the earlier type it 18 the Hatti-Mattnir insciption of the time of Indra III, dated in A. D 918-17, from tho Karajgitaluka, Dhirwār, Ind Ant, vol 19, p 224 (no plate) here $w e$ have the carlicr type, in a thoroughly anchanc form, in ulam, hne 10 , and $\imath$ (for $i$ ) stitivan=, hne 11 Regaiding the second inscription on tho same stone, sec lower down on this page

The next rccords tahe us on agan to the Iater type One 18 the unpublished Kalas inscmption of the tıme of Govinda IV, dated in A D 930, from the Bankāpūı taluka, Dhärwâr, noticed ante, vol 6, p 177 here we have the vowel in the later type in idan=, line 73 The others are records of the time of Krishna III Hele we have the later type in the unpublished inscription, dated in AD 942, at Rün, Dhärwir Distinct, noticed in Ind $\Delta n t$, vol 30, p 202, in $2[d u]$, or some such woid, in tho last line bat ono Again in an unpnblished inscinption, dated in A D 946, at Tuppada-Kurahatti in the Nawalgund tàluba, Dhirrwãr, in vdarhe, line 16 , in $2 n t=i$ heygam and $2 d a m$, line 20 , and in $2 d a n=$, line 23 And agan in the Soratür insenption of AD 951, from the Gadag taluka, Dhärwâr, Ind Ant, vol 12, p 257 (no plate), in 2 dan=, line 20

On the other hand, the earlier type is presented agan in the unpublished Chinchly inscription of the same reign, dated in AD 953, from the Gadag tanlnha, Dhārwár, noticed ante, vol 6, p 83 , in varhe or warhe, hne 29

Wc next have the later type agan in the unpublished inscription of AD987, 970, or 971, of the tıme of Khottiga, at Hire-Handigōl in the Gadag tālaka, Dhärwãr, noticed ante, vol $b$ p 180, in $2 n t=a p p a v a m$, line 20

But the earlier type appcais again in an unpublished mscription of the same reign, dated in AD 989, at Nägãz in the same tãluka, noticed ante, vol 6, p 180, and Dyn Kan Distrs, p 422, note 3, in vuarhe or tdarke in the last line but five

For the time of the last Rüshtrakūta king, Kakka II, I cannot cite any instance of the use of this imitial vorsel The next avalable record 18 one in which wo find the two types mixed, just as in the Dēvagērı inscription It is the inscription of A. D. 975 from Hebbāl, in the Lahshmisliwar subdivision of the Miraj State within the limits of the Dhärwār District, ante, vol 4, p 351 (no plate) here we have the later type in urppattu, linc 35; but in $2 n t=\{y=a y \imath a r=$, line 38, we have the earlier type, with the sides of the top brought down to the lower line of the writing, and with omission of the two crrcles or dots of the lower part of the letter ${ }^{1}$ And we may note that we have the same mixture of types in the undated inscription which hesebelow the Hatti-Mattūr record of A D 916-17 (mentioned above) from the Karajgı tinluka, Dbārwār, Ind Ant, vol 12, p 224 (no plate) here we have the later type in int=var=, line 15, and in

[^9]2dam, line 17, but in 2 dan=, hne 19, we havo the carher type, and again with prolongation of the sides of the top down to the low or line of the writing, togethor with omission of the two eireles or dots of the lower part It thas now seems that, in spite of a somewhat marked differeace in the general appearance of tho characters, this second record at Hati-Mattin canuot be placed as late as I thought when I edxtod it, but mast be referred to not long after AD. 975

The two eases mentioned in the preceding paragraph are tho latest instances, that I can cite, of the use of the cailier type of the initial in the Kanarese distriets of Bombig The next avalable records all show the fally developed later type The first is the anpublished inseription of the time of the Westein Chalukya king Taila II, dated in AD 980, at Sogal in the Parnsgad talukn, Belgium, mentioned in Dyn Kun Distrs, p 428 here we have the vowel in $i$ (for i) dharmmaman=, line 2 from the end. The next is the inseription of the same reign, dated six montbs later in the same yoar, at Saundati, the head-quarters of the Parasgad tiluka, Jour $\operatorname{Bo} \operatorname{Br} R A s$ Soc, vol 10, p 204 (no plate) here we have the vowel mint $=$ matu, line 46, illustrated as $D$ on $p 8$ above The next is the unpubliched inseription of the time of Irivabedanga-Satyāsraya, dated in A.D. 1002, at Gadag, the head-guarters of the Gadag tâluka, Dhärwă1, mentioned in Ind Ant, vol 2, p 297, No 3 (where the date has been wrongiy shown as Śaha-samvat 981 , instead of 924 ) here wo have tho vowel in $2 n t=i v a r i m$, line 6 from the end It seems unnecessary to pursue the matter bey ond this point in every other instance from the Bombay districts, hnown to me, only the later ty pe as found

We now take the matter up for Mysore and Coorg; starting, agan, with the earliest instance, bnown to me, after Professor Buhler's latest mastance of the earher type, and using ehiefly recorls which are specifieally dated, bat also a few which ean be distinctly referred to well-aseertaned periods Here, when I eannot point to pablashed plates, I cite my details from nnk-1mpressions for which I am indebted to Professor Hultzsch and Mr H Kinshna Sastrı

From these parts, we have the earlior type of the intial short in an inseription dated in AD 878-78 at Baragĩr in the Tumkūr Distriet, Epn Carn, vol 12, Si 38 (no plate), in int=ä, east face, line 1 Agamin the Bihurr inseription, dated in A D 888, from Cooig, Ind Ant, vol 6, p 102, No 2, plate, Epr Carn, vol 1, p 5 (co plate), in idarkhe, line 10, No 14, and hne 11, No 13, aud in 2dan=, hne 11, No 18 Again in an inseription of about A. D 881-92 (see ante, vol 6, p 6S) at Kyätanahallı in the Myeore District, Epr Garn, vol $3, \mathrm{Sr}$ 147, plate, in $2 d a n=$, hive 15 , No 1 Agan in an inseription dated in AD 904 at Bétamangala in the Kolär District, Epr Carn, vol 10, Bpl(no plate), in appatt-, hne 3, in vvage ( ${ }^{( }$), hane 14, and in $2 d a n=$, hne 15 bere, in the second instance, the right side of the upper part of the letter is contiuued down to the right eomponent of the lower part, giving a transitional form mentioned on $p 9$ above Agam in an inseription dated in AD 807 at Tāyalūr in the Mysore District, Epi Carn, vol 3, Md 14, with plate, in 2 dan=, line 12, the last ahshara but one, in 2darhhe, line 14, No 18, and in 2mbara, hne 15, No 17 in theqe three eases the two eireles on dots forming the lower part of the letter are absent, but that 18 probably due to the person who prepared the lithograph (which 18 not a facsimile), rather than to the writer or the engraver And agan in the Bēgür inseription of the Ganga pnace Ereyappa, of the penod about A.D 908 to 938 , from the Bangalore Distriet, ante, vol 6, p 48, plate, Epi Carn, vol 9, frontıspiece, in vrugange, line 5, No 22, in 2ggalüru, line 8, No 4, and in $2 m t u m a[m]$, hne 15 , No 4 the first of these instances 18 given as illustration $B$ on $p 7$ above

In Myeore and Coorg, I find the later type first in an inseription, dafed in AD 909-10, at Manigattu-Gollabalh in the Kölar District, Epi Oarn, vol 10, Mb 229 (no plate) here we have the vowel in ure, line 4 , and inpara, hne 7 , in a form resembling illustration $D$ on p 8 above, bnt not shaped in so slanting a fashion, and made with the looped part smaller in proportion, it respmbles more closels, in fact, the unstance of A D 961-62 mentioned farther on

This is the earliest instance but two, known to me, of the occurrence of the later type. the earlier instances are those of $A$ D $901-2$ and 903 from the Dhärwār and Bijāpür Distriets, Bombay, mentioncd on p 11 abore If this instanee came fiom the north of Mysore, it would be quite intelligible But it comes from a part where me do not trace the later type again till A D 1029-30, and where we find indieations of a transitional position eren after that time (see p 14 below) It is therefore pecular And we can only regard it as intrasive, and eonjecture that the witer of this record was not a local man, bnt came from some territory where the later type of the vowel was well establashed The explanation $1 s$ perhaps to be found in the fact that the record, whec comes from the Barrakinr hoblt in the north-east quarter of the Mnlbägal tanlnka, near the bonndury of the North Arcot District, Madras, is a Bāna 1 ccord we know that the ternitory of the Baina princes of this period extended at least well into North Arcot

We are taken back to the eariner type by the next avalable record, an inscription of the tıme of the Rāshtrakūta king Güvinda IV, dated in AD 930-31, at Nandigadi in the Chitaldroog Tistriet, Epi Oarn, vol 11, Dg 119, plate, here we have the vowel in $2 d a n=$, line 8, No 9 We hare at g gam in an mseciption dated in AD 842 at Hémāatī in the Anantapur District, Epi Oarn, vol 12, Si $2 S$, ${ }^{2}$ in $2 m a a$, lme 29, and $2 n t=v v a r$, line 53 And again in an inscription dated in A D 944-45 at Bētrmangala in the Kolar District, Epı Carn, vol $10, \mathrm{Bp} 2$ (no plate), in $\imath d a n=$, line 12 here the eomponents of the lower part of the letter were perhaps omitted

The next record comes from the northern part of Mysore, and gives us a second instance of the later type, namely, an inseription dated in AD 981-62 on the Jattinga-Rämésivara hill in the Chitaldioog Distriet, Epi Carn, vol 11, Mk 27, with plate at tests, p 142 here we have the ronel ur idara, line 5, No 1

In the south, however, we have the earhor typo agun in the epitaph of the Ganga prince Nolambantaha-jirisimha, framed in AD 975, at Sravana-Belgola in the Hassan District, arte, vol 5, p 178, plate, in $2 t y=$, line 50 , No 1 , and $\imath n t u$, line 100 , No 1 heie, in both eases, both the sides of the upper part of the Ictter were continced down to the eomponents of the lower pait We have it agam in the Pegga-ir macription dated in AD 978, from Coorg, Ind Ant, vol 6, p 102, No 1, plate, Epi Carn, vol 1, p 7, plate, in udan=, line 18, No 1 And agan in the Sravana-Belgola cpitaph of the Rashtrabinta prince Indra IV, dated in AD 882, fromi the Hassan Distract, Epi Carn, vol 2, p 53 (no plate), in vivyalh $=$, north face, line 15 , and rade, sonth faec, hne 31 heie in the first ease, the lower component eonsists quitc exccptionally, of thiee (instead of two) encles?

I cannot cite any nse of the earlier type in Mysore after that date From about half-way down the western side of the province, we have the fully developed later type in the Chikmogalür mscription, whel belongs to the period AD 989-1005, from the Kadūr District, ante, vol 8, p 58 (no plate), Epi Carn, rol 6, Cm 3 (no plate I cite the form from the photograph from which I edited the iccord), in $\imath n n \bar{u} q u($ for $\imath n n u \bar{u} u u$ ), line 9 , in $\imath n t=\imath v a r=$ and I (for i) kodangeyam, line 12, and in $2 n d a v \bar{u} r a d a$, line 16 And the next two eitable rceords from the northern parts (beyond which we necd not pursnc the matter for that locality) piesent the same type One is an inseription of the time of the Western Chalulya king Jajasimha II, datcd in AD 1035, at Bclagàmı in the Slımoga District, Epi Carn, rol 7, Śk 126, plate, see, more clearly, the photograph in Päh, Sanshrt, and Old-Canarese Inscriptions, No 155 here we hare the vowel in $\imath m t=i$, line 23 , No 5 , and in $2 n t=i$, line 32 , No 4 The other is the inseription

[^10]of the tıme of the Western Chālakya prince Vishnurardhana- Vijayādıtya, dated in AD 1084, on the Jattinga-Rāmésvara hull in the Chitaldroog Distriet, ante, vol 4, p 213, plato, Epr Carn., vol 11, Mk 29, with plate at texts, $p 130$ here we nave the vomel in $2 v u$, lino 25 , No 4, and in 2 (for $\bar{i}$ ) dharmmamanz=, line 26 , No 3 , from the end the illustration E on p 8 above is from the first of these two instances

The northern parts of Mysore, howeser, the Shimoga and Chitaldroog Distriets, were subjceted to progressive mfluences, first under tho Rashtrakita hings and then ander the Western Chalakyas, which did not penetrate mach beyond thom In the south, I trace the later type agam, for the first time after A D 909-10 (p 12 above), in tho Chōla inserption, dated in A. D. 1029-30, at Soladēradaballi in the Bangalore District, Epr Oarn, vol 9, N1 1, with plate at translations, p 28 , in adarkhe, hne 14, No 11 hore the letter is formed in a very exceptronal manner, the end of it is curled over inside the dorn-stroke on the right, instesd of being carried on to that stroko or aeross it into the usnal tall We pelhaps have tho later type, fally formed, in tho Cböla inscription, dated in AD 1032 (sce ante, vol 4, p 69), at Sattūru in the Mysoro Distriet, Epi Carn, vol 3, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{J}} 164$ (no plate) here, in the last hnc, we seem to have ether desey=ahhhhanduga, correeted into dese vhihhanduga, or else dese ihhhhanduga, eorreeted moto desey=akhhhanduga for desay=zhhhhanduga And we cortamly have a form of the later type in the Cbola inscription, dated in A. D. 1047-48, at Mindıgal in the Kolant District, ante, vol 5, p 207, plate, Epı Carn, vol 10, Ct 30 (no plate), in zy=üra (for $\bar{z} y=\bar{u} r a$ ), lme 12, No 7, and in 2 (for $i$ ) dammauan, hno 16, tho last ahshara here, in both cases, the form 18 practically identical with that which $I$ have given as a transitional form in the illastration $H$ on $p 9$ abovo, the loop of tho lower part stops short at the down-stroke on the right, instead of being carried aeross that stroko and on to fom the usarl tanl These tro instanees of AD 1029-30 and 1047-48 are suggestive that for an appreciable time after $\triangle D 982$ the letter was still in a transitional stage in the southern and south-eastern parts, and that, when more materials are availablo, we may find the earler type still in ase there up to about $\triangle D 1000$ Good specimens of the later type in its full form, from the southern part of the province, may be seen in two recolds, datod in AD 1117, from Bēlür in
 and in inan=, line 13, No 57 and $\imath \imath \imath$, Bl 7l, plate, in $\imath t y=a t \bar{t}$, line 10, No 6 But it nas, of course, well established everywhere long before that time

Comivg now to sum up the inquiry, we find tho position to be as follows In the Kanarese districts of Bombay, we have the earliner tspe of the mitial short 2 still in nse ap to at least A D 975 But the later type figures freely along with it from AD 901-2 For this part of the country, then, we may consider that the tenth century was a transitional period of usage, when the later type, though well established, had not jet superseded the earlier onc, bat both of them were aecepted on equal terms And in thesc cirenmstanees we feel no surprise at finding the two types occasionally used together mone and the same reeord in the Dēvagerr mecription, which we refer to AD 958, in the Hebbāl mscription, which is dated in $\triangle \mathrm{D} 975$, and in tho undated insoription at Hatti-Mifttōr As regards Mysore, with Coorg, we mast separate the northern parts from the soathern. In the north, the case was probably much the same as in the Bombay districts, though we have not, so far, actaally traced the later type there before A D 961-62 And, as we find the later type half-way down the western side of the province between A D 989 and 1005, we may expcct to meet with it noywhere from about AD 1000 In the south, however, the change was plainly slower We have not, indeed, actnally traced the usc of the earlier type there after A D 982 But the later type is fonud there only once before that tume, in an nostance of A D 909-10 which we can only regard as intrasive And the records of A D $1029-30$ and $1017-18$ suggest that the commencement of the period of transitional usage in the south sin hardiy be placed
much, if at all, before A D 975, and that we may yet meet with instances of the earher type there running well into the eleventh century

We turn now to the eastern parts of Southern Indaa, taking the matter ap from the records of the Eastern Chalnkya kings, in which series, again, we now have avalable varionsinscriptions, presenting the vowel in question and covering the period in which we are interested, which were not known to Professor Bühler ${ }^{1}$ There are two records of this series which I have not been able to examine namely, the Edera plates or Gonturu grant of Amma I, of the period A D 918-25, South-Ind Inscrs, vol 1, p 39 (no plate), where we have the vowel twice, in lines 38, 54 , and the Nandamapūndı grant of Rājarāja $I$, dated in his thirty-second year, A D 105354, ante, vol 4, p 303 (no plate) here the vowel occurs twelve times, in lines 10, 55, 72, 75, 80-87 Setting them aside, from an examination, either in the pablished plates or in inkimpressions, of all the other records of the whole series down to $A D 1143$, I find the position to be as follows -

The rocord on the (j) Masulipatam plates, the Trandapara grant, of Vijayāditya III, of the period AD. 844-88, Ep Ind, vol 5, p 123, with plate showing lines 1 to 15 and 26 to 30 , contans the vowel twice, in attham=, line 18 , and $\tau a$, line 35 , and presents it in both cases in the earher type, bat in the transitional form with the right side of the apper part continned donn to touch the right component of the lower part The published facsimile does not molude these two passages I have given this form, from 20 , hne 35 , as illustration $F$ on $p 9$ above

The earliest instance of the later type from this part of Sonthern India ${ }^{2}$ is found in the record on the ( ${ }^{2}$ ) Masulıpatam plates, the Akulamannandn grant, of Cbālukya-Bhima II, of the perid AD 934-45, ante, vol 5, p 135, with a plate showing lines 1 to 8 and 17 to 25 in this record the vowel occars only once, in attham $=$, line 22 , the last akshara but one, given as allustration $C$ on $p 8$ above the upper part of it was planly formed by two separate strokes instead of one continuous movement The other records of the same period show the earlier type the Diggubarru grant on the 'Paganavaram' plates, Ind Ant, vol 13, p 213, with plates, has it three times, in lines 15 and 40 (see note 1 on this page), the Kodhatalli grant on the Kolsponna plates, South-Ind Inscrs, vol 1, p 44 (no plates), also gives it three tumes, in indur=, line 19, itham= (for attham=), line 22, and $2 t$, line 23 , and in the instance in line 23 the components of the lower part of the letter were omitted, and the ends of the apper part were brought down to the bottom line of the writing ${ }^{3}$

The records of the next period, the reign of Vijayaditya-Amma $I$, $A$ D 845-70, shew only the earlier type, as follows ${ }^{4}$-The Masulipatam plates or Pambarru grant, ante, vol 5, p 140 , with a plate showng lines 1 to 8 , and 17 to 24 once, in mdani-, lime 25 , the last a 1 shara bat two ${ }^{5}$ The Elavaria grant, Ind Ant, vol 12, p 91, with plates, five tmes, in lines

[^11]39, 48, 51, 54, 55 The Grudugolana grant, on plates now m tho Butish Museum, Ind Ant, vol 13, p 249, with plates twice, in lincs 20, 22 The Masaliprtam plates, South-Ind Insors, vol 1, p 47 (no plate) once, in line 34 The Kaluchumbarra ginnt, ante, vol 7, p 185, with a plate showing lines 1 to 8,33 to 50 , a id 60 to 69 thee times, in $2 t$, line 43 , No 17 , urrula, line 66, No 5 , and $\begin{gathered}\text { diy } \\ \text { ür }\end{gathered}$, the same line, No 14 , and heie, in each casc, the componcnts of the lower part of the letter were omitted, and the ends of the upper pat weie brought down to the betom line of the writiug ${ }^{1}$ And the Maliyapuodi giant, ante, vol 9, p 50, with plates once, in $v$ va, line 23 , No 6

The next known recold is the Ranastıpūndı grant of Vimalãditya, ante, vol 6, p 35l, with plates This record, whoh gives the dato of the king s anominentin A D j011, and 15 atself dated in his eighth year, in A D 1018, presents the vowel seven time, in hines 55, 66 (twice), $70,8 j, 87,97$, and in the earlier type throughont

This is the latest instance of the use of the earlier type that I ean cite from eitl el the eastern or the westenn paris of Sonthenn India In the EasterıCbalukja senes, the next iccords are two of the time of Rajaija $I$, and the order of them perhaps 1 emans to be determined according to what we may learn from one of them abont the use of thes vowel One is the Korumelli grant, Ind Ant., vol 14, p 50, with plates, which gives the date of the kng's anountmont, in $\triangle D$ 1022, and may possibly date $f_{1}$, m that yeat itself it prescats the later type of the vowel, six times, in lines 11, 47, 50, 56, 63, 103 The other is the Nandamapind 1 grant, ante, vol 4, p 303 (no plate), wheh also mentions the dnte of the anomement, butio atself dated in the thinty-second year of the reagn, $\Delta \mathrm{D} 1053-54$, it has the vowel twrlie times, in lines $10,55,72,75,81-87$, and, as meationed on $p 15$ above, at lemains to be cxammed in respect of the type whed it presents

## No 2-palitava plates of Simhaditya, the fear 255

By Professor E Heltzsci, Pef D, Halie (Shale)

The existence of these plates was made pablie by the late Mr A M T Jachson, ICS, in the Indian Antqquary, Vol XXXIX p 329 , No I They bolong to the Strte of Palitina in Kãthārār and aie reported to have been nnearthed in the eity of Palitānā some forty years ago When first discorercd, they weie factened togethor with six Martraka giants by a single Valabhí seal and suspended by it from the roof of a small neder ground chambor adjommg a tanh underneath a large stonc I edit the inscription on them from two sets of mk imprevions, prepared by $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ Vogel's clerk and placed at my dısposal by Raı Bahaduı Venhaysa, to whom $\mathrm{Ml}_{1} \mathrm{~W} \quad \mathrm{C}$ Tudoı Orren, I CS, Admınstıator of Palıtãnã, had kındly lènt the ongınals

Theso are two copper-plates, measuring, aecordmg to Mr Vonkays'b, abont $9 \frac{3}{4}$ meches in breadth and varying between $7 \frac{1}{4}$ and $7 \frac{1}{2}$ mehes in height Each of the two plates bears on its inner side 14 lines of well-preseived witing The letteis show through on the back of the plates, especially of the second one There are two nug-holes at the botom of tho first and at tne top of the second plate, but no ring on scal is forthicoming

The alphabet is of an early Southern type and meludes the signs of jthuämālíya (11 2, 17) and of upadhmãiya (11 9,19,23,27) and final forms of $t(125)$ and of $n$ (14) The date (1 27) is expres»ed in numerical symbols

[^12]The rules of sandhz are very carefally observed, with two exceptions (ll $3 f$ and 20) The anusuāra is represented by $n$ before $s\left(\begin{array}{ll}\text { l } & \text { ) and } h(17) \text {, and the vowel } r\end{array} 15\right.$ replaced by the syllable $r$ in hrita (ll 13, 26) and hrishna (1 21), ${ }^{1}$ while the coireet forms hrita (12) atc are used in all other cases The final s of rahshas is elided before sthala (17) in accordance with the Färttila on Pãmmi, vu, 3, 36, and consonants following $r$ are doubled, with the exception of $t$ in hirtr (12) and of $b h$ in $\bar{a} v z r b h \bar{u} t a$ (1 3)

The language of the inseription is Sanohrit prose, two verses of $\nabla$ yãsa are quoted near the end (ll $21-27$ )

The inscription rerords a giant of land, made by the Sāmanta-Mahäräju Simhāditya (17) of the Gärulaka family ( 12 ) This order was issued from a place named Phankaprasravana (l 1) The dince was a Brīhmana of the Maitrayauika school who lived at Ēiapadra (l 20 f) The object of the grant was a field with a pond in the village Darbhachara (l 21 f) I am unable to identify Fhanhaprasravana and Daibhachāra Elāpadra, as Dr Fleet saggests to me, may be 'Velwad' in the Gordhia tāluka, Pañch Mahanls

The date of the grant was the 13 th tathe of the braght fortnght of the month Āsayuja in the year 255 ( 127 ) of an era which is not specified, but which, as suggested by Mr. Jackson, is probably the Gupta-Valabhi ora of A.D. 319 The specified month, then, plaees the record in AD 574

As stated by Mr Jackson, the Gärulaka family 19 a hitherto noknown dynasty of fendatory chiefa who may be assumed to have been tinbutanes (samanta) of the Martraka kings The Gärulaka Sumhādıtya waq a contemporary of Dhaı 3 séna II of Valabhi The name Gärulaka seems to stand for Gärulaka or Gärudaha and suggests that this family clamed descent from Vishan's bird Garuda The inscription coatains the following pedigree of the donor -


Each of these four chiefs as praised in purely conventional terms The only item of information which may be based on a historical fact, is the statement (l $11 f$ ) that Varāhadass II defeated a raler of Dräraka (on the west coast of Käthiāvar), whose individual name is not recorded

TEXT. 2
First Plate


## समरघロ-

2 सपातात्यक्तविजयिना
3 पड्रानुक्रमेणासिभूतो

प्रभूतयभक्कोर्ल्यलक्षारान्त्रतान्वयभुवां गाषलकानiं
दोनानाथाम्निसार्तीत्रिथ्धान्धवजनोपजीव्यमानविभवविस्त्त:

[^13]4 तररिवाच्चीएफलच्चायतयै कान्त्पपोपकारी प्रशस्तलच्यलन्चा: च्चान्तिसान्

6 वराहदासस्तस्य सत्मूनूछ़शरघादिनृपसटृश्चरितो नयविनयदसदया-
7 दानदच्चदाज्तिसओंस्वाहसंपन्नो ${ }^{1}$ सन्वादिमशीतम्मृत्यन्तरसलिलावगाहनवियु-
8 घधोड़ींःः सकर्परिमूतार्दिर्तिसरनिकारो निजकुलगगनसकलामलेन्दुवस-
9 लिनगुणविभूषणस्त्वमन्तमहारजनभहिझ्गूर्स्तदनुज $\times$ प्रतिट्निनसुपच्चीय-
10 मानानिबविधधर्माप्वुपवाहोपहतर्कलिप्रताप:
सतर्तसितरेतरावि-

12 कान्तहारकाधिपतिरनेकदेवतायतनसभाप्रपादासावसयविह्हारका-
13 रयिता कलादर्दि' क्रितयुगधर्मावलब्बी परमगुर्वव्बल. परसनह्ञास्स-
14 घरख: परापरज्ञ. सामन्त्रहाताजवराहदासस्तल्प्पः:

## Second Plate

15 पषसमहाएवससागसावार्तहिद्युखोलोयमानानिकचशः
16 स्मुटमधुर्ललितोटारधीरग्मीरव्लुपप्टताभिधान ${ }^{3}$ स्यासोन्तरविपुल-


19 समान्तापयल्यस्तु वस्संविदित यथा सया सातापिन्चो $\backslash$ पुसाप्यायनायाल- ${ }^{6}$
20 नबोमयलोकह्तितसुखावामये"
एलापद्रवासव्यन्वाह्मापन प्यस्वामिने
21 मैनार्यागकस्त्रह्वनारिय क्रियानेयसगोचायं दर्भंचारग्रामे भोख़्न-

23 समकालीन $\times$ पुनपौनान्वयभोच्यतया निसृष्टो यतोस भुजतों न केनचि-
24 दावाधा कार्युति ॥ उ[नं] च भगवता वेद्वासेन व्यासेन ॥ पष्टि वर्षसहम्रा-
25 सि सर्गे मोदति भूमिद: [अ] गाच्चेत्ता चानुमन्ता च तान्चेव नरके वसेत् II[ $\left.\mathrm{q}^{*}\right]$
26 यानीह्ह दारिय्यूभयान्नरन्द्रेछंन्गन धर्मायतनीक्रितानि ${ }^{10}\left[1^{*}\right]$ निर्माल्यवान्त-प्रतिमा-
27 नि तानि को नास साधुऽपुनराददोत [॥२ ₹"] इति ॥ सं २०० ч० ม अम्वुज" गु श० ₹ [11"]
28 खहहत्रो सम ॥


Palitana plates of the Garulaka Sumhaditya - The year 255
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## TRANSLAMON

(Lne 1) On Hail ${ }^{1}$ From Phankaprasravana ${ }^{1}$ In the suecession of the family of the Garulakes, who subdued all enemies by (thetr) prospenty which was produced by the performance of eminent deeds, who ganed decisive vieto.ios in eneonnters (with their enemes) in many handreds of battles, (and) who sprang from a race adorned with the ornaments of high glory and fame, - -there appeared the glorious Sënāpaiz Varāhadäsa (I), whose great wealth was being lived upon by the distressed, the helpless, rofngees, the siek, suppliants, and kinsmen, who invariably benefitod others by gianting meeasing rewards and shelter, as a tree by affording uneeasing fruits and sbade, who had noble aims and anspicious marks, who possessed forbearance, who destroyed his enomies, (who was) a receptnele of unceasng prospenty, (and who was) an naparalleled bridge of jastice, as (hing) Yudhashthira
(L. 6 ) Hıs virtnous son (vas) the Sāmanta-ifahärāja Bhattisuüra, whose condnet resembled that of kangs like Dasaratha, who was endowed with pradenee, modesty, self-restrant, eompassion, liberahty, eleverness, politeness, and energy, whose mind was parfied by bathing in the water of the contents of the codes of lam composed by Manu and others, who was brave (or wise), Who, having sabdacd the multutude of enemes by his own hand (as if he were) dispelling the mass of darkness by his rays, (became) the spotless full-moon on the firmament of his famuly, (and) who was adorned with spotless virtues
(E 9) Fus jounger brother (uas) tho Sämanta-Mahärā̀a Varāhadāsa (II), who dispelled the heat of the Kali (age) by the stream of hbations at (his) manifold charities wheh were aeenmulating every day, who was constantly expert in means for the aequision of merit, wealth, sud pleasure not conflecting with each other, who overcame the lord of Drarakā by unehecked valour, as Śäragapünı (Krishna) possessed uneheeked valour and was the lord of Drārakā, who censed to be built many temples of the gods, lalls, drinking-fonntans, ${ }^{3}$ gardens, rest-houses, ${ }^{4}$ and (Buddhrst) monasteries ( vihära), who, even in the Kalı (age), held fast to the virtues of the Krita-ynga, who was excesswely devoted to (his) elders, who assiduously afforded protection to plous men, (and) who knew what 18 remote and proximate
(L 14) His son, the Sämanta-Mahārāja Sımbēdıtya, who has aequired mueh ${ }^{5}$ fame, Wheh is being sung in (all) the quaiters of the horizon, by enconntering (his enemies) in fieree great battles, whose epithets 'sweet, eharming, noble, firm, deep, handsome, and modest' are well-known, (and) to whose dark, hagh, and broad ehest the goddess of fortune 18 firmly and exeesstvely attached, -being in good bealth, (thus) commands all (people), (viz) princes (rāja. putra), palace-officers (räjasthīniya), ${ }^{6}$ minısteıs, city-offieers (drāngıka), ${ }^{7}$ headmen (mahattara), irregular solduers, ${ }^{8}$ spies, iegular solduers, ndors on elephants or horses, etc -
( $L$ 19) "Be it known to you that, in order to inciease the spintual mert of ( $M y$ ) mother and father, and an order to attain Myself welfare and happiness in both worlds, I have granted to the Brähmana Bappasvāmin residing at Elāpadra, who is a student of the Maxtrayanıka school (and) belongs to the Krıshnàtrèya götra, (the field of) Bhondaka-Badhira-Katumbin ${ }^{9}$ in the village Darbhachära, together with a pond, according to the maxim of bhümuchchhrdra, ander the

[^14]rules of gifts to Brainmans, to last as long ns the moon, tho sun, tho ocoan, and tho orrth shall ovist, (and) to bo onjoyod by (hts) sons, grandsons, and (furthor) descondants
(L. 23 ) "Thor oforo nobody shall onuse obstanction to hum whilo ho onjoys (thes field)"
(L. 24) "And the holy Vyiisa, the arranger of tho Vēdus, has spokon"
[Horo follow two of the oustomary vorses.]
(L 27) In the yoar 255, on tho 13th (tith) of tho bright (fortnight) of Āsviyuja.
(L. 28.) (Thes 2s) My own alguature.

# No. S-SABETIF-MARETII PLATE OF GOVINDACHANDRA, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT llsb. 

## Br Pandit Data Raif Saini, ma

Dr. Vogel has alimady annonnoed tho discovory of thes ooppor-plato insonption in two artioles Onc of thoso nppoared in tho Puonor of tho Llth May; 1908, under the title of "Śaivasti and ats romans" and contans all tho sahont points an tho history of thas anciont orty, derimablo fiom the Paih torts and tho writinge of modorn oxplorors It winds up with tho statement that tho prosent ansouption eonchasively fothlos tho dentification of Saheeth and Mabēth on tho bordors of the Gonda and Bahrmel dintrots with tho Jētavana nad Sranvasti, respectavoly, of Buddhist hiteraturo Tho connootion of tho copper-pinte with Jatavnna and
 sinco the plato has boon found can ofnlly doposited ann coll of almge monagtor it Snhēth, it was obviously intondod for it Mr, V A. Smith, howovo, while roforming to tho phato an a footnoto on pago 792 of tho Journal of the Royal Aseatro Socioty for July 1908, has orpresed the opimon that the plate may havo beon brought to Sahōth fiom tho ronl Śrivastı whoh he looatos somowhore near Bulapur in Nopal Taina, not far from tho placo whono the Rrpit lenves tho hills

Tho ovideneo in favoar of tho identification of Salieth-Mnhēth with Srivasti is set forth by Mr. Marshnll in tho Jourval of the Royal Asiatic Socrety, ${ }^{1}$ and hero it as onough to onumorate the min faots Foomost of thoso is the romarknble agreomont whoh oxists botweon tho topography of tho remana at Sahêth-Minhèth and tho desuriptions of Silivasti rocorded by tho Chinese pulgrims Wo noxt oome to the well-known colosbal bödhratton discovored by Gonoral Cunamgham en this sito, whoh aoooring to tho Kinshana msoription on its podostal was sot up at Śarasti. A fragment of its umbrella post (danda) with the samo insoription as that on the bedhasativa luns rooently beon biought to hght by Di. Vogol It as now in tho Lnoknow Mnscum, but 18 bohoved originally to linvo boon unonrthod nt Suhēth by Dr. Hooy Then thono as tho ansoribod copper-phate wheh forms the subject of this papor And last of all we havo tho lower half of a life-sizo statuo of a bodhsisativa which was disoovored by Mi Marshall at SahêthMnhath in the jow 1908-09 The pedostnl of thes statuc boars na comly Kushnan mboription whoh states that it was presented by cortan Kishatigan brothors at tho Jëtavana of Suñyastí In the faco of all this evidenee it world be stange, inderd, if the identincation of Snheth-Mahēth ramainod doubtful, morely beonuso the statements of tho Chneso pilgrims aboat the locntion of $\dot{S}$ Sürasti are somowhat meoricot

Tho plato was disoovered by me ita monastery (No. 21, mnp of Sahêh, Dr Hooy's Roports) on the sito of Sabetth in the spring of 1908 whon I was assisting Dr Vogol in his excavations

[^15]there This building was pirtally excarated by Dr Hoey, and it was here that, on breaking throngh the pared courtrard, he found the inseribed slab pnblished in the Ind Ant. Vol XVII, p $61 \mathrm{ff}^{1}$ Dr Hoey also opened out soven ont of the trenty-four cells which surround the courtyard in the centre and it was in one of these, namely, the one adjoining the entrance chamber on tho south, that the copper-plate under reference was found It was carefully paoked in an earthen box, 2 feet square and 3 inches high externally, closed with a ha of the same material, the space between the plate and the receptaclo boing filled with elay The box Was built aganst the fomndation of the northern wall of the cell referrd to, just below the floor, well secured to the wall by means of brickwork on nll sades

The plate measures $18^{7}$ by $14^{n}$ and $18 \frac{1}{\prime \prime \prime}^{\prime}$ thick In the centre of tha npper part there 18 a nog-hole ${ }_{8}^{5 n}$ m dameter The ming was not fonnd, nor the beal $n$ hech must have surmonnted it ${ }^{2}$ The plite is inscribed on one cide only and contans 27 hues of wining in a perfect state of preservation The characters are Nägari and the langnage Sanskrit thronghont In respect of orthography, the following points may be noted The letter $b_{18}$ denoted by the sign for $v$ throughont The donbling of eonsonants before and after $r$ ocenis in lines 4 (twice), 5,16 (tirice), 17, 18 (twice), 19 (trace), 22 (twice), 23, 24 (thrice), and 27 The horizontnl top stroke ( $m a \bar{a} t r a \bar{a}$ ) whoh elsewhere distingashes the letters $v$ and $l$ from $d h$ and $n$, respectivoly, is, in a few eases, also fonnd in the latter Omissions of letters are supplied by the insortion of tho corresponding sugns immediately above or below their onginal places of $\tau a$ in Turashku in hne 21 and bha in bhadräsanam in line 23 A rough figure of Gainds is engraved once at the end of the 11 th line and agand in the last line before the words mangalam mahä-srth

Tbe inseription begins, hike all the other epigraphs of the Gähadapala king Gonnodachandra that hase so far como to light, with mine verses in different meties contaning his genealogy, and ends with seven benedictive and imprecatory verses and an eighth which supphes tho name of the engiarer The formal portion is contannd in the rest of the epigraph and is, as nsaal, in prose I have given the fall text of the inscription, bat omitted from the translation the first nue verses, because they are paraphraced m tho prose passage following them, and the next seven which contan the usnal admonitions to future lings Tho taxes specifed (hne 21) as due to the donees are the bhägabhögakara, the pravankara, and the Turushkadandas The last named tax figures only in the aecords of three other kings of the Gāhadavala dynasty, namely, the Chandrāvati plate of Gormdachandra's grandfather, Chandradêva, ${ }^{4}$ the insoription sssued by Govindacbandra himself on behalf of his father Miadanapāla, ${ }^{5}$ aud a plato of Vijayachandra and the Yuvaraja Jayachchandra ${ }^{6}$

In respect of the localities mentioned in the record, it 18 gratifying to note that spme of them can be identified bejond all possibility of donbt The other places have, in all probability, gone out of existence One of these latter is $\nabla_{1} h \bar{a} r a$, the first of the six villages granted It is said to have been situated wo the district of what appeass to be Vādā-chaturasitiz ${ }^{7}$ There is a comparatively large villige called Bāja Jöt or tho Holy Bāja, abont 2 miles west of Sahēth I am inclined to think that Vãā may possibly be a mistake for Bāja The next village Pattanā $1 s$ situated about 3 miles sonth-west of Sahēth or abont 2 miles sonth of

[^16]Katră on the rond leadug to Khargnpur Bäar and is now called Patná Thero an a mass of ancrent remanas near the village and the peasants havo discoverda few old wells which they use for the irigation of their field The village of Opalaund I cannot drenify The forrth village as called Vavvahali in the plato This $1 s$ presumably now reprefented by Belaha stanted near the vallage of Patna The villagers say that it is anoient The nert place 19
 near Subhágpar on the Gonda-Intiathok road Tho last villnge is "Payãsi belonging to Pöthivära," This I identify with a villuge of the name of Bayasi which formenly stood 2 hes to north-east of Sabëth Mabêth, but was washed awny by the Rapticome years ago Its site is still hnomn bs the name of Bayaisi
[In lipe 19 f the Buddhst ascetic Śākyarakshits is sad to have beon a natipe of Uthala, ze Orisba, and ha disciple Vägiśvararakshita belonged to the Chōda conntry Tho date of the inscription, uaz Vikrama-Sarnvat 1186, corresponds to A D. 112S-9, which falle into the reign of the Chola king Vikrama-Chöla. The Gahadavala king of Kananj appear to have been on friendly terms with the Chölas of Tanjore ${ }^{1}$ whose domimons extended into the Northern Carenrs daring the reigns of Kulottuaga I. and Vikrama-Chōla It 15 not certan
 nas iuclnded in the dominions of the Chola king at the time We know rery httle of the history of Buddhism in the Tamil country Bat there 19 no donbe that there were edherents of that creed down to the 13 th century $A D$ in the conntry round Amernunti in the Guntor District Besidea, Kumaradēri, the Buddhas qneen of Govindachanara, was the daugater of a chief of Pithi And Dr Konow says at is possible to adontify Pithimith Pithäpuram an the modern Godarari District of the Madras Presidenoy ${ }^{2}$ If this be the case, it is ao mafter for surprise that the two Buddhist ascetics tho pleased Gormanachandra were natises of Oriesa and the Ohodr country Probably they rere introduced to the king by has queen Eumamderi, who probably brought about, enther directly or indueatly, the guft recorded in the subjonned inscrıption The sentence punar apı sūsanīnıtya grānkī amē shad apt dattā "these rax villages were giren again by a charter' 1 mphes that these villages bad orignnally been granted to the Bnddhist vilăra at Jētarana, but, for some reason or other, resumed subsequently At Särnäth, queen Kumanaderi restored the "lord of the taining of the wheel" and erected a vhaira for his accommodation It is not impossiblo thet the same queen also nufused fresh life into the Buddhist establishment at Jētarana by sending for the two Buddhist ascetics and inducing the king to restore the mix villagos whoh once belonged to the eitiara -EDd]

## TEXT

$1 \mathrm{Om}^{3}$ svastil
Akunthotkantha-Vakuntha-kanthapitha-inthat-karah $\mid$



2
su divam gatāsn
 Tat-sntō=bhūn=Míahicha[m]draśschandıa-dhams-mbham mya[m] [|* ${ }^{*}$ ]


[^17]3

Na katham=apy=alabhamnta(bhanta) ranakshamă $[\mathrm{m}] \mathrm{s}=$
tusrısha dikshu gajăn=atha Vajrinah I
kskabhu va(ba)bhramar=A[bhra]mn-vallabha-prats-
bhatia iva yabya ghatā-gajäh || [9*]
So =yam samasta-rāja-chakra-samsē̄ıta-charanah paramabhattāraka-mahārājādhıräja-paramésvara-paramamēhēśvar̊-mıa-bhaj-סpārıita-Kanyakuvj(bj)-ādhıpatya śrimach-[Cha]mdradēva-pā ãānudbyāta-paramabhattíra[ka]-mahārājādhrrãja-para-
 paramabhattā-raka-mahārājādhırāja-para-
11 mēß̄จara-paramamāhēsvar-ā́̄vapatı-gajapatı-narapatı-rājatrayādhıpati-vıvidhe-vıdyā-



11 II

[^18](jă)-chaturaśitı-pattalāyām Vıhara Vavvahali 1 Mē̄i-ssmva(ba)ddha-Ghōsãai Pōthıāra-Bam[va](ba)ddha-Payās1-grāma-mvā
13 mıhıla-janapadān=upagatân=apı2 rāja-rājñī-yuvaıäja-mamtı-purơhita-pra[ti]hūra-Bēnāpatı-bhāndāgānk-ākshapatalıFa-bhıhag-anımittıh-āntahpurnka-dūta-
karıturagapattanākarasthānagokulādhıkārıaścha purushān=ājñāpayatı vo(bos)dhayaty=ādiśatı cha yathā 1 Viditam=astu bhavatām jath=üpamikhitagrämāh sa-ja-

## 15

la-sthalāh sa-lơha-lavan-ākarāh sa-matsy-ākarāh sa-pallıarāh ${ }^{3}$ ea-gartt-osha[rā]h sa-madhūk-ãmra-vana-vātıkā-vitapa-trına-yūtı-gōchara-paryantāh s-orddhจ-üdhaś= chatur-äghāta-viśn-
16 daha-sva-simā-psryantāḥ| Eamvatsarē shadasity-adhik-qıkādaśa-śatē Ā Bhādhē mā[8]ē [Sō]mavārè Pūrovāshāahā-nakshatrē purnnımā̄ām ththau ankatō=p1 samvat 1188 Éshādha
17 sudı 15 Sōmē $\mid$ ady=ēha śri-Vārānasyām Gamgāām [8n]ātvā mantra-dēva-muni-manuja-bhūta-pitrı-ganāms=tarppayitria tımi[ra]-patala-pītana-patr-mahasam= U Ushnarōchishamm=upasthāy=An-
18 Ghadhıpatı-śskala-śēkharam samabhyarchchya trıbhuvana-trātar= Vväsndēvasya pūjām vidhāya prachara-pāyasēns hsทishā havırbhajam hutvà mātāpitrơr= ātmanaś=cha punya-yaśo-bhırı̣ddhayē go-
19 karnna-káalatā-pūta-karatal-סdaka-pūrvvam 1 Utkala-dēsiga-Saugafa-parivrajaka-mahäpandıta-S̄̄̄kyarakshıta-tachchhıshya-Chōda-dḗ̄īya-Sıgata-parırrājaka-mahāpaudı-
20 ta-Văgiśvararakahitäbhyām parntoshtarr=asmābhih Śrimaj-Jétavans-mahāvibā-
 samghāya vhār-āntara-maryāda
21 yã panıbōg-ārtham mahatā chitta-prasādēn=āchamdrārkkam panar=apı śēsanīkritya grä $[m] a ̄ \quad 1 m e \bar{c}$ shad=api dattās matvá jathā-diyamāna-bhāgabhogakara-pravanikara-Tu[ra]shkadayda-pra-
 s[l]okāh | [1*] Bhūmm fah pratıgrihnātı yasi=cha bhūmm prayachchhatı| ubhan tan punyakarmmānau nyatan(tam) svarg[ga]gãmu-

Śamkham [bha]dr-āsanam [ch]chhatram var-āsivă vara-vāranāh |
bhūmı-dänasya chı[hnä]nı phalam=ētat=Paramdara II [11"]
Va(ba)hubhır=vvasudhā dattā rãjabhıh Sagar-ādıbhoh I
yasya yasya jadā bhūmıs=tasya
24
Sarvvān=ētān bhāvinah pārthiv-ēndrān
blūyo bhūyo yāchatē Rīma[bha]drah 1
sāmānyō=yвm dharmma-sētur=nnarānām
kālē kālē pālanīyo bhavadbhıh || [13*]
Shashtr-va-

[^19]Saheth-Maheth Plate of Govindachandra-[Vikrama-] Samvat 1186



25 rsha-sahasrānı svar[gē] vasatı bhūmidah ।
àchchbēttā ch=ãnumantā cha tâny=ēva narakē vasēt \|l [14*]
Sva-dattām para-dattām vā yō harēta vasumdhaiàm I
вa vishthāyām krımır=bhūtrā
26
pi[tribhi]h saha maj]avi(ti) || [15*]
Vāt-ābhra-vıbhramam=ıdam vasudh-ādhipatyam=
āpāta-mãtra-madhurā [ri]shay-ōpabhögāh ।
$\operatorname{pr}[\bar{a}] n \bar{a}[\mathrm{~s}]=$ trın-āgra-jala-vımda-samā narānăm
dharmmah sakhā param=aho paraloka-jānē II [16*]
27 Śrimad-Gōvımdachamdrasya bhūpatēr=ājñay=ālıkhat $\boldsymbol{1}^{1}$ tämram=ētat=Surāaıtyah kãyasthah sarvoa-sāstra-vit || [17*]


## TRANSLATION

(Lnnes 9 to 22 )
 Paramamāhēsvara Gōvindachandradēva, whose fcet are honoured by the entire curcle of kings, who as (another) Vâchaspati in investigating the varions sciences; who is the lord of tho threo kings, wiz the asvapat2 (lord of horses), the gajapat2 (lord of elephants) and the narapatz (lord of men), who meditates on the feet of the illustrious $P M P P$ Madanapaladēva, who (in his turn) meditated on the feet of the illustrions $P M P P$ Chandradēva, who acquired the sovereignty over Kanyakubja by (the strength of) his own arms,- orders, informs and commands all the people assembled, residing in the villages of Vihära in the district (?) of Vādä-chaturaśitı, of Pațtanā, Upalaundã, Varrahali, Ghōsādi attached to Mēyi and of Payāsı attached to Pōthıãra, as well as the kings, queens, heurs-apparent, ministers, prests, door-keepers, generals, treasarers, record-keepers, physionans, astrologers, chamberlans, messengers, and officers charged with the care of elephants, horses, towns, mines (?) sthänasand görulas in the following manner -
"Be it known to you, that, having been gratified by the Saugata-parivrajaka, the mahäpandita S̄akyarakshita, (a resident) of the Utkale country, and his discuple, the Saugataparviājaka, the mahāpandita Vāgiśvararakbbita, (a resident) of the Chōda country, I
 being) in the Pūrväshādhā nahshatra in the year comprising eleven hundred increased by eighty-six, also in figures Samvat 1186, Äshādha sudi 15, Monday, - after bathing in the Ganges, at the holy Värannasi, propitiating the sacred texts, davinities, ${ }^{3}$ sages, men, beings and the group of deceased ancestors, adoring the sun, whose lustre can dispel heaps of darkness, worshipping the moon*arested (Śrva), worshipping Vāsudēva, the protector of the three worlds, and after offering to (the god of) fire an oblation rich in milk-rice, bestowed again ${ }^{4}$ by a charter for the enhancement of the merit and fame of my parents and of myself, by (pouring) from the palm of $m y$ hand water sanctified by the göharna and the kusa

[^20]grass, ${ }^{1}$ with a great satisfaction of the heart, for enjoyment in the manner of other convents for (as long as) the moon and the sun (endure), the six villages named above, together with water and dry land, mines of iron and salt, repositories ( 2 e ponds) of fish, palliharas, pits and deserts up to and inclnding gaidons of madhüha and mango (trecs), parks, bushes, grass and pastare lands np to their proper limits clearly defined by their fonr boundaries, with the clevations and depressions, upon tho most respectable community (samgha) of Buddhist friars (Siakyabhzhshut) of whom Buddbabhattäraka is the chnef, residng in the great convont of the Holy Jētavana. Bearing (this) in mind, and being ready to histon to (onr) commands you should (continue to) gire (to the donees) the bhägabhogahara, the prazanzhara, the Turushhadanda and all the othor sonrces of income that aro dnc "
[Lines 22-26 contain scven impreeatory and benedictory verses]
(L 27) Under the oiders of the glomons king Govindnehandra, this grant was written by the Kāyastha Surādıtya, who is profiesent in all śāstras Good luck (and) great prosperity ${ }^{1}$

## No 4-THE CHAHAMANAS OF MARWAR

By D R Beamdarear, MA, Poona

In his paper on "Tho Chāhamānas of Nadãnla" the late Professor Kielhorn has edited the Sūndhā hull inseription of Chāehigadēva ${ }^{3}$ which helps to determine the order of succession and the relationship of the vanous princes, whose inseriptions had been pnblished, but whose connection with one another had long remaned unknown We are now awase that they were all of the Chāhamāna dynasty Bnt there were several familes of the Chāhamānas that reigned in Rājputānā It was, therefore, necessary to distingnish the line that raled over Mârwar from other branches raling elsewhere And Professor Kielhorn ohose to group them together andcr the title "the Chāhamānas of Naddūla" Bnt some of these Chāhamānas reigned at Naddūla (Nādol), and some at Jābālıpura (Jālor) Strictly speaking, they shonld, therofore, have been called "the Chāhamãnas of Naddūla and Jābăhpnra" The dcscendants of the former are callcd Nādölnās, and of the latter Sōnagarās Agann, at Sānchör, inseriptions are fonnd of a Chāhamāna prince who seems to be netther a Nādōlıă nor a Sonagarã, bnt rather a Sānehorā, another subdivision of the Chōañs Under sneh circumstances, it is best to dcesgnate thcse Chðhāns as "the Chāhamānas of Mārmār"

The subjomed mscriptions were discovered daring the two tonrs nndertaken by me in 1907-08 and 1908-09 in the southern and south-eastern parts of Mārwär, and this paper ${ }^{18}$ really a supplement to that of Professor Kielhorn, without which it would not have been possible to make my article interesting

## I and II-SĀDADÍ and Nādōl inscriptions OF JōJaladeva, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 11473

The first of these inseriptions was found at Sādadi, and the second at Nādōl, both in the Dēsürī Distriet, Gơdvãd Division, Jodhpur State The former is engraved on a pillar in the temple of Jaggésvara, but as all the materials of this temple are said to have been bronght from rains elsewhere, it is clear that the inscription did not originally belong to Sãdadi It contains

[^21]11 lunes of writing, which cover a space of $8 \frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ broad by $6 \frac{3^{\prime}}{4}$ high. The secend inseription is incised on a pullar in the temple of Sōmésvara, and bears 13 hnes of writing wheh eover a space of $8 \frac{1}{4}$ broad by $9 \frac{1 / 7}{4}$ ligh The letters of the first are deeply cat, and, excepting two or three alsharas at the begmang of lines 8-10, the record is well presenved The becend 18 weather-worn and has not yielded satisfaetury impressions The whole of it, however, is intact The charaeters are Nägari The langange is Sanskrit, nud both the insenptions aro in prose In respect of orthography, it 15 audieient to state that the letters $b$ and $v$ are both denoted by the sign for $v$ Of words unknown or rarely employed, we may note the following (1) yâirā, (2) satla, (3) qudaharaha, (4) ̧ülapīla, and (5) pranadaikula Yälrã is a fostival which is held on different days for diferent gods ${ }^{1}$ The word is frequently met with in the Bhinmal unscriptions. Satha of cenree menns "belonging to," nad, theagh foreiga to classical Sanakrit litorature, is foand in later inseriptions and in Jaion litematare Padaharaha, I think, is the Sanskrtised form of the Märwari word baderō, meaning "bn old man" The word sūlapäla, wheh occurs only in No II, is given in Momer-Willinms' Dictionary to mean "the keeper of a brothel on frequenter of brothels," bnt the sense intended here seems to bo that of "associates of conrtezans, who aecompiny them on mosical inatraments while singing or daneing" Pramadikula mans obvously a host of courtezans, aud is need in thas sense also in the Bhnmàl inscriptions

The centents of both the inscreptiens are ; mostidentical They are dated on Wednesdey, the second of tho bright half of Vasisakha i' the [Vikrama-] year 1147 and refer themeelves to the reıgn of Jojaladēva, who, in No I, is styled MLāärāja and, in No II, Mahärājädhrraja Thes lay down the order of the lang with regard to the management of festivals in cennection with all the gods, such as Lakshmanasvamin and others. The oider 19 that when the festaval of any particalar god commenees, the courtezans attached to the temples of the other gods mast alse pnt on their ornaments and best garments and attend mith thoir sülapãlas to celebrate it by mstrumental music, dancing, singug, and so forth Jojaladova goes evon to the extent of conjaring his descondants and other princes to keep the feativals of all the gods geing in the manner, and warns them by adding that be, who, at the time of a festival, attempts to abolish this practice, be he an aseetic, an old person, or a learned man, should be prevented from doing so by the reigning raler The macmption onde with a curse on these prances who will not mantan this practice

In the temple of Jägésvara at Sūdadi, where No I was engraved on a pillar, other inscriptions also are fonnd, bat meised on another pillar of exactly the same style Fiom them it is clear that the temple of Lakshmanasramm was at Nadūla, $\boldsymbol{e}$ e Nādōl. Agann, in order that the festaval of one god may be celebrated by courtazans attached to other temples, all the temples mnst be in one and the same town, re in Nādol The name of the god Lakshmanasvàmin suggests that he was se called after Lakshmana, the founder of the Mārwarr branch of the Chohāns

## I

## TEXTs

## 1 ओं सवत् श१8৩ वैशाखभुदि $₹$ वुधवासरे महा-

2 राजम्यीजोजलदेवेन श्रीन्त द्म एक्वामिप्रभ्चति-
3 समस्तदेवानां यान्नकालव्यवह्हारो लेखित: 1
4 यन्न दिने यन्न देवे यान्ना भवनि तन्रापरसमस्तेै

[^22]5 वानां सत्कपमदकुलै: म商: साकल्लै: सुवर्सेविध्वाव-
6 हिंद्यागल्य वायनृत्वगान्नार्दिविध[न]ा याता कत्तीव्याग्रे
7 च मर्षंकालमस्म इञजैर्येवी भाविमृमिपालैरिल्यं
8 [सर्व्व] देवेपु याना कारचित्या यानाकाले तपसी वड-
9 - - विद्यावान्वाइपरोपि यो भगोपायं कुरुत तस्य

11 न कारयिप्थति तस्य गर्देभोड्तरे ॥-
II.

TEXT 4
1 गर्याँ संवत् २१8७ वेगाग्बयदि २ नुधवास- ${ }^{\circ}$
2 ₹ भहाराजाधिराजग्रीजोजलढेव: सम-
3 स्तदेवानां ग्रोबन्मगस्तामिपभृतीनां यानाल्य-
4 वहार लेखर्यति यथा ॥ यन्न घन्मे यन [दे]
5 याना भवति तन समस्लदेवानां सल्कपमटाक़-
6 लैराकल्पस्युत्ते. सुवस्वैविद्यावद्विय सशूल-
7 पाबैरागल्य निल्यं वायनृत्ल[गीत]द्रिविधिना याना
8 कररीया । ग्रये च अर्वेकालमम्महगजैरन्चैवी भा-
9 विभूमिपालैरि[व्यं] सर्बंदेवेपु यानां कार्रयित्या ।
10 यानाकाले तपस्वी वडहरकी वियावान्वागपरो-
11 fि यो भंगोपायं कुरते तस्य राजा निग्रहं कर-
12 गीय: । यस राजाननेन कमेए सबँदेवेपुपु याना
13 न कारयिप्यति तस गद्दीमोड्नरे ॥०॥

> III -SEVĀDİ STONE INSCRIPTION OF AŚVARĀJA, [VIKRAMA-]SAMVAT 1167.

This inscripion 18 incised on the lintel of the door of a subsidary shrine in the front cormdor of the temple of Mahā̄ira bi Sēvādi, a village about 5 miles to the sonth-east of Bäli, the principal town of the district of the same name, Godvad Division It contans 3 lines, and the writing covers a space of $3^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $2 \frac{a y}{4}$ bigh The inscription 18 , on the whole,

[^23]well preserved The characters are Nägari. The sign for $d$ as worthy of note (eg. in Paslrädá, Chbēehbadıyá, and so forth), and occars in the Nádel copper-plate inscription of Kirtipäla Some of the letters are partially engrared. To take nn instance, tho left apper stroke of ma in Padrädä-grämé in 12 is missing, and the letter looks liko na The langaage is Sanskrit, and the whole record is in prose cxeept the coneluding benedictory verse In respect of orthography, it is sufficient to note that the sign for $v$ denotes beth $v$ and $b$ As regards lexicography, the following words may be notıced jagati and mahi-sizhanyya in 1 , and gata and härala in 12 Jagati is foand in many inscmptions in the sense of "the grounds" It corresponds, in my opinon, to tho Hindi word jagah or jagya and the Marāthi word jäga" Sāhanıya most probably corresponds to the dësi word sāhani, meaning "master of stables" The same mord oceurs as a title of two Paramära Rājputs in an inscription incised on a jamb of the hall door of the Nilaknatha-Mahãdèva tomple at Nana Java and hĩraka are also fonnd in another inscription in the same temple at Sövidl. Thoy ocenr as häraka and gava in No XV below Bothare ased in connection with an arahafa (araghatfa), ie a well with a wheel attached thereto for draming water From thes it appears that java or java mast be tahen in the sense of yava, barleg-corn Härala seems to be the same as the Marāthī word härā, a large basket of a particular form and of loose texture, often usedin measuring corn This receires confirmation from No XV

The inseription is dated on the first of the bright half of Cbaitra of the [Vakrama] year 1107, when Aśvaräja was the Mahäräjädhrãja nnd Katukarāja the yuvarāja or hen -apparent It then records a grant by Uppalaràka, son of Uttimaraja and grandson of Pūent, the Grcat Mastor of Stables (manä-sähanıya), together with his family, the names of some of whoso members are specified The gift was made for the daly worship of sri-Dharmanathadēva in the temple of Samipāti, and what wae granted was barleg-corn equal te one hāraka fromevcryone of the mells (arahata) belonging to the villages of Padrāā, Medramcha', Cbhēchhaday $\mathfrak{a}$ and Maddadi

Of the localities mentioned, Samipăti is donbtless Sēvädi, which is also pronounced as Semvãdi And Dharmanāthadēva mast be unquestionably the divinity installed in the cell, above the doer of which the mecription 18 engraved Chhēchhadıyãagan most be Chhēchhli, abont 4 males to the north of Sēvàdi The rest are amdentriablo

## TEXT:

##  कटुकराजयुवरा[न्च्च 1] समीपाटीयचेल्ये जगतौ ${ }^{5}$ ग्रो[ध]म्मनाथद्रेवसां नित्यपूनार्थ (I) महासाहरियपूंग्रविपोने[ण] "ऊत्तिमराजपुन्नेया उप्पलराकेन (1) मागट अ $\left(11^{8}\right)$

[^24]
## 2 वि० सलखणनोगरादि कुटुवसमं1 (1) पद्राडाग्रा[मे] (I) तथा मे[दं]चाग्रामे (I) तथा छेकडियामद्द्डीग्रामे (il) [ञ्र] ₹ह्हट अ्नरहटं प्रति [द]त्त. जवहारक: (॥) एक: ? ॥ य: कोपि लोप[ [य]च्यति (1) स "गोस्दीवा [ह्म]गपिनाघपापेनात्मा[न] ${ }^{3}$

## 3 एतत् ये (1) प्रतिपालयि[घ]ति (1) तेस्मदीयधर्म्म[भ]ग्या: सढा भविष्घंति ॥ द्रति मत्वा पतिपालनीयं ॥ यस्ब यस्य यदा भूमिस्तस्य तस्स तदा फलं। 'वहुभिवस्सुधा [भु]ता राजभि: सगरादिभि: ॥ ₹ \| छ॥

IV -SEVĀDI STONE INSCRIPTION OF KATUKARĀJA, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 1172

The inscription is incised on the lintel of the door of another cell in the front corridor of the temple of Mahā̄īra at Sēvādi It is highly weathcr-worn and cannot consequently be easily deciphered It was agam bedaubed with iedlead, when I was there, I do not know for what parpose An estampage taken of it with my own hands has. however, enabled me to read almost the whole of it with ccitainty It consists of 8 lines, and covels a space of $2^{\prime} 1 \frac{1{ }^{11}}{}$ broad by $4 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ high The characters are Nāgari The sign for $b$ occnis in the inscription, e $g$ in vibudha-patio in l 1, bal-ädhupah in 14, and so forth The language is Sanskrit, and, excepting the opening $\bar{O}_{m}$ and the date at the end, the whole of the mscription is in versc The verses are numbered and are 15 in all One solecism occars in sriy-ädhārō in 12 In respect of orthography, the only points that call for notice are (1) the occasonal ase of the letter $v$ both for $v$ and $b$, and (2) the substitation of $n$ for $n$ in conjunct consonants, eg in punya-vismitah, 1 3, vitirnnam, 16 , and so forth As regards lexicography, attention may be drawn to the word hattaha occurring in 17 I came across the same word in an inscription in a shrime of the Jana tomple at Paili, the principal town of the district of the same name, Jödhpnr State This word occars also in the Ābü inseriptions, eg it is used in 140 of Inscription No I (above, Vol VIII p 213), where the meaning of 'nuche' appears to be all bnt certan ${ }^{5}$ This sense fits here exactly In liue 3 agan, is the word bhuht, which does not here simply denote a territorial division as elsewhere, but rather a province or a gionp of villages enjoyed as jāgīr Lastly, the curious expression Mräghē Tryamva(ba)ka-samprāptau in 16 also deserves to be noticed in this conncction It litcrally means "in Mägha on the arrival of Tryambaka (Śva)," and I am all but ccrtam that it denotes what is popularly known as the Siva-rātri, the l4th of the dark half of Māgha

The anscription opens with an mvocation of S̄āntunātha, the sixteenth Tirthamkara. Verse 2 gives the name of Anahlla, and his son named Jimda is mentioncd in the verse following as proficient in polity and as belonging to the Chāhamāna dynasty His son was Aśvarāja, and the son of the latter was Katukarāja (vv 4-5) In verse 6 we are told that in his bhuhtr, $\imath e$ the province enjoyed by him, was the town named Siamipātí (Sēvädi), and at thas place there was a temp̀le of Viranātha (Mahāvira), bearnng comparison with paradıse From verse 7 onwards we are introduced to a duffcrent line of descent In this verse we are informed that there was one Yaśōdēva, leader of the army (bal-ädhrpa), of pure soul, and foremost in the

[^25]assambles of kings and mahãanas (banias) The next verse says that he, of equable mind, was never flagging in eonferring farours on tho relatives, fironds, and virtuons people of the Shandēraks gachecha His son was Bähada, who wns well known amongst the leained like Visfakarmis (verse 9), and the son of Bihada wns Thallaka, who was devoted to tho Jaina religion and was an object of tho kng's favonr (verse 10) To Thallaka an annual bencfaction of eight drammas was made by Katakaraja on tho Sira-ritri day in the month of Mägha (ov 11-12) Verse 13 expresses a wish for the eontimunce of the gaft so long as the sun and the moon last, made for the rorship of Sinntmātha in tho hatitala of Yasodèva In tho next verse we are told that the image of Sanntinatho in the templo of Jina in Śamipati was eaused to be made by his grandfather The last 18 an impreeatory verso, throntening with punshment those who would resame the grant The mseription ends $n i t h$ the more dnte Samvat I172, corresponding to $A D 1115$

It will be eeen from the above neconnt that the grantor was Katukaraja, son of Astarāja But he docs not appear to have been a rulor at the time For in nono of tho vorses is he spoken of as king, and m verso 6 we are informed, as we have seen, that Samipita (Sevadi) was in hig bhul ti Hero neither tho word rājya which would have snited the metie, nor any other term synonymous with it is nsed This shows that evon an $A D$ 1115, the date of the anseription, be ras a yurarāja enjoying some villages as jägir

Shamdérnha-sad-gachehha is no donbt the samo as the Samdëraka gachchha of the Nüdol plates of Ãlhnoadēra dated V E 1218, and the Sandēra or Shandēraka garhehha of the Mount Abdinceriptions Saudera or Shandêraka is to be tdentified with the present Sändërāv, 10 miles north-mest of Bali, the punerpal town of the district of the same name, Godvad Division Tho name oecnrs also in an meeription in the cemplo of Mahātira at that place (below No XVI) It 18 one of the many unstanees in wheh the Jann gachehhas are called after the names of places in Mãrmàr

TEXT ${ }^{1}$

1 ओं $0^{2}$ " खजन्मनि जनताया जाता परतोषकारिएी याति 1 विन्नुधपतिविनुतचर्य स भातिनामा जिनो जयति ॥१ असीटुग्रप्रतापादः ग्रोमदर्णहिलभूपति. ।
2 घेन म्रचडदोद्द्डपराक्रम[नि]ता मही $\mathbb{R}$ तव्पुच: ${ }^{3}$ चाहमानानामन्वये नीतिसद्न $\left(\square^{\prime}\right)$ । जिदराजाभिधो राजा सत्यसी(ओो)यंसमाम्यय: ॥₹ तत्त[नृ]जस्ततो जात: प्रतापाक्रातमूतत: [1*] ग्र[ग्व]राज स्रियाधारो ${ }^{4}$ [भू]-
3 [प]तिर्भूभृता वर: 118 तत: कटुकरानेति त[व्ध]नोो धर्गीतले। जने स त्याग-
 नाम[कं] 1 तन्रास्ति वोरनाथस्य चेत्य खर्ग्गसमोपम ॥६
4 हतथासीत् वि[円] दात्मा यभोदेवो बलाधिप: । गाज्ता मद्वाजनस्यापि सभायाम-
 येन न ग्रात "समचेतसा ॥ए

[^26]
## 5 तत्पुतो वाहडो, जातो नराधिपजन[प्रिय]: 1 विश्वकर्मेव सर्बंन प्रसिद्धो विदुषां मतः lle तर्पु₹: [म]धितो लोक जैनधर्मपपरायए: 1 उत्पन्न: [घ] नको ${ }^{1}$ रत्व: प्रमादगुसमदिरं ॥ <br> 6 १० दयादाचिन्चगाभीयनुुदिचिद्रानसंयुत: 1 ग्रीमव्क[टु]कराजेन तस्य दानं [क्षतं] ग्यमं ॥११ माघे च्यवकसम्पास्तो वितीन्न्न ${ }^{5}$ प्रतिवर्षंक । द्रन्माष्टक पमाशेन घन्लका- <br> 7 य प्रमीदत: $1 १$ शूना[र्थ्यं] यातिनाघस्य यशीदेवस्य ख[त्त]के 1 प्रवर्वयतु चंद्रार्क यावद्दानानमु[ज्व]ल ${ }^{5}$ ॥थ₹ पितामहे[न] तस्येदं शमोपाव्यां जिनालये। कारित भातिना-

8 घस्य बिंबं जनमनोहर ॥१४ धर्मेया लिम्यते राजा पृृी भुनत्ति यो यदा 1 व्रह्महत्यासहम्र्रेए" पातकेन विलोपय[न्] ॥१थू सवत् ११७२

## $\nabla$-BĀLĪ STONE INSCRIPTION OF ĀŚVĀKA, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 1200

This unscription was found at Bāli It is engraved on one of the lintels resting on the pillars of the sabhä-mandapa of the temple of Bōlă alzas Bahoguns-mãta It contuns 6 hnes of writing, which cover a space of $7^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $2^{\prime} 2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ high Excepting the greater portion of line 1 and a few letters in line 5 , it is well preserved The characters are Nägari The language is Sanskrit, and the whole of the record is in prose, excepting one verse in 114.5 nI respect of orthography, the only pount that deserves to be moted is the donblugg of a consonant in conjunction with a preceding $r$ As regards lexicography, attention may be drawn to the word grāsa in 12 , which corresponds to the modern girās, sugafyng the landed possessions of a member of one of the raling tribes $\operatorname{In}$ lines 3 and 4 occur some abbreviations such as $b h 0^{\circ}, p u^{\circ}$, $v a^{\circ}$, and so forth I do not know what therr full forms are

The inscription opens with the date, of which all the detalls are lost, except the [Vikrama-] year 1200 It refers itself to the notorious and blessed reign of the Mahäräjă. dhuräja Srī-Jayasinadēva, of course, of the 'Chaulukya dynasty and speaks of the Mahärāja Śri-Āívāka as "subsisting on his lotas-like feet," $2 e$ as his feadatory At that tume the village of Vālahi was bemg enjoyed as girãs by the queen Sri-Trhunaka It then records a grant of four drammas by Bopanava-sthabhana, son of Palhà, m connectien with the festival of the goddess Bahughripa Further details of the giant are given but are zoot quite clear, but it appears to have been laid down that at the thme of the horse-farr (lit selling, of horses) one dramma was to be given to the goddess, two drammas to the samgha-pats Galapalyādyă, son of Chōhad, and ressdent at the rillage of Thāmbhila, one dramma to Välhana and Gärevāta, sons of Möhana, one dramma each to the machnne-wells, sach as

[^27]those named S tha, Bhariyã, Bohadà, Mahryã, and so forth, one dramma to the blumdüri Būti, and so on The record was written by one Kulachamdra

The fendatory cheftan Āsrika referred to heren as donbtless identien with the Asvarãje of the two premous anscriptions Valahin must be the modern Bali, and the godiess B hughim the same as Bahaguna or Bo'a-mäta, in whose temple thu anscription as enga, ared

## TEXT.


वर्लोविराजितमहृताजाधिराजग्रोज[य]-
 काले पवर्त्रमाने "खच्चोगतिहुएक्रासाभुज्यमानवा[ल]होग्रा[म]स्य सत्कग्रोवह्र[ $\vec{i}-1$
3 ए[द]((%E0%A5%87)\) वी[याना]निवि(मि)त्त भो० पाल्हानुत भो० वोपएवस्खभनेन वालही प्रत्तिं पदत्त द्रां 8 तथा घोडाविक्रए द्रा ः [त्त]या च यांभल[ग्र][मवा्त्वब्यसघपति दोहडिपुनगल्लपल्यादिया[घ]
 बोहडार्मियापर्थति अरहद्रीन्ति मदत्त दा \& भा० बूटा प्रत्ति द्रा \& व० उद्कपीह्या० आ० ते० पलो ₹ प्रद्त्त ॥ यस्य घस्स
5 [य*]दा भूरि तस्य तस्य तदा फलं । यस्तु एत लोपयति’ तस्य ब्रह्महल्यादयं $11 \rho^{\circ}$ च्रीकुलचडेग लिखित ॥ सद्रयणा वा० रा० कातियस . . . . लाही प्रति द्रा \& धरुपा-
6 दप्र० उ ॥ भौसे 8 घाटधरएप्रति द्रां श।

## VI -SEVADDI STONE INSORIPTION OF KATUDEYA, [SIMHA-]SAMVAT 31

This mecripuon also was found nt Sēvädi, near a house in front of the Jana temple of Mahāiona It is what is called there a surablu stono, 2 e a stone whel is surmountcd mith

[^28]the sculpture of a cow and her calf and with the sun and the moon on each side The inseription is mutilated in several places, and the letters have become very mach worn ont. The inscription 18 of no importance except for what is contaned in the first threo lines, about the readng of which theie remains no doubt. Thoy give the date Sam 31 Bhāda[va]da [su]di 11, and state that Katudēva was at that time Mrahārājādhrräja and reagning at Nadūla (Nãdel) and that Samipāti was being enjoyed by (his con) Jayatasiha, the Yuraräja, or herr-apparent This Katudēpa is the same as the Katakaraja of Nos III and IV, above, and, as ne know that he was the Yuearaja from VE 1167 to 1172 , the only era, to wheh we can refer the year 31, the date of this inscription, is that started by the Chaulukya sovereign SldaharajaJayasimha, commonly known as Simha-Samvat. It then becomes equivalent to VE 1200 or AD 1143. That the province of Godvàd was included in the dominions of SiddharajaJayasimha is proved by the proceding inscription. It 1s, therefore, not at all improbablo that the year 31 of our record bolongs to the Sumha era


## VII -NĀDLĀI STONE INSCRIPTION OF RĀYAPĀLA, [VIKRAMA.] SAMVAT 1189

This msoription was fonnd in a Jaina temple at Nādiài, 8 moles to the north-west of Dēsüri, the principal town of the district of the same name, Godvãd $D_{1 v i B i o n ~ T h e ~ t e m p l e ~ i s ~}^{\text {a }}$ now dedrcated to Ādinätha, bnt there can be no doubt, as will be seen from other macriptons, that it wes origimally dedrcated to Mahūpira The mseription in question 18 engraved on a lintel standing on two pillars in the sabhā-mandapa The hnes of the inscription ran parallel to one another but not to the edges of the lintel, and the tops of some of the concluding leiters in the first inne have been cat off, necessarily being ontside the upper edge of the lintel This points to the conclusion that the sabhä-mandapa wbs rebuilt some tume after the date of

[^29]the inscription, and that the lintel on which it is incised is no longer preserved in its ongual form.

The record contains 6 lines of writugg which cever a apace of $1^{\prime} 5 \frac{1}{2}$ broad by $4 \frac{17}{2}$ high. Tho surface of the stono does net appear to have been dressed befere engraving the inscription, and the letters also do not seem to hare been carefully incised The charactera are Nagari Of these $y$ is written as if it were $p$, as is often seen in Sanskrit manuscripts Next, the form of the lotter din Nadüladagika, 1 2, 28 worthy of note and 15 exactly like that noted in No III Tho language is Sanskrit, and the whele of the inscription is in prose, excepting a verse at the ond, which, homever, offends agamst the metre In respect of orthography, it desorves to bs noticed first that there is bnt one $b$ in the inscripton and it is deneted by the aign for 0 , in vralama $h m a)^{\circ}, 15$, and secondly, that the final consonant 18 represented by the addition of the suffix 46 as, eg yad by yadu in 15 The asme orthographic pecalanty I have noticed in the later copper-plate inscriptions of the Guhilet princes, which are found in Godvad As regards lexacography, the werde pala and palkea emplojed in 13 denbtless denote some kind of liquad measure. Details of it bave been set forth in Beruni, Indica, Vol I p 164 Attention may also be drawn to the abbreriated forms hercin emplojed, bhamí l 3, and $r \bar{a}^{\circ}$ and $t i^{\circ}, 14$ Bham of coaree, stands for bhaindäri, the namo of a well-known subdivision of the Ospãle, and ráa for rāuta, Thuch is suppesed to be a corruption of rajaputra, and is the same as the modern rävat, one of the designations borne by Rājpat jägirdārs I do net know the full ferm of $v^{\circ}$ o In 13 is nsed the word ghänala, which corresponds to ghäni and signaies an orl-mull it is frequently met with in inscriptions

The inseription is dated the 5th of the binght half of Mägha in the [Vikramb] year 1188, and speaks of a grant made by Rudrapäls and Amntapaia, sens of the Mrahīräjüdhraja Easapãla of the Chāhamāne dynasty, in conjunction mith their queen-mother Manaladēvi. The gift was of twe palinas out of those due to the royal family from cach oll-machne ( $g h a ̈ n a h a$ ) and was made for the (Jana) saints in and outbide Nadūladagaka (Nädär) The winesses to this religious benofact.on were the villagers (grāninaka) titumata, a rätia, Siriya a $v i^{\circ}$, Pöbari a banta, and Iaksbmana, headed, by Nagasiva, a bhandäri They apparently formed the pamecha of the village.

## TEXT ${ }^{1}$

##  घिरान[गयपा]ल (1)-

## 2 देष" तस्य पुन्रो' कठपाल अ्रमृतपा[लो] (i) ता्यां माता स्रीराजीमा[न]नदेवो तया [नदू $]$ ल[डा]गिका-

3 यां (II) सतां परनतीनां [गा]नकुलपल[स]ध्यात् पलिकादय(।) वाया[कं] एति षर्म्माय पदत्त्त । मं० नागसि-
4. वप्रमुख्वसमस्तग्रामीएक (1) शा० च्तिमटा वि० सिरिया व\{णक पोकी₹ (!) लध्मया एते सा ।
${ }^{2}$ From the origunal atone
${ }^{2}$ Expressed by a вymbol
${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ देख

- Eead पुर्ञा.
(Read Hदक्त
- Read वषिक.


## 5 सिं ${ }^{1}$ क्रत्वा दत्त [1] लोपकस्य यदु पापं गोहत्यासहस्सेग्र । ${ }^{3}$ वहमहत्यासतेन च (1) तन

6 पापिन लिघ्यते स: ${ }^{4}$ ० ० ग्री ॥

## VIII -NĀDLĀ̄̄ STONE INSCRIPTION OF RĀYAPĀLA, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 1195

This mscription also was found at Nīalā̄, but an the temple of Nēminātha, locally known as Jāavāji, situated on a small hill to the south-east of the village It is ongraved on a pillai, and 1s, on tho whole, well pieserved It consists of 26 lines of writing, and covers a spaes of $9 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $1^{\prime} 1 l_{4}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ high The charactcrs are Nāgari The language is Sanskrit As jegards orthography, the only point that calls for notree is the use of matu for mat(a) in matudattam, 122 Ố unknown or rare words blı̄htārı, 1 9, sēha, 1 11, and $\bar{a} b l a \bar{a} \imath y a, 1$ 12, may be notieed For the first I can suggest no meaning Sēha 18 perhaps the same as the Sinshrit shyja meaning " a kind of loop or swing mado of iope and suspended from erther cnd of a pole or yohe to reecive a load (also applicd to the load so earried)" The word älhā yu liny, 1 mm opmon, the sense of "income, piolleds," and occurs in no less than threc different compounds in a Mingiol msciption of $\mathrm{VE} 1202^{5}$ It is also employed as a component of another compound in Bhanmanl insciptions Nos XII and XV' Perhaps another word may also bo noticed, viz rinuta, which oecurs in 118 and 21 . It is evidently a coriaption of Rā̃aputio and as the same as Rajput, but is bere nsed to denote apparently a jägrıdīr

The anscription opens with obersanco to the Omniscient, who as hero Nēminatha. It then gives the datc, azz Tuesday, the l5th of the dark half of Ásina in the [Vikrama]
 (Nädlaī) It then states that for lamp, incense, offering, flowers, worship and so forth of SriNēminitha, the thahlura Rājadēa, son of the rāuta Ūdharana of the Gūhila family, granted tor his spiritual ment onc-trenticth part of the neome (äbhävya) derived from the loads on bulloeks going on therr way or eom.og to Nādlai Then a request 15 made to fature rulers for the preservation of the grant, and Pamsula 15 given as the name of the individual who wrote the reeord Then comes the sign-manal of Rājadēva, who is here called a rāuta, which is followed by the name of the Tritness Gūg1, son of the astrologer Dūdūpa. The last three lines are not intelligible to me

TEXT 7


[^30]
## 5 यी $^{1}$ राज्यं कुर्वरतील्येत्तिन् कालि ग्री-

6 मटुन्निततोर्घ," ग्री[ने]मिनाघदेव-
7 स्य दोपधूपनेने[य]पप्पपूनाद्यंत्वं गू-
$S$ हिलान्वय. ${ }^{3}(1)$ राउ० ऊधरणसत्रु.
9 ना भोन्तारि( $)$ ठ० राजदेवेन स्वपु-
10 खार्ये सीवादानमध्यात्' भार्गो [ग]-
11 छहतानामागताना वृपभाना चेके[ु] ${ }^{6}$
12 यदाभाव्यं भवति तन्मध्यात् विं[श]-
13 तिमो ${ }^{7}$ भाग: चंद्रार्की यावत् देवस्य
1土 प्रदत्त: \| अस्मदंगोरेनान्चेन वा
15 केनापि परिपघना न करणीया ॥
16 अभ्मदत्त्रं न केनापि लोप[नी]यं ॥
17 सहसे परह्तम्जे वा य कोपि लोप-
18 विप्वति ${ }^{19}$ । तस्यानं करें लग्नो
19 न लो[म्ं] मम गासनभिद्र ${ }^{11}\left[1^{+}\right]$लि०
20 [पा]fिलेन ॥० खहस्तोयं साभि-
21 ज्ञानपूर्लंक राउ० रा[ज] देवे-
22 न मतुदत्ता ${ }^{12}$ " सनाह्र ${ }^{13}$ साच्चु[सा]


25 वा \& साग्गु[ल] ॥ टेपसा । शा
26 गसा ॥| मगल मह्चा[ग्री.] ||

> IX -NADDOL STONE INSCRIPTION OT REYAPALA, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 1198

This inscription was discovered at Nādōl, abont 10 mules north-rest of Dēsūri, and is incised on a pillar in the temple of Sōmësvara A transcript of the first 3 lines has been given by the late Professor Kielhorn, above Tol IX p, 159 The record contans 39 lunes of writing, which cover a space of $9^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $2^{\prime} 3^{\prime}$ high The letwers are so weather-worn that even a satisfactory estampage is not possible, but with a litlle cato and patuaco the whole of to

| Read विजधि |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| * The samdhi has here been disrega-ded Such omisions will not be further noticed |  |
| 5 Rcad गच्छूतानागताना. | - The letter पु as eograved between hues 10 mal 11 |
| 7 Hcad विश्रतितमi ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{11}$ The word इद is superfiuous and not needed for the metre |
| ${ }^{13}$ Read मया दत्त |  |




















 reaponsiblits" The second esachly corresponds to the Hindi phrmen eren now in yen, in
 all blane

The infeription opens with the dnte, 12 Sundas, tho bth of the dark haif of Srarans in





 Bhundes idi Mcaded by all these Brihmapas, tro from each of the exght wards of Dhalops bul whin Deviachas as the mednator, the whole peoplo of tho tomn tendered a docmmont mitten (: 2 genul) wht these own hauds it contans a solemn promise on ther part to find out, in

 Vant naraha and others on theor way If it was, howorer, lest at their own place, ie at nay nartualar ward m Dhatopa, tho responsiblo manidunls thoreof already named mere to find at out in penson Mancy, weapens, watchmon, and se forth were anplicd by the Maharaja Su-kiy diuls to them for trang thngs lest, and se thore was no need of nasignng the duthes of : Stichmari to any one amongst then A dechantion wns alino mado to tho effect that, if any Jrabmima amongst thom, whon leing nsked by chefb (ranaha), to find out eome lont proprily, efused te do se, asked for meaus of subsistence or fied away, or, if apprenended, had recomso to luigarrata ot self-mmolation, he would die hike a oar, denkoy, or chandala, and the chefs (ränala), such as Rajyapila and others, would in no wase be open to blame. Then 18 given a hist of the names of the mdiviluals whe bore witness to the decament. They are as follow (1) Entuba and the Brahmana Sajaun come from, $2 e$, reprecenting the Chatiaraha Ila (restdugg) in tho avasara (ësri) of the temple belenging to Sri-Jayasımbadera, (2) the Uha!!ãraha Taranasiu of (the templo of) Anahilēs̃ara, (3) tho bhatfarala Mahēsrarasiū of (the temp'o of) Jēndrarüjësvara, (4) tho bhaftäraka Jüanasü̆ of (the templo of) Anupam-

Vēsvars, (5) the bhattäraha Is̄ānū attached (pratıbaddha) to the bhattāraha Bhopa of (thr temple of) Pnthvipālēsvara, (6) the bhattãraha Mubtıdēu of (the tenple of) Jöjalēsvara (7) the bhattâraka Vināyaka and Sämtisuü of (the temple of) Trıpurusha, (8) the bhatíaraha Mūladēu of (the temple of) Āsalēsvara, (9) the bhattāraka Tatpurusha of (the tomple of) Padmalēśvara, (10) the bhaftāraka Këdara of (the temple of) [Trıpälā]kēśvara, (11) the bhattāraha Brahmarā̄ı of (the temple of) Āsapālēśvara, (12) the abōtī Sāgāhārı belongıng to Dvāravati and of (the temple of) Prithvipālēsvara, (13) the abōtī Jagadharu belonging to
 and of (the temple of) Tripurusha, (15) the whole class of bankers (mahajanu), such as Dēvadhara and others, belongıng to Anahillapura, and (16) the whole elass of bankeis such as Seṭh Jasadhavala Katakavãla, and so forth Then in ll 37-39 we ane mformed that the reeord was wntten, $\imath e$ drawn $u p$, I think, by the thakura Pēthada, son of Vādıga, a Gauda Käyastha, with the consent of the people of Dhalōpa. At the end is a line, stating that the record is approved by all the people of Dhanlopa

Of the places mentioned, Dhālopa as obvously the village of that name, about 4 miles to the south-sonth-west of Nādol Anabullapura 18, of course, Anhulvādā near the modern Pātné, in the Kadi Division, Baroda State Dqäravati is doubtless Dvāriā on the western coast of Kathiāyād. Of the caste names mentroned, bhata 18 the same as bhàt or bards Bhatfa-putra is most likely intended for Bärhot, the higher class of professional panergyists Dauvärha is probably not a caste-name, and angnufies here what are known as dū̃ddürs in Rājputānā Vanıjoăraka is Vanjäris, whose hereditary calling is that of eaırying gaans on pack-bullochs The name oceurs under the form of vanajaraha in No $X I$ below and vanıyyäraha in a copper-plate grant of Tribhavanapāladēva ${ }^{1}$ Abotīs are an inferior class of Brahmanas, who are generally temple servants, and are still chiefly found in Drairka $O \hat{i}$ the names of the bhaftārahas of temples, many end in sıu (Śiva), two in dēu (dēva), and only one in $\uparrow \vec{a} s 2$ I bavo elsewhere sard that of the four well-known sects of the followers of $\tilde{E}_{1 v a}$ those whose naeres
 to what sect the asceties who bore the honorific suffix dëlu (dèza) belongod r mit clear Again the gods, to whose temples the bhattarakas were attaehed, were, it will bo secy nemed after the kngs The god Jayasmhadēva was doubtless ealled after the Chsulukya sovorcign Jayasimba, Anahulésivara after Anahılla, great-grandson of Iakshmana, the fonndos of tho Onahamana famıly of Märwār, Jēndrarājȩ̄vara after Jēndrarāja or Jındurāja, son of Anahula, Prıthriipālēspara and Jojalésyara, after the first and second sons of Jēndraräja, and Āenlésrara, who in the same as Āsapālēsvara of l 32, after Aśvapāla, elder brother of Auahnlh Anupamrésvara, Padmaléśvara and [Trpālá]késvara must smularly have beon named, but prunces of those names have not yet been traced in inseriptions

TEXT ${ }^{2}$

## 1 \#ं संवत् $१ १ 25$ ग्रावरावदि $\zeta$ रवादयेह्ह स-

2 हारा[जाधि]राजग्र्रीरायपालदेव: कल्याराबिजय-
3 गज्ये एवं काले प्रवत्तमाने गीषालोप-
4 स्थाने 'मेरीवाडा विप्र० वीरिगु प्रभाकार 1 ली[पा]-
5 वाडा आसदेउ मह्हडू 1 हुंड्यानाश्र देड वार्हडि 1 जां

[^31]6 गूर्वाडउ मुन्हकरु टिवाकरु 1 पोपलवाडा देवाद [ज]
7 धारउ 1 म्रांविलवाडा नारायख सहाद्रच 1 खद्वखलन
8 वाडा ग्रासियु श्रासपालु 1 भुंडवाडा देवगु भंविक्यु $\mid$ एवं
9 पाडि 5 सल्बविपजन $१ \bar{\xi}$ ग्रदो कत्वा मसस्तलो-
10 को मध्यकदेवाइचसहित: खह्ताच्चरपन्न प्र-
11 यच्चति यथा \| मार्ग गछसानमाट[भह]पपन्नो- ${ }^{1}$
12 वर्रिकार्पंटिकर्वगिज्जारकादिससस्तलोक-
13 स्य च सलकं गतमपहत च देशाचारेश चौकडि-
14 कापवानेशास्माभि: निर्गमनीयंय । तथा स्खाने


17 दिक मुतां नास्माक मध्ये रच्ताकारो मोत्ताब: ॥ एतद-
18 ख्माभि. स्वयमपि लोहमयमगोक्रत निर्गमनीय च ॥
19 ग्रन्ना $^{5}$ विधिना साएकाना निर्गमापयताना 'अ्यभ्माक मध्वा-
20 त् य: $:^{7}$ कोपि वाहम्मगे ${ }^{8}$ [न] निर्गमतं पेट पृष्टि वा दर्शैच-
21 ति गट्र्यमाएसतु कायव्रत छत्वा मृयत्त ${ }^{10}$ च स च धर्वोंपि
22 सानगह्दृभचाडालो ${ }^{11}$ भूत्वा मृयते ${ }^{13}$ । राजान्रोराथपालादी. ${ }^{13}$
23 ना रालकाना वालेपि ${ }^{11}$ ग्रधिर्नांक्ति न घ दू[प]ए किमप्य-
21. स्तोति । अन्न सात्ति० ग्रीजयसिंहदेवोयदेवग्टहावसरो-

25 यमहारकर्द्रलासत्कसमायत्तकटुक्तिप्र०साजा్ु 1 त-


28 ज्ञानसिउ $1{ }^{18}$ पृथ्वीपाल[स]रीयमहारकमोपाप्रतिवडभदार [क] ${ }^{18}$
29 ₹याइू । नोजलेग्रीयमद्धरकमुकिदेड । निपुरुपीय-

31 ग्रीपद्मलेग्वरीयमधारकतत्पुरुपु 1 [निप]]लाकेश्वरीय[स]हार-


|  | $3^{\text {Read }}{ }^{\circ}$ क्माभिनिर्ञाम ${ }^{\circ}$ | 3 Rend पर्तनिर्गंमयता |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Read ${ }^{0} \mathrm{Tv}^{\circ}$ | : Read पनेन | - Leal fनर्गंमघतामष्माक. |
|  |  | - Resd निर्गमयfत |
| 10 Read frua | " Read गTन ${ }^{\circ}$ | 12 Read fियते |
|  | ${ }^{16}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ कालेडfu | ${ }^{3}$ Head ${ }^{\circ}$ ग्रोtय ${ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | 17 Head ${ }^{\circ}$ ग्यरोय ${ }^{\circ}$. | ${ }^{35}$ Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ र्रो ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| ${ }^{19}$ Read "प्रतिनद" | ${ }^{20}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ अ墾 ${ }^{\circ}$. |  |


34 नीनeकग्रवोटीजगधन 1 निपुपरुषीयदारवतीसत्कम्यवो-
35 टोग्रोवक्क्र 11 ग्रोग्रणनिक्हपुरीय- -देवधरादिसमस्तमहा-
36 जन $^{4}$ । तष्शा कटकवालन्ये० जमषवसादिसमस्तमहाजन[स्य](%E0%A4%B8) ।
37 न्रीधान्लोपोयलोकर्य मंमतेन लिखित ग्रोगोडा-
38 न्वकायस्यठक्ररपेचडेन' वा[दि]गसुतेन ऊनर्ाध-
39 कं प्रमागत्मिति । समस्तन्रोधालोपीयलोकस्य मते ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
x-\text { Nidlay stone inscription of rāyapãla, } \\
\text { [TIFRAMA-SAMVAT } 1200
\end{gathered}
$$

 lintel just opposite to thit on which No VII is incied It contrins 5 hnes ${ }^{7}$ of writing which cover a space of $1^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $1 \frac{1}{2}$ high The letters were filled with plaster when I first sarr the inscription The plastel had afterw ards to be scraped off for enabling us to read the inseription The churactus are Nägari The langage as Sanskrit, and the record is in prose excepting the verse at the ead, the last pädu of whel sets the metre at naught As, regards orthography, the only points that call for notice are (1) the use of gatu for yad and (2) the nes of the dental for the palatal siblant In linc 3 ocen the curnous woids vala and pli, of which the first appears to be incorrectly nsed for pala and the second apparcatly an abbre viated form of palihai In line 2 the word paziza 1 ascd , which secms to signify a ceitan hind of weight The same word occurs in the same sense in No XI In the Sunak giant of the
 chatushtaya-bhümz Here also the word has apparently the same meaning Luvalinquiries in Gedvãd have given me the folloming table -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \text { pāıl̄̄̄=1 pāyalı } \\
& 5 \text { pāyalī=1 mãan }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \min _{n}=1 \operatorname{sen} \\
& 2 \operatorname{sé}_{2}=1 \operatorname{man}
\end{aligned}
$$

Another word that may be noted is timsonpala, whech not infreqnently acenra in other inscriptions also It is doubtless a coin, wheb is equivalent in value to $\frac{3}{0}$ th of tho rnpeo that was then enrrent

The inscription opens with the date, 22 Thursday, the 5th of the bright haif of Jeshta
 reigning. It then records that the räuta Rajadēva, who had come on the occasicn of tie rathayatrā, $\tau e$ the car festival, made, for the sake of has mother, in the presence of the bankers (mahajanas), villagersand the people of the province, a religions beuefaction consisting of one unssöpuha conn from the value of the paılās accruing to him and two palahās fiom the palas of oll dne to him from every ghānaka or oll mill

TEXT 8

## 1 भीं 1 सव[त 1] $२ २ 00$ जेष्ट ${ }^{10}$ [सु]दि 4 गुरौ स्रीमनाराजाषिराजग्रीरायपालदेवराज्ये - - हास - -

[^32]
# 2 समए $^{1}$ रथयान्रायो ग्रागतेन (1) गा० रानदेवेन (1) आत्म(1) पाद्लामध्यात्। [सर्वेसाउतपुन${ }^{2}$ व विंसो- <br> 3 पको ${ }^{3}$ दत्त: ॥ भ्यात्मीयवाराकतेलव[ल]मध्यात् । मातानिमित्तंज पल्लिकाद्वयं (1) मी २ दत्त्(त्तं) \| म(॥)- <br> 4 हाजन(1) ग्रमीय ${ }^{0}$ । जनपद्षमच्चाय ${ }^{7}$ । धर्म्माय ${ }^{8}$ निमित्तं विंसोपको ${ }^{0}$ ? पलिकादयं (1) दत्तं ॥ गोह(11)- <br>  तेन पापेन लिम्यते स ${ }^{14}$ [ $[1]^{25}$ <br> > XI-NĀDLĀI STONE INSORIPTION OF RĀYAPÃLADEVA, [VIKRAMA-]SAMVAT 1202 <br> <br> XI-Nádláí stone insoription of râyapãladeva, <br> <br> XI-Nádláí stone insoription of râyapãladeva, [VIRRAMA-]SAMVAT 1202 

 [VIRRAMA-]SAMVAT 1202}

The inseription 18 engraved on the samo lintel as No $X$. It contans 5 lince of rriting, covering a space of $1^{\prime} 8 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ broad by $4 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ high The characters are Nāgari The longuago 18 Sanskrit, and, exeepting the usual imprceatory verso at tho cnd, the whele of tho recerd is in prose As regards orthography, tho only points that call for notice are that a consonant following $r$ as donbled, and that in 15 jatu is used mstead of yat $O f$ raro and unasnal words herem employed and not previously noticod, désí occnrs in l 3, and hrrādaüä and gāda in 14 The last is used in the sense of "cart" and haradquuä is, I am told, the samo as karidava or heranā, employod to denote snbstances, snch as gnm, dry ginger, black popper, cornander, and so forth The mcaning of the word dêsi 18 not quite certan It scems tempting to take it in the sense of a gnild, in which it occurs in the Pêhēva inscription of tho imperial Pratihāra Bhojadêva I (above, Vol. I p 187, 1 8) and the Harsha mnscraption of the Chähamüna Vigraharaja (above, Vol II p 124,1 38) And this meanng anits hore excellontly Tho samo word oconrs in another inscription fonnd in the samo temple as this, and apparently in the same sense Another exprossion that requires to be noted 36 la(lä)ga-mãna, tho meaning of which seems to be "tho measure or proportion (mãna) of cess (linga)"

The inscription opens with the date, $12 z$ Friday, the 5th of the dark half of Āsōja (Āévina) in the [Vikrama] year 1202, when Rāyapāladēva was the Mahārāãadhırāla and the räuta Rājadēva was the thahura of Nadūladāgikā (Nūdlāi) Tho object of the inseription is to record that the Vanajārakas (Vanjărıs) of Abhınavapuri, Badārí and Nādē̄i having assembled together into a guld (dési), Rãjadëra granted, fer the sake of tho prons and the ascetics in the temple of Mahāvira, rnpees two for each twenty pā̀läs loaded on bnlloeks and rupee one for each cart filled with commoditics, coming under the class of hsrānäs.

Badãrı 19 probably Borlh, 8 miles north of Nādlāi Abhmavapurī is unknown to me

[^33]
## TEXT ${ }^{1}$

## 1 ओं ${ }^{3} \|$ संवत् श२०२ ग्रासोजवदि ू युक्रे (1) ग्नीमहागजाधिदाजम्योराय-

 पालदेवरान्ये प्रवर्त्त[माने] 1
## 2 भीनदूलडागिकायां(1) ग० ाजदेवठकुरेश्य प्रव[त्ता]मानेन(1) [स्री]महावीरचेल्ये (1)

 साधुत-
देसी मिलित्वा वृ-
 गाडं प्रति ₹ $?$ वग्न
 हत्वासतेन(1) पापेन(1) लिम्यते स: ${ }^{12} \|$

## XII -KIRĀDŨ STONE INSCRIPIION OF ĀLHANADĒVA, [VIKRAMCA-]SAMVAT 1209

This inscription was found in a Sixiva temple stauding amidst the rains of Kirādū near Häthmã, about 16 miles north north-west of Bädinêr, tho pincipal town of the Mallãai District, Jodhpar Stato A tronscript and translation of it have already been published in the Bhaonagar Pralret and Sanshrit Inscriptions, p 172 ff But this, like almost all the inscriptions in this book, is edited in a slovenly manner and I, theiefore, make no excuie for re-oditing it here.

The inscription consists of 21 lines, covering a space of $1^{\prime} 5 \frac{1}{3}^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $1^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime}$ high. The madde portion of the stone as far as have 17 has peeled off Nothing of amportance, however, seems to have been destrojed, and the parport of the inscription is clear enough The characters are Nägari The language is Sanskrit, and tho whole of the record is in prose As regards orthography, it is sufficient to note (1) that a consonant following $r$ is donbled and (2) that the sign for $v i s$ omployed also for $b$, except once in labdha in 12 In 13 occurs the word amari-rūdhu, which, though known to Jaina sonptures, is foreign to Sanskrit literature. It means "the edict of the non-slanghter (of anmals)."

The record opens with the date, $2 z z$ Saturday, the luth of the dark half of Magha in the [Vikrama] year 1200, when Kuma(mē)rapāla was the paramount sovercign and Mahādēva was doing all the business of the seal, rolding to the drawing op of docnments, ete Lines 4-6 apeak of his feudatory, the Mahīrāןa Śrī- Ālanadēva, who obtaned Kırātakūpa, Lātarhada and Siva-through his ( 2 e. Kumärapāa's) favour Then we are told that Alanadēva, on the
 the highest gift, issued injunetions, for the increase of his spiritual merit and fame, to the mahajanas, tāmbülikas, and other subjects, forbidding the slanghter of living beings on the

[^34]8th, 11th and lith days of both the fortnghts of every month in the three towns named above and threatening with eapital punishment those who kulled or eaused others to kill living beings Tho Brähmasa priests, mimsters and others were also ordered to respeet this ednet of nonslanghter And amongst these, he who commits the sin of taking life, should, it is stated, be fined tive drammas, but of the sinner be one attached to the hang, he should be fined ono dramma only Then eomes the sign-manual of the personage who issued the edret, who is here callod Mfahārājas Śri-Ālbanadēva, followed by the appioval of the great prinees (mahäārájaputra) Kēlhuna and Gajasimha The edıct was wisten by the thahkura Khēlāditya, minster for peace and war Then we are informed, in a postscript, that this gift of safety to anmals was cansed to be proclaimed, whth the permission of thr king, by Pūtiga and S̄āliga, sons of Śubhamkara, of the Pōrvād caste and readents of Nadūlapura (Nādol) The mscription ends with the information that tt was engraved by the sätrathūra Bhãla Pūtıga and Śäliga are no doubt the same individuals that had a similar edict promnlgated through Girijãaēvi, quecn of Pūnapāksbadēva, a feudatory of Rãyapāla and ralng ovel the prosmee of Ratnapura, the southernmost district of Marwär ${ }^{1}$

It is worlihy of note that the edict in question 18 to be made applicable to two distinct classes with varying degrees of rigour The class to wbieh it is to be appled with the greatest
 doubtless mast have then as new, been Janas and consequently supposed to be the greatest iespeeters of anmal life The class, with, eference to which the rigoul is relaxed, 18 that of the minstexs a ad puests Of the first of these we caunot be centan whether they were reciuted fion the Brihmana caste Bat the priests anquestionably ean be no other than Biāhmauas, and whon they are ashed to iecpeet anmal hfe, it is plain that some Bianmanas of Marwar at any 1 atc were then in the habit of enting flesh, whieh 18 now looked apon with abhorience by thim-due no doubt to the infnenee of Jamism whieh has been predominant in Kujputãua for the last ax ecnturies, if not Jonger

First, the edict was to be in force at the three plaees, viz Kiratakñpa, Lätarhada, and Siya the towns whel Admadèva escured through the favoar of Kumarzpāla Kırätaküpa is undoubtedly Frāāu, where the piesent inscription was found. It is mentioned twice in another mseription in the same temple, dated $\nabla \mathrm{E} 1235$, and pertanning to the reign of the Chanlukja sovereıga, Bhimadéra Luatabada must doubtless be the same as Lētahrada, ocenrring in Bhunal Iuscriptions Nos XI and XII, and Rātabrada in the Sūndhā hill msciption of Chachugadèva Professor Kielhorn, when he edited the last inscription, was nnable to identrfy it Bat, an saggested to me by Munshi Devi Prasad of Jodhpar, it must be iduntified with Rãddhadā, wh.ch rias the orignal name of the distret round about Nagar-Gudhā in the
 nasely not proserved, bat I have no doubt that it must have been some name corresponding to the modern Sheo, a lown of antiquity and even now of some importance, and the headquaiters of a dastriet of the same name

TEXT ${ }^{2}$

# 1 घं ${ }^{3} 11$ सब[तृ] $२ २ ० ट$ माघवर्वि 28 शनौ ग्रद्येह ग्रो[म] <br> . . . . . . हाराजाधिराजप ${ }^{4}$ 

2 रमंग्वर्सापनितन्लब्वप्रशा(सा)दम्रौढप्रताप
. . निर्बित[घ] [क्षभरी-
${ }^{1}$ Bhavnagar Prakrit and Sarskent Inscriptions, $p 206$
2 From the organal stune ${ }^{2}$ Expressed by a symbol. $\quad$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ Fहाराना ${ }^{\circ}$.

है सूपांगीमत्कुसरपालढेक कन्युणविजय ${ }^{1}$
. पम्रोमहादेवे [न्ची]-
1 ग्रोकरणादो समस्तमुद्राग्रापारान् परि[पं $]^{3}$
[म] भुम्रसादावा-
5 मम्रीकिराटूूपलाटहैद्धिवा
उराजग्रोग्मालग्-
6 टेव: झिवरानिचतुर्द्यां भुचिर्दे
[द]]खयशोडभि-
7 वृछये प्रापिनामभयमदान म"
[ह]]जनतनवूल्जि- ${ }^{5}$
8 कसमसप्षतीन् सवोछ ग्रभय"

> [से]" माने उस-

9 यो पच्चयो. अं्टमीएकादगीचतुर्ह[यी]
. . . . . दत्तं अ्रतोडनतन

10 ₹ एतासु तिधिपु नगरनaेपि जी[व]
. . . . . [जाT] च व्वतिक्रम्य जी-

11 वाना वध ${ }^{10}$ कारयति करोति का स ख्यापा ${ }^{11}$

- अचचद्रार्क याव-

12 त् केनापि न लोपनीय । अ्रपरं पुरोहिता [ग्रमा] ${ }^{12}$ - सवेंरपरेश्न ए-

13 षा अमारिरुदि: पमाएीकार्या । [य: को]
कालेन चोयते
14. फलं । एषस्साभयदानस्स ${ }^{13}$ च्य

स्य पदत्त्ताइम-
15 यद्चिश्र न तु विपसहसेल्यो

- कोपि पापिषतरो जी-

| ${ }^{1}$ Supply ${ }^{\circ}$ राब्ये after ${ }^{\circ}$ विस्षयं <br> ${ }^{1}$ Supply महादान मत्वा ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{2}$ Restore परिपन्नयति <br> ${ }^{2}$ Read ताम्न्नलि${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{3}$ Pestore ${ }^{\circ}$ सहाराज ${ }^{\circ}$ <br> ${ }^{6}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ समसमकत्रती. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 Read *नोध्य | ${ }^{8}$ Supply ${ }^{\circ}$ दानशासन |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Read भारे | ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Rrad}$ वध |  |
| ${ }^{12}$ Read प्रनात्या | ${ }^{15}$ Read एवस10 |  |

16 ववषं कुरते तदा स पंचद्रम्मेदेंड[नोयः] ${ }^{1}$

# [दर्यो] माहराजिकस्खिको <br> 17 द्रम्मोस्ति $11^{2}$ सहस्त्तोयं मह्छारा[जग्रीश्राल्धादेवस्स] ॥ शी महा-राजपुनभ्रीकल्हए- <br> 18 दवसततमतत् ॥+ महाराजपनगजसिहस्य [म]तं ॥ सांधिविग्रहिक ठ० खेलादिल्येन लि- 

19 खितमिदं ॥ अंस्रीनदूलपपरवासिमाक्वाटवंश्रमभुतसु(शु)भंकराभिधानग्रावक: तबुन्नो चि-

## 20 तितलधर्म्मतया" विस्यातो पूरि(fि)गश्यालिगो [1*] ताभ्भामतीवस्स[प] प्पराभ्यंं पाराएनामभयम्रदानगा-

## 21 शनं विज्ञघ्व कारापितमिति’ घ $11^{8}$ उल्लीएर्थ सूँ० भाइलेन ॥

## XII-SĀNDERĀV STONE INSCRIPTION OF KELHANADEVA, [VIKRAMA.]SAMVAT 1221

This inscription was found at Sāndērā̄, about 10 miles north-west of Bāli. It 2 s engraved on a lintel in the sabha mandapa of the temple of Mahärira

The record contans 4 hines of wning, which covers a space of $3^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ high. The oharacters are Nāgari The language is Sanskrit, and the whole of the unscuption is in prose As regards lexacography, halyänıka or kalyänahu oocurs in 111 and 3, and yugandhari and hāēla in 1l. 2 and 4, and talāräbhāvya in 12 Kalyānzka is a term pecahar to Jama theology Kalyānhas are the anspicious daye, fiva manmber on which took place (1) the chyavana (conception), (2) janma (burth), (3) dīkshā (initiation), (4) kēéalajñäna (enlughtenment), and (5) nrvaña (final beatitude) of each of the Tirthamkaras The expression occurs in No II of the Mount $\overline{\text { an }}$ ū inscriptions edited by Dr Lüders, ${ }^{9}$ and on the door jambs of the subaıdıary cells in the temple of Tëjapāla at Dēlvādă, the pañcha-kalyãnıkas are specifed of all
 anknown to me But I surmise that häela here stands for hala and that yugamdharī is the name of a specific kmd of corn known as juär The sense of the remanng word, vsz, talärābhavya, is also not certan The expression no doubt occurs in a Mãngrol inseription publisbed in the Bhävnagar Prakrut and Sanshrut Inscriptions, $p$ 158, where it is translated by "the revenue of Talārā" which hardly helps as The same mecription is published in Bhävnagar Prāchīna-Sōdhasanyralıa, Bhäga I p 5 ff It is tıanslated in Gujarâti on $p 9$ by khusaki jakätanī-upaja, we the moome or revenue from tolls In the English renderng of it in the eame volume, it is stated that talärã 2 B the bame as the modern talödara The same

[^35]word occurs as talära or talārahsha in the Chirwā inscription edited by $M$ Geiger in the Vienna Or. Jour, 1907, pp 143ff The anthority of Hēmachandra and Trinkrama is quoted there to show that it is equivalent in meaning to purãdhyakshah or nagararakshakah This wonld correspond to the office of a kotioal or ccty magistrate Bnt tala is often used in inscriptions to mean the "subnsbs of a town," A talara wonld thas be to the suburbs what a kotwãl is to a city

The mscription 18 dated on Friday, the 2nd of the dart half of Maghs in the [Vikrama] year 1221, and refers itself to the reign of Sri-Kēlhanadēva It states that Ānaladëvi, queenmother of Këlhanadéva granted one hāēla ( $2 e$ as much land as could be tulled by a sungle plongh in one day) of yugamdhari or fuar corn from the kng's personal propesty (bloaga), to the god Mahāvira, mūlanāyaka, the primeval leader, of the Shamdēraka-gachehha, to celebrate the kalyanika corresponding to the l3th of the dark half of Chatra One dramma was also given from the revenue of talārà by the Rāshtrahūtas Pātū and Kēlhana and ther brother's bons Uttamasiha, Südraga, Kālhana, Āhada, Āsala, Anstiga and others with reference to the same Kalyānaka Simılarly one hāēla of yugamdharī was also granted by the rathahāras or cartbuılders, Dhanapāla, Sūrapāla, Jopāla, Sıgadã, Amyyapāla, Jısahada, Dēlhana, and so forth, all residung at Shamdēraka, in connection with the halyanaka falling on the 13th of the bright balf of Chaitra

Ānaladévi, mentioned in this mscription as the queen-mother of Kèllanadēva must undonbtedly be the same as Annalladeyi spoken of in the Nädol plates as the cousort of Allhana, father of Kelhana ${ }^{1}$ In this last inscription, she is represented to have been the danghter of Sahula of the Räshtrauda family Rāshtrauda is obvioncly the same as Răshtrakīta, and the Rāshtrakūtas, Patū, Kēlhana, and so forth, referred to an our inscription, mast, therefore, bo taken to be her relataves on her father's sude

TEXT ${ }^{2}$
 तस्य मातुराज्चीग्रीभ्रान[ज] देव्या ग्रीषंडेसकीयमूलनायकम्रीमहावोरदेवाय



3 सत्कात्( 1 भ्रि्मिन्नेव कल्याएके द्र $\&$ पद्त्त: 1$\}$ तथा श्रीषंडेरकवास्तव्य-
 सुदि १₹ कल्याएके 4 युगधर्या: [हाएल] ए[क \& प्र]- -

> XIV -NĀDLÂí STONE INSCRIPTION OF KELHANA, [VIKRAMA-]SAMVAT 1228

The snbjoined inscription was found near the temple of Mahãdēva, abont one mile sonthwest of Fexdiai. The shrine of it is really a natural csve, and this 18 the reason why it as also

[^36]called blıamyar-hā-mandar, $\imath e$ a subterranean temple It origınally had a sabhā-mandapa, which is now well-ngh destioyed And the stone, on which the mscription 18 ongraved, appears to have been a lintel somewhere in the sabhä-manḑapa.
$A_{B}$ I received news of this inscription just as I was on the point of lenving Nädani, I was not able to tako any mpiessions of it nor to tako its moasaroments It contans 3 lines of writing The characters are Nagari The recod is in prose The langaago is partly Sanshrit and partly vernacular Ono curmons thing in this conneetion is that suastr is twice nsed hhe sri, as an honorific prefix to the names of places Thus the forms Svastr-Sonānā̃ ond SvastıNādūlē are employed instead of Śrī-Sonānā̄o and ŚrīiNādùlè As regards orthography, it may
 1228 in 1118 written balf in ciphers and half in letters With reference to rare or unusual words, the following may be notired (1) ahshasäma, (2) läpaniya, (3) damā, and (4) chahūtāpana, the meaning of none of which is known to me

The inscription opens with the date vzs Monday tre 13th of Märgasiorsha in the [Vikrama] year 1228, durıng the victorious reign of the Chaulukya sovereıgn Kumārapāla, when Kēlhana was raler of Nādūlya, and Rānã Lakhamana of Vōrıpadyaka, and Anasita was the thēhur of Sōnāna It then states that the mandopa, ahshasãna, and damä of the temple of Bhivadêsvara were constrected by Pāhım, sou of the sütradhära Mahadūa and his wife Jasadērı They coasssted of swones and bricks, and therr constinction cost 330 drammas He was holped in this relignous wokk by the sūtradhära Mahidarã and Imdarāka.

Of the lecalities herem mentioned, Nādūlya and Sōnānā vre, of course, Nādōl and Sōnānā Vornpadyaka 18 probably to be idontıfied with Börli, about 8 mıles north of Nādlaı ${ }^{\text {a }}$

TEXT:
 डेग्वरदेवम्य । श स्रीकुंवरपालढेवविजयराज्ये 1 ग्रीनाडूल्यपुरात्( 1 ) स्रोकोल्हए: रार्ज ${ }^{5}$ वोरिपद्यके (1) राएा-

2 लख्वमय(।) राजे । सस्तिसीनागराग्रामे(1) ठा अ्यसीहुस्य । स्वस्तिनाडूले स्र्नम्द्बम्म (1) भार्या जसदेवि(।)सुतपानिएी मंडप: करापनीय: 7 । अन्चसामलापनोय: डमा

31 कर्नेय्या पाषाएदूटकायां घटित: चहूटापने द्र ₹₹० लागे। घर्मसखा दूत ${ }^{8}$ सून्न महिदरा तथा छंदराको घटित कार्य । - कापाडोय ।

[^37]
## XV -LAALRĀI STONE inscription of kelhanadeva, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 1233

Thus inscription mas found amidst the scattered rams of a Jaina temple at Lālrāi, 5 miles fouth-enst of Bāli It contans 18 lines of writing, covonng a space of $10 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $1^{\prime} 2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ high Up to line 8, the insernption 15 mact, and of the tro lines following only a letter or two are gone But of 11 11-18, the whole of the proper nght half 28 destroyed The characters are Nēgari The lotter $\dot{q}^{16}$ here throughont denoted by tho curnons aign whieh has been noted above, and which contans a loop on the left stde The nhole of the reeord ap to line 16 appears to bafo been in proce, and the last two lnes, ocenpied by a well-hnown imprecatory verse The langaage 25 Sanskrit. As regards orthography, it is worthy of note that a consonant following $r$ is doubled, nud that the sign for $\{$ is used both for $v$ and $b$. As regards loxncography, the word urahītz and the expression Güjara[tri]-hära[ha] occurring in l 8, and javä, in 19 , may be noticed Unhin appenre to me to be the name of an araghafa or a well with a wheel to rase water up In fact, in Godrād I fonnd many sach wells given strango names Gūjara[tri], I think, 25 the same as Gnrjaratrā Häraha, as suggested above in No III, denotes a cortan had of measare, and jata, of coarss, stands for yava or barley corn

The record 15 dated Thursday, the 13th of the dark half of Jyaishtha in the [Vikrama] sear 1233, and refers itsclf to the reign of tho Mrahārāādhtrāja Sri-Kelhanadēva, roling at Tradūla. It then tells us that tho rijaputra Lākhanapālba and the rajaputra Abhayapāla, propnetors ( $b h o ̈ k t r i$ ) of Sinannava, and sons of Kirtıpala, doubtless jounger brother of Kêlhana and donor of the Niadol plates (nboro, Fol. IX p 69 fi.) made a grant conjontly with tho queen Mahibaledēvi in the presence of the village pañina (pañcha-lula) for colobrating tho festral of the god Samtinatha The grant consistod of barley corn measumng one häraha as used in (the country of) Güjaratri, from the araghafa or machine-woll called Urabaril and belonging to the village of Bhadiyanva The names of those who were witnesess to thes benefaction are lost

As regards the localities mentioned in thas record, Sinanara, which is also called Samnānaka in No XVI, is doubtless Sōnānā, mentioned in the last opigraph. Bhadiyāuva also occurs in No XVI, and is to be adentificd with Bādva (Barwa), 5 miles sonth-west of Līlraì Samipäti, which oceare in 1 13, has been shown to be Sēvãi Gújaratio 18 mentioned also in Nio XVI, and is the same as Gurjaratra of tho Danlatpura chartor of the imperial Prathaña Bhojadiva I, which comprised the modern dustracts of Parbatsar, Mārort and Dıdwāā Nadūla 16, of course, Nādōl

TEXT:

## 1 सौं ${ }^{\circ} \|$ संबत् १२₹₹ जे(ब्ये) छवदि १₹ गुरौ [i*] <br> 2 प्रथेह [ग्री]नडूत् भहाराजाधिराजम्री(II)- <br> 3 केल्हयदिवरान्ये बत्तिमान: ग्रीकी[fत्ति](1)- <br>  <br> 

[^38]
## 6 शीग्रीसह्हिवलदेविसहिति：1 ग्रीशांति－

7 नायदेवयानानिमित्तं सडियाउव［झ］－
8 रघटउरहारिसध्यात् गूजर［तृ］हार－
$9{ }^{2} \cdot ?$ जवा ग्रामपंचक्जुलसमचन्चे ${ }^{3}$ एतत्
10 ．．दानं ${ }^{4}$ छातं पुखाय［ ${ }^{*}$ ］सार्तिए ग्नन्न वास्त－
11 • ．．．．．．．．．［原］．．


## XVI－Lā́lrā̃ stone inscription of lākhanapāla and abha－ yApāLA，［VIERAMA－］SAMVAT 1233

This inscription was，lhbe No $X V$ ，found amidst the ruins of a Jamb temple at Lālrāi It consists of 13 lines of writing，covernug as space of $8 \frac{7^{\prime \prime}}{}$ broad by $11 \frac{1}{3}$＂high The characters are Nāgari From the word tath $\bar{x}$ in 110 onwards，all the hnes appear to have been afterwards added and are engraved in smaller characters The pecalar form of the letter $d$ noticed above also occars here The langage is Sanskrit，and the whole of the inscription is in prose As regards orthography，it may be noted that sha $1 s$ used for $h / h a$ three times（11 1， 2 and 6 ） In respect of lexicography，the followng words deserve to be noticed（ 1 ）sira in $115-6$ and 12 ，which seems to have been nsed in the sense of，not＇a plough，＇bat＇a ploughman or cnltivator＇and（2）ses in 17 which stands for sē̄̃，a kind of weight mentioned in my remarks on No $X$

The record is dated on the 3rd of the bright half of Vasabkhe in the［Vikrama］year 1233，and speaks of the princcs（ $\tau \bar{a} j a p u t r a$ ）Lā̄khenapāla and Abhayapāle as the proprretors （bhöhtri）of Samnānaka（cf No．XV）It then states that Bhivadā，Àsadhara，and other caltivators granted for their spiritaal mornt，four së̀s of barloy－corn from（the field called） Khädsira to the god Sāmtinãtha in connoction with the festival of the Gūjaras The postscript （ 11 10－13）records that Ásadhara，Sironya and other caltirators granted for the spiritaal merit of Vilha，ons haröthu（hīralua ${ }^{\text {？}}$ ）of barley－corn from the machine－well of Bhadıyaua（Bādvā）

TEXT ${ }^{6}$

## 1 संवत् श२₹₹ वैशाखसुदि ₹（？） <br> 2 ＂संनाएकभोता राजपुन्नलाखस－

| ${ }^{1}$ Resd ${ }^{\text {a }}$（लदीवी ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ 仿\％． | ${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सम司． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －Supply महो ${ }^{\circ}$ before दार्ग． | 5 Read सानी | －From auked impressions |
| 7 Bead ${ }^{\circ}$ मोकारी． |  |  |

3 पालराजपुन्चग्रमयपाल ${ }^{1}$ तन्सि-
4. न् रांचे वर्त्तमाने चा० भीवडा प-

5 डिदेश[व]सी सू० ग्यासर सर्म[म्त्र]-
0 मोर्म्नहत्तें ग्वाडिमीरजवसधा-
7 त् नवा से 8 गूजरोजानानिमित्त
8 [\#] ]यातिनायदेवस्य ढत्ता' पूसाय" $\left[1^{*}\right]$
9 य: कोपि लुप्यत्य स पापोन' हिद्य-
10 वे ॥ म[ग]ल" भवतू ${ }^{10} \|$ तथा भडियाडन्म.
11 ज्र[र]हहे ग्रामधरमीटोदबसम[स्त]-

13 वीन्ह[स्यु पुग्सार्यं $11 ?$

## x'il-SĀndErā̀ stonc inscription of kelhanadeva, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 1236

 sabiamandapa of the templo of Mabavira The record contans 10 lines of minting, which corera a space of $1^{\prime} 3^{\text {t" }}$ broad by $8^{\prime \prime}$ high The firct 4 hnes aro well preserved and can be casily reat, the romninder boung too reather-worn to be deenphered mith perfect confidence. The chameters are Tragari. The langaago is Sanskrit, and the whole of the inscription is in prose As regards orthography, the onls point that requires notice is the denbling of a consonant folloring an $r$ As regards lexicography, attontion may be drawn to the words dräelä, 18 , and sārā, 19 The latter occurs also in the Mount $\bar{A} b u$ inseription No II (above, Vol VIII $p$ 220, 19), where the sense of 'care, supervision' has been ossignod to it by Prof Lüders ${ }^{13}$

The first hine of the mseription is an independent record an itself, and speaks of a colomn having bsen presented by Rarlbū nnd pălhā, sons of Thāmthā, in memory of their mother. The second line contans the dato, Wednesday, the and of the dark half of Karttika in the
 S̈n-Kelhanadëva of Nadüls Then wo are told that his own hoose was placed by Rālhāka, son of Thisutha, togethey with his brother Pailha and his sons Sōdhã, śubhamkara and others at the dapposal of Śri-Pārśvenātha, the god of Shamdēraka (Sāndērāv) in the bhuhtz or personal property of the queen Jalhanadēvi Four dräelas wero to bo given to the god annoally by people residing in Rālhü's house Innes $9-10$ are opparently connected with line 1 and inform ns that the pullar was restored for the spiritual benefit of Dhāramati on Saturdas, the 12th of tho bright Ualf of Jyaietha in the [Vikrama] yotr 1286 Dhäramati is called matr and was probably the mother of Rālhā and Palha

| ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पाल介 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $3^{\text {Read }}{ }^{\circ}$ सहित. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1}$ Resa F(a)at | ${ }^{1}$ Read द्ता | ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Read}$ पुस्ताय |
| 7 Read लीपरति | ${ }^{5}$ Read पापेन, | 9 Bear कगल |
| ${ }^{10}$ Read มवतु | ${ }^{11}$ Read न(य) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| ${ }^{12}$ I do notunder <br> ${ }^{23}$ [See also abor | 角 18 intended note $2-\mathrm{Ed}]$ |  |

TEXTI


3 केल्ह्एदेवक्याप्विजयराज्ये प्रवर्तमाने [गत्ञो] नीजाए्हएदेवि-
4 भुको ${ }^{4}$ म्नीष[डेर] कदेवम्नीपार्श्वनायपतापतः याधासुतराल्हाको[न] आ(भा)तु-
5 पार्हापुनसोढासुअकरा[म]देवधरणा[यवो]हीपवड्ममा[न]लच्मी-
6 घरसहजिगस [ह]]देव[सहियग] छा(P) [T] संधीराहरिचवर्देवादि-
7 भि: युतेन म — परमग्रेयोर्थं विदितनिजग्ट[हं] प्रदत:" ॥ शल्हाश(स)8 [क्त]मानुक्षे ${ }^{8}$ बसह्मि: व[षं] प्रति द्राएला 8 पदेया ${ }^{9}$ [ $\left.1^{*}\right]$ शेषजनानां वस-



XVIII.—JĀLŌR STONE INSCRIPTION OF SAMARASIMHADĒVA, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 1239.

This inscription was found at Jaloor, the principal town of the district of the same name, Jodhpur State It is incised on two lintels, one above the other and standing apon pullars near the north end of the princrpal cloister of an old mosque, now used as a toph $h \bar{a} n \bar{a}$ The mosque is evidently constructed of materials sapphed by demolishing old temples, and theso two lintels appear to have been brought from an old Jama shrine, as will be seen from the contents Portons of these hatels have been cnt off on their propor right in order to suit their new sarroundings The inscription on the upper lintel consists of 3 lines, and covers a space of $8^{\prime} 2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{hgh}$, whale the lower one is composed of 4 lunes, and extends over a space of $8^{\prime} 5^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $5^{\prime \prime}$ high Bat though the mscrptions are thus engraved on two different stones, they really form one record The portion extant is in a perfect state of peservation The letters are here and there filled with mortar, but that does not prevent any one deciphering the record The characters are Nägari. The letter sh is moised at least twice mstead of $h \bar{h}$, as m later Rājputānà unscriptions The sign for $b$ occurs, and differs from $v$ only by a minute dot in the loop of the latter. The langaage is Sanskrit, and the record is partly in prose and partly in verse. The verses are nambered, and are seven in all In respect of orthography, the only point that calls for notice is the doabling of $t$ in conjanction with a preceding $r$ As regards

[^39]lexncography, attention may be dravin to the word (1) hrama.] l, which is nsed in the rather rare sence of 'a foot," and (2) tashara, 12, whech seems to have boen employed in the sense of " banditt ' on "t unsettled tabes"

The record opews with an invocation (v. l) to Näbhēya, ze R2shabhanätha, the first Tirtharahara It then iefes itsclf in prose to the reign of tho Mahäraja Samarasimhadéva, son of the Maharaja Kirtapuladèra The latter, weare told, was a son of the Mahäraja Ālhana, Tho belouged to the laneage of the Mahiraju Anahila, "the moon in the sky ( 20 the famly) of Chähumañ" It farther spaks of the Rıjpüt (räjaputra) Jójala as rajya-chemtaha, ze apparentls a person lookng after the admanstiation of the bingdom By tho way wo aro saformed that he beld m scorn the maltitade of the unsettled tabes (tashara) of the whole diatrict of Pirabika Then follow two verses, the first of whech bestows conventional prase on Samarasumha and the sceond states that Jojala was his maternal uncle Pilvâhna a 2 probably Pilvan an the Parbatsar District of the Jodhpar State on the frontiers of the Kishangadh State, and the tasharas are per haps the Bavris, who shill abonnd thore Then follows a prose passage (11 4-5) whech says that 'the mandapa's refermog, of eousso, to the mandapa where the inscription ras originalls cngaved and wheh had been dedicated to the first Tirthamkarn, as appears from the atsucatory and also the last rerse, wns caused to be made by the devont Sravaha Seth Yasövira, son of Seth Y̌södiza, of the Srimela family He had been joined in thes work by all the members of the gushthed apparently also by his brothors Yasorija and Jagadhara Yasdirb 25 spoken of as ranting upon Pürnabhadrasūrı, pupil of Chamdrasūri, tho foromost of the Chamdragachecho The date of the crection of the mandapa ras Thursday, the 5th of the bright half of Vasaikha of tho [Vikrama] year 1230 Threo veases (46) aro afterwards ancsed 14 prase of tho mandapa, and rerse 7 expresses $\Omega$ mish for its permanence Then we are told that the anscription was a composition of Pürabladrasurn, and the record closes with a benediction in farour of the samgha or Jana commanty

TEXT ${ }^{1}$
 भैयनाघक्रमकमलयुग मंगलं वस्तनोतु । मन्चे मगल्पमालापणतभवभतf


2 . . . . . . यावलोटुर्लीलितदलितरिप्वबलग्रीमहाराजकीन्तिपालदेवहृदयानटिनदनमहाराजग्रीसमरसिहदेवकल्यालविजयरान्ये तत्पादपद्योपनीविनि निजमोढिमातिरेकतिरस्कृतसकलपोल्बाहिकामंडलस[स्न]]र्व्यतिकरे(1) रान्यचितके नोजलराजपुन्चे हूल्येवं काले पवर्त्तमाने [1*]

धाम सोदर्यलच्म्या: 1 धरशितरुानारीलोचनानंदकारी जयति समरसिं₹• द्वापतिः सिंछहृत्ति: ॥२ तथा ॥ स्रोत्पत्तिकीपमुखनुद्धिचत्वृ्येन निर्योंभूपभवनोचितकायंबनित्र: । यन्मातुल: समभवत् किल जोजलाह्धी


 हियशोदे बसुतेन सटाजाकार्रिनज-
5 -ंतुयशोराजजगधरविधोयमार्ननिखिलमनोरयेन अन्येध्यियोडीरप्सम्यावक्षेए सवत् २२३ट नैशाग्बसुदि 4 गुतौ सकलनिलोकीतलाभोगभ्धम स्परिश्रा[त]कसलाविलासिनोविग्रासविलाभमंदिर अय संडपो निर्मोपित• ॥ तया हि ॥


 भरावरवधूतित्लक किमेतसीलारविद्दम कि दुन्हित्व: पयोषे:। दत्त सुरैरमृतकुंडमिद् किमन यस्यावलोकनविचो विविधा विकल्पा: ॥य गर्तापूरूए पातातं
 स्पूंबंदोमसरः समीनमकार कन्चालिकुभा[क़ु]लं नेषाष्य सकुलीरसिंहमियुन मोघडृषालक्कतं । ताराकेरवसिटुधामसलिल सद्राजहंसासद्ध यावन्तावदिह्हादिनाघभवने नंद्यादसी मंडप: ॥७ क्तिरियं न्रीपूणएँभद्रूरीखा ॥ भट्रमख़ म्रीसंधाय ॥

## XIX TĀLOR STONE INSCRIPTION OF SADLARASIMEADETVA, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 1242

The mscription is meised on a lintel ma the second storey over the mithab of the mosque referred to in No XVIII It eonsists of 6 lines of writing, and covers a space of $2^{\prime} 8 \frac{5}{5}^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $5 \frac{1}{2}$ " hagh The charactors ane Nägari The langange is Sanskrit, and the whole of the inscription is in prose In respect of orthography, it is sufficient to notice (1) that the sign for $v$ is used both for $v$ and $b$, and (2) that $n$ is doabled in conjnnction with a preceding $r$

The record contans four different statements, bat all pertanme to one and the same temple The first part speaks of a certan temple as having been balt and consigned in the [Vikrama] year 1221 to the care of Dēvachārya for the dissemination of the true Jama law (sad-vidhu) The temple was known as Kuvara-vihāra and contaned the principal image (mūla-binba) of Bārśvanütha The temple, wo are told, had been constrncted by the MLahīrājūdhrãa Chaullakya Kumãrapāla, the devont worshipper of the Arhats (param-ärhata), the lord of the Gürjara country, after being enlıghtened by prabhu Hēmasūrı, apon the fort of Kāmchanagirı belongıng to Jābālıpura, ze Jālōr It will thus be seen that it was called Kuvara-vĭăra after the Sōlanki king Kamärapāla who built it The second part says that it was rebuilt in V E 1242 by the bhamdärī Yaśōvira, son of the bhamdūrī Pāsū, in accordance with the orders of the Mahĩrāja Samarasımhadēva, the ornament of the Chäbamãna family and lord of "this

[^40]country," $e$ apparently sonthern Mārwar The thard part informs us that on the 11th of the bright half of Jyaistha in the [Vikrama] year 1258, the work of installation was done, aecording to the behests of the royal family, by Pürnadēvachärya, papil of Dēvachārya, in tho case of the turann, cte of (the mage of) the god Parsivanatha and also of the losting of tho flag on the golden fag-ciaft on $t^{2}$ e crignal spre The fonrth or last part states that m $\mathrm{V} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { I }}$ 1288, on the day of the lampe featime (dip-ifsata), the ceremony of piacing a golden cup ola on the nerly made central liall, intended for dramitic performanees was carined out by Rirachamdrāchärya panl of Pürnadēvasūn

## THXT ${ }^{1}$

 वोधितगूर्जर्धगाधीग्वरपरमान्तनोतक्य (II)





 कार्य द्वते। मृत्लश्रत्तु-




> XX - BHINAAL STONE INSCRIPTION OF ODAYASIMIIADBTA, [VIZRAMA-] SAMVAF 1306

This incription was found in the nalled enclosare of the temple of Nilaisantha-Niqhatienv, abont a milc erm the cify of Bhinmal, in the Jaswantparia Distriei of tho Joulhpur State it secms to bave eseaped the notice of the lato Sir James Campbell when he vosited the place, and has not, so far as I hnow, jet been pnblushed It eontams 25 hines oi witing, which eorcry a space of $1^{\prime} 4_{-1 \prime \prime}^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $1^{\prime} 9 \frac{1}{3}{ }^{\prime}$ high The inseription is somewhat reather-woin, and its proper right sule is a hitle mutilated Nothng of mportanee, however, seems to havo becin lost, and, with the help of toe other Bhamal insenptions wheh have been pnblished, nearly the whole of the record can be read with ecrtanty Tho eharacters are Nägari It is woithy of note that in lines 12 and 19 oceurs the fracion $\frac{1}{-}$, and in 118 the fraction $\frac{2}{4}$, wheh are both denoted, recordung to the rolhi cystem, the first by two and tho second by one vertieal stroke Of these, the fiaction $\frac{1}{4}$ oceurs along with an integer, whereas the fraction $\frac{1}{2}$ stands by itself, and heneo the two strokes denoting $\frac{1}{-}$ mo proceled by w zero, represented by a small earele Exeepting the

[^41]2 Evpressed by a symbol

7 The samdha is omittud here, such omissions need not be furt'ier nolurd

+ Jc~ll च.
10 Iread ${ }^{\circ}$ निष्पन्न".

verse in lines 1-3, the record is in prose The language is Sansinnit, moterspersed with solecisms and provinciahsms With regard to orthography, it is sufficient to say that a consonant is but occasionally doubled in conjunction mith a preceding $r$ and that in 177 dimvasa is used instead of dwasa. As regards lexicography, the following words may be noticed (1) gödhūma pakua, 1 11, which seems, I think, to mean a crop of wheat ready for being reaped, (2) muga in 1111 and 19, obvionsly the well-known mūng pulse, (3) chüsh $\vec{u}, 1$ e chöhhä, 1111 and 19, a dēsī word meaning 'rice', (4) vyāsa, 1112 and 22, a Brähmana, who recites the Purãnas in public, (5) mrväpa, 1112 and 19, a dole, and (6) amga-bhōga, unguents to be applied to the body immedsately after bathing Agana, abbreviated forms of some words are used Thas, $d r a$ stands for dramma, ka for halaśa, mă for $m \bar{a} n \bar{a}, p \bar{u}$ for $p \bar{a} y a l a \bar{a}$ or $p \bar{a} y a l \bar{\imath}$, and sè for sễ For the table of weights still observed in Godvãd, see my remarks on No X Kalaśa is of conrse a measnre of capacity

The inscription opens with obeisance to Surya, and then follows a verse in praise of the same derty The date is afterwards given, whoh is the l4th of the dark half of $\overline{\text { ansina }}$ in the [Vikrama] year 1308 The Mrahārājādhırāja Śri-Udayasmmadēva was the kng and the pancha consistrug of the mahaimta Gajasimha and others apponted by hum was exercisng local authority at Śrimāla (Bhinmãl) The object of the inscription is to record two benefactions One ras made by two brothers, the name of the first of whom is gone bat that of the second is Mahanasina They were both sons of the thahura Udayasïba, and were Mãthara Kãyasthas by caste Forty dramma coins were deposited in the tieasary of the god Jagatsvāmin, which was the name of the Sürya divintty of Bhinmãl, as is proved by other inscriptions and the Srimz̃lapuräna Ont of it were to be defrayed the expenses of the divasa-balz and so forth on
 of provisions for the balı, there was to be wheat 2 sē̃, and bolled ghee 8 halaśas For naveédya or offerng to the goa, mãng palse 1 mān̄̄, rice (chōkhä) 2 päyaliss, aud ghee $\frac{1}{2}$ halaśa wore to bs taken The vyãsa and the $a \bar{b} t \bar{\imath}$ were to be given each a dole of 1 dramma For the amgabhöga or apphcation of unguents, aloe-wood, camphor and saffron were to be used each worth 2 drammas Flowers were to be bought worth 2 drammas, and betel-leaves and batel-nut each worth 1 dramma As regards the bevy of oourtezans, each was to be paid 1 dramma All this arrangement was to be carried out every year

The second benefaction was made on the same day for his spiritual merit by one Tathāks, who deposited 15 drammas in the treasary of the god Jagatsvamin Ont of it, the expenses of the baľ on the 5th of the dark half of Mägha were to be borne The allowance fixed for
 palse 3 pāyalī, rice 2 pāyalī, and ghee $\frac{1}{2}$ halasa The dole for the abōttì was 1 dramma As to the a $\dot{m} g a b h o ̄ g a$ or unguents, the ingredıents were to be each worth 1 dramma The vyāsas, abottizs, seths, and members of the gôshth $\vec{k}$ are then conjured to look after this arrangement The prasastı was witten by Dhruva Nâgula, son of Bāhada This Nāgula is no donbt the same as Nāgvala, father of Dēdāka, who wrote some of the other pabhshed Bhinmãl inscriptions

TEXT 1
1 . . . नम: [स्त]र्य [य] ॥ यस्योदयास्त्तमयब सुरमुकु[ट][नि-
2 घृष्चर*]एकमलोपि 1 कुरुतेऽजलिं निनेन' स जया[त]
 4 [ह ग्रीम्यी*]माले महाराजाधिरानग्री[उद्]यसिंहदेवकल्या-

[^42]5 [एविज*]यराज्ये तन्नियुक्तमंबं [गजसीहम] गृतिप[चकु]लप्र•
6 [तिपत्तों] माथुरान्वर[क] ]यस्सजातीयठक्ष [रउद]यसीहुप[न]

8 - [ज*][ग]त्स्बामिद्रेवीयभाडागार [च्चेपित] द्र8० चत्वारि[ग].
9 - [1] अभ्यिन ${ }^{2}$ या[न][या ${ }^{3}$ श्रिनवदि 28 दिने दिवसब-
10 [लि*]- —मालयो देवेन स्सकीयभाडागारात् कारापनी(कारसी)या [1*] व-
11 [लिनिं] कधे गोधूम शे२ पक्ष पृत कह नैवेद्ये भुग मा? [च]†-
12 [ $\mathrm{T}^{*}$ ] पार घृत कू० तथा व्यासनिर्वाप $?$ ग्रदोटीनिर्वाप $₹$ तथा [ग्र]ग-
13 भोगी अ्यगकरकप्पूर [कुं] कुम प्रत्यं द्रश तथा "सुफम्पत्य द्र $?$ तथा पच
14 पुगमत्व द $₹$ तथा प्रमदाकुलम्पव्यं द $₹$ एतत् स[र्ब्ब] प्रतिवर्ष [दे]-
15 वेन कारापनीयं ${ }^{3}$ । तथा दिने तथाकेन ग्रीजगस्खामिदेवीय-
16 भांडागारे आत्मन [习्ये]यार्थँ केपित" द्र १थ पंचादश द्रमां। [म]-
$17{ }^{5}[$ हा] $]$ मासे माघवदि 4 दिने टिम्बसवली' देवेन खर्कीचभां[ET*]-
18 गारात् कारापनीया 1 वलिनिबंधे गोधूम से 11 पके घृत का.
19 [नै]वद्यं" मुग पा ₹ चोषा पार घृत क० 11 तथा ग्रवोटोजिर्वाप ₹ [त"]
20 [धा] भ्रगमोगम्पल्यं दे? एतत् सर्ब्व दो बली [पाल ए]कासत्क-
21 - भांडागारात् दे देने वर्ष वर्ष प्रति अ्याचदार्ककालं याव[का]-

23 [लि]न वर्तापनोये 1 चरिपथा केनापि न करसीया । लिख[त"]
$24{ }^{15}$ - 0 वाहडसुत० ध्रुव० नागुलेन हीनाच्तरमधिकाच्च [₹*]
$25{ }^{18}\left[\right.$ म $\left.^{*}\right]$ स्रागयमिति ॥

> XXI -SĀNCHŐR STONE INSCRIPTION OF SĀMANTASIMHA, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 1345

The stome on which the subjoned mscription 15 engraved was found at Sanchōr, the principal town of the district of the same name in the Jodhpur State it was lying loose in the prieon-room attached to the local kachēri

The inscription contans 16 lines of miting, which cover a space of $10 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ bioad by 1, $2 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ high Though a fetw lettors aro weather-worn, and some aro here and there mutilated,

[^43]nearly the whole of the inseription can be read with certanty The eharacters are Nagari. The language is Sanskrit, ind the record is in prose As regards orthography, the only points that eall for notice are (1) the donbhng of a consonant following an $r$, and (2) the use of the form sämvata ${ }^{\circ}$ for sämanta ${ }^{\circ}$ Ls to lexicography, the following words may be noticed (1) sthutaka in 11 J, $7, S$ and 11 , and (2) sēlahāth $\bar{\alpha}$ or sēlahasta together with their abbreviated forms in $1110,12,13$ and 15 The first word seems to have been used in the sense of "a grant in perpeturty," and occurs in other inserıptions also, eg the Cintra praśastı of Särangaderva, ${ }^{1}$ verses 53, 60, 61 and 66 The meaming of selahasta is not eertan And I know of only two reeords, iz the Bhinmāl mseriptions Nos XII and XV' where the word oeenrs In the first of these, hne 12 has Šrikaranēya-pañchakula-sēlahatha-Däbhinnarapālan cha Here it is worthy of note that sēlahatha ocenpies a position betwoon pañchacula, $\imath e$ the modern pañcha and Dābhiruarapāla, ze a kng of the Dābhi race And it seems temping, therefore, to suppose that silahasta was an officer of high runk, higher at any rate than the village śriharana, which was held by one of the pañchakula Agan, the expression sèlahath-äbhävya oeears in both the l3hmmãl inscriptions just referred to It mast be taken to mean "the ineome eolleeted by a siluhatha" Probably he was conneeted with the revenue department Some of the abbrerations are woith notzeng Thus in 18 we havo Tis $a^{\circ}$ dra, wheh, I think, stands for 1 i. lnpriya-dianma, a kmd of eoin, mantaoned in Bhinmal Inseription No XVI, 11 23-24 Agrim, in 12 ha is nsed in connection with muga, $2 e$. Phaseolns mnngo Here ha cannot be t.incu to denote hariha, as $1 \underline{1}$ tarshas of muga would be a ridienlonsly small quantity, but halasa which had till latoly been empioy ed for measumag eorn

The inselption commences by specifyug the date, vzz Monday, the l4th of the bright balf 2E Kārttıka in the [Vikrama] year 1345 The Mahärājahula Sāmvatasimhadēva was the f mg, and at the holy place (mahāsthāna) of Satyapura (Sānchōr), the pañcha, consisting of the malamia Hirà and others appointed by hum, was exereising loeal anthonty The epigraph then icco ds a grant in perpeturty of 8 Yisalaprìya drammas by the Mēharas ${ }^{3}$ Prabhā, Padama and Esapāla All the Míharas are requested to see to the continnance of this grant Aceordng to this cndowment, $1 \frac{1}{2}$ hulasas of muiga were to be given annually, and the sëlainasta was requested to look after this matter The sëlahasta is also desmed to supervise personally the yātrā festival nt the god Väésvara Thon follow, in token of approval, the slgn-manuals of at least four Meharas, of whom three were the donors named above and the fourth was the sellahasta Pãtala

## T下区T.

1 स्यं संवत् २₹8५ वर्ष कार्तिंकर्शाद $१ 8$ सोमे
2 चघोह्ह श्रोम्व्यपरमहास्स[ने] महा[सा]नकुल-
3 गीसाप्वत्तसिछदेवक,्ब्वाण्गवजजयराज्य तन्नि-
4 युत्त [म]इ० हीगपपर्टतिपंचकुल्यपतिपत्तो [1*] एवं


7 घागुग्रारहाजुलन्तस्य वर्प प्रति fितके हु-

[^44]8 [त] वीस० द्र द अघ्ट [द्रम्म][:*] स्थितके क्षता । एतत् [सिय]9 तक वर्षाउुवर्षं ${ }^{2}$ मेहर्ं ${ }^{3}$ पालनीयं । केनाप्राप न
10 लोपनीयं ॥ अ्भन्यत्र य: [कोपि से]ब[हाथा] ${ }^{5}$ अस्य
11 ध्या[न]दो भवि [1*] सांप्रतं सैल० सीधुराभेन सियत[के]
12 द्रृत वर्ष प्रति मुग क १॥ सेलह[स्से]न पालनीयः ।
13 सेलहस्तेन उर्पविश्य देवग्यीवाएस( $(\mathbb{a}) \uparrow$ याना का[सा]-
14 पनीया ${ }^{8}$ II अ्यस्स विधिं मे० प[भ]]म[तं] । में० पद्मम[तं 1]
15 मे० भ्रासपालमतं ॥ सेल० पातलमत
16 जासतं । मे० आम्बडमतं । . . . . . . . . .

> XXII-JUNĀ STONE INSCRIPTION OF SĀMANTASIMHADÉVA, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 1352

This inscription was found at Junā or Junā Bāamēr, as the fall name goes, in the Mallani District, Jodhpur State, about 12 miles nonth-west of Bādmēr, the principal town It is engraved on a pllai in the porch of a dilapidated Jana temple and contams 10 lines of writing which cover a space of $11 \frac{1}{4}^{7}$ broad by $7 \frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ high The characters are Nāgari. The language is Sanskrit, and the whole of the inscription is in prose, excepting the benedictory verse at the end In respect of orthography, the only points that call for notice are (1) the employment of the sign of $v$ for both $v$ and $b$, and (2) the use of the number 2 after $\bar{S} r i$ in 13 to mark the repetntion As regards rare or unusual words, those that deserve to be noted are panla and Bhimapryy vi(m)söpaha un l 7 Pāıla and vumsōpaha have been already explanned Bhīmaprya appears to have been the name of a kind of vimsopaha coin Attention may also be dramn to the word laga in 1 8, which means a cess, as prevously explaned (No XI above)

The record opens with the date, the 4th of the bright half of Vaisiaxha in the [Vikrama] year 1352 On the aforesad day, durng the victorious reign of the Mahäräjahula SríSamantasımhadēva, the mahamta Chīrāsēla, Vè̄āula, the bhamdāri Migala, and others apponted by the lang to draw mp documents, made a relıgions grant at Bähadamēru to the gods Vighna-mardana-Kshētrapala and Chāumdaraja, in the temple of $\bar{A} d i n \bar{\varepsilon}$ tha The grant consisted of a pā̃l $\bar{n}$ from every momung or outgoing caravan exceeding ten camels and twenty bullocks In defanit of this payment in kind, ten Bhimaprsya vimsopakas were to bo charged The grant was to be distributed equally between the two gods In I 8 is recorded the approval of this cess (läga) by the mahäjanas or local bankers

Bāhadamēru is, of course, Bādmēr, not the place now known by that name which is comparatuvely a modern city, bnt Jun̄ Bēdmēr, the old Bādmër where the msciption was found

TEXT 10

2 [न]कुलग्रोसामतसिंहदेवकब्याएविजयरान्ये तन्नियु-

| Read हूता | 2 Read वर्पानुवर्प | 8 Read केहरे |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 Brad -্习习तो | 5 Read सेलहायो5स | 6 Read स्थानद्ष भविष्व ति. |
| 7 Read कतो. | ${ }^{8}$ Read कार्खीया | - Read विfo |
| 10 From the original stone | ${ }^{11}$ Expressed epparently by two symbols | $12 \mathrm{Read}{ }^{\circ}$ वाषE ${ }^{\circ}$. |

3 [न]]्रीरकरणे [मं०] चीराबेलवेलाउल भां० $f[म] \pi[ल म भ ृ त] य ो ~$

5 छमानन्रोवि[घ]मर्दननचेनपालम्रीचउंडराइदैवयो[:]

7 सार्थ पति छयोर्टेवयो. पाइला [1*] पन्च [भीम]मिय दर्शाविशोपक [२०]

9 "वहुभि[वं]सुषा युका ${ }^{8}$ रार्जभि: सगरादिभि; । यस्य घस्य यदा भृ०
10 मी तस्य तम्य तदा फल ॥र॥ छ ॥

## XXIII-JĀLOR STONL INSCRIPTION OF SÃMANTASIMHADEVA, [VIKRADIA-] SAMVAT 1853

The inscription is engraved on a pillar in the topl hana at Jälor in the man or restera elonster near the sonth end It consists of 27 lines of wrining and covers n space of $4 \dot{4}_{4}^{17}$ broad by $1^{\prime} 8^{\prime}$ high The characters are Nägari The sign fur sin 15 acd to denote ${ }^{t h}$ The language is Sanskrit, and the whole of the inscription is in prose As regards orthography, the only poonts that call for notice are (1) the occasonal ace of $v$ for $b$ and (2) the donbling of $n n$ conjunction mith a precoding $r$ in Sutarnna-girat, 13 In respnct of lexacography, attention may be drawn to the expression nistä-nthshopa haffa, on $1122-23$, the meaming of wheh 15 uncertan, and to the word bhäfata, in 1 24, which seems to bave been used an the sense of bhäda or rent The followng sense of the phrase nisrä-nel shepa-hatta may, howerer, be suggested as probablo Hafla is, of cuarsc, a bazar Nisriz as probably the samo as nicīr, which, in Märwār at any mate, scems to mean an export in contradistinction with paeàr whoch signifies an import. The expression might, therefore, mean a place in, or a portion of, the bazar for storing goods to be exported

The record opons with the date, rt Monday, the 5th of the dark baif of Vasanima of
 Suvarnagari and Künhadadēva as "subsisting on his lotus-like feet and bearing the barden of administration ( $r a \bar{a} y a-d h u r a \bar{a}$ ) '110 Suvarnagirt is the eame as Känchanagri of No XIX, and is the name of the hill on which the fort of Jálor stands Kãnhadadéra, as we shall see farther on, was a son of Samantasimha The object of the mboription is to stato that a cerimin Narapati made, for the spiritual welfare of his wife Nayakadēv, the grant of a bazar building or warehouse for storing goods to be exported Out of the rent accrumg therefrom was to be offered the pamchamī-balu every year mo the temple of the god Pārśvanãtha by the members of the goshthr Narapatu, in makng this donation, had been jomed by the members of his famuly and


2 [द]fि ใ? [न्व]धीह अहारान-
3 कुल[नी] चाम्बतमिघट्रिव-
4 राजगीकान्ह्रुद्वृवराज्य
hy a certan Gunadhara, who is called a samghapatz and was a resident of Suvarnagiri itself A list of the members of his famuly is also given, as well as a genealogy of them The father of Narapatı was the sōni Diahanasiha, who was a son of the thakura Jasa, who agan was a son of the thahura Ámbada Mahap̣asiha had two mves, named Mālhanı and Tihunā From the first he had the sons Ratanasiha, Nàkhī, Mālhana and Gajasiha, who are called sōnis and from the second, Narapati, Jayatā and Vijayapāla, who are also called sōnīe Narapats had two wives named Nāyakadēvi and Jālhanadēvi His sons from the former only are mentioned, vuz Lrakhamidhara, Bhuvanapāla, and Snhadapāla This and the mention of the spiritaal benefit (śrēyas) of Nayakadēvi as the object of the record perhaps show that, at the time of the donation, the latter was dead and the second wife had but recently been married and that he had no chuldren from her $O f$ the members of his famly, Narapatit thus appears to have been joined, in making the gift, by his second wafe and his sons from the first wife

It is worthy of note that Narapati himself, his brothers and his father are called sōnis Sōni cannot possibly mean a goldsmith here, as both the grandfather and the great-grandfather of Narapati are styled thakura Now, Soni is a well-known clan amongst the three Bama classes of Mârwār, viz Ōsvāl, Sarāvgi, and Mahēsari The last may be left out of account, because they are not Janas Salāvgis, though they are Jamas, are, however, not fonnd in the sonthern parts of Mārmār Narapati and others were consequently, in all likelihood, Ósval Sonis Of the Mabessari Sonnis it is stated that ther nakh or origmal tribe was Sonigara What us true of tho Mahēsari Sonis 18, in all probability, true of other Sonis also It is a well-known fact that many Rajupūt tribes, for avordung Mahammadan oppression and so forth, became Jamas, and merged themselves into the Bama classes Sōmgarā appears to be the name of one of such tribes It is the name of a celebrated clan of the Chōhāns, and is commonly but correctly derived fiom Sōngar, $2 e$ Suvarnagirn, the hill of the Jälor fort atself Narapatn's inscription was doubtless engraved in some temple on this fort, though the pillar, on whoh it is incised, seems to have been taken away to serve as material for the constraction of the mosqne, now called töplhänä, in the city of Jālor When Narapati, his father, and brothers are called Sonis, what is meant is that they were Ōsvāl Sōnis, but that they were perhaps originally Sonngarās, and that amongst them Mahanasiha first became a Jama, as he is called a Soni and his father and grandfather, thakuras

TEXT ${ }^{1}$

##  <br> 2 वे[गा]खवदि 4 [सोमे] स्री- <br> 3 सुवर्शागितो ${ }^{3}$ 习्र्येन महा- <br> 4 गजकुलग्रीसामतसिन्है- <br> 5 कल्याणविजयरान्द्ध त- <br> 6 त्मादपद्मोपजीविनि (ii) <br> 7 [ग] जग्रोकान्ह डटेवरा- <br> 8 च्यधुरा[सु]द्वमाने इँे <br> 9 व वास्तव्यसघपतिगुएध- <br> 10 रठकुरअ्रांबडपुनवकुर ${ }^{6}$ -

[^45]
## 11 जसप[न]]मोनीसहासीह-

## 12 भार्थामान्ह नगिपुच[मोनी]रत-

13 न[fस]न्तागखोमान्ह्यावजसीछ-
11 तिन्युगापुन[सो]नीनरपतिज-
15 यताविजयपाल[न]स्पतिभा-
16 यानायकर्दवि'घुन्नलख्वमीध-
17 गभुत्वत्पपाल[न] हडपार्निद्वि-
18 तीय[स] [र्याजाल्हग्ये चि(वी)द-
19 त्यादिन्मुटवसहिति[न] ${ }^{2}$ मा-
20 यानायकहेवि[ग्रो]योंच्यं'
21 ढेवग्रीपाग्यूनाग्रच्चेत्वे पच-
22 मीर्रलिनिमित्त ${ }^{1}$ जिग्रा[रि]]ने-
23 प[ह]द्समेक नग्पतिना दत्त्र ${ }^{5}$

25 नायगोधि[के: प्रतिव]से?
26 ग्राचादर्क ${ }^{*}$ पचमीवलि: ${ }^{3}$
27
कार्या ${ }^{10} \|$ [गुभ] सब[तु] ॥ कृ[॥]

## XISIV -KOT-SOLINKIYi INSCRIPTION OF VANAVIRA, [VIKRUMA.] SAMVAT 1394.

This nescription mas found at Fōt-Sōlankiya, qbout lu mules north-east of Disūr! It is cagravid on a pillat of a Jaina tomplo now in rum I edit the inseription from tro ercellent estampages hindly sapphed to me by Munshi Devi Prasad, Munsiff of Tüdhpar

The record contans 8 lines of misting, mheh cover a space of $11^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $5 \frac{y^{\prime \prime}}{8}$ bigh The characters are Nagari Tho langrage is Sanskrit, and the whole of the iecoid is in prose except one reise in ll 7-S at the end In respeet of orthography, the only point that deserves to bc noted is the doubling of a consonant in conjunetion with a preeeding $r$, in $\bar{a}$-chamdrärhkam, 16

The inseription is dated Friday, the 13th of the braght half of Chaitra in the [Vikrama]
 It then states that it $\bar{A}$ salapura, the räuta Mūlaraja, of tho family of the räuta Mālhana, grandson of the rãuta Söme and son of the rãuta Bāmbi and his wfe Jäkinaledevı, granted, for the spintual merit of his parents, Dhikuyau together with a $\frac{a}{}{ }^{\text {did }}$ or orehard, on the occasion, of horsting the flag of the templo of Pärsvanatbadeva, in the presence of the räuta Bāla, Tambhà and Nimva

[^46]" liead "कुटुन?
${ }^{1} \mathrm{Read}{ }^{\circ}$ ट्व ${ }^{0}$
${ }^{3}$ Read $\overline{\text { ह }}$

- Read नडाटकेन.

- Rcad ${ }^{\circ}$ वसि




## TEXT 1

 युक्षे

3 माल्हग्ञान्बबे राउतसोमपुचराउतवावोभार्यनाखन्जदि-

5 गाडतबालराउतहा[या] ऊुमरलूसनीचानमच मातृपिनो.' पु.

7 वस्बा प्रमागा 11 बहुसिर्नुँवा झुका राजजि सगर्णंकिज 1 घ-
8 स चम्ब यदा 'भूमी (1) तस्य तरख तढा फम्न 1 ' उ उन सवतु 11 यो $\|$
[VIKRIMA-] SNMV゙ST ] $\because$;
 tomple of Nemmatha called JädVaji, sitanted on a halloch to the southerst of the rillage it contans 16 lines of $n$ riting, wheh cover 3 space of $8^{\prime}$ broad by $1^{\prime} 2$ ' high 'I he chrracters aro Fiagari The language is Sanskrit, and the whole of the inscription is in prose It is wottiy of note that each line begins with two verticil strahes In reapect of orthography, it is sufficent to note that consonants folloming, are is a rule donhtid wed thit the hal $d$ is ture

 of Kirittika in the [Vikrama] year I443 clapsed It speahs of the Riju J'ancviradeva, son of
 the rcbuldug of the structure ( $p r a \overline{s a ̈ d a}$ ) of Sri-Nēmisvara, the ormancui nf the Y dinime by Vingyachamdrasuri, the occopant of the patta or pontufirel sent (te the successor) of Dharmachamdrasürn The latter, we are told, telonged tu the hac of inimatungecinn, the se n in the shy of the Brihadgachecha

TEZT. ${ }^{10}$

## 1 ग्रो ${ }^{11}$ ॥ स्ति श्रीन्टपविक्नससम-

2 यातीत स [?]8४₹ वर्ष कान्ति-
3 कवदि 28 गुक चौनड़लाई्ले-

[^47]


10 From the oryinal stone
${ }^{11}$ Expressed oy tro 0 s mbula
4 नगरे चाहुमानान्वयमचा-
5 राजाधिराजग्रीवएावीरदे-
6 वसुतरानम्री $[\mathrm{T}]$ एवीरद्वववि-
7वृहृ[च्छ] नभस्तलडिनकरो-
पमস्रीमानतुगस्रिवंशोद्र[व]-2
10 ग्रीधर्म्मचंद्रस्र्रिपहृलच्म्मीग्र-
11 वसोउत्पलायमाने:3 ग्रीविन

    यचंद्रसूरिभिरल्पगुण्यारिए'-
    कारत्नाकरस्य यदुवशयूंगा-
    रहारस्य भ्रीनेमीग्वर्स्य निरा-
    क्रतजगदविषाद: \({ }^{5}\) मासाद स-
    सुद्धे ग्राचद्रार्कं नदतात \({ }^{8}\) ॥श्री \(\|_{0}^{0}\)
    
## XXVI and XXVII-SÃÑCHOR STONE INSCRIPTIONS OF PRATĀPASIMHA, [VIKRAMA-] SAMVAT 1444

Theso mscriptions are engraved on two pillars, which now form part of the stables attached to the kachërī at Sānchōr Doubtless they orignally belonged, as will be secn in the sequel, to an ancient temple, not a trace of which now remann The first record contans 30 lines of wring which cover a space of $10 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{3}$ broad by $1^{\prime} 9 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ high, and the second 17 lines of wring which cover a space of $10^{\prime \prime}$ broad by $1^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}$ high The latter 18 an good proserration, but tho former has abont the middle of it a fer letters hero and thero motilated The tro togerher really form one record This is evident from the fact that the last verse which ocears at the end of the first part is numbered 3 , and the verse with which the second part opons as marked 4, instead of 1 as it would have been of the latter had really been intended to be a scparate record We shall, therefore, speak of the whole as one inscription The characters are Nāgari. The
 np to 132 , and the rest in prose As regards orthography, it is sufficient to note that a consonant is bat twice donbled in conjunction with a preceding $r$, and that $y$ is substitated for 1 once in Yyēshta (tha) ${ }^{\circ}$, 33 In respect of lexncography, the followng words may be notuced (1) $g r a \bar{a} a, 110$, and (2) pārlā, 111 Grāsa here scems to be used nn the sense of "gift," and not in its usual sense noted above in my remarks on No $V P_{\bar{a}} \imath l \bar{a}$ has already been explained (above No X)

The unscription opens with obersancs to the god Śra, and then, curiously onongh, is cited a verse which forms the well-known benedıctory stanza of Kihidāsa's Sähuntala This is followed by a verse (2) whicn mookes the protection of Nilakantha for the thon reigning Chāhamana prince Pratāpasimha, and of Pärvatī for hus green Kāmalladēvi, danghter of Subhata In the

[^48]next verse we are told that in the family of Lakshmanasimha, the best of Chāhamannas and hing of Nadula, which had many branches, there was one Sālha, son of Sōbhita Verse 4 informs ns that he laberated the people of Srimāla (Bhinmăl) from the Turashkas (Muhammadans) The verse following states that his son was Vikramasimia The eldest son of the latter was Samgramasimha, who had at least one elder brother, viz Bhima (v 6) The son of Samgrāmasimha was Pratāpasimha, reigning at Satyapura (Sānchōr). We are then montroduced to a different famly, the family to which Pratapasimha's queen belonged Aud the verses descriptive of her family are separately numbered Of these, verse 1 seys that there was one Viresiha of Karpuradhāra, that his son was a king named Makada, and that the son of the latter was Vamśalya Theson of Varisalya was Suhadaśalya and his danghter was Kamaladēvi, who was the wife of Pratīpasimha, and it was she who renovated the temple of Vāesvara together with the langa inside (vV 2-3) The second part begins with a verse, which says that the frait accrumg from restoring $1 s$ eight-fold that of merely bulding a temple, well or tank This is followed by the date, uz Friday, the 8th of the dark fortmight of Jyaishtha of the [Vikrama] year 1444 On this date we are agan told in prose that Bär Kämaladēvn, the crowned queen of Pata ( 2 e Pratãpasimha), the ornament of the Chāhñãna dynasty, and darghter of Suhadasala (Subhata or SnhadaSalya), the ornament of the Ümata family, rebuilt the dilapidated temple of the ged Vāeesvara We are farther informed that, for the dally offering to the god, a gift was made of (i) a field, and (2) two pärläs on every mannd of each commodity from the customs honse The grant was approved of by $s \bar{e}^{0}$ ( 2 e sēlahasta) Vadradā, a Peramāra, Mam ${ }^{\circ}$ Chanadà, and $s e^{0}$ Sȧmaln, a Pratihāra The ächärya in connection with this matter was Rāma, a vyāsa of the Satyapara jūäti The composer of the prasasti was vyã Nā̄̄̄anadēva The person who wrote to out was vy $\bar{a}^{-}$Kannhāka, and the person who engraved it was the sütradhära Mädhava

It is worthy of note that SuhadaSalya, father of Kàmaladēvi, $1 s$ callcd an ornament of the Ümata family, Ümat 18 still the name of a säha or subdivision of the Paramanas, and we have still two tracts of land named after them, one Umatawada in Malwa and the other Umtātı round abont Bhnmãl As Bhinmãl is not far from Sănchor where Pratãpasimha was reigning, it is more reasonable to suppose that his father-na-law was a chief of Umtatr than of Umatawādā Karpüradhārä, which had been held by the great-grandfather of Suhadaśalya, must be located somewhere near this Umtati Next, Sāmala, one of the persons who approved of the grant to the god Vāyēsvara, is in the mecription called a Pratibãra Pratiharramust here be taken, I thank, to be not a Padihār bnt a Padihārıya, as only Padıhāryyãs are fonnd in the southernmost parts of Mãrwār, se round about Bhinmāl and Sãnchōr Satyapura jñāt to which the āchärya Rāma belonged, 18 obvionsly what is now known as Sānchōrē, a caste of Brāhmanas, who abound in the districts of Mallāni and Sänchor

TEXT ${ }^{2}$
XXVI
1 II ओं नम: झिवाय $\|$ या स्टहि: सहुरादा पि[ह]$2 \cup^{2}$ विशिहुस या हविर्या च होनी ये हे काल विध3 - [ ] गुतिविषयगुणा या स्थिता व्याप्य विग्वं 1 याम[T]. 4 छु: सर्वंदीजप्रर्नतिरिति यया प्राशिन: म्रायाष-
5 त: प्रत्यचाभि: प्रस्नस्तनुभिश्वतु वस्ताभिर-
${ }^{1}$ From the original stone
2 Read वह्रति
${ }^{1}$ Resd विधक

- Reend yुति

6 ध्रामिरीश: $\left[1^{n}\right]\{$ ग्रोनीलकंठोऽबतु चाहसानप्रता-
7 पसिहं रिपुदंतिसिंनं 1 गगरींदपुनी सुभटस्य पु-
8 नीं कामल्लदेवीं समुतां [पु]नातु $\left[11^{-}\right][२]$ नडूलभूसीप-
9 तिचाहसानशिखामएल [च्म] गयि हनाम्न: ॥(1)
10 अनेकधाविस्तृ[त]वंश्श[कृंः:] कुले वभौ सोभि-

32 दाना[द]स्सून यश्शसीט - 1 तुरष्कवदीक्र-
13 नवि[ग्व]लोकान् स्रीमाल[त]† मोचयति सम धीर.
14118 - [ल्मजो $]^{2}$ विक्रमसिंच ग्रासीनास ग्नु[निं]-
$15-v \cup-v$ भोगी 1 य: ग्रीयशोविक्रम[रागसो]-
16 गैरस्मारय[स्यूपतिवि]क्रमार्कं $\left.1[1]^{2}\right]$ तदंग[ज: शूरवरो]-
17 तिदानि' मी[मा] पजन्मा कुल[केखवेन्दु:] । स-
15 ग्रामसिहोS[सव]दुग्रवैरि [सं]ग्रास[नेराजकु] ${ }^{4}-$
19 [लै]झनेता $[1]$ द $[$ त]ननंदनो नदति सुप्रता[प]: स्र-
20 तापसिह्ह[: प]<चक्रमद्दीं। यस्मिनृपे सत्यपुरी-
21 [य]लोका लोकाय न सू: स्ट्हयत्य घ्मेका: । [จ]

23 [स] बर्षो । अर्योन्टृपो माकडनामधेयसन्नद्-
24. नोऽभूद्धुवि वेत्शिस्ब. $[1]$ त तस्वात्म[न]: सुहड-

25 घल्य इति प्रसिद: ग्युद: समर्डितननोडधिक-
26 देश्यसत्ता । देवहिजातिबहुभत्तिपर: परो-
27 पतापी सहछे नृपरिनीतिविचत्त्र्योड़स्ति ॥र त-
28 स्यात्मना सन्चरिता प्रतापसिहम्रिया कामल-
29 देविनान्नी । भ्रासादमेन विधिव[त्स]ललिगं वाए-
30 ग्रूर जीएर्शंकमुद्ध्धार ॥₹

## XXVII.

31 ॥ प्रासादकूपसरसां यत्फलं नवनिर्मि-
32 तो 1 तरोटगुएसाम्नोति जीएर्लोडार पुन:
33 ऊते $1\left[1^{*}\right] 8$ खस्ति सवत् 3888 [व]र्षी ब्ये ${ }^{5}$ वदि-
34 भुगौ चाह्न स्राएकुलतिलकराजग्नीपाता-

[^49]> 35 पद्टराज्री ${ }^{1}$ ऊसटवशभूषएराजम्रीयुच्दड-
> 36 सलसुता बार्द्वकामलदेविनाम्नी भगवती
> 37 वायेग्र्स्य प्रासादस्य जीगर्जीए करं करो-
> 38 ति स्म 1 अयं प्रासाद: कामझदेवीसंतानेन स-
> 39 च याचट्राई मदतु ॥ तस देबस्य नित्य पु[जा]-
> 40 नेघेघ्यार्यं कुतो ग्रास:(1) एकचेज $\|\circ\|$
> 41 सडपिकायां वस्तु(?) मयां प्रति पादूलार षडा स-
> 42 छै: पालनीय 1 से० परमाशवद्रडामतं 1 मं०
> 43 चरडामतं । से० प्रतीहारसामलम[तं] 1 ग्रीसत्य-
> 44 पुर्ज्ञातीय क्या स्रीरामोडनाचार्यं: । [व्य]त
> 45 नारायएदेव: प्रश्स्तिकर्त्रीं । ब्या० कान्दा-
> 46 को लेखक: i सन्वारमाधवस्य कति: [1*]
> 47 चेम कख्यायं भवतु 1 भुभ अवतु ॥म्यो:त

## history of the marwâr chá hamãnas

All records-epigraphic and bardic-agree in looking upon Lakshmana as the fonnder of the Xajarwar branch of the Chāhamanas. The Nädol plates of Kirtipala ${ }^{3}$ anform as that in the town of Śãkambhari, ze Sāmbhar an the Jodhpur State, there nas formorly, in the Chähomãna lineage, kng Vablpatrāja, and his son was Lakshmana, who was king at Naddūla (Nadol) This Väkpatiräja was, in all likelhood, the Chähamana king of that dame mentroned as the grandiather of Vigraharaja, for whom wo havo an inscription dated V E 1030 in a temple on The Harsha bill m the Śekhavati province of the Japur State 4 No record of Lakshmaea has as jet come to light Bnt on the Süraj-pol nt Nädol is an inscription of the time of Kélhspa, dated VE 1223, which merdentally mentions the name Laikhana and the dato V E. 1039 for him ${ }^{5}$ The latter itras appears to have made some conquests for himself in Godvād and established hmself at Nadol where his memory as still preserved The Süraj-pol jast alluded to is asid to have beon erected by ham The fort of Nadol also bears his namo, and ho a日 sapposed to have constractedit In his Annals and Anfiqusties of Rajasthan, Colonol Tod says "I presented to the Royal Asasic Society two inscriptions from Nadole, one dated S 1024, the other 1039 They ars of Prince Lakha, and state as instances of his power, that he collected the transit duties af the further barrier of Patan, and levied tribute from the prince of Cheetore" But it is by no means clear how far Tod's statoment as to tho contents of these inscriptions 18 to be trusted.

Lakshmana's son was Śöbhita, also called Söbhtta and Sōhiya. He 2 s represented in the Sündhĩ hill mocmption to have taken away the glory of the lord of Himãdribhava, a.e. Ābū ${ }^{7}$ From him aprang Baliraja, who 28 represented in the same inscription to hare defeated an army of Mañaraja The latter must bo the saraeas the Paramãra Vākpataraja II. Amogha-

[^50]varsha of Màlava, for whom we have dateg rangıng from A D 974 to 993 The invasion of Manjaraja here reforred to is in all probabihty the same as that mentioned in the Hathandi ingcription of the Rāshtialouta prances ${ }^{\text {l }}$ Therem Dhavala 18 said to have checked the fur-
 Āghāta² Bahrüja was succeeded by Mahēndra also called Mahīndu, son of Vigrahapāa, who was the paternal uncle of Bahiaja. As was first pointed out by Prof Kielborn, this Mahendra 18 no doubt the same as his namesake, referred to in v 11 of the Hãthuadi mscription It speaks of Dhavala as having protected Mahèndia when he was being harassed by the army of Durlabharaja This Durlabharija can be no othor than the Chaulukya hing Dullabharaja, the brother and successor of Vigiaharijg of the Harsha inscription ${ }^{3}$ Mabēndra's son was Aśvapãla ${ }^{4}$ His son was Ahıla, who, according to the Sãndha hill unscription, defeated the Chaulukya king Bhímadèva I He was succeeded by his paternal nucle, Anahılla, ${ }^{5}$ who was a son of Mahēadra Ho, too, is spoken of as having vanquished BhimadēvaI, seized Śs̄hambharî, slann Sādha, a general (dand -ādhisa) of the Mâlava king Bhōja, and defeated a Turushka, ie a Muhammadan hing ${ }^{6}$ Aftor him came to tho throne his son Bālaprasãda He compelled Bhimadêva I to hberate from prison a kıg named Krishṇadēva As shown by Professor Kielhoin, the last hagg is the Peramãra Krishnarāja, son of Dhandhuka and giandson of Dēvarāja, for whom we have tro imscriptions at Bhumãl dated in AD 1060 and 1067 It can hardly he donbted that be must lave been a brother of the Paramára prnce, Pürnapāla, who was a son of Dhandhukn and graudson of Mahupãala, and for whom we have the dates AD 1042 and 10457 Both the datcs and the names of the father agree wath one another Krishnaiaja mast, therefore, be taken to be a younger brother and saccessor of Purnapäla The discrepaney in the numes of their giandfathers-that of Krılınaraja's beng Déraraja and that of Pán vapāla's being Mahipäla- 18 not of any sclious importinee, as wo have several mstances of one and the same prinee bearngy more than oue name, not only of identical, but also of dufferent import

Bālaprasäda was succeedcd by his brotheı Jındurāja also known as Jındarāja or Jēndrarāja b He gained a victony at Sandēra, rhuch, as I have already sand, is Sändērā̄ in the Bäli District, Gödvàd division, Jödhpar Stite (No IV above) There 18 an mscription in the temple of Kämḗvara-Mahādēva at Ãuwa in the Sojat District It is dated Saturday, the 15th of the darly fortnight (amāचāsyā) or Aşayuja ( 2 e Āśvine) in [Vilkrama-] Samvat 1132, nnd refers


[^51]and $\nabla$ E 1132 ( $\operatorname{AD~1075)~is~the~earhest~hitheito~hnown~date~for~a~Mārwã~Chāhamãna~king~}$ derved from a contemporary record

His son and successor was Prithvipala, who defentcd an army of the Gürjara king Karna, $\tau e$ the Chaulukya king Karna-Traliökyamalla, son of Bhimadēva I, a contomporary of Ahila, Anahilla and Bālaprasāda Lihe many of his predeceasors, he too scems to have orected a temple to Śva at Nādōl and culled the derty Prithvīpālésvara after himbelf Ele was succeeded by his brother Yōjaka, also known as Jōjala, or Jōjalla He 18 mentioned as haring by force occupred Anahillapma (Anhivādā) Nos I and II give for him the date V E 1147, थe A D 1090, which contan his mandate for the observance of festivals in conncction with the gods Lakshmanaspāmu and others at Nādol Jojala seems to have dedicated a temple to Siva at Nadol, and namcd the latter Jojalēsvara after himself After him camo to the throne his brother $\bar{A} s \bar{s} a r a \bar{j} a$, also called Aśvarāja and Āśvaka Three inscriptions of his time (Nos III-V) have so far been discovered, with dates ranging from A D 1110 to 1143 Of these, two were fonnd at Sevādi in the temple of Mahāvua and the thurd at Bāh in the temple of Bōlà or Bahuguna māta The last speaks of him as subsisting on the lotus-like feet of the Mahārājādhrrīja Javasiha, We have already seen that ap to the tıme of Jojala, brother of Asparāja, the Mrā wār Chāhamannas were constantly at war with the Chanlakyas They wesc consequently till then not subordinate to the Charlukyas, but owed fealty to some other sapremo power and it was Jayasimba, son of Karna, who appears for the first tıme to havo subjugated Gōdrad and made the Mārwāı Chähamanas his tubutames Of the tro Sēvadı merıptioms the carlicı is datod in A D 1110


 bave been in his bluchtr, $2 e$ the district assigned to hin for manatenauce, it scoms that even in AD 1115 he was not reigning as a ruler, but was merely, as yunaräja, holding the province round about Sēvādi But, from No VI, it is evident that he did reign as long at Nadūla He is there called mahārājādhräja Eatudēva, and lis son, Jayatasiha, is mentioned as yuraraja The date of this inscripnon is Samvat 31, wheh, as stated chove, must be taken to





 period wheh other inscriptiona give for the remat ot both the f, thit wat the son In point of
 ether Asvarija or Katukarija, and in No VII uc is opphco of a butonging to the Chăhamāna lneage 1 It 18 thus mexplicable how he reigned at the sime place ana yochronously whth the father and son Perhaps the following explauation mas be offered for what it is worth Instanees are not wanting of fiction taking place betwecn a feudatory ehieftain and his overlord, and consequently of the former being ousted by the futicr, for sorne time at least, from the provinee beld by him Such a thing might perhaps live than placc here Asvarāa or Katakaraja might havo for some time lost the favoul of then far mount sovereign and for

[^52]that reason been replaced by Rayapaila, one of their relatives. From No VII it seems that this Rāyapālo had a qneen named Mānaladēví, and at least two sons, named Rudrapāln and Amritapāla by her. Under hum was the rāuta Rājadēva, son of the rāuta Ūdharana of tho Guhile family. He was a thakur of Nraduladagika, which, as stated abovo, was the Sangkritised form of Nādlāi In an inscription found at Nādol, a certann ränaha Bhūnana is mentioncd as belongıng to the Karnăta country and as a contempoiary of Rāyapāla Thas princo cannot be identified, bat appears to havo como to Nãdol to pay a friendly visit to the Chähamaña ling

From V E 1203 ( $\triangle$ D 1146) to 1218 (A D 1161) not a single anscruption hns been traced un Godvād of the Chāhamāna princes of Nādsl, bnt wC have fonnd anstend no less than fonr reeords of a person who is varionsly called Vaijäka, Vaije, Vaijalladēva and Vayajaladēva Ho is spoken of as a dandanāyaka or general of Kumärapäla and as reigning at Nādol, 20 exactly in the capital town of the Chāhamānas described above Thas chnngo of ralo over Godväd may perhaps be explaned as follows We know from Gnjarät acconuts that Kumarrapala invaded the Sämbhar territory and defested Arnōrā̄ja ${ }^{1}$ This event is alluded to in a Chitörgadh inscription of Kumārapāla dated VE 1207, and is spoken of as having takeu place shortly before this date Rāyapāla porhaps sided with Arnorajan and brought abont Kamārapăla's indignation And Kumärapaila might have deprived him of his territory. At Pali an the temple of Somésvara there 1s a mach abraded mseraption of the reign of thas Chanlukya sovereagn mith the date $V \mathrm{E}$. 1209 An eprgraph in a dilapidated temple at Bhätund in the Bäli District 19 dated $V$ E. 1210,
 charge of the disinct of Nadūla (Nädōl) The temple of Mahāvira at Sēvãdi contans a reeord dated in Sampat 1213, wheh speaks of damd $a^{\circ}$ (ia dandañ̄yaka) Vaijá as raling at Nıdūa Another Jaina temple at Ghānērāv in the Dēsūri Dustrict has an inscription dated the same sear as the last and referring itself to the reign of the damdanayaka Vaujalladõa On a lintel of the sabhämandapa of the temple of Bolā or Bahagana mātā at Bäli $2 s$ engravod an epigraph whioh speerfies the date Samvat 1216, makes mention of the paramonnt sovereign Kumãrapaila nnd speaks of dainda ${ }^{\circ}$ Vayajaladēva as excreising local anthority at Naddrūla (Nādel) It will thus be seen that from abont VE 1209 to 1216 Knmärapăla deprived Rāyapila, or some othcr Cbăbamãna prines of his anecstral dominons, and stationed Vajaladéva as dandanäyaka over that province Bnt, thoagh Kumārapala wrested Gōdrād from the Chähnmāna raler of Nădol, he was woll-dısposed towards Ālanadēva or Ālhanadēva, son of Aśvarāja and yonnger brother of Katukarāja For, an unscription in a Siva temple at Kırādū-Mallāni (No XIf above) tells us that Ālhanadēpa was then in possession of Kırätakūpa (Kırāđū), Lātarhada (Rāadhadā) and Siva (Sheo) and that he obtamed these places through the favour of his overlord (prabhtu) Kımărapāla ${ }^{3}$

When esactly Ālhanadēva came into possession of his paternal dominions is not knomn, but certann it is that the Nidol province came under his sway some yoar between VE 1216 (A D. 1159) the last date of Vaijaladōva in Godrād that wo know of and V.E. 1218 (A D. 1161) the date of Ālhana's Nādzl copper-plate grant whoh is tho earlicst of his mseriptions in Godvād Älhena is called Āblädana in the Sundhā hill inscription He is there spoken of as having asbisted the Gürjara kıng every now and then. The Gūrjara kıng at this tıme was Kumàrapãla, and as help was constantly given to him, it explans why âlhanadēva stood high in his favour and was restored to his ancestral territory Ālhanadēva is also mentioned in the Sūndbă hill unseription as having bnilt a temple of Siva at Naddūla and as having put down distarbances

[^53]In the mountanous part of Surāshtra inscription of Kirtipala noted above

The Intter coent is alluded to in the Nidan copper-plate The Prabandhachentamane spenks of Knmãapila as having sent bis general Udayana aganst Sausara, king of Surāshtra, whth whom be was at mar. In this fight Kumärapila's army was defeated, and Uday ana was mortally wounded In the end, however, Samara (Sansara), as the ITumärapālachurtta says, was defcated and bis son placed on the thione It was probably Elhapa who proved anstrumental in secuning this rictory for Kamarapala Âlhana seems to bave suffered for lis allegiance to the Chaulukja dynasty at the hands of Vigraharaja, son of Arnöraja of the imporial Chähamana family. For the latter, as the Bublian mscription ${ }^{1}$ nforms us, made Naddūla (Nadol) a nadvata, a a bed
 morried Annalladēi, daughter of Sahula of the Rāshtrauda family Allhna had three sons by her, aze Kèlhana, Gnjasmba and Eirtıpila Ālhana apperrs to have given a shane mo the admustration of his kingdom to his first tro sons For, in No XII, the sign-mannal of Alhanadera is follorred up bs the approral of both his sons, Kèlhanadera and Gajasimha Even the villages round abont Nādliî, made orer to Kirtipals for bis mantenance in the Nádol plate, were granted to lum not by has father Alhana alonc, but also by Kelhana, has brother It is carions that Gajasmbia 18 not mentioned in thes conncction $H e$ must doubtless have been alve at this trme, otherwise hus name wonld not have beon specifed in thas inscription in $114^{3}$ Probably Kêlhana's name alone as here mentioned bccanse he was tho yuvaraja or herr-apparent, as is quite clear from 116 of tho record

Of Kèhana no less than sis inscriptions were fonad by me with dates ranging from V.E 1221 to 1236 Allanadéra thas died an somo jear beturcon $V E 1218$ and 1221 Kêhara appears to lave remaned tributary to Kumarapāia, for No XIV refors atself to the reign of Kamărapaila, and speaks of Këlhana as raler of Nãdülya (Nädol) This record is dated in Samrat 1228, wheh 19 , in fact, the litest authentic date we haro for the Chanlukga soverenga, who, nccording to Gujanit chroneles, dicd a year later The Sundhit hill wscription represents Kelhana to have ronted a Turusbisn hing nd crected a golden tërana, "like adiadem for the abode of the holy Semisa" His brother Eimipuila also 19 spoken of in the same inscription as having ranqushed a Turushaa army at Kāsahrada Both these descriptions mast refer to one and the same erent, for about this time only one

[^54]Muhammadan invasion took placo The Tawärehhes-Firishtüh tells ps that in A E 574, 2 or A.D 1178, Muhammad Ghorie "marched to Oocha and Moultan, and from thenee continucd has ronto through the sandy desort to Guzerat The prince Bhimadēva (a hacal descondant from Brahma Dew of Guzerat, who opposed Mahmood Ghznevy), advanced with an army to resist the Mabomedons, and defented thom with great slangbtor" 1 Now, at Kiradu there 18, an the templo of Somésvara, a matilated anscription, which as dated an Sampat 1235 and refers atself to the reign of the Chaulukya king Bhimadêva II It mases mention alfo of his feudatory chneftarn Madanabrahmadēva and his subordınate Tējapăla We are further told that the amage of Somessara, which was in the temple, bad been broken to preces by the Turnshkas, buta new one mas caused to be made and anstalled by Tējapāla's mife, those name is anfortanately lost it will be seen that the imago must have been broken by Muhammad Ghori For, the date of his expedition s $\triangle D \quad 1178$ or VE 1234-35, and the date of the Krriduan inscription, as we have observed, 28 Sampat 1235 There 18 thns a correspondenee of dates Secondly, as atated in the Tawārihh - - Firishtän, the route of Mnhammad Ghori lay tbrough the sandy desert Thas means that on has way to Gujarät he passed through the Mallani District of the Jodhpur State, which 18 nothing but a thal or desert And, as Kirātahupa or Kirāā was mancient daye a place of great importance in that region, it is nataral to auppose that the Mahsmmadan emperor captared the town and destrosed the ımage in the pronerpal temple there The Tawärhh $h-{ }^{2}$ - Firıshtāh further says that Bhimadēva II defeated the Mohammadan army mith grest slaughter Kelhnna, being a feudatory of the Chaulnkya family, must have gone to the help of Bhimadeva and must heve been accompaned
 crudit of ; manag a baulic forght by ther overlord whom they have bat assisted, both Kêlhann and Kirtpala me ropresented to hrve ranquished the Turushbas at Kãsahrada Nom three Kascilradas are montioned in inscriptions, and there are at least three places which may be modern equivalents of them. Thus there 18 a place called Kãsundra-Päladi near Ahmedābād, and thus may represent the Kásahrade of the Kapadranj grant of the Rāshtrakūta prince Krishna II and the Kasabrade of the Baroda grant of Dhrura II There 18 agase in the Surohi State a villago, at the foot of Mtount $\bar{A} b \overline{\mathrm{~L}}$, of the name of Kayadrām, whoh as also known as

 to Eanthinã This mast be the Kàsahrada of Aristmb's Suhrifasamkirtana Which one of there is the Easalrads of the Sūndia hull anscription depends upon the route taken by Jinhammad Ghoni after icaming Fuadu In the Tän-l Ma-Abir it 18 stated that when
 therr leaders Rāi Karan and Dãrābars in greater numbers" at the foot of Monnt Ābū and at tive month of a pass stood ready for fight and slaughter" The Musalmãne did not dare to attack them in that strong posinon, especially as in that very place Sultān Muhammad Sam Ghori had been wounded, and it was considered a bad omon to bring on another action there, lest a sumar accident might occur to the commander’ From thas at 18 evident that Muhammad Ghōrì sastamed a reverse at the foot of Mount $\bar{A} b \bar{u}$, and Kazan and Dārābars montioned here can be no otber than Kēlhans and Dbärävarsha The Käbahrada of the Sūnahā bull inscrpption 'whore Kēlhana aud Kirtipäla defeated a Trorushka army' mast, therefore, be identfied with Kāyadrām (Käsadrām). Tho Sūndhs hill mseription describes Kēlhana ss baving also defented the southern king Bhilima, who, as recognised by Professor Kielhorn, $1 s$ the Dēvagar-Yāava Bhillama. There are at least two nascriptions, wheh belong to the selgn of this last wing and which bear the date A D 1189 Ths date, it is expressly stated

[^55]wns the the jear of his reagn From this it se concluded thit Bhallama came to tho throne
 mecripion foud at Paladi un the Suroh State Kilhama and Bhallama were thas contem-
 Kilharn's queena mamed Mahbaladëri (No XTV) The neme of another, ua Jälhanadēvi, is pren in No XVII

Kilha-a was succeded bj lus son Joyatasiha, for whom twe inecriphons have been found, -oac at Bhamal and the other at Sadaci The frst is dnted 5 C 1230 nud calls hom mahne,
 and etyles bun mintrantantija: This shows that he became herg niter the death of Kelhava About three yenes after thas dati, ic mill 1107, we lear of the invaion of Qutub-ud-Din

 forts of [in'. and indole' Baly, of eame refers ta Path, the principal town of the distict
 that "whe" he reathed the lofty iots of Pah and Aindul, he fonnd them abandoned and the
 that Natal ard the surrounding cuitret were for fome time at least lost to the Chähamina
 Jatrasimhs of the Guhle dynasty dentroyed Nadina and deieateda Turushka army Fiom
 1309 He thas comes quite cloze to the date when Qntub ud-Dm couquored eastern Manam Jatraciminn, therifore, ecems to have destroged $N$ ithl when it was in tho possession of the Muhanmadnas, and the Tarachha nrmy difented by hmomat have bren headed, if not netanlly
 was hcld us a pmace named Dhämdhaladera, son of Visachevaladéa 7 He mas no doabr,
 of Kuhnma's harare From the sime mecriphon it seoms that in V F $123^{\prime}$ ho was tubutary to Bhyiva(Bhima)dēva, som of Ajaya(ya)pala, se the Chnulukga noverugn Bhimadēva II Felhana had, as we hase sren above, a brother named Kintipala, who was the orgatot of the Singers branch of the Chähmans From has Nadol copper-plate inecuption we lemn that twelic allages, such as Nadlai mad others in Godund hal bren axugned to hm for buntenavec b, ha father Allandira, whe he mist lare rontinned to enjoy the sevenues of the ce sillage, durm; his brotucr's "gime also His defent of tho Turuchka amy at Kusamadi las alreads beenalluded to In nddition to it he is repiesented in the Sindhi hill inserpition to have conquciud a Kirãtakípa chef numed Asala Knitahupz is undoubterly Kirsdú, and Ásin was perhaps the successor of inadanabrahma mentionel above It is aso

[^56]sadd about b:m that, although ho was the lord of the Naddúla (Nādōl) kingdom, he establıahed humself at Jābāhpura, be Jülōr, the principal town of the district of the same name in the sonthen part of Mãrwār Accordang to Maūtā Nēnsī, he wrested it fiom the Paramãras Certain it is that Jalör was in the possession of the Paiamãras till A D 1117, as an unpublished inscription found there shows ${ }^{1}$ One of the insciption slabs of Kumbhaharna onginally found at the temple of Manndēva at Kamalgadh ${ }^{2}$ noforms as that the Guhiōt prince Kumãrasımha expelled Kitū, who had occupicd his countiy In all the Söugarā chioncles Kirtıpāla is called Kïtū, and Kumãrasumha was only two generations proio to the Guhilot chief Jaitrasimha for whom we have dates ranging from A D 1213-1252 Kumārasumha can thas ve y well bo a contemporay of the Chāhamãna Kırtapāla, who must, therefore, be supposed to have carried an expedition of conquest in the Guhnlot territory

Kirtipala had at least thee sous and ouc daughter Of the three sons, one was Samarasimhe who sncceeded hım, and the othel two wre Läkhanapālba (or -pāld) and Abhayapāla montioned in No $\bar{X} V$ as the jāgzudirs of Sinānava or Samnänaka, which, I think, has to be identified with Sōnānă Sōnānā, it will be 1 emembered, was one of the villages gianted to Kartipala by Ālhanadēva, and Kïrtıpāla fuıther secms to have made it over dunng his brother's regimé to two of lis sons The name of his daughter was Rūdaladēvi, who, according to the Sñadhā hill insciıption, baılt two temples to Śsiva at Jabālıpura (Jālor)

After Kirtıpāla, hıs son Samorasımha, as stated above, became king Two nescriptions of this prince have been found at Jālōı (Nos XVIII and XIX) One of these is dated in V E 1239 and the other VE 1.42 The Sū adhã lull meciption sars that he built extensive ramparts on the Eanakāchala In the second of the Jālor eprgiaphs just alluded to, the following words occu1 sri-Jäbālıpuriya-Kāmchanagıı-gadhasy-öpanı, etc From this it is plann that Kämehanagirı or Kanahāchala was the name of the hill, on which the fort of Jālör $2 s$ situated When Kirtupāla carved out a kingdom for hanself, he established his capital at Jãlör, whose fortifications ricre commenced by him But as he did not live long after $1 t$, thes work had to be carined out by his son Samarasımha The Sündhã hill msciption also speaks of him as having weighed himself aganat gold and having after wards fonuded the city of Samarapura, which has not yet been identified A copper-plate charter of the Cbaulukya soverergn Bhimadēva II, dated $\nabla \mathrm{E}$ 1!63, makes mention of his queen Lilādēvi, who is spohen of as Chähuı räna Samarasīhasutā, ${ }^{3} 2 \mathrm{e}$ the daughteı of the Chōhān räñ̄ Samarasiha Professor Kielhoin was the first to identriy him witn the Mārwār Chābamāna hing of that name

The Sūndhă hill inscription repiesents his eon Udayasimha to have succeeded Samarasimha But Udayasınha was not his eldest son, because a Mount $\bar{A} b \bar{b}$ mecription speaks of Mãnevasimha, who is called a son of Samarasimha and the elder brother of Udayasimha ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Up to the time of Samaiastmba, the fower of the Sōngara family had been confined to the Jalour District and the terintory interveung betiveen it and Kirādō And at was Udayasmha who greatly extended these dominions, which nov incladed the districts originally held by the Nadoliyà branch For, in the Sūndiã hill inseription, amongst the places beld by him, Naddūla (Nâdol) is distinctly mentioned The otheı places named aie Jārāhpura, Mindavyapura, V̄̄̄gbbatamērra, Sūıăchanda, Rātabıada, Khēda, Rāmasanya, S̄rimāla, Ratnapura and Satgapara Almost all these places have been identrfied by Piofessor Kielhoru Jãvālıpura is Jālōr, Mändavyapura Mandōr, 6 miles roitlı of Jodhpar, Vāgbhatamēru is Bàdmêr, not

[^57]homeror the place wheh at present goes by the name, but Juna Bādmēr or emply Juna, as it is also called, abont 12 miles sonila.riest of the modern Bidmé ${ }^{1}$ Sürachamda ib, of course, Surächand in the Sancho: Dintrat Ratahrada has its name sibll survicing m


 Distnet And lixtly, Satyapura is Sinchor The Sundha hill ineciption nleo spenks of Udaymimina $u$ hi.ing pat an and to Sindiuraja and as not having ben conquered by the Gurgara lings Sindluriju may cuther be a ruter of Sindh, as Piofesur Kielhorn tahes it, or on individual prince of tunt amme In the case of the latter sumposition, he may be identafed with the pronce of thrt mame, vhase ton Sankia was deftated near Cambay by Vastupain durmg the Vaghela king Laranaprasada's regn Uingatinha anso deseribed an a geneml may as hring curled the pade of tho Tunstikn This must moply be taken to mean that he hal rome mon horuhtus with the Mfhammodans, and not necossarily that he
 "Soon after this event, Ooiye St, the trbatary rija of Jolwar, havang refused to make the unal payments, the hing "is mancod to much and rednee him to obedrenco " 3 Tho hug here alluded to 19 Shams-nd-Din Altamash, and Oodyc-Sa of Jalwar is nuquestionnbly
 follows 'Aftor some time, they refreented to has hajeat; that the inhaintants of the fort of Jalerar (Jalor) had determned to rovengr the blond wheh had been shed, "and onec or twiee mention of the eral deeds and amproputhes of that people wna made before the sublime throve Shams-od-dan necotangly nesembled $n$ inrge army, nud hended by "a number of the pillarg of
 Nasiru-d-din 'Alı and Bedru-d-dan $S$ masiggon," shmant men and skilfal archers, "who conld in a dark night hit with thear nrrows the marme on the forehend of an elophant" "The king took his way towards dulewar lig the mad of God," "nnd hy reason of the seantineas of water and food it was 7 mater of dangen to traverse that docert, whero one might base thought that nothing but the face of demons aud sprites could be seen, and the menns of escape from it were not eren written on the tablet of prozidential design"
"Ddi Sah, the neenrsed, took to tho four wallo of Julewar, an exceedingly strong fortress, the gates of wheh had acrer heen opened by nny conqueror" When the place was invested by Shams-ad-din, Ud. Sah regnested some of the chefs of the iojal nrmy to intercedo for has forgive. ness. Whale the urms of hes sumader were andor consideation, two ot three of the bastions of his fort were demolshed He came, " with his head and fect anked, and phaeed har forohead on the earth" and was recerved with favonr Tho Snltan granted lum lis hife, and restored his fortress, and in retuin the Rin presented respectulls $n$ handred eamels and twonty horacs, in the name of tributc and nftel the caston of sorvice "Tho Sultan then rutarned to Dehh
" ${ }^{*}$ This event came to pass betwecu A D 1210 and 1210
We have scen abovo that Udaynamina is apohen of in the Sundhi hall macriphon as not having been defeated by the Gurjara hingy This no donhtiefers to the fact mentioned in the Hirtul aumudithat four hage from Masw is conspared to rdvance aganst Lavanaprasãa whilo from the soath the Yädava sovcicign Simghana was maching aganst him The Kirtvhaumudi further says that the Vaghēla prince suceccded in diring back the Mirwan chofe, of whom Udayasimha must undoubtedly havo been one $\Lambda$ few jeais later, Lavanaprasidas son, Vira-

[^58]dhavala, had been placed in almost the same predicament, as we learn from the IIammira-madamardana, when Sunhena agann manched aganst hum on onc side, the Turushisa called Minachhikāra on anothei, and Dēvapāla, king of Mülwā, on the third The kings of Marudēsa wore on the point of joming the Turashka army, when Viradhavila at once marched and won them over to his sudc The names of these king are Sómasimha, Udayasimha, and Dhārāvarsha ${ }^{1}$ Of these, Ddayasimha is doubtless the Chāhamãma chicf of Jãlor

A manuscript of Rämachandia's Nvz bhayablizmavyāyóga has the followng
 dēva-kalyāna-vyaya-rã̀ye ${ }^{2}$

It will be pereerved at a glauce that the Udayasmina here referned to is the Chāhamanaa prince Udayasımha, for whom we have inscriptions with dates ranging from V E. 1202 to 1306 Similarly, at the end of his work cntitled Vivelhavilāsa, Jinadatia tclls us that he wrote it for the gratification of Dhanapala of the Vâyade family, who was looked npon as son by Dēvapala, the tieagury minister of Udayasimba of the Chähvana dynasty and the lord of Jāpalıpuras It can hardly be doubted that the prance here alluded to as the Chähamanna Udayasımba of Jäbālıpura (Jalöı)

Udayasımba's queen was Prahlādanadēvi, who bore to hım two sons, Cbāchıgadēva and Chāmundarāja From Bhnmā̀l Inscıption No XIII, we learn that Udayasımba had onc more son at least, uz Vähadasiha ${ }^{4}$ From other aceounts it appears that he had alho a daughter For, it is stated by Rājasēkhara in his Prabandhachaturtz "ssatz and by Harshagamin in Vastupãlacharatra that the Vāghêla king Viradhavala had two sons, $2 z a \operatorname{Virama}$ and Visala The cause of the latter was espoused hy Vastupala, and the formen had to flee for refnge to his father-in-law Udayasimha, chief of Jäbilh, but was ticachcrously murdered at the instigation of Yastupala ${ }^{5}$

Udayasumha was succeeded by his son Chāchigadẽra In the Sūndhā hill meserıption be is described as "destroging the roaring Gürjara lord Virama, hating the cucmy Śalya, taking cxquisite delight in felling the shaking Patuka, depriving Sanga of his colonr, and a thunderbolt to the monutan, via the fnious Nahara" Vinama, as pointed out by Piofessor Kielhorn, is doabtless the son of Viradhavala just mentioned Perhaps he was nssassunated by Chāchiga ai the instrgation of Vastapīla during his father Udayasımha's ieıgn Śalyu may be, as suggcsted by the lean ned doctor, the prince of the same name mentioned in rcrse 19 of the Dabhoii nscription as an cnemy of Lavanaprasida 6 Patuha is perhaps a dessi form of the Sanshriticed name Pratãpa The only Pıatápa, who, I think, ean be a contempor uy of Chächiga, is has eousin, who was the son of Manavasunha, the eldest brother of Udayasimha Sanga is perhaps Sangana, a ruler of Vanthali near Junagadh, who was a brother-m-law of Vinadhavala and was slann by hum ${ }^{7}$

Of the reign of Chächgadeva five insernptions have been fonnd The earhest is engraved in the temple of mäta on the Sūndhā hill in the Jaswantpriă District It is dated in the akshaya-tritiva of the month of Vassäkha of the [Vikrama] year 1310 The second is dated

[^59]V E 1326 It was found by me at Sęvadi, bnt was orignally lyng at Karēdà an Mewär, doubt. less Karahêda mentioned therem. No less than three of his records bave been discovered at Bhinman, with the dates V E. 1328, 1333 avd 1334 In the last mecription are mentioned his mothers Vabadasiha and Chāmundaräja, the last of whom has, as nc have alieady seen, been referred to in the Sñodan hill insciption

From nn inscription ongmally fonnd at Budhatrà bnt now deposited at the Ajmër museum it appears that Cháchigadern or Chächa, os he as theıc called, had a queen named Lakshmidēvi from whom he had a danghter e illed Rüpädēvil The latest date ne bave for Chächgadèra is YE 133f, and aftor th we find inscriptions of a bing who is named Sämamtasimba, Sämvatasimha or Samyantasimha mith dates rangigg from VE 1239 to 1353 Unfortnuately no epigraph has beca found which tells ns how ho was rclated to his predecessor Chächigadēra But all the bardie chroncles that I bave seen in Marwar are unammous in saying that Samamtasmba was a son of Chächigadera Ho was thus a brother of Rūpidéri, to whose rcign her unscription belongs The last of Samamtasimla's records dated VE 1353 is interesting (No. XXIII) It expressly refers tself to the conjoint roign of Sāmamtasımhadēva and Kānhadadēva The same thing is done in another mscripion found at Chöntan and noted in connection with ho XXIII Bardic chrourcles tell ns that the lattcr was a son of the former Kinnhadadeva most, thercfore, bave been yutarina or berr-apparent since V.E 1353, the date of the latter anscription No inseription has as yct come to light of this prince when he was on the throne But he is twice referred to in the Tavoarthh-t-Firashtăh While apeahing of the conquests, in AD 130i, of Ein-ool-Moolk Mooltany, a choftan of Alä-ud-Din, it says "Nehr Dew, Raja of Jalma, panie-btrnel at the rapid progress of Ein-ool-Moolk, snrrendered that plice "thout opposition": I am informed by Mansha Dem Praqad that for "Nehr Dew" the pabliohed Pcrsan text of the Tlawērulh-z Firishtăh bas Gätar Dev, which, with a slight change of dacritical maliz, can be rcad Kamr Der. Fanir Dev of Jalwar can be no other than Kānhadadēva, son of Samamtasimha of Jalör For about fonr years he remnned a feudatory of Ala-nd-Din The account of his death and the captare of Jalor arc given in the same work as follows 'It is related that the Raja of Jalwar, Nehr Dew (Kanir Der), as has been stated above, resided at the court of Dchly One day tho king was boastrig, that at the present day no raja of Hindocst in dared to oppose his arms, on which Nehr Dew, in the plenitude of folly, rephed, "I will sufier death, if I do not myself raise an army that shall defeat any attempt of the kng's troops to take the fort of Jalwar" The king directed him to quit the court, and finding he ras collecting troops, ordered a division of the army to besiege Jalwar, and the more to show his contempt for Nehr Dew, placed tho troops under the command of one of the slave grels of the palace, called Gool Behisbt "tho Rose of Heaven" She ovinced great bravery and nearly succeeded in tahing the fort, bnt she fell suek and died The siege was then conducted by her son Shaheen. Nehr Dew quitted the fort and attaching the rogal army, slew Shahesn, with his own hand, and the Mahomedaos retreated four days suecessively towards Dehly Alla-ood-Deen, rexed at this repulse, sent strong rcinforcements under Kumal-ood-Deen, a general of distinction, who suceecded at last in taking Jalwar by storm, and made a dreadful slaughter of the garisol, putting Nehr Dew and his family to the aword, and plundering all his treasures The ners of this event created great joy at the capital " 3 The exact date of this event is not specified in the Tauãrih-r-Firishtãh, but the latterimplies that it mast have come to pass ahortly before A D 1309

According to the chronicle of Müta Nēnsi, which is looked upon as of the highest authonty throughout Märwär, Chāchugadē had thico sons, vaz Sāmvatasi Rāval, Chēhedade, and Chamdra Sāmratasi's son was Känhadade, who was the lord of Jâlor and was also
styled the tenth Salagarāma (Śălıgiāma) and Gugulnãtha He and his son Virama died thice days after fighting with the Pätasāha ( 3 e Alā-ud-Din), who then captared Jālör This event happened in VE 1368 Jinaprabhasūri, anthos of the Tirithalcalpa, tells us that the temple of Mahāvira at Satyapura (Sãñchorr) was pollated by Alā-ud Din's army and the mage carried off in $\nabla \mathrm{E} 1367$ As Siñ̃chör is not far off from Jülol, it is not anreasonable to suppese that the aimy, which captured Jãlor, polluted the temple of Sañchor also And as the sack of this Jama fane occurred in the lifntimo of Jinaprabhasinis, the date given by him mast bo accopted as correct Between this and the date of the capture of Jãlor given by Mūtā Nensi, the difference is but onc year, which is of no consequence, for, as we learn from the Tawārrikn-r-Firsshtäh, the siege of Jàlor lasted for a long tame, and it is possible that, while the siege contnuued, a dctachment of the army proceeded to Sãũchơr for pillaging the teuplc whose fame must have spread all over southenn Más wār The date $1368 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{E}=1311 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{D}$ may thas be taken to be the year when the fort of Jallor fcll into the hands of $\Delta l a ̈-u d-D_{1 n}$

Mūta Nēnsi says that, wheu Jalor was on the point of beng taken, Kānhadadéva managed to send off his brother MLāladēva from the fort in order that tho Sömgara family might not be completely destroyed Mäladēva contınued to hve a migratory life for some time, bat soon succeeded in winning tho favour of the Miluhammadan emperoi, who was pleased to assign to him the fort of Chitor which remaned in his possession for soven ycars Aftor descining the flight of the Guhulöt prince Ratnasimha from Delh, the Tawärihh•z-Frrishtūh says as follows "At length, finding it of no use to retan Chittoor, the bing ordered the Pince Khizt Khan to evacuate it, und te mako it over to the nephew (sister's son) of the Raja The Hindu prince, in a short time, iestored the princupality to ats former condition, and retaned the tract of Chatteor as tribatary to Alla-ood-Dcen dauing the rest of his reign He scnt annually large sums of money, bosides valuable presents, and always jomed the imperial standard in the feld with 5,000 horse and 10,000 foot'l Abool Fazal, in his Anv-2-Ahbarl, gives the aame account, but mentions Màldèva as the name of the Hındu prance Mãladéva as mentioned by Tod also in connection with the fall of Chitorgadh, with regard to which he says that Alì-udDin ' dehvered the city in charge te Maldeo, the chief of Jbalor, whom he had eonquered and enrolled amongst his vassals "'2 Mütā Nēnsi tolls us that Maladēva had threo sons, viz Jësō, Kitapãla and Vanavira Of Jèso we know absolutely nething As legands Kitapãla, he may perhaps be the same as the Chāhumāna Śri-Kituka, whom together with Alā rd-Din, Rānā Bhuvanasimha 18 repiesented to have conquered in the Ränpur inscription ${ }^{3}$ Vanarira, the
 inscription (No XXIV), dated VE 1394 (A D 1338) Both Mãladéva and Vanavīa are rcforred to by Tod while speaking about the marriage of the widowed daughter of the former with Rāna Hammira Tod has also described how, with the help of his wife and a scribe whom he had obtanned as part of the dower, Hammina succeeded in makng himself master of his ancestial fort, Chitor He further says that "Bunbeer, the son of Maldeo, offerpd to serve Bamur, who assigned the districts of Neemutch, Jeeran, Ruttunpur, and the Kairar, to mantain the family of his wife in becoming dignity - . Bunbeon shortly after carried Bhynsrore by assault, and this ancient possession gaaiding the Chumbul was again added to Mermar" It is impossible to say how far this account, based solely on bardio ehromoles, is true It is mdeed curious how Güdvād, which comprises Kobt-Sölanhıyā where Vanavira's anscription was found, is not mentroued amongst the proviuces assigned to him by Hammir It is also

[^60]-
uncxpheable how the Kot-Solanhya inscription referred itself to the reign of Vanaviradēva alone, of the latter was snbordinate to Hammira

Mūtā Nēnsi's chroncle informs us that Vanarira's son was Ranavira or Ranadhira Of the latter an inscription (No XXV), has been found nt Nādlai in the temple of Jãdvãji on a hllock close by It specifics the dato VE 1443 (A D 1387), and speaks of Ranaviradeva, son of Vanaviradéva of the Chähamāna lincage as then reigning Accoidıng to Nūutā Nēnsí, Ranavira had two sons, vis Kelana and Rājadhars. The latter is repiesented to have been balled in V I 1432 whle fighing with Räva Ranamella The same evcut appears to bo alluded to in the following rords of Tod nbout this prince, whom he ealls Rinmul "With the forces of Mewai added to lis own, under pretenec of conveying a daughter to tho Vieeioy of Ajmer, he antroduced his adherents into that renowned fortress, the ancient capital of the Chohanns, patting the garnson to tho sword, and thas restored to to Mewar "

Here closes my account of the Sōnıgarā dynasty Sonigarà is a sīhiā or division of the Chohins, aud derives its namo from Sōngar, z a Suvarnagan, by wheh the fort bull of Jalor was known We hare scen aboro that Kırtipala, son of Ālhana, established himsclf at Jālor And consequently all the Somgraras traec thour descent from Kirtnpala, who is known by the abbrecinted form Fitū in their records The descendants of Cbohãn kines who preeeded Kirtipaja are called Nãdollyãs, rery fes of whom aro now found an Mürnär They are now settlcd, I am told, an the Pülanpur Agency at such plaees as Thirda and others A third
 belongs It sprang from Mãnavasimha, alias Mahanasimha, the cldest son of Samarasimha and the grandeon of Kirtipüla All the Chäbamana insorıptions fonnd on and round abont Ābū belong to the dynasty There 16 ycta fourth sub-division of the Märwär Chobāns, wbich is known as Sanchōra Thes were anquestionably connooted with Sānebor, in wheh distriet they are still found in large numbere And it cannot be seriously donbted that Nos XXVI and XXVII are rceords of this dynasty Thoy ano dated in $V E 1444$ and bolong to tho reign of Chāhūāna Pratāpasimha, also called Pātă A histnry of Give generations 2 s hore given, and We are cren told that they bclonged to tho family of Lakshmanasimha, king of Nadula Bat beyond enying that it was one of tho branehes sprang from Lakshmana, we are not informed how cxaetly thog were conneeted with tho Sonigara sāhha and whence they branehed off Mratā Nēnsī, howevcr, gives the following genealogy of the Sānchōrā Chöbāns (l) Rāva Lüthana, (2) Bah, (3) Sôhī, (4) Mahanda Rāva, (5) Anahala, (6) Jıda Rãva, (7) Āsa Rāva, (8) Mánaha-Rāva, (9) Älhana, (10) Vijaısì, who captared Sãñehor, (11) his son Padama-sí, (12) his son Sobhrama, (13) his son Sülư, (14) his son Vikāma-si, and (15) his son Päto It
 And amongst the names that follow his may be recognised those given in Nos XXVI and XXVII Thns Söbhrama, Sālo, Vikāma-sī and Pãtó of Mūtā Nēnsi's list are Sōbhita, Sālba, Vikramasimha, and Pratäpasimha. The order of saceession also 18 eorrect oxcept for the omassion of the name of Samgrāmasımha, fathè of Pratapasımba Mantã Nōnsi's neeount agan agrees with the inscription in deriving the descent of these prinecs from Lakshmana, bat farther gives the additional information that the founder of the Sanchorá braneh of the Chohãns as snch was Vijaisī, apparently son of Alhana Thero appears to be a hittle confusion in the order of succession betwecn Lakshmana aud $\nabla_{\text {juasisi as }}$ given by Mñtã Nẽnsí, bot there is no dufficulty in rocognang Allhana to be the namo of that Chobun prinee, who was father of Këlbana and Kurtipāla Just as his son Kirtipāla seized Jālor, estabished himself there, and became the fonnder of a Chöhän branch callod Songara, so another son, named $\nabla_{1 j a y a-s i, ~ a p p e a r s ~ t o ~ h a v e ~}^{\text {a }}$ captared Sānehor, whero he reigned and originated the Sānchorā hine

[^61]No 5 -PALTTANA PLATES OF DHARASENA II., [GUPTA-]SAMVAT 252.

By Professor E Hultzsci, Pid, Halle (Santf)

These plates are onc of seven sets of eopper-plates wheh were discovered some 40 years ago in an underground chamber at Palitānā in Kathnivair, and wheh now belong to the State of Palitānà Lake the plates of Simhūditya (No 2 above), they wero first deserrbed by the late Mr A M T Jackson, ICS, in the Indzan Antıqıary, Vol XXXIX p 130, No V, and I edit the unseription on them from two sets of ink-impiessions, prepared by Dr Vogel's clerk and plaeed at my dısposal by Raı Bahadur Venkayja, to whom Mr W C. Tudor Owon, ICS, Adminestrator of Palitānū, had kindly lent the original plates

These are two copper-plates, mcasuring, according to M1 Venkay ya, $11_{b}^{1}$ mehes in breadthand $7 \frac{7}{6}$ noches in height Each plate bears 18 lines of writing on its macr side There are two ring-holes at the bottom of the first plate and at the top of the second, bat it 18 impossable to say whether the existing seal belongs to this or to ono of the other five Matraha grants diseovered along with it ${ }^{1}$

The writing on the plates is well preserved, and the alphabet is of the usual Valabhi type The letter $\bar{e}$ oeenıs in ēta (129) and Ehalhha (124) The sign of jullăañüliya is emplosed onee ( 1 ll ) The dato ( 136 ) is exprcssed in numerical symbols,

The language is Sanskrit prose, two verses from the Mahäblärata are quoted nean the end (135f) The rules of sandhz are frequently disiegarded, especially in the grant portion, and the whole inseription teems whth orthographeal mistakes of every description The anusvära 15 replaced by gattaral $n$ in sunha (twice in 1 7), 2 by $y 2$ in yina (15), the vowel $r i$ by $r u$ in pitriu (123) and by $r$ in krita (11 3,36), etc The doubling of chi after short vowcls or the preposition $\bar{a}$ is dasregarded thronghont ( $113,29,33,34$ (twice), 35 ), and $t_{i} a$ is replaced by tva in tatia (18) and svatva (for sattva, 1 12) The following Präkrit forms descire to be noted - pantz
 for tremsadadhhha (1 27), dvätrisa for duätrumsat (1 26), varısha for zavsha (1 35), darısayıtā for darśayztā (120), präplya (128) or piäpiya (ll 24, 26) fol prīpya, and saparıyyă for saparyyā (1 11)

The inserption records a grant of land by the Matraka king Dharasēna II of Valabhi and is dated on the very same $t_{n} t h{ }^{2}$ of the samo year as four other giants of the same kng ${ }^{2}$ The panegyrical introduction is practically identieal with that of all other pablished grants of Dharasèna II The only point worth mentioning is that the name of his grandfather is spelt Dharapada (1 11), while his remaung grants read either Dharapatta or Dharapadda ${ }^{3}$

The grant portion runs as follows -

## (Line l) From Valabhī,-

(L 21 ) the fervent worshipper of Mahḗvara (Śvva), the glorions MLahärāua Dharasēna (II), being in good health, commands all (officuls), (2zz) āyuktakas, ఒथnıyuhtahas, drüngihas, mahattaras, ırregalar and regalar soldıers, dhrūādhıkaranıkas, dāndapāśkas, chōrōddharanılas, răjasthäniyas, humãrāmatyas, ete and others aecording as they are eoneerned -
(L 23) "Be it known to yon that, 10 order to macrease the spintual mernt of ( $M y$ ) mother and father, and in order to attain rewards in this (world) and in the next, I have granted -
(L 24) " 180 p $\bar{a} d \bar{\alpha} v a r t a s,{ }^{4}$ (held by) Charı ( ${ }^{( }$), on the north-eastera boundary of the village

[^62]Nātyōtaka belonging to tho [Bamvī]vanaka distruct (sthatī), ${ }^{2}$ and in the west of the field of Dáraka in the village EEkallka, and in the north of the field of $\bar{A} d_{1 t} 5 a, 120$ pādãvartas, and the Numba pond ( $2 \bar{a} p \bar{i}$ ) contannng 32 p $\bar{a} d \bar{a} v a r t a s$, in the east of the road on the north-western
 Vatsa-vahaka ${ }^{5}$ and within the npper part of the Khandabhēdaka tank (tatāka) on the sonthwestern boundary of Dē[va]rakshitapātaka belongng to the Nimbakūpa district (sthal̄̃), 100 pädātartas, held by Dhārmika, on the noithern boandary of the village Chitrakasthalya belonging to the Kadambapadra district (sthalī), and the Avatara pond (väpī), held by Ködhaka, on the eastern boandary of the gionnd ${ }^{d}$ of Kadambapadra, 一
(L 29) "this (land), with the udranga, with the uparikara, with the väta-bhūta-dhänyahırany $\bar{a} d \overline{d e y a}$, with (the right to) eventanal forced labour, not to be meddled with by any royal oficers, according to the maxim of bhūmıchchhıdra, to the two Brāhmanas Rügha and Śyēna of the Vājasaneya-Madhyandma (school) and of the Kunsika götra, for the performance of the rites of the five great sacnfices, (viz ) balı, charu, vaisvadē $a$, agnzhōtra, and atıthr, to last as long as the monn, the sun, the occan, the rivers, and the carth shall exist, to be enjoyed by ( $h_{2 s}$ ) sons, grandsons, and (further) descendants, with libations of water, as a brahmadèya"

Then there follow the asual admomitions, and two verses of $V$ yása The last line rans -
 by the sandhuııgrahādhuhrıia Skandabhata The dū[taha] (zoas) Churbura The year 252, the 15th (tithi) of the dark (fortnight) of Vaisākha"

The date has to be referred to the Gapta-Valabhi era of AD 319, and the specifed month places the record in AD 571 The dütaha, Chirbira, is mentioned in five other grants of Dbarasēna II ${ }^{7}$ The writer, Shandablata, seı red both Dharasēna II ${ }^{8}$ and his father and predecessor Guhasēna ${ }^{9}$ I am nable to dentify the geographical names mentioned in the grant

TEXT ${ }^{10}$

## Tirst Plate

#   

##  बलावास(॥) रान्धम्जी [**] ${ }^{14}$ परममाहेग्यर

[^63] घनुचूडार्मरिपपभाविक्, ${ }^{3}$
4 रितपदन्ख्पर्निदिधितिदोनानार्थक्भिपषजनोपजीव्यमानविभब[:*] पर[म*]माछेश्वर: ग्रीसेनापतिधरसेनस्तस्सानु-
5 जस्त[वृँ]पादाभिपयाएमप्रस्तन्त्ततरविमलमोलिमरिर्म्म (T) नादिपरीतविधिविधानधर्म्म ${ }^{5}$ धर्म्मराज यिव वि[दि]तविन-
6 यव्यवसापदतरखिलभुवन्मखलाभगैक्बामिनां परमस्बामिना स्वयसुप्पच्चतराज्याभिषकमहा विश्रायनाव-
7 पूतराजग्री[:*] परममाहैच्यर ${ }^{9}$ महाराजग्रोप्रोएसिह्ह[:*] सिए्ह ${ }^{10}$ द्व तस्यानुज[:*] सभुजबलपराक्कमेए परगजघ-
8 टानीकानामकविजयि" घूरशिषिएा ${ }^{12}$ घरएमवबोडा शास्ताल्येतत्वाना ${ }^{13}$ कल्पतररिव ${ }^{15}$ सुह्ह[वृं] $]$ पयिना ${ }^{15}$ यथाभि-
9 लषितकामफलोपभोगद्[:*] परमभागवत[:*] ग्रीमहाराजधुवसेनस्त्यानुजस्तजर्शारा[वि] ${ }^{\text {प }}$ पएतिप्रविधता ${ }^{16}$
10 शेषकल्मष: सुविय्युस्वर्चरितोदकचन्वलित(T)सकलर्कलिकलक: प्रसभनिर्जितारातिपन्त्र्पधितर्महिमा" पर-
 य[:*] $]^{18}$ सेशवा[वृ*] ममृत्र ${ }^{19}$ खद्रनितिय- ${ }^{20}$
 चूड[T]रद्बपभासस-
13 क्रसख्यपादनखरश्मिसंहति[:*] सकलस्मितिपरिततमार्मासम्यव्परिपालनमजाद्यदय-

 धनेशान( $\left(\right.$ )तिशयान $[\text {.* }]^{38}$ शर शगताभय-
 नानन्दितविद्हुहुह[वै*]पणयिह्धद-



16 य[:*] पादघारिव ${ }^{1}$ सकलभूवनमखडलाभोगप्रमोद[:* $]^{2}$ परममाह्देशर $\left[:^{*}\right]^{3}$ भ्रीमह[ $\left.T^{*}\right]$ राजगुहसेनस्तम्य स्रुतस्तत्पा-
17 दन[ख] मयूखसंन्त[T] ननिव्रितजाहविजलोघविचालिताशेषकत्मष:4 प्रएयिभतसहसो-पजो-
 यक्तिशीचाविशे पविमापिताखिलधनु[डंर][.*]*

Second Plate

19 प्रथम[न]रपतिस[मतिस]][r]नांमनुपालयत्र ${ }^{8}$ धर्म्मदायाना[म*]पकर्त्ती पजीपघ[ $\left.T^{*}\right]$ तकारियां(न) मु[प].9
20 प्रवानं[T] दरिर्यचिता ${ }^{10}$ यीसरखल्योरेकाधिवासस्य सह्चतारातिपच्मल च्च्मिपरिचोमदच्चविक्रम[:*] ${ }^{11}$ क्रमो[प]-
 सर्व्वनिवायुक्तकविनियुक्तकद्रागिक-
22 महत्तर्चाटभट्रुवाधिकरणा़दाएडपाशकचोरोदरणिकराजस्थानीयकुमारामात्यादी(ना) नन्याग्यु ${ }^{12}$ य[था]-


 एकलिकग्रामे च $\lceil द]$ ररकसत्कच्चेनाद-


26 विर्शधिकं ${ }^{23}$ दानिशयादावर्त्तपरिसरा4 निम्बवापी [ $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ तथा निम्बकुपस्थलिम्र [T*].

 प्रत्यय [1*] तथा कदम्वप-

| 1 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ थारीव | ${ }^{2}$ Rosd ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ वन ${ }^{\circ}$ | $3^{\text {Resd }}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ गुर. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ वायित | - Read 0 केर्गुण |
|  | ${ }^{8}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ नामजुपालयिता | - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ कारियामुप". |
| 10 Read दर्श्यिता | II Bead ${ }^{\circ}$ सद्भीपर्स्सीय ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\square^{0}$ Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ पाइिक ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| ${ }^{15}$ Bead ${ }^{\circ}$ \%बध्यमान ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{14}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{\sigma}$ | ${ }^{25}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पिद ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| ${ }^{15}$ Read ₹चलोपामे | 17 Resd पूर्वोप्तरहीधि | ${ }^{18}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ शुतमशील्य日क |
| ${ }^{20}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ चेनाशीדरत. |  | ${ }^{21}$ Read पथ |
| ${ }^{2}$ Resed ${ }^{\circ}$ घू. | ${ }^{23}$ Head विभुत्यधिक | 2* Read हातिशरपादा. |
| ${ }^{25}$ Read ${ }^{\text {कूपस्डसीपाप्ये. }}$ | ${ }^{2}$ Read \%परर्सचष ${ }^{\circ}$. | ${ }^{9}$ R Rexd ${ }^{\circ}$ สटयो. |

28 द्रस्थलिभापिये चिन्नकस्सल्यग्रमे उत्तरसीज्नि पादावर्त्तशतं धार्मिकमल्यं [1*] तथा कदम्बपद्रस्बतले पूर्वसीत्नि कीधकम्यलया [ग्रवतर]-

 किद्रच्चाथ[न] ${ }^{4}$
30 (न) वाजसनियसाष्यन्दिनकोशिभसगोनद्र[ [ ${ }^{*}$ ]ह्मयरोषखऐनाभ्या बलिचखवैप्यदेवागिनहोनातिथिपन्यमहायाज्ञ्तिकान[T*]
31 क्रियाएां समुस्मर्पं एार्यम माचन्द्रार्बाशं[व]सिरिश्नितिस्थितिससकालिनें पुनपौचा-

32 ह्वारेय निसिष्ट ${ }^{6}$ [ $\left.1^{*}\right]$ यतोस्योचितया बह्मदटेयसिल्या भूजब[:*]
 घेधे वर्त्तित[व्य]-
 न्यज्ध भुमिदानफलमवगछक्ञिर्यमस्मदायोनुमन्त्त्य[:*] ${ }^{\circ}$
 स पद्वभिमहापातरक्र[:* $]^{12}$ सीपपातक्क[:*] सयुत्त[:*] स्यादिल्युक्त ${ }^{14}$ च भगवता वैद्या ${ }^{16}$

 भिर्बंसुधा भुत्ता राजमि[:*] सगरादिमि[ $\left.{ }^{*}{ }^{*}\right]$ यस्य यस्य यदा भु36 मि[त] $] \mathrm{e}^{20}$ तस्य तदा [फ़]लमिति ॥ [ $\left.२^{*}\right]$ खहहाती मम महाराज[म्यो]धरसेनस्य ॥ लिखित सन्विवियहाधिक्कितस्कन्ममटेन" ॥ दू${ }^{33}$


[^64]
## POSTSCRIPT.

I aral myself of this opportanity for correcting certain mastakes which I have committed in two former artueles on Talabhi grants

1 In Vol III p 323, 1 ]l, road "Eamyãnaka, wheh belongs to Aikshasaraka, (a subdulston) of the Hastavaprāharani" On präpiya (for präpya) seo now above, $p$ 81, note 1

2 When reading mith me the Nogami plates (Vol VIII No 20), Mr T K Ladan justly observed that nchyamana cannot mean 'said,' but menns 'beng sand, abont to be mentroned' Consequently, the expreseions uchyamana-bhuttiu and uchyaména-vishaye (VoI VIII p 189) are montended for Natagrāmaha-bhuh'au and Chandraputrula-21shaye Jnhemise, on $p$ 193, test hnes 38 f aud 40 , uchymäna-chäturuvedya-sümänya stands for Natagrāmaha-chäturuvdyasämānya, and on $\bar{\eta} 198$, text line 13 , the sane term represents Chandraputraha-chäturverdyasämänya In the Index to Col VIII the two distriets Navagrämaka-bhuktı and Chandra-putraba-visheya have to be entered aecordingly

## No 6-JANKHAT INSCRIPTION OF THE TIME OF VIRASENA

## By F. E Papgiter, mA, ICS (Retd)

This memption was found by Mir. R Burn a the villago of Jünkhat in tho Tirwa tahal of the Faruhhabid Distret, United Proninces, and he gave a bref notice of it in tho Jour $\boldsymbol{R}$. As Soc, 1900, p 353 It is engraved on the back of a carved stone, which was among the collection oi carved stones called the Gawän dèzz or "villago godg" Mr Marshall sout Dr Flect an ank-1mpression and an estampage of at, together with a photograph of the collection of pillage gods, wheh shows tho stone amid a quantity of carved stones and fragments of all hads, and nt Dr Fleet's request I havo edited tho reeord ${ }^{1}$ The front of the stono appears to present in bold rehef the bead and open month of somo ammal

Above tho inscription are three emblems occupying a triangular space $2{ }^{\frac{1}{4}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ high and $3^{\prime \prime}$ wide Tho middlo and lughest emblem represents $n$ tree, and on each sido is that of a "char-tya.'-The inscription occupies a space $74^{\prime \prime}$ high and $4 \sum^{\prime \prime}$ wide, and 18 arraugod in seven lines there seem to be some traces of an eighth line, but tho tops of the lettors do not always mannann a level Tho letters are 10 good preservation oseopt at the beginuing of tho lines The first letter is partally obiterated in each of tho first four hanes The last threo hines have suffered most, for the first letter in eaeh has disappeared, the final lotter 18 blarrod, and most nufortanstely the middlo letters have gouo ontrely From the appearanee of this middlo portion of the lowor half of tho xnsenpition Mr Burn inferred that it had been ased to sharpen chisels on This defacoment extonds also into the fourth lino where one or two letters have beon obliterated The only hues thereforo that are farly complete are tho first three-The characters are Brāhmi, well mado and elearly cut, most of wheh are about $\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{\prime \prime}$ high I will consider their form when discnssing tho age of tho ansoription here I need only say that they inelude tho namoral symbols for 3 , 4 and 10 , and that tho short superseript $a \sin$ mado to do duty for tho long vowel -The language may bo either mixed dialeet or Präkrit, perhaps rather the latter Wo havo tho gouitive singular in satmice, and tho gentuvo plural in nam The genitivo plaral grshminam 18 peenlar, we should expect the gonitive singnlar The same gentive plural, however, is found in the form gimhāna in useriptious at Näsik, above, Vol VIII, p 60, hne 1, and p 73, lino 12, and wo havo also hërna(m)tana, p 94, line I, where, again, we should expeet the genitivo singular Theso geatives were perhaps devised on the analogy of the quite eorreet vasana $=$ varshänäm, 1 bid, p 73 , line 12

[^65]The inscription has suffored so much damage that wo can form no opinion as to what ats parport was after the eponing part of it Its interest hes in the point that it is a lithic record of a king, the Svāmin Virasēna, who may bo identified with practical certainty with a king Virasena of whom we havo coms Professor Rapsen bas degornbed and figared one of the coins ma the Jour $R, \Delta_{E}$ Soc, 1900, p 115, and notcs there certan symbole on it which have some general resemblance (bat nothing apeonfic except the tree) with the symbels on thin stonc And Mr V Smith has doscribed fourtecn of them, and Ggared troo, in has Catalogne of Ceins in the Indian Museam, Calcatta, p 197, and conjoctares thoo (p 191 f.) from the provenance of them that Virasēna was as king in the Gangetic Doab about A D 300.

The record is dated in the thurteenth regnal year of Virasena, ${ }^{1}$ and on the oighth day in the fourth fertnight of the hot season. As no year of nn ora 19 givon and the date of Virascina is not known, we can only fix on paloographic gronnds the poriod to which the record may be reforred; and the following remarks are offored towards clacidating this point The letters that occur clearly are $k, g, t, n, p, m, y, r, v, s h$ and $s_{1}$ and thoy may bo comparod with the Brähmi forme in Tables II and III in Bühlor's Indische Palaographwo The facts to be ascortaned mast be, not what ane the earliest recerds with which letters fonnd in this anscriptien tally, for particular forms, anch for mastance as those of $h$ and $n$, persisted with little or no modification fer soveral conturies, but rathor what was the pornod when any lator or new forms found in this inscription came into nse. ${ }^{2}$ Tho ferms of certain of these letters, namcly, $m, y, v$, and sh do net occur in Table II nad are firat fonnd in Table III; honce thoge letters deserve mest netice and attention may be confincd to Table III Tho ferm of $m$ resembles mest those in celumna VI and VIII of that table, that of $y$ these in columns I, II, IX and XIII, that of $v$ those in columns I and VIII, and that of sh the form in column XIV Thoforms of $y$ and $v$ are therefere found in inscriptione varying in date frem the list contary BC to the 2nd contary $A D$, that of $m$ in the lst and 2 nd centnries $A D$, and that of $s \hbar$ not antil the second contury AD The mest aignificant therefore of these letters is $s h$, and its form shews that the anscription cannet be earler than the 2nd contury A D Thore are twe ether features which point to a somewhat later poriod First, the mark for the long vowel $\tilde{u}$, in the lotters portrayed in Table III, is formed by a short herizental bar drawn to the right from the head of a consonant, but here the bar always shows a alight curvo upwardo-a tendonoy that becamo more prenounced in one form of this vewol in the later Gapta alphabet Secondly, the heads of the letters in this inscription are slightly, yot quite distinctly, wedgc-shaped, and this featare also became well developed in the Gupta alphabet It would therefere appear that this record ahould be asaigned to a time later than the second centary, that is, to the 3rd century AD, and very possibly to the latter part of $1 t$, and this agrees with $\mathrm{Mr} \nabla$ Smith's conjecture regardıng Varasēna's age, mentoned abeve.

TEXT.
1 Svamısa ${ }^{3}$ Virasēnasa
2 eamvatsarē 103 gıshmā-
3 nam pākshē ${ }^{4} 44$ divasêe $^{5} \quad 8$

[^66]Jankhat Brahmi inscription and Kosam pullar inscriptions

Kosam pillar inscription -A

Jankhat inscription of Virasena


8CALE ONE-HALF


ECALE ONE-EIGHTH

Kosam pillar mscription - B


GCALE ONE-8IXTH


TRANSLATION.
In the sear 10 (and) 3 of Svamm Virasēna, in the fortnght 4 of tho hot season, ${ }^{2}$ on the day 8

## No 7 -TWO RECORDS ON THE PILLAR AT KOSAM

## Bi fe Eapgitep, ma,ICS. (Retd)

The two following insoriptions were noticed by General Sir A Cnnningham in his description of the pillar at Kobam in his Archmological Survey of Iudia, Vol I, p 310 Inkmpressions of them were sent me by Dr Fleet whelh he received from $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ Konow, and at his request I have written this paper on them

## A.-A verse of the 7th or 8th century, $A$ D

This is described by General Campingham thus - "The next inseription in point of time consists of six linos in characters of tho 6th or 7th conitury As thes record as placed on the lower part of the shaft, from 3 to 4 feet boneath the present gronnd level, and as tho lines aro perpendicular to the exdes of the shaft, I infer that at the timo when it was inseribed, the pillar was still standiog apright in its original position, and that the surronading bailding were still in perfect order This inforenco is fally borne out by Hoen Thsang's aecount, ete "

It is written in the Gapta charaoter of tho latter part of the 7th century The letters are large and somewhat irregularly formed, varying from $1 \frac{1}{6}$ to $1 \frac{7}{4}$ moches in height The language is Sanskrit, and the composition is a verso in the upèndravajrä metre written in foor lunes with the anthor's nameadded in the fifth and saxth lines But the ongraver has marred the appearance of tho verse by the manner in which be has menembed it The first line contans tho first päda of tho verse, but in consequence of his making tho first 8 ahsharas of the second lino somewhat small and close he got into it not only tho second päda bat also the frst 3 aksharas of the third pada Tho third line then contans the remandor of the third pāda and the first 3 aksharas of the fourth pada, and tho fourth line, recciving only the remaning 8 aksharas of that päda, looks not only ineomplete bat also erroneous in beginning with the word cha Consequently also tho metre appears fanlty and the meaning is obsenred When proporly adjnsted the padas read arightand the metre 18 corroct Tho end of the second line is ornamented with a peouliar scroll, in which tho symbol for tho vowel a in the final $t_{2}$ has been continned in a line which bends aronnd bencath the letter $t$, ascends on its right and fiushes in a righthanded carvo further to the right The meorrect structnre of the lines snggesta an explanation of this scroll Tho portion of tho scroll along the night sido of the lettor $t$ is an apright line The first half of tho vorse ends with su-dhiryam, bnt the engraver, thinhing that it onded with

[^67]the second line, put the ananal bar there, and the mastako was reetificd by turning tho bar anto a meamingless scroll, continuing its lower portion backward benesth tho $t$ anto the vowel 2 and its upper portion forward in the earve to tho right There was not sufficient room to ingert the single bar after su-dhiryam, but tho donblo bar at the close of the verse oceurs eorrectly at the eud of the fourth lino

The letters aro of the Gapta character and resemble moat closely those of the Aphead maseription of abont A D 675, portrayed by Bühler in his Indische Palcographis, Table IV, cols XVIII and XIX (espeerally the former), with a tendency in some eases towards the shapes in the Multaii mscription of A D 705-9, and of tho Dighmá-Dubauli mscraption of A.D 761-2, cols XX and XXI in that Table, such as the $d h$ (1 2). St the same timo some of tho letters have the earlier forms found in the Kahing inecription of A D 459, col V in that Table, such as $i$ and $b h(1)$, $v(13), s(114$ and 5$)$ and $f(16)$, though $k$ also appears in ats form in col XVIII in the fourth line The $n$ has a peenhar shape, an upright line with a horizontal bar attaehed to its left side, as in $\mathcal{F}^{u n a} \mathrm{t}_{2}$ (12), and Indra (1 4), thus approximating to its modern form The inscription may therefore be assigned to the ond of the 7th or the beginnang of the 8th century, AD

Cnnangham inferred from Hiaen Tsang's nceount of this spot that this pillar was standing orect at that traveller's visit there during the early part of the 7th century in Harsha's reign, and the manner in which he says this verse is engraved (quoted above) shows that the pllar was also ereet when it was engrared, which appears to hare been at the end of that centary or the begrnning of the 3th centnry He says the pillaris a Baddhast straetnre, and the earliest inserption which it bears is an ineomploto record wheh he asembes to the 4 th or 5 th century ${ }^{1}$ Buddhism mnst haro rotaned its possession of this spot daring Harsha's reign, for $\mathrm{Mr} \nabla$ Smith says "Harsha himself distnonted his devotions among the three deines of the famuly, Śrva, the Sun and Buddha, .. Bnt, in his lnter years, tho Baddhist doctrines held the ehief place in his affeetions, and the eloqnence of the Chinese Master of tho Laminduced him to prefer the adranced teaching of the Mahăyăna sect to the more primitive Hinayäna doctrine of the Sammitiga sehool with which he had been famular previonsly" ${ }^{3}$ Tho question annees Whether this verse half a centary or moro later is a Buddhist or brälimancesl inscription I am not sure whether the reference to Indra's morld conld be a Bnddhist beatitudo It seems more like a brähmance benediction, and this is snpported by the facts that the verse is in Sanskrit and that the author's name is Sankha-dēva, wheh might be a synonym for Vishopn If then this verse be a brähmancal inseription, may wo unfer that it marks the time when Brähmanism ousted Buddhism from this spot?

## TEXT

$1 \bar{O}^{3}{ }^{3}$ Ya [ikshat]e stambham=idem sa-tungam
2 [grah-ürucan] pātu naras=su-dhirmam ${ }^{5}$ panātı
3 grttram sa nimukta-papah prajătu
4 ch-āsamáayam=Indra-10ham ||
5 Śamkha-dè.
6 vasya kritị̆

[^68]
## TRANSLATION.

The man, who fixes his look on this very iall pillar, preserves great fortitude when the planets are adrerse ${ }^{1}$ delivered from $s i n$, he purifies lis hindied and plocceds without doubt to Indra's norld

The composition of Śankha-dèva

## B-An inscription of A.D 1565

This is described as two momptions by General Sir A Canningham in the followng words ${ }^{2}$ - "This [that 1s, a bricf record of Akbar's timo] is followed by a short record of a som, or goldsmith, in threo hnes, below which is a long inscription dated in Sampat 1621, or AD 1564, in the early part of Abbar's yelgn, detailing the gencalogy of a whole family of goldsmiths It is in this inseription that the name Rōsimbepura oceurs, the fonnder of the family, named Anand Ram Das, having dud at Kosam" He thas pronounced the first three hincs of this record to bo one inscription and the remander a separato inscription, but they appear to me to be onc, for the following reasons First the style of the writing is exactly the eame throughout Sccondly, the space between Sti-Gayèsahin hne land Sambat 1621 in hno 415 merely the size of a single row of letters and as just about the interval that would ordmarily be left betreen the anvocition to a daty and the body of the composition The remander of line 1 and lincs 2 and 3 hive becn inserted by curving the writing upwards to the right so as to widen out the space to the right between lancs 1 and 4 thus there is jast room for the word muhha betwecn bänän in hno 1 and samaan an hac 4 , and as hace 1 and 2 carve away upwards, room 18 found to mosert dēv Bharave boncath darpan söninha and above tho ond of lino 4 The mholc inscription looks as if it had becn written at first thas-Srĩ Ganésah as the beading and then Sambat 1621, etc, to the ond, and as if the words bänän to dēv Bharrav had been added afterwards, tho writing being curved apwards so as to make room for these latter words in tho manner described above Thirdly, if hnos 1-3 constitnted a scparate inscription, there was no reason to carve the writing, whether it wero written before or after lines 4-18, becausc, if written bcforo, there would have been a clcar space and the inscription would naturally have been written compactly in two or three straght hincs conformably to its myocation, and if writton aftermaids, it wonld have been placed higher (for there is sufficiont unused space above) and then written straight similarly Fourthly, if haes 1.3 constituted a separate composition, it contanns no personal name but meicly refers vaguely to the "city goldsmiths", whercas, if the whole is onc inscription, the addition in hnes $1-3$ would be a natnral after-thought as a preface to the subsequent names and in order to record a prayer to Bharava on bohalf of them collectisely No argament can be based ons the fact that the prayer to Bharava follows the invocation to Ganésa, beeause the anvocation and the prayer are in one and the samo inscription according to either theory Lastly, the inscription seems, when read as a whole, to givo a better scnse than when treated as two separate composi-tions-a point referred to more partionlarly at the end of this article

The inseription 18 written in charasters, well-made and aboat $\frac{3}{4}$ meh high, which (that 18 , such of them as occur) have a close resemblanes to ordınary Nagari The ehief pazzle oceurs in the letter $6 h$, wheh, af read as such, produess meangless words The key to explan this difficalty hes in the fact that in the modern vernaenlai of Uppei India this letter, when nonmitial and uncompounded, is very commonly prononnced $k h$, thus, purush, 'a man', appears as purukh, rishr, 'a rishn', as rukhr and rikh, tushär, 'mist, frost', as tuhhär, and so on This modrfication of the letter sh appears to have been so generally established, that the character

[^69]for it is used here anformly to express $\mathrm{l}^{1} \mathrm{l}^{1}$ The proper character for I.h seoms to be that, wheh is used only onee, namely, in the woid Laluani (=Lakshmi) an line 7, and whel approximates to the form of $h h_{10}$ Bengah ${ }^{2}$ Evel gwhere else $h h_{16}$ expressed by tho characerer for sh, and this is done whether lih represents an original shas in the word purath ( 19 ), or whether it is a genaine $h h$ as in the words mulha (12) and lihhite (15), or whether it represcnts an orıginal ksh as in the words Lukhımanu (1 5), hhèma (1 8), and Lahhmi (1 17) When this peenlarity is obser ved, the inseription becomes intelligible

The inseription is in the vornacular No distinetion is made befween the siblants sand $s$, and both are written as $s$, thus, Mahèsa appears as Mahēsa (1 Jl) and Kaúâmbī as Kausambr (1 7), and S̈rī-Ganēsah as Sri-Ganâsah (I 1) Sumlarly sh when compounded is written s, as in Batsnav for Banshnava ( 16 , and 15-18) Nor is any distinetion made between cerebral and dental nasals (with oue exception), thns, darpana appears as darpan (1 2), hripana as hrapan (1 8) and Barshnara as Barsnav The ono exception oceurs in the mroeation Sriv Ganesal, and the senbe s hoowledge was so faulty that, thongh he bas writfen the $n$ right, ho has turned the $s$ twice into $s$. It seems possiblo further that he did not dutingaish between the other cerebral and dental lotters, for Chamanda (1 13) looks as if obtanned from Chänundã

The charactor for $v$ appears in its ordinary Nigari form and also with a dot beneath it The latter form ocears only at the end of words, as an dè Bhavau (1) 3) and Bansnav (11 6, and 15-18) In words ending in $2 a$, the $v$ in the piesent vernaculaie drops its mherent $a$ and is commonly pronouneed with a vowel sound like $w$ or $o$, thas, dḕa becomes dêto or dëo The dotted form therefore appears to represent $v$ (with that sound), and the undotted form no donbt represents $b$, as seems clear from its use in tho namo Narsambu ( 1 ), tho ancient form of which is always Kansämbì in Sauskrit writugs, and also in the word bänän (1), which is obvionsly a verb eorresponding to the evisting vab banū-nā (Hındı) and bānä-stē (Bengalı), 'to make, fabrieate,' and in wheh the initial $b$ is so woll established that no form with an initial $\imath$ ever appears, so fal as I am aware In a similar way final $y$ a drops its inherent $a$, and the $y$ is then often pronouneed as $\bar{e}$, and this no doubt explans why the character for $\bar{e}$ appears instead of $y$ in samaar (14)

As the language is the vernacular and the vernaculars have generally dropped the a inherent in the last consonant (if uncompounded) of a word, the presumption is that the words here must be road withont the final $\alpha$, and this is corrobornted by the words būnänc (1) ana darsän (1 2) wheh, as diseussed later; cannot have a final $a$ The nominative is the same in the plaral as in the sungular, as an sōnz (1) and puruh ha ( 9 ), and the plural base for the oblnque oases is formod by adding shha to the singular base, as in söninnha (12) and somewhat similarly in the pronoun tennia (19) The accusative-dative is formed with the suffix hav (12) and the gentive with he (l 9,15 ) The kē appears to uadergo no modification, for it is the same with the nominative pliral puruhih (19) and the apparently nominative singular put (1 15) Samaur (14, probably for samayē) appears to be the loeative singular of samay or samaē Bānā̆ (l) apparently $=H_{1 n d}$ banāēn, ${ }^{3}$ the 3 rd person plural of the aorist tense This verbal base appeare with $\bar{a}$ in Bengali bān $\bar{a}-t t \bar{e}$ The second darpan in hne 2 should, it scems to me, be darsän, that 1s, dars $\bar{a} n$, because there must be a verb in this sentence and the sceond darpan is meaningless, and darsān is so much like darpan, that the engraver might easily have confused the two by oversight and so have written darpan twıee Darsän would $=$ Hindı darsäēn, ${ }^{3}$ the 3rd person plural of the impeiative, ased honorifically for the singular This eansal verbal base appears
 the tense $l i k h t \bar{a}$, which though called by some a conditional is very often ased as a present tense The pronoun ya (11)=Hındı yah, and pachhīlē (19)=Hındı pıchhlä

[^70]The words sōnarā ( 1.5 ) and sōni ( $1,10-15$ ) deserve notice as they appear to be distingnished Though the signatories are mentioned coliectively as sōñ̄s (l l), yet those who sign as sōnis are defintely stated to be the puruhh, 'men,' that 18 workmen, of Labshman sōnarā and of four other persons to whose names no description is added Sōnaráa therofore seems to be a higher term than $s \bar{n} \bar{\imath}$, and if söni designates the workman, sönarā mnst obvionsly mean the master or employer, so that, if the former is tianslated 'goldsmith,' the latter shonld be rendered 'master goldsmith' Sämyā in Hindı means 'one who separates gold from ashes, etc, in the mint,' but thero appears to be no word in Hind coniespondmg to sōnară, nnless it be sōnär or sunär, the ordinary term for a goldsmith

As regards the personal names, the inscinption shows that mnch the samc eustom obtanned in the sixteenth centary as prevalis now in using names shortly, faminarly or eolloqually The vowel $u$ was often substituted for a final $a$, thas we find Lakhimanu (1 5), Naligu (1 10), Ratanu (114) and Anadu (1 16, 18). ${ }^{1}$ Lakhmanu would be the colloqual form of Lahhiman, that 1s, Irahshmana, and Ratanu of some name beginning with Ratna, sneh as Ratnadatta or Ratnachandra Anadu may be short for Annada, and the full name mught be Annada-düs or Annada-prasüd Bartı (1 6) is probably short for some name beginning with brittr, that is, vattt. Laghu (18) may=Raghu, the letters $r$ and $l$ being freqnently unterchanged in the colloquisl, and the fall name might be Raghu-näth Chamanda (1 13) seems to point to some name like Chämundā-dās, and Chamdıla (115) to Ohandrēsa or Ohandırēsa Dhané (1) 15) is no donbt short for Dhanēsa or Dhanêsvara, and Karam (1 16) may be abbreviated from Karam Ohänd (=Karma-chandra) I cannot resolve Hörill (112) or Mana (1 17), nor Naligu or its variants (10), but Hōrıla sumha is givon as a name in Monicr-Williams' Diotionary

As regards the date of this record,-Dr Flect observes as follows -"It is not eapablo of actual verfieation, because the mscription does not inclnde tho weckday or some other dctanl necessary to that ond But, with the ycar taken as the expired year and the month as the
 at that timo in the loeality to which tho record belongs, - the eqnivalent of the given details, (Vikrama)-sampat 1621, Chatra vadi 5, is 20 February AD 1565 "

It appoars fiom this examination that the purport of the inscription differs from Sir $A$ Conmingham's snrmisc It shews that five lcading goldsmiths with thirteen of their employes put np this address to Ganḗa ind Siva-Bharava for favour to the goldsmiths of Kausámbit town Only three of the leading goldsmitbs belonged to that town, and two were strangers apparently The fact that these two are named first snggests that they were men of more importanee than the three residents, and perhaps they were gold-merchants rather than goldsmiths One of these two was a Vaishnava and so were fonr of the employes, yet they also joined in this invoeation to Ganêsa and Śva-Bharrava Sarely no ordinary oceaqion would havo caused all these men, that is, the whole loeal gauld of goldsmiths, to join in recoiding snch an inseription on this pillar At that time, early in 1565, several of Akbar's ehief nobles and eommanders had revolted agannst him, hostilties were still going on, and the whole country from Delhi to Allahābād mast have been in a lawless condition Eanáazmbì was presnmably a town of no great size or strength then, and its goldsmiths as men of some wealth must have been in danger of extortion or pillage at the bands of the soldiery In sueh errcamstances was it not natural that they shonld invoke Ganésa as the god of wisdom, and pray that Śva-Bhaurava, the terrible god of destruction, wonld dısplay to them a face calm and bright as a mirror ?

Lastly, it is partienlarly worthy of notice that this record mentions Kōsam as Kausambi, that 1 s , Kauśämbi, at a period long before the time when arehæologists began to raentify the place mith tho Kanśambí, Kosambí, of the Sanskrit and Buddhist books

[^71]
## TEXT.



## TRANSLATION

Sri Ganēsa The town goldsmiths make thas May the god Bharara show a mirror-like face to the goldsmiths:

Sampat 1621, at the time by name ${ }^{13}$ the fifth day of the dark fortnght of (the month) Chaitra, write - Lakshman the master goldsmith, the Vaishunva Burtı son of Annanda, Lakshmi-dãs of the town of Kansambr, ${ }^{14}$ (and) of the same towa the brothers Khema-kripan ( and) Laghu ${ }^{16}$

Their men (wrate) aftermards - Nalgg the goldamith, Mahēs -das the goldsmith, Horil the goldsmith, Chamanda the goldsmith, Ratana the goldsmitb, the son of the goldsmith Chamdale, Anadu Rām-dãs, Laksbmī-dās, Basanta-Räm, (and) four (others), Dhané Taıshava, Karam Vaishnava, Manã Vaishnaya, Anadu Vaishuava

[^72]
# No 8-THREE COPPER-PLATE RECORDS OF SONPUR 

By B C Mazumpap, ba, bif, mbag, Sambalpup

The three eopper plate charters which I now publish were all found in the Feudatory State of Sonpur, attached to the district of Sambalpur It was in tho year 1898 that I deepphered them, as well as another eharter which was found at Satalma (ealled Satallama in the inseription) in tho samo district Mr Slococh, the then Deputy Commissioner of Sambalpur, who gavo me the Satalma charter to get deesphered, took at back from mo with tho transliteration and translation of ats text, aud forwarded it to the Museum at Nagpur ${ }^{1}$

In the sequel I shall hare to 1 efer to the Satalma plates as well as the copper-plate charters of the Sömavamś kings of Katak which have been edited by Dr Fleet ${ }^{2}$ For convenionee of refercuee, the subjoined thre inscriptions will be denoted by the letters $A, B$ and $C$, respeetvely, the Satalma plates by $S$, and the records pubhshed by Dr Fleet by F 3, F 2, F3, F4, F 5 and $F 6$.

As regards orthography, there are two points to be noticed beforo I proeced to deal with the indivdual inscriptions The vowel $r 2$ was pronounced as $r z$ and not as $r u$, as it is now done, by the inhabitants of Sambalpar and $\mathrm{O}_{11} \subset a$, see, og pravrita for pravrtta (C, l 15), risaya for rishayah ( $\mathrm{C}, 125$ ) A fow simular cases bave also been noticed in the Satalma ${ }^{3}$ grant and an the Kudopalı plates" Trrhalinga oceurs for Trihalinga (A, 1 4, F1, 13) and Ruśrkēśa for Hrashiniesa ( $\mathrm{Fl}, 1$ 15) There was only one letter current to denote both $v$ and $b$ Owing to the fact that $b$ is tho pronnnciation for both of them in Bengal and Orissa, it cannot bo said that $v$ is used wrongly for $b$, or vice versâ

## A - Vakratentali charter of Mahābhavagupta I.

These are three plates (of foar sides), of which the first and last are written on the innor sides only They are narrower at tho margins than in the middle The height varies from $4 \frac{14}{4}$ to $48^{\prime \prime}$ and tho breadth from $8 \frac{77^{\prime \prime}}{}$ to $9^{\prime \prime}$ The platos are strung together on a no irly orrcular ring, $3 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ in dameter and $\frac{1}{3}$ "thick, which is passed through cirenlar holes eut on the left margin of each plate at a distance of abont $\frac{x^{\prime \prime}}{}$ from the edge Tho ends of the ring aro secured into the lower portion of a lamp of copper which bulges out on erther side along the ring for a space of 112" In addition to this, a eopper pin appears to have been drivon through the lump of eopper In the upper portion of this lump is a deep umpression, nearly cireular, about $2^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter Here as the figure of a goddess in relief, squatting apparontly on a lotus, flanked on each sido by an elephant with its trank uphfted The elephant on the left side is not quite distinet Closo to it is a mach defaced legend I have read it teatatively as Şrī-Janmëjayadēva [räjasya ?] Considemng that the legends on most of the seals of this family are illegible and have not been deeıphered, this reading must be looked apon as a mere conjecture

The plates belong to the thme of the Paramabhattāraka Mahārājā̄dhrrāja Paramēsvara Sri-Mahäbhavaguptarājadēva, who madıtated on the feet of the $P M P$ S̄rī-Śvaguptadēva The king as deseribed as the most devout worshipper of Śrva (paramamāhésvara), the forehead ornament of tho lunar race and the lord of Trikalinga The grant was issued from the eamp of victory pitched at Suvarnapura In lme 36, the date 18 given as the third year of the victorious reign of the $P M P$ Siri-Janaméjayadēva This is the earlest known record of Mahäbhavagapta I as well as of the Somavamśi kings of Katak, as no mseriptions of his father

[^73]Mahāsivagupta I have been so far dscovered It records the gift of the village of Vakratentali belonging to the Lupattarā-Khanda to the bhattaputra Jātarūpa, who was a restdent of Mërandā and an immigrant from Rādhāphamlvallikandara He belonged to the Raundinyagötra and the Chhandöga charana His pravaras were Martruvaruna and Vä́sshtha ${ }^{2}$ Kồ Ghosha, the writer of tnese plates, is identical with that of F l, dated in the Gth year of Mahābhavagupta $I^{3}$, and the engraver, Samgräma, son of Rayans OjJhã, is the same as that of S , which belongs to the 8th jear of the reign of the same king *

## TEXT. ${ }^{5}$

## Frrst Plate

1 Ōm ${ }^{6}$ Svastr [ $\left\|\|^{*}\right]$ Suvarnnapura-samāvāsita $\left[h^{*}\right]$ ślimatō vujaya-skandhāvārāt para-
2 mabhattāraka-mahūräjādhırīja-paramēśvara-Śrī-Śsvaguptadēva-p̄̄d-ānudhyā-
3 ta-paramamāhēśvara-paramabhattāraha-mahārâjādhırāja-paramēśvara-Sō-

5 Lupattarā-Khandīya Vakrat[e]ntali-grāme brāhmanān sampūjya tat-pra-
6 tınıvāsı-kutumbinas=tad-[v]isbapīya-yathā-kālādhyāsınah samähartr-sa-
 chā-
8 ta-bhata-jātīyān samājñ̃āpayatı [1*] Vıdıta[m=a*]stu bhavatām yath=īsmãbhrr=ayam grā-
9 mah sa-mdhıh s-ōpanidhıh sarvva-bādhī-vıvarjutah sa-gartosharah s-āmra-

Second Plate, First Side
10 madhukab sa-jalasthalah sarvv-ס̄parikar-ädana-sahtrah pratimshiddha-chāta-

12 shtha-pravarāya Chchhandöga-charanăya Rāahā̄phamvalıkandara-vınurgatāya Mērañdā-vā-
13 stavgāya bhattaputra-Jātarūpa-nāmnē bhattapntra-Śrīvachchha-su(sū)nave saliladhārã-
14 purahsaram=ā-chandra-tārak-ārkka-kehtt-samakāl-opabhõgārtham mātā-pitro-

16 n=ākarikritya pratıpādita ity=avagatya samuchtta-bhōga-bhāgakara-hırany-ā-
17 dıkam=upanayadbhır=bhavadbhih sukhēna pratıvastavyam=itı [ [**] Bhāvibhıśsha bhūpatibhur=dda-
18 ttur=ıyam=asmadiyā dharmma-gauravād=asmad=atn(na)rōdhāch=cha svadattır=w=ānupālanīyā [|l*]
19 Tathā ch=ठKtam dharmma-s̃āstrē [ ["*] Bahubhur=vvasndhā dattū rājablurh Sagar= ādıbhıh [1*] yasya ya-

Second Plate, Second Srde
20 sya yadà bhūmisatasya tasya tadā phalam [ $\|^{*}$ ] Mā bhūd=aphala-śankā vah paradatt=ētn
21 pārthrvāh [ [ $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ sva-dānāt=phalam=ān[ūntya]m para-datt-ānnpālanē [II*] Śsshthım ${ }^{8}$ varshar-sahasrānı

[^74]
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 Ing世 (


 8.8
 $\%$ (2) 6




Mahäsivagapta I have been se far discovered It records the gift of the village of Vakratentali belengıng to tho Lupattarā-Khanda to the bhattaputra Jātarūpa, whe ras a resident of Mēranda and an immigrant from Rādhäphamivallikandara He belonged to the Kaundinyagötra and tho Clhandoga charana His pravaras were Maitrāvauna and Vāsıshthne Kor Ghosha, the writer of toese plates, is identical with that of $F$ l, dated in the 6th year of Mahäbhavagupta $I^{3}$, and the engraver, Samgrāma, son of Rayana OJjhã, is the same as that of S , which belonge to the 8th year of the reign of the same king ${ }^{4}$

TEXT. ${ }^{5}$

## First Plate




4 ma-kula-tılaka-Trı(trr)kalıngādhıpatı-Śri-Mahābhavaguptarājadēvah knsalı
5 Lupattarā-Khandiya Vakrat[e]ntali-grāmē brāhmanān sampūjya tat-pra.
6 tinvēsı-kutumbınas=tad-[v]_shayiya-yathā-kālàdhyãsmah samāhartr-sa-
 chā-
 grā-
9 mah sa-midhı s-ōpanıdhıh sarvva-bādhã-vivarjutah sa-gartōsharah s-ïmra-
Second Plate, First Sido


12 shtha-pravarāya Chchhandoga-charanāya Rādhäphamvallukandara-vinurgatāya Mērandā-vã-
13 stavyāya bhattapatra-Jātarūpa-nāmnē bhattapatra-Śrivachchha-su(sū)nave salladhīrā-
14 purahsaram=ā chandra-tārak-ārkka-Kshtt-samakāl-ōpabhōāıthar mātā-pitrō-

16 n=äkarihritya pratıpãdıta ty=avagatya samuchıta-bhōga-bhăgakara-lurany-d-
17 dıkam=upanayadbhır=bhavadbhih sukhēns prativastavyam=itı [|* $]$ Bhāvıbhıśs=cha bhūpatibhur=dda-
18 ttır=1yam=asmadiyā dharmma-gauravād=asmad=atn(nu)rodhāch=cha svadatırr=iv=ănapālaniyā [Il*]
19 Tathā ch=ōktam dharmma-s̄āstrē [ $\left.{ }^{* *}\right]$ Bahubhur=vvasadhā dattā rājabhıh Sagar= ādıbhıh [1*] yasya ya-

Second Plate, Second Suds
20 sya yada bhūmıstasya tasya tadā phalam [II*] Mā bhūd=aphala-siankā vah paradatt=ēt
21 pārthivāh [ [ $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ sva-dānāt=phalam=ān[āntya]m para-datt-ănapālanē $\quad\left[\|^{*}\right] \quad$ Śashthım ${ }^{8}$ varsha-sahasrānı

[^75]$21 a$
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22 svargē mơdati bhūmidah $\left[{ }^{*}\right]$ ākshêptà ch=ānumantà cha tāny=ēva narake vasēt [ $\left.1 \|^{*}\right]$ Agnēr=apa-
23 tyam prathamarn suvarnnam bhūr=Vvaishnavi $\operatorname{San(Sū)rya-sutās=cha~gāvah~[1*]~yah~}$ kāñchanam gāñ=cha mahiñ̃=cha
24 dadyàd=dattis=trayas=tēna bbavanti lökih [II*] Äsphotayantı pitarah prava-
25 lgayantı pitimahāh [ ${ }^{*}$ ] bhūmidātā kulē jātah sa nas=trātí bhanıshyatı [IN*]
26 Bhümm yah pratigrihnā (hnā)tı yaśscha bhūmum prayachchhatı [ ${ }^{*}$ ] ubhau tan panya-karmmānan mya-
 koth-pradi-
nēna bhñmı-harttà na suddhyatı [II*] Haratē hūrayatē yas=tn manda-bnddhis= tamovritah $\left[l^{*}\right]$ sa baddho
Vārunaih pās̄ars=tıryag-yonum cha gachchinatı [IN] Sva-dattām para-dattām và yo hared ${ }^{\text {l }}=$ vasu -

## Thard Plate

 Varano Tishnur=Bba(Bra)-


 sarrvän=êtān=bhäuñ bhüpatí-
ndrā[ ${ }^{*}$ ] bhūyo bhūyo jāchate Rāmachandrah [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ It kamala-dalāmbu-bundulblam(ām) śrysam=a-
34 nachintya manushya-jıivitañ=cha [1*] sakalam=idam=u[d̄a*]hritañ=cha badhva na hi pu-
rusharh parakritayo vilopyäh [II*] Paramabhattāraka-mahārājādhurā-ja-paraméśvara-Śri-Janamējayadēvasya vijayarājyè samvatsarè tritīyē Śrā Vana-māsa3.sitapaksha-pañchamyām yatr=ānizatō Samvat 3 Srāvana Budz 5 [ $\left.\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ likhi-
tam=ıdam śāsavam mahās[ā]ndhivigraha-pratıbaddha-kāyastha-Kờ-Ghoshēna Valla4-Ghōsha-
 Manga[lam* $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ mahā-śrih II

## B - Nıbinnā charter of Mahāśsivagupta

These are three plates (of four sides) of which the first and last are engraved on the inner sides only They measure about $8 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ to $8 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ in breadth and abont $5 \frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ in height The engraning is so deep that the empty side of the first and third plates show traces of the workng of the chisel They are strung on a curcular ring which is passed through round holes (about $\frac{3^{*}}{4}$ in diameter) cut on the left margin of each plate about $1^{\prime \prime}$ from the edge The ming $184 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in drameter and about $\frac{3}{4}^{n}$ thack The ends of the ring are secured into the lower portion of a lamp of copper, which buiges out on enther side along the ring to a space of $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ The apper portion of the lamp of copper is fashioned into a circular seal $1 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter On the seal is cat in rehef a squatting figure Nothing more than this is clear on the seal

[^76]The plates are dated during the reign of the Paramabhatiäraka Mahärā̄̄ādhrrăja Paramēs vara Śri-MIabāsivaguptarājadēva, who meditated on the feet of the $P, 3[P$ Mahäbhavaguptarājadēva The knig is deserıbed as the most devout worshipper of Śvva (paramamähēşara), the forehead ornament of the lunar race and the lord of Trikalinga The grant was issued from Vinitapura In hnes $45-46$ the date is given as the 15 th year of the victorious reign of the $P M P$ Srimad-Yayatirajadēva $F \quad 5$, wheh belongs to the same reign, but is 6 years earleer, was also issued from Vnitapura on the bank of the river Mahannadi ${ }^{1}$

The plates record the gift of the village of Nibind $\bar{a}(15)$ or Nibinna (17) is the Kōsala country to the Dikshita Pundarikasarman, who was a restdent of the village of Maramendā in the Kösala country and an immıgrant from Bhataparōl. The donce was the son of Näräyana-sarman and grandson of Ublāsa-sarman. He belonged to the Bhâradvâjagōtra, had the pravaras Āngrasa, Bārhaspatya and Bhäradvija The writer of the grant was the Mahähshapatalaha Śri-Dehchhava-Nāga, son of Allava-Nāga, who mas known to the Mahāsandhıvigrahıka Rānaka Śrī-Chārudatta, and the engraver was Tthakura Panāka.

## TEXT ${ }^{9}$

## First Plate



## Second Plate, Frrst Side

$15 \mathrm{na}\left[\mathrm{h}^{*}\right]$ putrãya $\mid$ Bhata[pa]rōlín-vini(mi)rggata(tā)ya $\mid$ Kōsaliya-7Maramēnđā-[grā].
mē(ma)-『āstaryāya Sri-8Pundarıka-sarmmanē dikshtīya $\mid$ Ganudapātī-
mandalē Uttara-palıkāyä Niōınnā̊${ }^{9}$-grāmah [salıladhārā*]pura[s]saram=ā-chandra-tära-
$18 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{r}] \mathrm{kka}-k \operatorname{shit}-\operatorname{samakē}(\mathrm{k} \overline{\mathrm{a}}) 1$-opabhōgā$[\mathrm{r}] \operatorname{tha}\left[\mathrm{m}^{*}\right] \quad$ mātā-pıtrör=ātmanaś=cha puдa
(punya)-
19
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${ }^{50}$ datidi (Sseculblen
 200 mo m os


20 gamya sam[u]chita-bhöga-bhāgakara-hurany-a(à)di-pratyayam=upanayadbhır=bhava-

22 jam=as[ma]diyá dha[r]ma-g[au]ravãd=asmad=anurödhãch=[cha] sva-dattir(dattor)=1va ānupa(pā)-
 dattā (I) rājabhıh Sagan

 plavah ${ }^{4}$
37 Sva-[da] ttâ [m*] pasāra(para)-dattām=vā yo 'harad=vas[n]ndharāh | sa vishthāyā[m] krimı-
 Some Ha-
 Sāmānyo $=$



## Thurd Plate

 tañ=cha sakalam=1dam=ndīhrimñocha budahā na hi purashai[h"] para-kirtayo vilopyă itı | Paramamāhēŝvara-para[ma*]bhatäraka-mahãā̄ādhirā-
ja-paraméśvara-Sōma-kula-tilaka-Trıkalıngāahıpatı-órimad-Yayā-

 Sampa-

[^78]
49 vıgrahıka-rānaka-Śrī-1Chärudattasy=āvagatēna mahākshapa-
50 ta[la]ka- Šrī-Uchchhava-Nāgēn=Āllava-Nāga-sutēn=ē(ô)thirnna[m*] Tthakura-Panā-
51 kēn=ētı | Sampado jalaja-parnna-jalēbhā | jīvitta marana-santa-
 $\mathrm{nri}(\mathrm{nna})$
53 lopyã itı |

## C -The charter of Śatrubhañja

These are three copper-plates (four sides), the first and last of which bear writung on the inner sides only The third plate is a little smalles than the other two The height and breadth of the plates varv - the former bemg smaller about the middle than at the margins and the latter being greater in the middle than at the margins The first tro plates measure $8^{\prime \prime}$ in breadth in the middle and about $7 \frac{7{ }^{\prime \prime}}{}$ at the margin Ther height varies from $4 \frac{7^{7}}{8}$ in the middle to $5^{\prime \prime}$ at the margun The third plate varies in height from $4 \frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ to $4 \frac{7}{8}^{\prime \prime}$ and $7 \frac{5_{8}^{\prime \prime}}{8}$ to $7 \frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{} \mathrm{mn}$ breadth The plates are strung together on a circnlar ming $3^{7}$ in diameter thoough a hole cnt on the left margm of each plate at a distance of about $\frac{7^{\prime \prime}}{8}$ from the edge Between the margin and the proper ring-hole is a second smaller hole on the third plate, the object of which 38 not apparent The ends of the ring are secared at the bottom of an oval seal whose major and minor diamoters are $2 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ and $1 \frac{3}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively Across the seal is engraved in rehef the legend
 a crescent enclosing within its arc a round dot which is perhaps intended to represent the Sun Below the legend is a recumbent bull facing the proper right A small portion of the seal 18 bloken to the proper night of the ball

The inscription belongs to the reign of king satrubhañjadēva (1 10) or Bhañjabhūpatr (I 14), who is described as belonging to the Bhañja race (l 16 f.) and to the Andaja-vamśa (1 16) He was apparently the raler of Obhaya-Khunjah-mandala il 17) In lune 4 raference is made to many kngs (named) Śläbhañjadē̃a: In theur family was king Śatrubhâ̂jadē ra He granted Milupādı-Khandakshētra in the district of Rōyarā-vishaya The donee was the bhataputra Krishna, son of Ākhandala and grandeon oì Mahōdadhu He was an immigrant from Ēāpa-grāma, belonged to the Kāśjapa-gōtra and was a student of the Sámavêda He is sald to have had three $\boldsymbol{r} s \mathrm{sh} s$ for his pravara, but their names are not given The whole inscription is so full of mistakes that it is hardly possible to correct them Even the imprecatory verses are not correctly quoted There is no donbt that the record was composed by some ignoraut person, and I cannot altogether suppress my suspicion abont the genameness of the grant

Fonr other mscriptions of the Bhañja family sre known, three from Orissa and the fourth from Gumsür in the Ganjam District One of them, which has been published by the late Professor Kıelhorn, ${ }^{3}$ furnishes the following pedigree -

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Ranabhañjadēva } \\
\text { Dıgbhañjadēva } \\
\text { Ślābhañjadeva } \\
\text { Mahāräja Vidyādharabhañjadēva } \\
\text { Dharmakalaza } \\
\text { (parana-māhēsrara) }
\end{gathered}
$$

[^79]One of the Bämanghāti plates ${ }^{1}{ }^{15}$ dated in Samvat 288 and during the reıgn of Ranabhañja, son of $D_{1 g b h a n j a ~ a n d ~ g r a n d s o n ~ o f ~ K o t t a b h a n ̃ j a ~ o f ~ t h e ~ B i b a n ̃ j a ~ f a m i l y, ~ w h i l e ~ t h e ~ o t h e r ~ b e l o n g s ~}^{\text {a }}$ to the time of Räjabhañja, son of Ranabhañja, and grandson of Kottabhañja of the Bhañja familys In the Gnmsūr plates, the donor is Nétribhañja, son of Ranabhañja and grañdson of satrubhañja The followng tentative genealogy may be derived from these four records -


The subjoned grant is dated during the reagn of Satrubhañja, bat I doubt if it has to bo assigned to the first hing on the foregong tentaive genealogy I would rather assign it to some successor of Vidyädharabhañja on the above table The emblems on the seal may be taken to show that Śatrabbañja was a Śara Batin line 16 of the text he is described as a fervent derotee of the god Vishnu (parama-vaishnava) The modern representalives of the Bhañja famuly are the ralers of the native state of Mörbhañj in Onssa, whach probably owes its name to a king named Mayurabhañja The indirect reference to this king in the present grant in the epithet andaja-vamsa-prabhava is to my mind satisfactory endence of the donor Satrabhañja having lived at a comparatively later period In the troo Bämanghäti plates mention is made of Virabhadra, the founder of the Bbañja family, who is sadd to have been born in the great tapōvana at Kötyäárama by breakug open a pea-hen's egg ${ }^{4}$

## TEXI 5

## First Plate.

1 Ōm Svastr [ll*] Samghāra-kāla-hatabhng-vikarãla-ghora-sambhrānta-h[m*]kara-krıtänta bhina 1 gahanündhakāra-sarūsura-gahanādapatra tadabharravam Hara-vapuh hhavatah prapātub || Durvārah vārana-pratipaíssua-Laksho-hata-grahana sudaha Ŝrī-Ślläbhañja-dēva-7narāddhıpatayo bahavo babhūva bhūrı-sahasra sankhā tēshām ka[ $[\bar{e}]$ sakala-bhũ talapāla ${ }^{8}$-maulı-mālārchita-pāda-gărıshu khadga-dhārā-haté6 na nrıpatı-Śri-Ślābha[m*]jadēva prakata-paurnsha-rasmı-chakra-nı-

[^80]7 ta nisırdānıtārıhndayrpı ptā nrıpatayah \|l Gambhıryēna payñodhi sthı-


10 да Ŝrí-Śatrubha[m"]jadēva tatnladdhıh tasmātatējasah bhavanandanja madamina-m1-
11 lita sambaddhata nripacha[kra] chatarangabala kshobha-chahta-ddharamandala II Gaja-tnra-
12 ga-khura-mdärana-ıana-prasara dadraladvalı vitāna chhachhnma janyãgana-

## Second Plate, Frrst Srdo

13 skaddha ( ${ }^{( }$)-vedıkā símrarāyatah parinata-jaya-Laksch-samānamá đ̈rta-paraja-
14 napadah Srimad-Bha[mja-bhūpatı purā pa $^{5}\left({ }^{5}\right)$ tı para nānyah saradamala dhavalahara
15 jasa-patala-ddhavalita-digaradana anararata-prarrita sammana dānādıta-
16 sakala-janō Andaja-vamśa-prabhavá parama-Vaıshnata matä-pıtrı-pidānudhyāta Bbamjāa,
17 male-kula-tılakab Ubhaya-Khñ̃alı-mandala-bhavighyad-rāja-rajanākā 7
18 ढ́rí ta[ra]nga-kumà [ra]-māra-mānya mahā-sămatas brāhmana praddhana a-
19 nyāś=cha dandapās1-chāta-bhăta-vallabhan-jātunã l| yathārahamã-
20 nayatı boddhayatı samādiśayatı ch=ānyat || Śvam=asmāham viditam=a-
21 stu bhavatīm Rōyarā-vıshaya-pratıbaddha-Mılupādı-khandakshētra-chatuh-
sımā (simā)-pa-
22 ryanta-mıdu-schбpamdhu-sabitam akshaya-tritıya-yugädı-dıné ${ }^{9}$ Gamgāsamdhyı ${ }^{10}$



## Second Plate, Second Side

25 rtha-rachanēnah Kãsyapa-gotrāya trıyārısaya-pravaraia Sāma-vèdad dhyã-
26 yi Ālāpa-grāma ${ }^{11-v ı n ı g g a t a h ~ b h a t a p u t r a ~ K r ı s h a e ̄ ~ A ̄ k h a n d a l a-s u t a-M i a h o ̃ d a-~}$
 sabıāasaşa mē-
29 dım yãva surga-krıtām lōkām tāva-spargamahyatē || Āsph[ $\overline{0}]$ tayatı pt-

30 Bahnbhur=rasudhā datam rā̄ūna Sagarādıbhu yassa yavya yadā bhümı
31 tasya tasya tadà [pha]la || Mã pärthrve kadāchuta³ biahmasva ${ }^{34}$ ma-

[^81]
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32 nasãd-apı ānēsha dhamabhēshap̧a atata halāhala-vısham || Ānsha[m] vi-
33 shamitāhuh brahmasvam visha u[ch]yatē visam=ē̄kākind hamntı brahmasa putrapatrikam
34 yathă gau bharatē vachhaksharını kshuramustē ōva datvā sahasıāhsha blū̃mı bhara-

36 nēna bhūmıhartā na śadhyatı || yathā bujānı lohantı pukırnnānı mahitala ${ }^{1}$

## Thurd Plate

37 Eiva bhümı-krıta-dānam sasye ${ }^{2}$ sasyē prayachhatı [1*] bhūmı yah pratıgribnātı ya-
chhehba bhūmı prayaehhatı ubhai taı punya-karmā niyataı svargā-gāminaı [॥*]
Harantē hära-
39 yatē yas=tu ma[m]da-budhıs=tamã-rritah sa batdhā Vāranauh pāśyēs=turyayo-
40 nıshu jāyēt II Sra-datīm para-datām vã yo harēh vasu[m]dharā || sa tapılā-
41 ǵataghnānām=ēnäsı pratıpadyatī || Hıramnyamēka gā-
$42 \mathrm{~m}=\hat{\mathrm{e} k a}[\mathrm{~m}]$ bhūmn=apy=ardham=agula || haranto naraka yā[m]tı fāva-
43 d-àhnta-samplavah || Siva[na]gana Pāndı ${ }^{3}$-satēnē llāehhınta ${ }^{4}$
44. māhārāak ${ }^{5}$ mudrēth II

In order to localise and adentrfy the places mentioned in the foregoing three grants and other allied unscriptions we must try and ascertam how and where the orignals were found A and $B$ as well as $S$ were discovered by cultivatois while ploughing fields in the villages granted by the charters As regaids Milupādı Khandakshētra of C, Mılupādı or Milupāndı may be the name of a plot of land All fields in the district of Sambalpur as well as in the feudatory states attached to it bear local names, and if Khandakshētra denoted merely a stray prece of land, no 1dentification of it 18 possible But Khandakshētra may also be the name of a village, for in Fl, hane 13, we actaally find the word as the name of a village The resident of that village is satd to have come from Odayaśringa, which I identify with Odśngē in the native state of Ātmallik Rōyarā being on the borders of Sonpur State ( $C$, line 21), not far off either from Pätnã or Átmallik, there might have been a village of the name Khandakshētra in the locality where the record was nnearthed

It muśt be noted that the states of Rerhakhol, Ātmallhk, Bodh and Pātnā he to the north, north-east, east and south, respectively, of the Sonpur State which they adjoin Of the geographieal names mentioned in F 1, the river O of Pātnā and Sonpur The Zamindāri of Barpalı (dıstrıct Sambalpar) on which the village Satallamã, the modern Satalma of $S$ is situated, is also mithin a fow miles from the river Ong flowing past Sālēbhatā Bakēbirā and Lois̃ingā (Vakaveddà and Lēıŕringā of $F$ 1) are also close to Sālēbhatā Polıee Station

Nuptara, Nupursinga and Banktentulı (Inpattarā Khandīya Valratentalī grāmē, lıne 5, A) are in the netghbourhood of the place where $A$ was obtaned $A_{s}$ Suvarnapura (A, lne 1) is certamly the Sanskritsed form of Sonpur, so also is Rādhāphamvallikandara (A, line 12),

[^82]a very high sounding form of Rerhakhol The word 'kandara' means 'khol' both in Urija and vulgar Bengali

Murasima (F 1 , hnc 1 and S, line 2) appears to be Mursing which is in the Jaransinga Zamindāri of the Pātnā State The situation of Satalmā, Bakēburia and Loisingā lcd mc fret to make this identification My impression is conhrmed by the fact that it is customary with the Zamindar of Jarāsingā to be ceremonionsly mstalled every jear on the Dasara day (l0th day fiom the fall moon of the month of Aswn) by going to Miursing, which is at present quite an insignuficant village

There $1 s$ no doubt that when A was issued, the donor was present at Sonpur The word vijaya hataha in line 1 of the Pātnä coppcr-plate grant (F 1), hke tqgaya-skandhä̀ãıa (A, line 1), occurring as it does after the name of the place whele the king was residing, can ncver moan a town "From Murasima (or from Suvarnapara, as thc case may be) where the king with his victorions soldues was encamped" should be the mcaning of the first line of the text of both Fland A

It is very probable that a town was beng built at Chandmär, on the bank of the Mahānadi, opposite Katak, either by Janaméjaya or his son Yayātı; but it was never finıshed Dr Fleet has very rightly rcmarhed (above, Vol III, 324) that the town Katak is of later ongin than Chaudwãr If this be the case, how could the place attan any celebrity already in the time of Janamejaya? It may be that the town Katak owes its name to the fact of its being built on a camping ground As the king mast have encamped in many different placcs, it is imposgable that the namc Kataka had at such an eanly jeriod as the rcign of Janamejaya, become the proper name of the locality to which it is now applied Dr Fleet saw clearly what the word meant, ${ }^{1}$ but was only maled by the name of the town where the plates edited by him were preserved Since it is clear that the Sonpar charters were assued from Sonpar, there is no reason to supposc that the charters relating to Bakēbiri (F 1) and Satalma (S) - not far from one another - were not issucd from some place in Pätnā during the military expedition of the grantor

I am nncined to think that the town Vinitapura, whence Manāivagupta issued B granting the village of Nibinnā, is no other than Binukē in the Sonpur State The villages Nibinnă and Menda (now a police station) are in the Bunkā subdrrision From the source of the river to the Bay of Bengal there is no other town on the bink of the Mahanadi which bears such a name Considerng that even villages very often retan theur old names, it is not likely that a town of such importance has lost its name altogether For this reason I thunk Vinitapura is the Sanskritised form of the non-Aryan name Binikà

It is a pity that the origmal find-spot of F 5 cannot now be known How the charter relating to a village m the Kösala conntry was carried to the Governmont record room at Katak, was not at all inquared moto by Babn Rangalal Banerj1 when he first edited it in 1877 I can casily umagine how the charters granted in favoar of the chief minuster of the king (F 2, F 3 and F 4) were carried by him and left behind

I must, by the way, mention one fact here, regarding the names of those villages mentioned in the plates edited by Dr Fleet, which I have farled to identify, because the locality where they were discovered could not be traced Randā, Mendā, Mardā, Lendā, Talundā, Kalnndā, Bulevdā, Balandā, and such other names many villages in the district of Sambalpar bear Randā, Tnlēndā, Alāndalā, etc of the plates bear the pronncial pecularities of the names of villages in the district of Sambalpur

The district of Sambalpur and the Uripa-speaking feudatory states attached to $1 t$, were included in the Chattisgarh Dinision of the Central Provinces till October 1905, for administrative

[^83]convenience due to their geographical situation It is pelfectly clear that this tract of country was also once included withın Kōsala-dēśa or Dakshına Kōsala of which Ratanpur (in the district of Blaspur) was the capital, bat drd not form any pait of Ödra or Onssa For, in the fist place, Nibinnã in Sonpar ( $B$, hnes $4,5,15$ and 16) and Satallamã (modern Satalma) in Sambalpar (S, line 9) are described as villages m Kösala-désa ${ }^{1}$ Secondly, the Brïhmana no came from Orissa to be settled in the district of Sarmbalpui, conld not bave been called a man of O Ora-deśa ( S , lines 16 and 17) if the distıct were phit of Orissa It 18 worthy of note that even now, when anybody goes to Parl on pilgrimage, etther from Sambalpar or from the feadatory states, he says he is going to Orissa In popnlar language, Orissa does not melude Sambalpur and its fendatory states

The grantore of the charters FI to F 6, A, B, and S, called themselves lords of Kōsaladésai as well as of Trikalinga It is worthy of note thit the Kēsarı hines are connted in Orissa from Yayãtı and not from his fathel Janamejuya There is another Janaméjaya in the list of bings, but he was a remote successor of Yayãtı Mcruly because no epigraphe record of Janamejaya has been obtaned, it cannot be argued that it is Janaméjaya Mahābhavagupta I, who has been wrongly shown on the hist This is at least certan that the Orissa record does not know anything of Siragapta who is the first king of this line

Sunce all the copper-plate grants of Mahābhavagupta I relate to villages in Kōsala-dēsáa (including Sambalpur of conrse) it minst be presumed that, to begin with, the Somavamsi kings became powerful in Kösala-désa only, and that it rras only subsequently that they extended then mflnevce into Onssa Sādhārana, who was the chıef muister of Mahāblavagapta I, was granted villages for his enjoyment in Kosala-désa ( $F 2$ to $\mathbb{F}$ 4) He could not have managed them if he had been living far away from those villages, nor would the king select such distant villages for bis minister who was his favourite

Whether the Guptas who were lords of Kösala and Orissa weie connected with the famly of Sivagupta, son of Harshagupta of the Rāju, Surpur and Ratanpur records is a qnestion which awaits solution That the Hiudursed Sabara king Udayana helonged to, or rather clamed descent from, the lunar race, like the Sömavamsí kings, and that the successors of Odayana foom Tivara downwards assamed the title Capta, and were all Savas, hase heen fully established From the inscinption of Bhavadēva published by Piofessor Kiclhorn ( $T R A S 1905, p p 17-624$ ) it may be inferred that difictent banches of the same fumily reigned sumultaneonsly at different places The assumption by Bhavadiva of the title Kēsarin has also to be noted Dr Fleet did not accept the suggestion of Sir Ale randel Cunningbam, not because there was any chionological diffeulty in identrfyng the father of Janamejara with Śrvagnpta of the Rājum plates, bat becanse he considered it not possible on palæographie grounds ${ }^{4}$

The characters of the Sambalpur and Orassa plates duffer very mach from those of the Rāım and Ratanpur records, but the canse of this dufference may be the following King Janamejava and his successors had many Bengali Kăfasthas for therr conrtowicers We get the names Kalasa Ghösha, father of Vallabha Ghosha (S), ${ }^{5}$ Kāı Ghōsha, ${ }^{6}$ son of Vallabha

[^84]Ghosha (A and F 1), Malla Datta, son of Dhāra Datta (F1, T 2, F 3, F 4, and S) in the employment of Janaméjaya, the names Chäru Datta, ${ }^{2}$ Uchchhava Nāga and Allava Nãgas under king Yayātı (B) and the names Singa Datta ${ }^{4}$ and Mangala Datta under Bhimaratha (F 6) None but Bengalı kāyasthas bear Datta, Ghösha, Nāga, etc, as surnames The Uriya Karans (who may be called kãyasthas) never used such surnames The words Datta, Ghosha, etc, as inseparable parts of the names of men, were in use in other parts of Northern Indra, and such names conld be borne by pelsons of any and every caste Bnt as these words are surnames here of Kajyasthas, there can be no doubt that the kings had Bengali officers under them when they acquired territories in the forest tract of Sambalpur

The writers and engravers used the alphabet which was carrent amongst them Mr Thomas remarked long ago that these letters resemble mostly, and are identical in some cases with, the modern Bengall alphabet The letters kra, nga, ncha, til, and pha are striking examples But it mast also be borne in mind that some letters represent the modern Uriya forms of them only If we compare the alphabet of the epigraphic records of the Pala and the Sēna kings of Bengal, we can clearly see how the modern foims of Bengaly and Uriya letters were evolved These characterz were also in ase in the district of Ganjam, but they were slightly modified by southern mfluence (eg above, Vol III, plate facing p 222) Inscriptions written parely by Uriga scribes also bear the forms of these letters with the provincial pecularities of Bengali letters (C) I cannot deal with the historical signficance of it here

The cumulative effect of the forgoing facts is certanly on the side of the suggestion of Sir Alexander Cannugham that the Guptas who were Kōsalēndras and Thikalingādhupatıs must have sprang from the Hindused Sabara family of Udayana
[The identafication proposed by Mr Mazumdar of Vinitapara with Binika in the Sonpar State has been arrived at independently by Rai Bahadur Hira Lal in his article on the Sirpur Stone Inscription of Mahābiva Gupta which, though recerved proor to this paper, has been unavoidably delayed for want of proper impressions of the inscription.-Ed ]

## No 9 -FIVE VALABHI PLATES

## By Profesgor Sten Konow, Ph D, Chribtiania

In the Indran Antrquarys has been published an "Account of a collection of copper-plates belongng to the Palitãnā State By the late A M T Jackson, ICS" The collection consists of twelve plates, which were found some forty years ago They were strung together on a copper wire, abont $3^{\prime \prime}$ thick, and suspended by it from the roof of a small underground chnmber adjoinng a tank in Palitãna Two of these plates form a grant by the Sámanta Mahärāja Simhäditya, the remanning ten make up four complete and two mocomplete Valabhi grants 6 The twelve plates have been kndly lent to Rai Bahadur $V$ Venbayya, the Government Epigraphist for India, who has been good enough to send me impressions of eight of them, propared at his request in Dr Vogel's office

Ras Bahadur $V$ Venkayya describes the wre on which the plates had been strung as being about $8^{\prime \prime}$ in length Its two extremities are secured mio an oval seal measuring $2 \mathrm{r}^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ by $13^{\circ}$

[^85]The seal hears in relisf, on a conntersunk surface a recambent bull, facing the proper right, with a pretty large hump, and below it the legend Sri-Bhatuhlah The bnll and the legend are separated by two horizontal lines, of which the apper one as not quite dustinct

The eight plates contann three eomplete and two nocomplete Valabhin giants The three complete ones and one of the two meomplete ones belong to the Mahāsāmanta Mahārāna Dhruvasēna I One of them is dated [Valabhī-] Samvat 206, tro [ Valabhī-] Samvat 210, while the incomplete one does not contrin a date The same is the case with the remaning plate, wheh forms the begrnung of a grant issued by Silāditya I Dharraāditya

## I PALITĀNa PLATES OF DHRUVASENA I, [VALABHī-] SAMVAT 206

These are two plates, each written on one ande only Accoudeng to Rai Bahndur $\nabla$ Venkayya, "the working of the engraver's tool shows itself almost completely on the back of both plates" The length of the plates is $9 \frac{71}{8}$ and the height $6 \frac{3}{4}{ }^{\prime \prime}-7^{\prime \prime}$ The size of the individnal letters as about ${ }^{4 \prime}$ The plates are, to judge from the implessions, in a vers good state of preservation The first plate contruns 14 and the second 16 lines of well creented writing

The characters are the same as in other old Valabhi plates They eomprise the signs for mitnal $\bar{i}$ in -Iscara-, 1 16, and for $d h$ in Dhindaha-, 17 There are two aggns of interpunctuation,
 senasyah, 1 e Dhrunasēnasya \|f, 129 The numorncal symbols for 200,6 , and 5 ocenr in 130

With regard to orthography, we may note that the name of the first aneestor of the Matrakas occars in the form Bhatalha, as in all the older plates The form Bhatarla is a later attempt at Sanshritizing the name, and there is no reason for preferring it, at least so long as we do not know whether the name is Indian or not The of in -phalöpa., 12 looks almost luke an 2 The dropping of a final $t$ a havs $=c h r, 123$, vasëh, 1 e vasêt, 127 , and the writing of $s$ mstead of sh in södasa-, l 17, are probably Präkritisms, compaıe also -Diönasihah stha 110 Note farther the ase of an $n$ instead of an $m$ before st in chatvamisad-, 116 , and chatuärinsad, 1 18, and the donbling of surds, nasals and lignds after an $r$, thas, -öpärjute, 13 , -manir= mmanu-, -dharmma Dharmma-, 17, -ärttha-, l 11, .pād̄̄vartta., 11 16, 17, 18, pürvvōttara-, 1 18, ete, bat-ãrha-, 120 , and finally, the spellngg $3 y$ in Jarabhayy, 119 The writing $1 y$ instead of 3 reenrs in Jyãala- in 116 of the plates of Samvat 210, Śrāvana śa 15 , which will be published below It 18 comparable with the common modern spelling $j y$ in order to denote the pare palatal and not the sound $d z$ in Marathi distriets Thas spelling is therefore of interest for the ehronology of the change of $f$ to $d z$ in Maraithi Professor Jacobi has suggested to eall the langnage nsnally designated Jana Mahärashtri, the langaage of Janna commentators and poets, Saurashtri He draws attention to the old Jama tradition that the niltmate redaction of the Jana books was made in Valabhi in the year 980 after the Nirvãna of Mahãvira It wonld therefore seem probable that Jams Mahärāshtri represents the dualect of $\nabla_{\text {alabhi }}$ about 500 A D Jama Mshārāshtrī is elosely related to Mahärāshtī, the parent of modern Marāthī, and not to Śanrasēnī, from which Gajaāti is manly derived There are also other featares whoh point to the conclusion that the langnage of Kathyawar and Gujarat generally has not always been- of the same knd as at the present day, bat more like the dialects frons which Marathi 18 derved, ${ }^{5}$ and $2 t$ as therefore possible to compare the spelling gy in Valabhi plates and in modern Mrräthi with each other, though Maräthil is not now spoken an the districts where these plates
 is perhaps also comparable, the modern change of $j$ to $d z$ not takng place in the word raja

[^86]The writing is on the whole farly correet There ane, however, some places in wheh the engraver has misiead his draft Thus we find a instend of a in ohatvarinsad-, 116 , vapi, 1
 7, -paddhātur=, 1 8,-mahattarā-, 1 14, $\bar{a} r n n a v a-, 121, \bar{a}$ foı $\bar{e}$ 1n -mōdāt $=$, mstead of -médèta,
 $q$ in-bhotyam, $121, t$ for $n$ in =numattaryah, $125, t$ for $v$ in mirgjat-, 12 , $a$ for $p$ in
 $p$ for $b$ in $=\bar{a} p \bar{a} d h a \overline{,}, 123, p$ for $y$ in apam=, $125, r u$ for ha in $-\bar{a} b h z s h i ̄ r u-, 1,9$, and so forth Note also the absence of samdhr in odidlhtith dinn-, 15 , srishtalb yato, 122 , etc, and the confusion of the dnal and the plural in Vyãsagilau slölaz bhavanti, 126.

The plates contan a grant issned from Valabhi by the Mahäsämanta, the Maliäräja Dhruvasēna bestowing several plots of land in the villages Madkan $\bar{\varepsilon}$, Tāpasīa and Tinishaka, in the Hastavapräharani (dıstıet), on tho Chhandogas Kumārasarman and Jarabhajin, of the Śāudılya götra, residents of Sankaravataka Mastaviprähuranī is well known from other Valabhimserptions ${ }^{1}$ It eorresponds to the modern Hithab, 6 miles tonth of Gozta in the Bhāpagar State, The lower classes pronounee this name Hithap, and this foim is probably the correct one It ean be regulanly derised fiom Hastal apra, but hardly from Hastaluıapra or Hastavapra These foims look like learned Sanskrit versions of an older Hutthaappa and are hardly genuine Astahapra of the Periplus points to an ornginal Mastahapra The names of the three villages in the distriet are not olsewhere known Tho grant was issned from Valabhi, the present Wälà, situated in $21^{\circ} 52^{\prime}$ N. and $71^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$. I cannot identify Sankaravātaka, where the two donees were residing Tho dūtul.a nas the prathhära Mammaka. Who appearsin the same eapaoity in the two grants of Dhrnvasöna of Sam at $207^{3}$ The miter was the samo Kikkaka, who wrote the remanning edicts of Dhavasena The date of the grant was the 5th tith of the bryght fortnight of Bhadrapada of the (Valabhi) year 208, corresponding to $A D$ 525-28 It is the earliost known grait of Dhinvabina

TEXT.
Frrst Plate
 sapatna-
2 mandal abhoga-samsalta-qamprahära śata-labdha-pratāpah pratäp-opanata-dãna-mãnarjuat( $v$ )-брд
3 IJjut-änurāgó=nurakta-maula-bhrita-mıtra-sıēnī-bal-āvāpta-räjaśīh ${ }^{6}$ para[ma]mühēšaıah
4 sēnāpatı-Šrī-Bhatakkah tasya sutas=tat-pada-rajō-rnu-āvanata-pavitilkrita-śirās=śil $\delta$-va-
5 nata-śatra-chūdumanı-pıabhā va(vı)ohchhurita-päda-nakha-panhtı-didlıtıh dim-änätha-jan-орајі1-
$6 \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{v})$ รamäna-vıbh२vah paramamāhēśraras=sēnüpatı-Dharasēnah tass=ānojas=tat-pād-äbhipna-
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 Dharmmarija
 parama-

 gaja-
 nà $[\mathrm{m}]$ kalpa-
12 tarnr=1та sudhrut(hrit)-pranzyıñan gathäbhılashıta-phal-opabbogadah paramabhägavatah 13 paramabhattyà (tiã)raka-pīd-ānudhyāto mahāss̄manta-mahārijja-Dhruvasēnah kuáali
 dhruyirhukaranika-

## Second Plate

 vičam jathã
16 Hastavepr-äharanyām Madkanã-grāmè katambi-Ïsrana-pratyaya-pādāvartta-batam chatrarinsadº adhakam
 pädarā (ra)rtta-́́atam
 saha vāpyă S̄ankaravātaka-và-
 gasabrahmachārıbya(bhyã)m mayã mà̀-
20 tü-pitroh pany-āpyāyanāy=ătmanuS=ch=aıhk-ămushmika-yathäbhulashıta-phal-ãvaptı; mımttam=â-chandr-urk(a)-
21 ârnava-kshuti-sarit-parvata-sthits-samakālinam putra-pautr-änvaya-bhōtrama bah-charu-vaı́vadęv-âņānâm krı-
 uchitayá brahmadāya-
 kāryy=āsmad- ${ }^{9}$ vangajarr=āgäms-bhadra-
24 nrıpatıbhiśsch=änıtyăay=aı́varyyāny=asthıra[m*] mãnushya[m*] вãma(mā)nyam cha bhümı-dāna-phalam=avagachchhadbhib
$25{ }^{10}$ apam=asmad-dāy $\delta=n u m a t t a v$ anh $^{11}$ [II $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ jas=ch=āochchhındyãd=āchchhudyamānam $\quad \nabla=$ ãnumõdãt=sa12 pañchabhiremmahadātakarh ${ }^{13}$
 Shashtr-varsha-sahasrānı svargge
 [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Bahnbhir=vrasudhā bhaktã rājabhi[ $h^{*}$ ]
28 Sagar-ādhbhıh [1*] yasya yasya jadā bhūmıs=tasya tasya tadā phalam (II) Svabasto mama mahā-

[^88]
## TRANSLATION

(Line 1) Om Hanl' From Valabhi (In the lencage) of the Martrakas, who forcibly prostiated then enames (was born) the goneral, tho glorions Bhatakika, who obtamed eplendour in handieds of battles fonght mithin the cireat of tho territones of adver fares of naequalled strength, who ganed devotion by gafts, honoura and strminforwardness towards those whom he had prostrated by has spleudonr, who obtaned the glory of royalty by the strength of the array of deroted hereditaly serpants and friends, the devout worshipper of Jifhtsvara
(Lnne 4) His son (was) the general Dharasēna, whoss head was pnrified, bent before and reddened by the dust of his feet, tho shining lino of tho nonls of whose feet was inlard with the lastre of the erest jewels of his foes when they bent with ther heads (lcfore ham), whose wealth was being lired npou by poor and helpless people, the devout worshipper of Mahēstara
(Inne 6) His foanger brother (icas) the Mahārāja Drōnasimba, whose spotless crestjewel beeame nnspieions by bowng down to bis (brother's) feet, whose nature (manfcsted ntself 2n) the performanee of the regulations land down by Mana and others, who hke Dharmarija ( 2 e Yudhishthua) fixed the path of the laws of good conduct, whose anontment to the kingdom was performed by the paramonnt sovereign in person, the lord of the eireat of the termi, rieq of the whole earth, and whose royal glory was purified by (his) gieat liberality, the devort worshipper of Mahéśrara
(Line 10) His yonngei brother, who hhe a hon defeated singlehanded, by the strength of his own arm, the array of tho troops of the elephants of ( $7 n s$ ) enemies, who was the refuge of those who sought for refuge, who grasped the 1 eal parport of the meaning of the Süstias, who, like the kalpa-tree, granted the enjorment of rewards aeeording to the wishes of (his) frends and favourites, the devout worshipper of Bhagavat, who meditates on the feet of the paramonit lord, the Mahhāsāmanta, the Mahiñrāta Dhruvasēna, being in good health, issues the folloming order to all his äyuktakas, vinvyuktahas, offieers of the wateh-stations, mahattaras, distriet

(Line 15) Be it known to roa that I hare given as a brahmadaya, with libations of water, in tho Hastavapra- $\bar{a} h a r a n \bar{\imath}$ in the village Madkanā, hnndied and forty $p \bar{d} d \bar{\imath}$ artas helonong to the householder Īspara and an irrigation-mell with an area of sixteen pidarartas; fuither in the Tapasiya village, hnndred and fortr pādz̄artas, the holding of Dhndaka, fnither on the north-eastern border of Tinıshaka village hnndrod pāāarurtas, together with an irrigation-well, to (two) unhabitants of Śankaravãtaka, (vzz) the brähmanas Kumäraśarman and Jarabhajyı, of
 mother and father and in order to obtan for Mryself in this woild and the other such rewards as I wisk to last for the same time as the moon sin, ocean, enrth, the rivers and monntains, to be enjoyed by the suceession of their sons and sons' sons, for the performanee of the rites of ball, charu, and varsvadēva and others Therefore, not even a slight obstruction should be made by anyone to these two while they are enjoying it in aecordance with the proper conditions of a brahmadiaya, caltivating it or assigning it (to others) And this Our gaft shonld be assented to by those born in Onr lineage and by future pions kings, bearing in mind that power is perishable, that the life of man is nneertan, and that tho reward of a gift of land is eommon And he who confiscates it or assents to 1 ts being eonfiseated, incnis the gailt of the five great mina together with the minor sins
(Tnne 26) There are also two verees sang by Vyäsa abont thas The giver of land rejoices in heaven for suxty thonsand years, bat he who confiscates or approves (of confiscatzon) drells in hell the same number of years

The carth has been enjoyed by many hings begmang with Sagaia, whosoever at any time possesses the earth, to bim belongs, at that timo, tho rewaid
 messenger is the pratiñira Mammaka Writton by Kikkaika

The year 200 (and) 6, (the month) Bhadraphda, the bright (fortmght), the 5th ( $t_{1}$ thi)

## II -PALitāna plates of deruvasèna I, [Valabeĩ-] SAMVAT 210


#### Abstract

These plates are described as follows by Rai Bahadur $\nabla$ Venlaysa,- -' Tro plates withont ring or seal Each of them has, howcver, two ring holes Tho ring holc of the left-hand corner of the bottom of tho first plate is not complete, is small prece of 1 ts lower margin beng broken just bclow the ring hole Each plate 28 witten only on ono alde The working of the engrever's tool shows itself in a fow places on the back of both plates The length of the plates saries from $10 \underline{L}^{\prime \prime}$ to $10 \frac{1^{\prime}}{}{ }^{\prime}$ and the height from $6{ }_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ to $6 \frac{1}{4}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ " The height of indradnal letters is aloont $\frac{13}{3}$ Each plato contans 11 hancs of well oxcented writing in a very good state of preservation

Tho alphabet is of tho samo kind as in the plates described above The zesarga is apparently nsed as a sign of interpunctuation in blazantik, 1 e blavanti $\|, 123$ The numerical symbols for 20010 and 5 occur mall 27 f


The orthography is amilar to that of the foregoing grant Forms such as hawsoche, 1 21, slha, 1 9, and =anumati, 1 23, look liko Prikmisms The forms Jyä̃äla- for tho common Jăuala- 1 16, and -răja-, which perhaps stands for rājya, 1 3, have been discnssed above Surds, hquids and nasnls are donbled after $r$, thus, -üryjavöpärynt-, ] 2 , -dharmmã, 17 A surd has beon doubled bcforo $y$ in -änuddhyãtö, 1 12, sambaddhyämuana-, 114 The engraver has not been carefnl to arod mswritings Thns the anusvärà has often been left ont, and in ll 9, 23 and 25, whole syllables are missing An $a_{18}$ vary often wiongly written mstead of an $\tilde{a}$, thns, pratap-öpanata-dëna-man-, 12 , -nuragō, 12 , and other instances in ll $3,5,7,13$, $14,19,20,22,25,26,27$, $a$ 1s written instead of $2 \mathrm{~mm}=a y a m=$, 1 e $=2 y a m=, 123$, 2 иs used

 forth Writings anch as -didhutaly din-, 15 , on the other band, are not mistakes of the writer, bat this mstance of wrong samdhr belongs to the oraginal draft and recars in most of the grants of Dhruvasena Tho rcdundant bhavanti, 1. 23, is porhaps also duo to carelcssmess in the origual draft

Tho grant was assned from Valabhí by the Mahāsāmanta, tho Mahänäja Dhruvasēna, in order to confirm the Brähmana Vishnaśrman, of tho Jāvãla gōtra, a student of the Vâjıannēga ( $\dot{s} \bar{a} k h \bar{a}$ ) and a resident of Slimhapura, in tho possession of some land in the Hastavapra-ăharante,
 Bhallara village, and the Amrilikã tank, and furthor 50 padẽvartas on the northern border of the Vasukiya village, to be entered from Akshasaraka I am unable to identify the villages Bhallara and Vasakiya Vasakiya 1 s said to be Akshasaraks $-p r \bar{u} v e \bar{s} y a \quad$ This corresponds to the Aleshasaraka-prapiya in the Ganésgad plates of Samvat 207, which Professor Hultzschl

[^89]translates" which belongs to the Akshasarakaprāpa," comparing the expression Vatasthalika $\bar{a}-$ prāȳ̄ya-inl 5 of the copper-plnte grant of Gnhasēna of Samvat $248,{ }^{1}$ mstead of which we shonld perhaps read Vatapallhiāprāpīya- It seems certam that prāpüya is gynonymons with prävēśya, which also occurs in the Kharaár plates of Mahāsudēva ${ }^{2}$ Prā̀ēsya may, of conrse, be a fiscal term It 18, however, not likely thatit means anything else than what it does in the common
 accordugly translate Ahshasaraloa-prāuēsya "which is entered from Akshasaraka," $\imath e$ bordens on Akshasaraka I cannot locate Akshasaraka The last part of the word is probably saraha, lake, pond Of the remanng geographical names mentioned in the plates, Valabhi and Hastavaprāharani have been referred to above The name Āmriluka probably means "abounding in mangoes" Simhapura, where the donee resided, also occurs in the plates of Dharasēna IV of Samvat 326 published in the Bombay Journal, Vol X, p 77 ff It is the present Sihor, situated in $21^{\circ} 43^{\prime} N$ and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$

The dūtaha was the prathhära Mammaka, and the writer Kikkaka, as in the preceding grant The grant is dated on the l5th (tithi) of the bright fortnight of Srapana in the (Valabhi) year 210, corresponding to AD 529

## TEXT.

## Fust Plate

 bala-sapatna-mandalābhōga-
2 samsakta-sampiahāra-śata-labdha-pratāpah prata(tã)p-ōpanata-dāna-ma(mã)n-ārj]avōpārjutīnura (nũ)gō=nurakta-
$3 \mathrm{~m}[\mathrm{an}] \operatorname{labhrıta-mıtra-śrēni-bal-āva(vā)pta-rājaśrīh~} \quad$ paramamāhēśvaras=sēna(nā) patı-ŚriBhatakkah
4 tasya sutas=tat-pâda-rajō-run-āTanata-pavitrikrita-śrrās=śıō-ranata-śntru-chūdâmani(ui)-
5 prabhā-vichchhurita-pāda-nakha-pamktı-didhtıb dı(di)n-ānātha-jan-орајīfama(mā)navibhavah
6 paramamähēśvaras=sēnāpatı-Dharasēnas=tasy=ānojas=tat-pād-ābhipranāma-praśanta-vimala-
 vilnta-vinaya-vy[a]vasthà-pa-
8 ddhatır=akhila-bhuvana-m ındalābhōga-svāmunā parama-svāminā svayam=npahıta-rājyäbhıshēła-
 iva
10 tasy=ānujas=sva-bhujábalēna para-gaja-ghat-ānītānā (m)m=ēkavıjayi saran-arshmā [m*] sarana-
11 m=avabơddhā sāstr-ārttha-tattrānām kalpatarur=iva suhnt-prapaymām yathābhilashita-phal-бра-
12 bhögadah paramabh[ã] gavatah paramabhatyā(ttā) ıaka-pād-ānuddhyāto mahābāmanta-mahārāja-Dhruva-
13 sēnah hnśali s[a]rvaān=êva spān=āfultaka vmıyuktaka-cha(chā)ta-blata-drãngıka-mabattara-
14. dhruvädhıkaranıka-dāndapāsík-ādin=anyāmś=cha jathā-sambaddhyāmānaka(kā)n=anndaıśa-

[^90]
 ©








 Noceror


 Mr
 knsent


## 200

．
 $\stackrel{9}{2}$
 Whatumen rox．©

第要点



 250 \％W］

 ne．










 ORMOT：

## Second Plate

15

21 sthity=ānumōdē $\left(d_{1}\right)$ tam jató=sya bhumjatah krıshatah kanshayatah pradiśato vā na kanstehi[t*]
22 parıpanthanā bāryy=āsmad-vams̃ajar=āg[ā]mı-bhadra-nrıpatıbhıś=cha bãma(mā)nyam bhu(bhū)mi-dāna-phalam=ava-
23 gachchhadbhır=ayam=asmad-anumatı mantavyā [Il*] bhavantı ch=ātra Vyāsagitīh ślokã bhavantr(h)
24 Bahubhır= ${ }^{2}$ vasudhā bhuktā rājabhıs=Sagar - Aıbhıh [ $\left.\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ yasya jasya yadā bhūmıs= tasja tasya tada
25 phalam [11*] Shashthim varsha-sahasrãm svarggē mōdatı bhūmidah [1*] āchchluētta(ttā) ch=ānumanta(tā) cha ta(tā)ny=ē[va*] narahē vasē [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$
26 Svadattā $\left[\mathrm{m}^{*}\right]$ paradattām va jō harēta vasundhanām [ $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ gavä $\left[\mathrm{m}^{*}\right]$ satasahasıasya hantrih pla(prä)pnõt kilbisham [ $l^{*}$ ]
 pratīhára-Mammakah [ $1 \|^{*}$ ] Lıhhtam Kikkakena [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Sam 20010
28 Śrāvana śu 105

## TRANSLATION

[Limes 1-14 are practically ide stical with the beginning of the plates of Sam 206]
(Line 15) Be it known to you that fur the mnabitant of Simbapura, (2zu) the Biamana Vishnaśarman, of the Javala götra, a stadent of the Vajrancya ( $s \vec{a} h / h \vec{a}$ ), the following (property), 22m in the Hastavapra- $\bar{\alpha} h a r a n t$, in the Bhallara villago, un the south-western boider, the harada ${ }^{2}$ field (formerly) enjoyed by the Brahmana Visiakha, (and) the urigaton well Āmmikā extending over twelve $p \bar{x} d \bar{a} v a r t a s$, further on the northern frostier of the Vasukiya village, which can be eatered fiom ( $\imath e$ which boiders on) Akshasaralca, fifty pīdävartas, which have formerly been and are still being enjoyed (by the douee), has been confirmed by Me, in accordance with the settled rales of former usayg, in order to merease the religious mens of My mother and fathex, and in order to obtann for Myself such iewaids here and in the other world as $I$ may wish Therefore obstruction should wot be made by any one to him while he enjoys it, cultivates it, causes it to be cultivated or assigns it (to oflhers) This Our confirmation should be confinmed by those born in Our hneage and by fature prous kings, bearng in mind that the rewnrd of a gift of land 19 common
(Line 23) There are also veises sang by Vyăsa about this The earth has been enjoyed, etc The giver of land, etc He who rescinds grants of land made by himself or others, incurs the gault of the killer of hondred thousand cone

[^91](Line 27) The sign-manual of Me tho Mahāsimanta, the Mahäräfa Dhruvasēna The messengel is the pratihära Mammaka Wntten by Kikkaka The year $200^{\circ}$ and 10 ; (the month) Śrāvana, the bright (fortmight), the fifteenth (intht)

## III-PALITĀNA PLATES OF DHRUVASĒNA I; [VALABHİ-] SAMVAT 210.

These are two plates which have been described ns follows by Rai Bahadur $\nabla$ Venkayys, "Each of them has two ring-holes Each plato is written only on ono side The working of tho engraver's tool shows itself in $\Omega$ few places on the back The length of the plates as about $10 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$, the height vanes from $6 \frac{7^{\prime \prime}}{}$ to $7 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}{ }^{\prime \prime}$

The plates are in a good state of preservation The first contans 15 and the second 12 hines of well excented writing The average height of individual letters is $\frac{1_{4}^{\prime \prime}}{}$

The characters are of the same hind as in the platés dealt with abore They compinse the signs for nn intial au in Aupasvastı-, 1 17, for a finnl $t$ in trimsat, 117 and re( $2 a) \varepsilon \overline{s t}, 124$, for a final $m$ in $=\bar{a} n u g n a ̈ t a m, 119$ The enrions shape of the visarga in hrtshatah. 120 , $-g i t a ̄ h$, 122 , $-\bar{a} d z b h n h, 123$, -vāsihanah, 125 , is probably due to the engrarer's msanderstanding his draft Note also tho aigns of $-\bar{e}-$ in $-k i \bar{i} m=\bar{e} h a-, 111$, and of $l \bar{u}$ un 12 A sign of interpanctaation occurs in 125 The numerical symbols for 200 , 10 and 5 aro found in 127

With regard to orthography tho remarks mado abont the preceding grants also hold good here The wrong samdht in bhunjatokmsh-, 120 , may be a Prakritism The same 2 s perhaps the case with Dronasihams=siha ava, 1.10 Consonants are doubled after $r$ in the usual Way, thne, -ärgjavōpārgıta-, $12 f,-d h a r m m \bar{a}$ Dharmma•, 18 , -maryyädayā, 120 , etc. The doublng of a surd before $y$ in -änuddhyātō, 1 13, -sambadahyamãna, 115 , 15 also 1 n accordance with the practice in simular grants There are several instances of careless mriting, thus wo find $a$ for $\bar{a}$ in dānaman-, 12 , vap $\bar{i}, 116$, brahmano-, 1 17, - $\bar{p} p y a ̈ y a n a y a, 118$,





 in =anumantany=, $122 ; p$ for $y$ in patō, 120 , $s$ for $\delta h$ in susha-, 125 L 21 contans a series of mistakes in =chantyāny=azsvaryyātry=ēsthrra mōnuryya tāmanyan Note also the superfinons anusvära in -sthams $=, 110$, suhrimt-, 112 , the superfinous nain 121 , the redundant ha in vāsihanah, 125 , the omission of $n \bar{a} m-\bar{a} n \bar{\imath} h \bar{a}\left[n \bar{a}^{*}\right] m=111$, the ombsion of a utsarga in rājabhn, 1 23, and the form Krkakka mostead of Kahhahenu, 126 In 15 , on the other hand, we find the correct samdhr -didhrtir=ddin-, whule the other grants of Dhravasena have -didhutıh dinn-

The grant was issued from Valabhi by the Mahassimantr the Mahāräga Dhruvasēna to the Brähmana Skanda of the Anpasvastı götra, a student of the Vàjisanēya sākhā, a resident of Akrōlaka village, who was therewth confirmed un the possession of an urrigation well (vāpi$)$ and a ficld of thurty $p$ ãdãucrias, on tho northern bor del of the village $I$ am not able to identify this village The messenger was Rudradhara, who is not elsewhere known, and the writer, as in the preceding grants, Kikkaka The grant is daled on the fifth (tithi) of the dark (P) fortnight of Āsvayuja, in the (Valabhi) year 210, corresponding to A D 529 Tho ayllable denoting the fortnght has been miswritten, and it is possible that it should be restored as sic and not as bá The mastake is due to the final syllable of tbe preceding word beng wrongly repeated
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## TEXT

## Frrst Plate

 atula-bala-sapatna-ma-
2 ndal-ābhoga-samsabta-sa[m*]prabāra-6ata-labdha-pratāpah pratãp-ōpanata-dãra-ma(mā)n-àryja-

4. hḗsaras=sēñ̄patı-Šri-Bhatakkas=tasya sutas=tach-charana-rajō-run-āvanata-pavitrihrita-
 ล̄ทลิ-
6 than-jan-ōpajivyamāna-vibharah paramamāhē̄́varas=sēnãpatı-Dharasēnas=tasy=ănuja-
7 s=tat-pād-äbhıpranāma-praśasta-vımala-manlumanır=Mmanv-ädı-pranita-vıdh 1 -vıdhāna-
8 dharmmã Dharmmarija iva vihta-vmaja-ryarasth [ī]-paddhatir=alshla-bhuvana-mandal-äbhöga-
9 svāminà paramasvāmınā svayam=apahita-xā]y-ãbhushēka-mahāviśrãnan-āvapūta-rū-
 sqabhaja-balé-
Il да para-gaja-ghat-ānıhā[nī*]m=ökaviayi Saranē(nai)shinām saranam=avabōddhā sästr-ārt[th]a-ta[t $\left.{ }^{*}\right] t v a ̄-$
12 nām balpatarur=ıva sabrı(m)t-pranaymãm ynthäbhilashıta-phal-opabhogadah parama-
13 bhāgavatah paramabhattãraka-pãd-ānuddhyāto mahāsãmanta-mahãrajja-Dhruvasēnah
 dhravā-
15 dhıbarauka-dāddapãśž-īdın=anyâmś=cha jathā-sambaddhyamânakãn=anudarśa-

## Second Plate

 parisarā $\mathrm{va}(\mathrm{và}) \mathrm{pu}(\mathrm{p} \mathrm{i})$
 Aupasvasti-sagōtrāya Vâjrcanêya-
18 sabrabmachārınē yad=ētat=[p̄̄]rvabhukta-bhujfamānakam tan=mapā $(y=\bar{a}) p i$ mätāpitroh puny-ãpyiyana(nă) ya
 sthuty=ānujñãtam


 "vityāny=a1śvary yãny=ēthara mönurysa


 jasya jasya yeda bhūmis=tagya tasya tada

[^92]



26 Svahastó mama mahā́āmanta-mahāraja-Dhruvasēnabya [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Dütako Rudradharah [ $\left\|\|^{*}\right.$ ] Lukhitam Kıkakka(Eikkakëna)
27 Sam 20010 घ[ñcha](%C3%A1%C5%9Bva)yuja ja(ba?) 5

## TRANSLATION

[Lanes 1-15 are practically 1 dentical mith the beginning of the plates of Sam 206]
(Line 16) Beit known to you that, in order to merease the religions merit of My mother and father and to obtain for Mrself in the world and the other such rewards as I may wish, I have, in accoldance with the settled rules of former usage, confirmed (the engoyment of) an mrigation-well with an arca of twelve padeñartas and thirty palaturtas arable land on the northern border of Akrölaka vallage which had formerly been and ane still being enjorcd (by tho donee) for the benefit of the restdent of that same place, (riz) the Brihmana Skanda, of the
 or dispnte mast be made by anybody to him while he onjors (this lamb), cultivates $1 t$, causes it to be cultivated, or assigns it (to others) in accordance with the conditions under which he enjoged ( $2 t$ ) previously This Onr confirmation (of the old grant) shonld be confirmed by those born in Our hneage and by future prous kings, beanng in mind that power is peishable, that the life of man is uneertain, and that the revard of a gift of land 13 common
(Inne 22) There are also verses sung by $\nabla_{\text {yäsa }}$ about this, - The carth has been enjoyed, ete The giver of land, etc Those who rescind a grant of land are icborn as black seipents living in the dry hollows of trecs in the waterless forests of the Vimdhyas
(Lune 26) The sign manual of Die the Afahā́āmanta, the Mahärīa Dhruvasēna The messenger is Rudradhara Written by Eikisaks The year 200 (and) 10; (the month) Āsa[fuja], the dark (?) (fortnght), the 5th (ttthr).

## IV -PALITANA PLATE OF DERUVASERNA I

This plate has been described as follows by Rai Bahadur V Venhayya,-"One plate only of the mscription 18 preserved It 18 written on one side and has two ring-holes at the bottom The workng of the engraver's tool shows atself almost completely at the back There is also a equare hole in the left-hand top corner of the plate, bored into the symbol representing the syllable $\bar{\delta} m$ Length of plate varnes from $11^{\prime}$ to $11 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$, height varies from $\stackrel{1}{4}_{4}^{\prime \prime}$ to $6 \frac{1}{2}$ " "

The plate contans 13 lincs of well executed writing The average height of individanal letters is $\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{4}$

The characters are sumlar to those ased in the other plates They comprise the sign of the fihramüliya in-Dhruvasēnah=husali, 112 There are three different forms of the medial long - $\mathfrak{i}$ - Two of them occur in didhatin dinr, 15 , and the thrd in -pranita-, 16 Note also the form of $-\bar{e}-$ in $-n \bar{a} m=e ̄ h a-, l$ 9, which is hkemse used in the correspondung passage of the grant just dealt with

With regard to orthography, we may note the usaal doubling of consonants after $r$, thus, $-\bar{a} r t t h a-, 110$, sarvi $\bar{a} n=, 112$ On the othes band, we have a single $d h$ before $y$ in $-\bar{a} n u d h y \bar{a} t \bar{o}$,
 din-, 15 , is in accordance with the common practice in Dhravasenna's grants In 14 we find ortuna-

Palitana plate of Dhruvasena I (incomplete).

nata- instead of $-21 n-\bar{a} \imath a n a t a-$, and the syllables nīma-pra have been omitted in 1.6. In 17 we find uphta- for uineta- On the whole the engraver has done his work carefully

The grant was $355 u$ ed from Valabhī by the Mahā̃ $\bar{\chi} m a n t a$, the Mrahārāja Dhruvasēna, but only the formal portion is preserved

## TEXT

 sapatna-mandal-Z̈bhöga-
 ānurāgō=nnrakta-maula-
 sutns=tat-päds-
4 rajor-run-anata(run-ăvanata)-pavitr[i]knta-siräh sñ-vanata-satru-chūdāmanı-prabhā-vichchharta-pada-nakha-panktı-

6 tasy= ènujas= tat-päd-ãbhupra[nüma-pra*] siasta-vımala-manlı-manır=Mmanv-ädı-pranita-vidhı-vidhāna-dharmma Dharmma-
7 rāju iva Vı[ph]rta-vınaya-vyavasthā-paddhatar=alkhla- bhuvana-mandal-ăbhŏga-svāminā parama-
8 svàminū svayam=apahita-rājy-āblushēha-mahāvısränan-āvapūta -rājaśrih paramamãhêśrarah
9 mahārāja-Drōnesımhas=sımha ava tasyzänajah svabhaja-balēna para-gaja-ghatanīānām=ēkaー
 subrit-pranayınām
11 gathābhulashıta-phal-סpabhogadah palamabhāgavatah paramabhattäraka-pādānudhyātó
12 mahārāja-Dhruvasēnah=kusalı sarvpān=ôva svān=āyultaka-mahattaıa-drämgıka. chāta-bhata-
13 dhraváthānádhukarana-dandapāsik-ādın=anyāmśscha jathā-sambadhya-

##  SAMiVAT 286].

Rai Bahadur $\nabla$ Venkayya has favoured me with the following description of this plate,"One plate only of the msciption $1 s$ prcserved It has two ring-holes at the bottom, bat netther the ring nor the seal which it might have borne is forthoming A small prece of the plate is broken at the left top corner, damaging a portion of the symbol for $\bar{o} m$ Likewise a small piece 18 missing at the beginumg of 110 , where, however, no letter has been damaged The plate is engraved only on one side The working of tho engraver's tool shows itself almost completely at the back Length of plate vanes from $11 \frac{⿺^{\prime \prime}}{}$ to $11 \frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ Height about $8 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ "

The plate contanas 18 lines of well execnted wring The average height of individual letters is $\frac{1_{4}^{\prime \prime}}{4}$

The characters agree with those used in the giants of Silalatetya I
With regard to orthography, we may note the use of $n$ for $r i n \operatorname{tridasa}-17$, of $m$ for $r$ in trina-, 17 , the wrinng of $m g h$ for $m h$ m - samghatiss, 15 and in samghat-, 113 , the use of $n$

[^93]for $m$ in -ãnsa-, 115 , the donbling of consonants after $r$ and before $y$ in $-\bar{a} r j y a n o ̄ p a r y z e t-, 12$,
 There are a few mistakes, such as $\bar{e}$ for $a$ in $-p e \overline{c t h a}-, 1$ 18, $t$ for $t t$ in -satva-, $14, \jmath$ for $\jmath$ nn -öjvala-, 1 18, $p$ for $p r$ in -pabhäva-, 14 , a superlluons ashusvära in -rämja-, 13 , and so on The first $n$ in santãna-, l 9, has been masshaped and looks almost like a $v$ Note also the absence of samdhe in -sabdah $\tau \bar{u} p a-, 16$

The plate contains only the formal portion of the grant and breals off in the deccription of śilādıtya I Dharmäditya, but it 18 absolutely certann that Mr Jachson was right an acsuming that the grant was issued by him The absence of samdht in -sabdah rūpa-, 16 , the word -dharryya- in -stharryya-dharryya-gämbhiryya-, 16 , and the wold -bala- m -ühhula-baladhamurddharah, 111 , occar in all the grants of Siladitya bat not in other Valabhi grants It is also possible to state definitely that the plate belongs to a grant issued before Valabhi-Samvat 290, because the spellings -samghatis-, 15 , -tridaSagurth, 17 , and samghat-, 1 13, which also occur mn the Walā plates of Valabhi-Samvat 286, are not found in the Dhānk plates of ValabhíSamvat 290 The last words of our plate are - $\bar{o}$ nalatar $\overline{-}-k n t-\bar{\alpha} r t i k a-$, and by comparing other Valabhi grants we find that the second plate must have begon -sthha-sampad-upasḕä- Now these are the first words of the fiagmentany second Walà plate of Śilādıtya I Dharmādıtya, dated Sam 200806 Vassākha-va( ${ }^{( }$) 6, which has been published by Dr Bhandarkar ${ }^{1}$ The length of that plate is, according to the editor, $12^{\prime \prime}$ and the height $8 \frac{17}{4 \prime}$ The size therefore also agrees with that of onr plate, and there cannot be any doubt that the two plates belong together, so that the whole grant 15 now before ns To judge from Dr Bhandarkar's edition the second plate 16 not well preserved I ashed Rai Bahadur V Venkayja to be good enongh to try to get hold of it so that it could be republished together mith the first plate His endearours to do so have not, however, been successful, and I therefore edit the begmning alone

## TEXT

1 Ōm svastı [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Valabhitah prasabhanprabat-āmitrānām Maıtrakānām= atula-bala sampanna-mandnl-äbhōga-samsahta-prahā -
2 ra-śata-labdba-pratāpat=pratāp-ōpanata-dāna-mãn-ărjuav-ס̄pārjuti-ănurāgādeanorahta-maulabhrıta-śrēnī-bal-ā-
3 vāpta-rājya-šryah paramamāhḗvara-Śrī-Bhatārrkkād=aryavachchhinna-rā (m) ${ }^{2}$ a-ramsãn=mãtă-pıtri-charan-ăravinda-pranatı-pravidhant-ăśésha-kalma-
4 shah śaıśavāt=prabhritı khadga-dritiya-bähar=ēva samada-para-gaja-ghat-āsphōtana-prakāśta-sa[t**]tra-nikashas=tat-p[r*]abhā-
5 Fa-pranat-ārāti-chūdāratna-prabhā-samsakta-pāda-nakha-raśmı-samgha(ha)tıs=sakala-smriti-pranīta-mârgga-samyak-parupălana-
6 prajā-hrıdaça-ramjan-ānvarttha-rāja-śabdah rūpa-kānti-sthaıryya-dharryya-gāmbhïryya-buddhi-sampadbh1h smara-śśānk-ādrrrāj-ס̄-
 $(\operatorname{tru}) \mathrm{na}[\mathrm{va}] d=a p a ̄ s t-a ̈ s ̄ e ̄ s h a-s v a \hbar \bar{a} r y y a-$
8 phala[h*] prärtthan-ädhı-ärttha-pradān-ānandıta-vidvat-suhrit-pranagi-hridayah pādachār=īa sakala-bhavana-mandal-ābhó-
9 ga-pramōdah paramamāhēsrarah Śrī-Guhasēnasstasya sutasctat-pāda-nakha-mayūkha-sa[ntā]na-visrita-Jāhnavi-jal-au-
10 gha-prakshālit-āéçsha-kalmashah pranayı-śata-sahasr-ōpajivyamāna-sampadxrāpa-lobbhād= 1г=āśrtas=sarabhasam=äbbı-
11 gāmıkarr=ggunaıs=sahaja-saktr-śikshā-vis̄ēsha-vismāpit-ükhila-bale-dhanurddharah prathama-narapatı samatierıshtānā-

[^94][^95]
## TRANSLATION.

(Line 1) Ōm Hall From Valabhi (In the lineage) of the Martrakas, who had by force prostrated (therr) enemics, from the devout worshipper of Mahēsara, the illastrions Bhatarkka, who had acqured splendour in hundreds of battles connected with the carcurt of the territories that he had obtaned by means of his aneqnalled strength, who had ganed the devotion of those whom he had prostiated by his splendoui through his gifts, honours and straightforwardncss, who obtamed the glory of royalty by the strength of the array of devoted hereditary servants (was descended), the royal lineage being uninterrupted, the derout worshipper of Mahēsara, the glorious Guhasēna, whose sins weze all washed away by the prostrations at the lotus fect of (his) mother and father, to whom (his) sword was indced a sccond arm from (his) childhood, and who brightened the touchstono of his strength by spliting open the temples of the rutting clephants of his foes, the collection of the rays of the narls of whose feet was combined with the lastre of the crest jewels of his foes who were prostrated by the power of that (strength); Who rendered (his) title of kugg approprate by pleasing the minds of (his) snbjects by thoroughly observing the conduct ladd down in all the smritus, who in beanty, lovelness, stabihty, firmness, profundity, wisdom and wealth snrpassed (respectively) Cupid, the moon, the king of mountans, the ocean, the preceptor of the gods (Brihaspatı) and Dhanessa, who, through bemg intent apon granting safety to those who came for protection, threw away all the resnlts of his deeds as if they were straw, who gladdencd the hearts of learned people, finends and favourites by giving (them) riches in excess of their demands, and who was, as it were, the personified happiness of the vast curcle of the whole world,
(Lnne 9) his son (was) the devoat worshipper of Mahēsvara, the glorions Dharasēna, whose sins were all washed away by the flood of the waters of the Ganges which flowed from the cluster of rays of the nails of the feet of his (father), whose wealth was being hved apon by hnndreds of thousands of farourites, who was mpetnously resorted to by attractive qualines as if from a desire for ( $h n s$ ) beanty, who astonshed the archers of all armies by has mnate abilty and excellent traming, who was the preserver of religions grants bestowed by former kings, who was a remover of calamities that might oppress (his) Eubjects, who showed (the world) Sri and Sarasrati living together, whose prowess was skilful in enjoying the Fortane of the compact ranks of his foes, and who by his prowess obtaned spotless rojal splendoar,
(Line 14) his yon [the devout worshipper of Mahēsfara, the glorions Siladıtya], who medrtates on his (father's) feet, who covers the whole horizon by the rise of his wonderfal virtues that gladden the whole world, who supports the great barden of weighty desires on the pedestal of his shoulders (which have got therr) splendour increased by the lnstre of his sword that $2 s$
possessed of the brilliancy of victory in handreds of battles, who thongh his intellect is pare by mastering the higher and lower divisions of all sciences, is always easily gratified by even a trifle of wit, who, though his heart possessos a profundity that is anfathom ible by the whole world, well mamfests his noble disposition in abnndance of good condact, who has achieved exalted fame by purifyng the path of the kings of the Kritaynga which had become abandoued, [whose second name of Dharmãditya arose fiom his enjoying riches, happiness, and] wealth which have been made more resplendent by (has) non-obstructing the lant.

## No $10-\mathrm{BURILCSE}$ INSCRIPTION AT BODH-GAYA.

## By Taw Seur Ko

Translations of this mecription have already been pnblished at pages $208-210$ of Rajendralals Mitra's Buddha Gaya, and at page 76 of Cunningham's AFahābodhz There is some controversy as to the correct reading of the dates The initial date is andoubtedly 657, which corresponds to 1295 A D, and the final date 660, which is equivalent to 1298 A D Daring the period indicated by these tro dates, the Burmese empire of Pagan wasin the throes of a Chinese invasion In 1284, Pagan had been occnpied by the Chinese In 1298 AD, Kyewzwa, the titular king, had been dethoned by three Shan brothers, who bore divided rale at the new capitals of Mynnzaing, Metkaya, and Pinle in the Kyanksè district Two years later, the Chiness again invaded Myinzaing In theso curcumstances, the only infereace is that the last repars to the Mahābōdhi Temple alluded to on the inscription were carried ont nnder the auspices of a king of Arakan

There 1s, however, some difficalty in the identification of the personages mentioned in the inscriotion Mahäthera Pinthagugyn cannot be identified with certanty Pinthagugyn or the "great Pinthagu" is a title, designation, or sobraquet, rather than e personal name, and is derived from the Pall word pamsukäluha, "one who obtans the matenals for his clothng from a dustbeap or a cemetery" Such a title nas usually conferred on a Buddhist monk of exceptronal sanctity and austerity, who had secured tho esteem and admiration of the laity There was snch a colebrated Buddhist monk at Pagan during the reign of Narapatisithu (1167-1204 A D.)

Thadoman sounds like an Arakanese thtle of a ling or prince It does not occur in the chronological hists appended to Playre's Hestory of Burma

King Sinbyuthakin is called in the inscription SinbyuthiLhznr Trä Jinengy The first part means "the Lord of the White Elephant," and the second "Dhammaraja" " Here, agan, the expression is a common regal title and not a personal naine

Siridhammaräjaguru is the title of a learned monk all rojal preceptors are called "Dhammarijaguru, or tho "King's guru"

Smikassapa and Varavāsı are ordinary names of Buddhist monks
A great deal of interest centres round the designation "Pyu-ta-then min", which appears as "Pu-ta thin min" in lines $9-10$ of the inscription below I am inclined to identify this personage with the "King Sunbyuthikhm" mentioned in the same inscription, and both with Meug-d, No 9 of the "Dynasty of the City Loung-Kyet" at page 301 of Phayre's History of Burma Thas hng roigned from 1279 to 1385, and is described as a "son of Meng-bhi-ln" There are two "Meng-bhillas," namely, No 7 of tho same dynasty, who raigned from 1272 to 1276 A D , and No 12 of the "dynasty of Ping-tsa Cuty," who raled from 1075 to 1078 A D (page 299, ibud) The latter ling was dnven ont from his kngdom by a usurper, and his son and heir, Mongre Baya, sought refage at the Court of Kyanzittha, king of Pagan. Mengre Baga died learing a son called Iretyāmengnan. This Arakanase prince

## Burmese inscription at Bodh-Gaya
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was restored to his ancestral throne by Alaungsithu, K Janzttha's grandson and successor, in 1103 A D , with the and of 100,000 Pyus and 100,000 Talangg Hence the fortunate prince 18 known in history as "Pyn-ta thenn-mın," or "Lord of the 100,000 Pyas" (urde page 40, vbrd) It is most probable that Meng-di, during whose reign the mscription nas set up at Bodh-Gaya, was a descendant of Letyãmengnau and was also called a "Pyu-ta-thein-min"

On the eame page, Phayıc says "Alangssthu caused the Buddhist temple at Gaya to be reparred" I am inchned to think that, on that occasion, the King of Pagan deputed the Mahãthera Pinthagugyn to supcrintend the work, and that he required his protege, Letyämengnan, to render the necessary assistance in this work of merst It would then appear that the "Thadomin" mentoned in the inscription was a descendant of Letgämengnan, the Prunce, who first bore the thtle of "Pya-ta-them-mun" or " Lard of the 100,000 Pyus"

It is quite possible that one of the conditions of Letyãmengnan's restoration to the AraLanese throne was that, for the accumulation of merit of Alaungsithu, his suzeran and benefactor, he and his descendants were to rendei material assistance on the rcpar and mantenance of the temple at Bodh-Gaya Viewcd on this light, the chionology of the mecription and the ranson d'être of the proccedings recorded therem become clear, reasonable and logical

## TEXT

1 Parhāthikhn thāthanā 218 lunle-pyithaw qkhīhnaik sambitek kyungo asosathaw Si -
2 ndhammasohe myithaw Mingy setr siatthanng 4 danng aphars hark
3 sumtaw phoupıcrā Pâyätha 1 -tango nkhā hemyıu pyet
4 rwe myintham thikhn Pinthahiggi tayauk Thopyly tachet pj-
5 ethheraga Thadomin pyn-1 Thopy( $s$ ) tachet pyetkhedön
6 ragà Sınbynthikhin Trà Mingyı mimi kosa sira smadhamina-
7 raj̧akarago evytzm malatthaw akhähnaik pä-la-
8 t thaw tabetha Suribassapasa thi lok antha utsa hilyet
9 malok radat ragà $\nabla$ aravàsı thihhn therago sum kham suyragà Pu-
10 tathun min ha-ı lok siy Eānu thikhin uge ko myatky The (1a) ko
11 akhwin puragà Sakarac 657 bhu pyatholazan 10 rak thankkyany pyndôu-1
12 Sakarac 660 Tazaungmonlazan 8 rak talnonganu $n_{1}(y)$ hlù-sathaw
13 takhankukā takhuapyādoko le pusso-ı Thunbôk thaung sımı
14 thaungdo akyen myaswa hlın puaao-1 Thãthamı ha hmat rwo thunge 2
15 yank shwepan ngwepan khwet paso swèthzw padetha le pu-
16 sa0-1 Akhälhapthenc hhn thnbôkwat mapyat tismtbaw
17 kraung mrie kynn nwado ko le way ruy hlūkbe I ngà pyn
18 thaw kannghmugà Nippan, pyitsi athank apin phyitchin tha-
19 te Yat (melk) tı purhăthishin letthet hlın rahandāsu lothate

## TRANSLATION.

1 When 218 years of the era of the Religion of the Lord Budaha had passed away, Siridhammãsoka, the Ruler of Jambudipa,

2 built 84,000 chartyas, one of which was sitnated on the site,
3 where the Buddha took a meal (of rice porndge offered by Sujāta befone attann.ng Enhghtenment) This shmne, owing to the effluxion of time, fell into ram,

4 and was repared by the IInhäthera Pinthagugyi Subsequently,
5 it wab reparred by Thadomin It agan fell moto disreparr,

6 and King Sinbyuthikhin depated the Rnyal Preceptor,
7 Siridbammarajaguru, to andertaho the work of sepur
8 Siriknssapa, the diserple of the Precepior, had aufiecnt funds,
9 but eould not take tho woik in hand (owng, probabls, to the abocnce of ahiled artisans) -

10 He , therefore, fent Varaväss, a janor Thera,
11 to King Pyutathin Min, who complad with the soleitation for assintance
12 Tho work of repan was begun on Friday, tho loth, waxing of Pyatho 657 BE . (January 1295 A D),

18 and was completed on Sunday, tho 8th, waxing of Tazaungmon 680 BE (Notember 1298 A D)

1\& The following offerings were dedioater to the shrme ings and streamers, 1000 bowls of ree and 1,000 lamps (for soveral times), 2 boss in the place of the donor's own childeen,

15 and gold aud stver flowers and cloth hung on bamboo framework
16 In order to pronide fur tho daily offiomg of rice at the slarine, at all time,
17 land, slaves, and eattle werc parehased and hhowse dedeated May thas
18 meritorions deed of mine lead mo on to Nirsina!
19 May I beeome a diselplo of Mrotteypa, the comang Baddha

## No. 11 -Dates of chola kings

## By Professor H. Jicomi, Boms

I have reeenved from the Government Ephgraphist, Mr Venkayga, a lurge number of dates for exammation, of whelh I here pablish 32 dates of Chöla kings In accepting thas task I may be permitted to give expression to my almination of the work done by my predecessor, the late Professor Kielhorn Withont the results he had worhed out with nexhaustible patience, I probably shonld not have saeceeded in venfyng many of tho dates wheh are now published

Tho dates haro been calenlated with the help of my General Tables pablished in Volumo I, p 443 of this jounal The time of the end of tithes and nakshatras as given in ghatzhäs only, when the result at issuc would not be influenced by a few ghatehas, more or less In thoso cases, howevor, whero a higher degree of aecuracy 15 wanted, I hare made ute of the new special tables which I am going to publish in Partir of thas volume These tables yield tho result within a few palas aecording to the Ārya, Sürya, and Brahma-Siddhantas, and the Siddbānta-Śrromanı

The date No 198 reduces the time previously fonnd for the commencement of the reign of Rājādhraja II to the period from the 7th to the 30 th March 1163 A D. The remanung dates confirm the resalts previously found

## A -PARAKĒSARIVARMAN R $\bar{A} J E N D R A-C H O ̄ L A ~ I ~$ <br> 191.-In the Vēdapurióvara tomple at Trukkalittattai ${ }^{1}$

1
20
21
24
25

```
Svastı śri [I|*] Turu-mannı(manm) valara
                            k\delta=Pparakēsarıpa[n]mar=ãna śri-R[ī].
j[ē]ntra-Ścladēvarkku yāndu onbadāvadu
ya]rru Budan-kılamal perra Tunkkēttar-mãnra
```

[^96]"In the ninth year (of the reign) of king Parakēsarivarman aluas the giorious RājendraChōladēva, - on the day of the auspicious (nakshatra) Jyēshthā, which coriesponded to a Wednesday of the month of Makara in this year"

The date corresponds to Wednesday, the 8th February 1021, which was the Sth tithe of the second fortnght of Makara The nakshatra was Jyēshtha which ended, according to the equal space system, abont 18 ghatzhäs after mean sunrise

## B -VIkrama-chō̃a

182 -In the Siddhanāthasvāmin temple at Tirunaraıyūr ${ }^{1}$
1
$[S]$ vastı śrī $\left[\|^{*}\right]^{2}$ Pūmādn punara
3 . . $k \bar{\sigma}=$ Pparakēşarıpatmar=āna Trıbhuvanacha-
kavatıgal ${ }^{3}$ gri-Vikrama-Chōladēvarkku yāndu 2 mandu àvadu Midhuna-nãjarru=
 nal
"In the 2nd-second-year (of the reign) of king Parakēsarivarman alsas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Vikrama-Chōladēva, - on the duy of Viśākhă, which corresponded to a Monday and to the trelfth tathr of the first fortnght of the month of Mithuna"

Thas date 18 mennesically wrong Monday, the 23 rd Jane 1119 A D coriesponded to the 14th tithu of the bught fortnight of Mithuna (Âshädha) and the nahshatra was Mūla

## 183 -In the Siddhanāthasvāmin temple at Trrunaraiyũr ${ }^{4}$

1 Pūmādu punara
2 . . kö=Pparakēśarıpatmar=āna Trıbhqvanachakravarttıgal
 pakshattu shash[th]ıyum Velli-kha[lam]ayyam perra Püratta nāl
"In the thurd year (of the retgn) of king Parakēsarıvarman alaas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Vikrama-Chōladēva, - on the day of Pưrva-Phalguni, which corresponded to a Friday, and to the sisth tithi of the first fortmight of the month of Mithuna"

The date corresponds to Friday, the 4th June 1120 AD , being the 6th tuthr of the bright fortught of Mithuna (Āshädha), the nahshatra beng Pūrva-Phalgunī, which had begnn about 12 ghatikās before mcan sunrise and was current for the greater part of the day.

184 -In the Siddhanāthasvāmin temple at Tirunarayyūr ${ }^{5}$
16 Śrī-Vıkrama Śsoladēvarkku yāndu 3 mānrāvada Mıduna-nāyarra [p]ū[r]r[va]pakshattu ashtamıyum Viyála-kkulamal[ya]m perra Utirattu [nāl]
"In the 3rd-third-year (of the rengn) of the glorious Vikrama-Chobladēva, - on the day of Uttara-Phalguni, which corresponded to a Thursday and to the eighth tith of the first fortaght of the month of Mithuna"

[^97]This date is apparently wiong It bolongs to the same year and month as the preceding one and may be expected to fall on the day previons, if wo take the week-day to be given correctly, and on the following day if wo assume the malshatra to be right, bat these items are Friday and Pärsa-Phalgani in the preceding date and Tharsday and UttaraPhalguni in this

## 195 -In the Sıddhanāthasvāmin temple at Trunaraiyür ${ }^{1}$

1 Svastı śìl|- Pamàdu panara . . .
3 . . . $\mathrm{k} \delta={ }^{2} P^{2}$ Parakēsarn[par]mar=ãna Tribho[ra]nach-
[cha]brava-
 ppúıvva-pakshattu shashthyum Viya[la]-hilakhlamaynm ${ }^{5}$ pe[r]-
5 ra Ma[gnttn nā]
"In the 12th year (of the ragn) of king Parakēanvarman alkus the omperor of the three worlds, the glorious [Vikrama-Chōiadeva],- on the day of M[aghā], whech corresponded to a Thursday and to the sixth tuthe of the first fortaght of the month of R1shabha "

The date corresponds to Thuraday, the 15th May 1130 AD , which was the 6 th tithe of the bright foringht of Rushabha (Jyarshtha) aud mas conpled mith tlo nakshatra Mfaghā The 6th titht ended abont 41 ghatikäs after mean sanmae, and the nalshatra Maghà commenced nbout 10 ghatinäs after it according to the equal space sysiom

## C -Parakīsarivarmant Iiājarāja II

188 -In the Siddhanāthasvanin temple et Thrunarayyür ${ }^{5}$
 4 [tur nîl]
"In the tıme (nãl) of the 5th year (of the rengn) of king Parakēsarivarman alaas Rājarājadēva, on the day of Uttarashēdhă, which corresponded to a Saturday and to the third tuthr of the first fortnight of the month of Vriśchike"

The date seems to correspond to Friday, the 24th November 1150 A D, which Was the third tithr of the bught fortnight of Vriśchika (Märgasirsha) The day was coupled with the nalshatra Uttonishadhā, whech commenced aboat $19 \mathrm{ghat} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{h}} \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{s}$ beforo mean snarise of that ray, while the third tathr ended about 44 ghatik $\bar{s} s$ afier it We must therefore assnme that in the inseliption, Saturday is mrongly given instead of Friday

[^98]
## 197 -In the Alyanār tompie at Truppattūr ${ }^{1}$


Rajanajadêvar[ku y]ãndu 7 avadu Iskaba-nayarra a-
5 para-pakshattu dvādaśsyum Vayàla-khlamaigum perra Aśvati-nāl
"In the 7th year (of the reign) of king Parakēsarivarman alas the emperor of the three worläs, the glorious Rājarājadēva, - on the day of Aśvınī, which correspondod to a Thursday and to the trolfth tithr of the second fortmight of the month of Rishabha "

The date intended in this inscription is Thursday, the 21st May 1153 A $D$. It 1s, howeves, not the 12th, bnt tho 11th tethe of the dark fortanght of tho month Rashabha (Jyashtha), it was coupled with the nahshatra Astuni, which ended abont 11 ghatuhäs after mean sunrise The 12th tathr ended about mean sunrise of Friday at Lonkā, according to tho Ārya-Siddhänta, 22 palar after it, accorsıng to the Sürya-Siddhanta 7 palas before it, and accoidng to the BrahmaSiddhãnta 52 palas beforc it But as we havo to reckon with true sanersc, which occurred on that day, say at Conjesveram, 1 ghatula 49 palas before menn sunrise at Lanka, the end of the $12 t h t_{2} t_{2}$ fell after true sunrise of Fridny, which day therefore was called the 12th tuthr The 12th (lunar) tathr was however coupled with tho nalshatra Aspmi, which ended in it, though the day in which it ended was called the Llth tuthr

## D-TRIBHUVANACHAKRAVARTIN RĀJĀDHIRĀJA II

188 -In the Slddhanāthasvāmin temple at Trunaraıyūr ${ }^{2}$

8 Trıbhuvanachchalkaravattıgal srī-Rājūta(dhu)rājadēvarkkn sāndu ūrāvadu



#### Abstract

"In the saxth year (of the retgn) of the emporor of the three worlds, the glomous Rājädh[2]rãjadēra, - on the day of Röhnī, which corrcsponded to a Thursday and to the sixth tuthe of the first fortinght of the month of Mina"

This date corresponds to Thursday, the Bth March 1188 A D. On this day, the 6th tethe of the bright fortnght of the month Mina (Chaitra) endod abont 38 glatiz $\bar{k} s$ after mean sumnse and the nahshatra Rohini about $36 \mathrm{ghat} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{a}$ after mean sunrse (according to tho equnl-space system) The presentingcription bringe the accession to the throne of Rajuadharija II betwcen 7th and 30th March 1163


## E-KULŌTTUNGA-CHOZLA III

188 - In the Siddhanāthasvāmin temple at Tırunaxayyür. ${ }^{4}$


[^99]"In the 2nd year (of the reagn) of the omperor [of the the 00 worlds], the glorions Kulöttunga-Chöladēva,- on the day of Pūpa-Phalguni, which corresponded to the first ththr to a Wodnesday, to the first fithe of the recond fortmght and to the twonty-finst solai day [of the month of Jumbina]"

The dato corresponds to Wodnosday, the 13th Fobrunry 1180 A D , wheh was the 21st day of the solm month Kumbin The case as similar to that in No 197, but here the finstithe of the daik fortright of Kumblia was an expunged tithz, whereforo the day was to be called the lyth tiths of the bight fortught According to the Arga-Siddhinta, tho lath tithe of tho bught foringht
 of the dnek fortnget 5 palas after mern sumise of tho next dny (Tharsday), but as the san roce in Conjoeveram on that day 8 palas later, the ond of the tathe was bronght over to tho preceding day, and was, thorefore, expuaged Bat thas finst tothe of the dark fortnight of Kumbha was conpled with Pürra-Phalgani thooh ouded on Weduesday about 31 ghafhis after mean qumase

## 200.-In the Siddhanãthaspamin tomplo at Tirunaraigũ ${ }^{2}$

1 Svastı sii [\|*] Thmbnaunchchnkkaravatt[1]gn] [Eri]-Enlottunga-Śladērarku fändu 2 vadu² Mina-nā[fn]rra pürra-pahshatta puradamayam Vi[y]alakhilamaynm perra [U]tinrattadi-nâl
' In the 2nd yoar (of the ratgn) of tho omporor of the threo worlds, the glonous Kulöttunga-Chōladēva, - on the day of Uttara-Bhadrapada, whoh correspouded to a Thursday and to tho first tithe of the first fortanght of the month of Minn"

The date corresponds to Thursday, the 28th Februnry 1180 A D. On that day ended the lst tathi of tho bught fortught of the month Nina (Charta) and the nahshatra Uttan Bladrapada, both about 19 ghatuas after mean sunriso at Lankà

201 -In the Siddhanãthaspamin tomple at Trunarayūrs s

"In the [5]th year (of the reign) of king Parakeesarivarman aluas the emperor of the threo worlds, the glorious Virarājēndradēva, - on tho diy of MIula, which oorrosponded to a Friday and to the math tuthe of the second fortaght of the month of Kumbha"

The date correspouds to Friday, the 18th February 1183 AD, on whoh tho 9th tiths of the dark fortmght of Kumbha (Chatra) onded about 88 ghafh $\bar{a} s$ and tho ralishatra Miula


202 -In the Siddhanāthasvāmin tomple at Tirunarayyū ${ }^{\text {o }}$
1 Svastr str[i] [|l*] Payal vãy[tu]
3

- kov= IIrarasáalē̃aripan-

[^100] yāndu ārā[va]du năl Sımha-uăyarru apara-pakshatta navannyum Śani-kkılamaıyum perra [Mrı]ga.
5 [ŝi]rsha[ttu n]ā
"In the time (näl) of the aixth year (of the reign) of king ${ }^{1}$ Rājakēsarivarman alzas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Virarajēndra-Choladēva, - on the day of Mrigasirirsha, which corresponded to a Saturday and to the ninth tith of the second fortnight of the month of Simba"

I take the date to correspond to Saturday, the 10th September Il83 A D For, on this day the nahshatra Mrigas̄is sha began at about 4 ghat hāas after mean sunrise at Lankã Tho day, howrever, was not the 9 th, but the 7th $t$ the of the dark fortnght of Sumha (Bbadrapada), that tuth hnving euded about 16 ghatihās after mean sumise - The result shnms that the word navamyrum of the original dato shoald be altered to saptamyum (or perhaps into ashtamyzum, if that tithi was intended with which the givon malshatra mannly coincided, or in which at ended, as was the case 1 n Nos, 197 and 199)

## 203 -In the Vilınathasvāmin temple at Tıruvilumilalaı ${ }^{2}$

 [ra] $\mathrm{k} k \mathrm{y}$ y[a]ndu èljo
2 vadn Magara-nä[ya]rru pü[rvia]-pah[shat]tu gatadası[y]u Vıjila-khııa[man]yam perpa Panarpúsatti=[n]al
"In the seventh year (of the regnn) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulöttunga-Chöladēva,--on the day of Punarvasu, which corresponded to a Thursday and to the fourteenth tithl of the firet fortnight of the month of Makara"

The date untended seems to be Wednesday, the 18th January 1185 For, on that day the 14th tethr of the bright fortnight of the month Makara (Magha) ended about $45 \mathrm{ghatvha} s$ after mean sunrise, and the nahshatra Punarvasu ended aboat 14 ghaṭhăs aftor mean sumnse The week-day however was Wednesday, and not Tharsday, as given in the ouginal date, apparently by a mistake

## 204.-In the Vilınāthasvämin temple at Turuvilımilalaı *

1 Svasti śr[i] [II*] [Payal vü][y*]ttu va[la]m [pora]ga [II*]s Tribuvanachchakkaravatt[r]gal Maduraıyum Pà[n]dıgan mudıttalau[y]un=gon[d-aıuh]na sí-

2 K[u]mba-nāyarra pürvva-pakshattu [pañja]muyum Vellı-kkılamar[y]um perra I[r]ēratı-nā|
"In the sixteenth year (of the rezgn) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulōttunga-Chōladeva, who was pleased to take Madurar and the orowned head of the Pandya, - on the day of Rēvati, which corresponded to a Friday and to the [fifth tithr] of the first fortnight of the month of Kumbha."

The date corresponds to Friday, the 28th January 1184 A D, the 5th tethe of the bright fortanght of the month Kumbha onding about $54 \mathrm{ghata} k \bar{a} s$ after mean sunrise of that day, and the nal shatra Rēvatı ending about 15 ghatikās after mean sunrise As the tuthu might be an

[^101]expunged onc, I calculated the beginning of 5th tithe for true sannme at Conjeevoram according to the Ārya-Siddhānta, it began nearly a ghathhä bofore sunniso of Friday, which day accordingly was the 5th tethr

205 -In the Vinnanthasvāmin tomple at Taruvilimilalai. ${ }^{1}$
1 Svast[1] $\operatorname{sr}[1] \quad\left[\|^{*}\right] \quad P[\square] y a\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & v a\end{array}\right]\left[\mathrm{y}^{*}\right]$ thu [ralam]
 [ra]du $\mathrm{Ka}[\mathrm{r}]$ -
2 badaga-nāyarra apara-pakshattu ga[tarttesiyn]ma Tingal-hlaman por[ra] Pana[r]pū[sa]tta nāl
"In the seventeenth year (of the rezgn) of the glomous Kulōttunga-Chōladēva, who, having tahen Madural, was pleased also to take the crowucd head of the Pandya, - on the day of Punarvasu, which coucsponded to a Monday and to the fourtecnth (or fourth) tithe of tho scoond fortngigt of the mouth of Ka[r]kataka"

The tethe in this date must be the 14th, for tho 4 th tithe of the dark fortnight of Talis (and Vrischina) only can be coupled with Punarvasu The 14th of Karkataha howover cannot also be coupled with thet rahashatra, but the 14th of Mithuna If we calealate for the 14 th of the dank fortmght of Mithuma whel corresponded to the 8th July, 1195 A.D , the nalshatra is suciecd Panuryasn, but we fiud the weck-day to be a Satnuday instead of a Monday Indecd tho weck-day would bo mearsect on any possible assumption

## F.-RĒJARĀJA III

## 208-In the Alyanār temple at Truppattūr ${ }^{3}$




"In the 4th year ( $n j$ the noign) of the omperor of tho three worlds, the glonous Rājarājadēva, - on the day of Rēvati, which corresponded to a Tuesday and to tho tenth taths of tho first fortanght of the mouth of Dhanus"

This date is also a donbtful ouc Tho tulfu given in the inscription corresponds to the 18th December 1210 A D, but it is a Wednesday and is coapled with the nahshatra Bharaul and Kritilia The proceding day was a Inesday, but it was the 9th tathe and nas conpled with Aśrmi and Bharagl If we assame tho month to havo been Prischuhe mintead of Dhanas (for there are instances of fuch mastakes in these usciuptions, nee above, vol IX, p220, note 12, and p 221, note 4), we find that Tuesday, the 19th Norember 1219 AD , was tho 11 th tath2 of the bught fortnight of Vrisclika, not the tenth tithe as stated in the inscription, but this teuth (lunal) tithz was coapled with tho nahshatra Rōvatī, the one ending 13 ghatihäs before mean sunrise, the other begmang 40 ghatihās befoie it, cf No 202

## 207 - In the Alyanār temple at Tiruppattūr. ${ }^{5}$



[^102]
#### Abstract

"In the 7th year (of the reign) of the emperol of the three worlds, the glorious Rājarājadeva, - on the day of Mrula, which coriesponded to a Monday and to the thind tithr of the sccond fortnght of the month of Rishabina"

I take the date to correspond to Monday, the 30th March 1222 AD, though the nahshatra of that day was not Mūla, but Uttarashadhà and Siarana The thad tathe of the dark fortught of the month Rishablıa (Jyarghtha) ended, acculng to the Aiya and Sürya Siddhāntac, 1 ghaṭılā 44 palas, and 46 palas, respectively, after mean snnmsc, and something more after trne sunrise, while the 4 th tithe ended on the nest duy Accoidng to the Brahma-Siddhāntr, however, Snnday was the third tuthe and Monday was an allhiha or repeated tathe The result is practically the same as was fonnd by the tro other Siddlintas


## 208 - In the Mantrapuisvara temple at Kōvlūı ${ }^{1}$

1 வ Svasti sri $[\| *]$
2 Tiribuvanach[cha]-
3 kharavattigal śil-
4 -Rijanajadēvarliku 5[a]-
5 [n]ảu 7 îvadı[n*] edırām=[ai]-
6 ndu Eumbz-nayaria [p]ū-
7 rvva-pakshattu tritigais $\mathfrak{n}[\mathrm{m}]$
8 Tingal-hinamal 5$][\mathrm{m}] \quad \mathrm{pc}$ -
9 rra Śodı-nal
"In tise zear opposite the 7th year (of the seign) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Paijeriajadeva, - on the day of Svàtr, wheh corresponded to a NLonday and to the third tithir of the first forturght of the month of Eumbha"

The date corresponds almost certamly to Monday, the 20th February 1223 A D But the originnl date contans tro errois (1) it was not the 'fist' forturght, becanse in it the mahshatra SFanti 14 impossible, (2) it was not the 3rd but the 4th tifin For on calculiting the above ditc, we find that the 20th Febraary concsponded to the 4 th tithe of the dark fortmght of Kumbbin (Phālgana), and that almost the whole day comelded with the nalshatra Sritı

## 208 - In the Vilınāthasvämin temple at Tıruvilımilalai ${ }^{3}$

 [1] [rn]dac Kumbha-nu[ya]rra apara-[pa]kshatta Chadasyum Sam-[k]hlamaiynm perra
2 Mũlattu nāl
"In the [1]lth year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worids, the plorious Rajarajadēva, - on the day of mula, wheh comsa onded to a Saturday zad to the cleventh tiths of the sccond fortnght of the month of Kumbha"

This date is the same as that in No 214 below and will be dealt with in that place It deserves to be remaihed that, in this insciption just as an No 2lf, the moutir Kumbhitha beeu erroneously quoted instcad of Mahra

[^103]210 -In the Mantrapuriśvara tomple at Kōvilūr ${ }^{1}$
 [11] ${ }^{3}$ Ishava-nīyar[ru] pü[1]va-pakkattu sapim[1]yam Nayarrukk[1] hamayam peria Mingattu näl
' (In) the [ll]th year (of the ravgn) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glomous Rājarājadēva, - on the day of Maghā, which corresponded to a Sunday and to the seventh tithe of the first fortnight of the month of Rushabha"

If we take the year to be the 11th, neither the week-day nor the nal shatra eomes out raght But if we caleulato for the 21 st year, both items come out right The date corresponds to Sunday, the 3rd May 1237 A D The 7th tethe of the bright fortught of Reshabha (Jyaishtba) ended about 9 ghatthäs after mean sanrise of the 3rd May, and the nahshatra Maghá cevered nearly that $\pi$ holc day

211 -In the Vilnnathasvãmin temple at Turuvilimilala ${ }^{\text {b }}$
1 S[ıa]stı ssrī [ $H^{*}$ ] Tuıbovanaehcha[ $\left.h^{*}\right]$ karavatıgal śri-Raja[rijuadēvark]ku [s]āndu 11 i[va]du Dhanu-nāyarru pūıva-pahshattu daśamıyum T[1]ngat-kk[1]lameı$\mathrm{gu}[\mathrm{m}]$
2 perra Irēvatı-[n] n$]$
"In the Ilth year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rājarājadēva, - on the day of Rēvati, whoch eonesponded to a Monday and to the tenth tithe of the first fortught of the month of Dhanus"

The date as given in the mascription would correspond te Tharsday, the 31st Dccembes $1226 \triangle D$, which was coupled with Krittihat This result is wrong in all details If we calealate Monday, the 29 th Deeember of the same year, the nulushatra eomes out right, but it is the 7th tethr Now, assuming that tho mouth Dhanes has crroneously been quoted for Makara, the original date would correspond to Monday, the 30 th November 1228 A D This is apparently the right date On that day, the tenth tithr of the bight fortnight of Mrakaia ended about 37 ghath $\bar{u} s$ after mean sunrise, and the nahshatra Rivati ended about 18 ghat $\mathrm{h} h a ̈ s$ after mean sunrise

## 212 -In the Mantrapurišvara temple at Kövilūr ${ }^{6}$

1 \& Svastı śi [II*] Trribavanachchakkara-
2 vatt[1]gal srí-Irāśarāśadēvarkku y[a]-
3 nddu ${ }^{7}$ rrubadāvadu slagara-nāyarra pū-
4 rvva-pakkattu saptamiyom Tingal-kkı[la]-
5 manyum pperras Asvati-nāl
"In the twentieth year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rājarājadēva, on the day of Aśvini, which corresponded to a Monday and to the seventh tuthr of the first fortnght of the month of Makara."

The calcnlation of the original date sields Wednesday, the 16 th January 1236 A D, which day was coupled with the mahshatra Aspini, bat as the week-day 18 wrong, we must rejeet this.

[^104]date. Assaming a mistabe in the month, viz Makara for Dhanus, we get Tuesday, the 18th December, whoh corresponds to the given tuthr (ending 9 ghatzla ás after mean sunuse), but the nahshatra was Rēvati (beginning 13 ghatulãe after moan sumnse). If we calculate for the following year, the 21 st instead of the 20 th, the date comes out right in all details it corresponds to Monday, the 5th January 1237 AD On that day, the 7th tithe of the month Makara ended 14 ghaṭhēs aftor, and the nahshatra Aśvil began 13 ghatela $\bar{a} s$ before mean sunrise This is probably the equavient of the diste, though it is rather surprising that the number of the regnal year should have been erroneously quoted

## 213 -In the Vilmāthasvāmin temple at Truvilımılalai ${ }^{2}$

1 Svastı órí - T[i]rubu[va]nachchã(cha)kravarttı[gal érī-R]ãanaîjadēvarku [y]ându 20 rru[bad]à[va]du Rıshabha-[n]āyar[ru] ,pür[ซva]-pakshattu dasa[m]i[y]um [V]e[lli] ${ }^{2}$-khlamar[5um p]er[ra] Utt[ra]tta [nāl]
"In the 20th - twentrieth - year (of the retgn) of the omporor of the three worlds, the glomous Rājarājadēa, - on the day of Uttara-Phalguni, nhich corresponded to a [Friday] and to the tenth tith: of the first fortnight of the month of R1ghabha"

The date probably corresponds to Friday, the 18th May 1238 AD On that day, the 9th tath of the bright fortnight of the month Rusnabha (Jyashtha) ended 12 ghathins, and the nahshatra Uttara-Phalgani 14 ghat ${ }^{-a} \bar{b}$ after mean sunrise at Lanbà It appears therefore that, in the original date, the tenth tathe has been erroneonsly quoted instead of the ninth Calculating Mèsha instead of Rishabha, we find that the 10th itthr of the bright fortnight ended on Thursday, the $17 t h$ April 1236 AD, 40 ghatilãs after menn sunrise, and the mahshatra Uttara-Phalgani bogan only 50 ghatehas after mean sunrise If thas date be the mght equivalent, we have to assume that the month as well as the woek-day are erroneously quoted in the original

## 214 -In the Vilmāthasvāmin temple at Tiruvilumagala s


 porra Múlattu
2 nāl.
"In the 2lst year (of the regnn) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rajarajadēva, - on the day of Mūla, which corresponded to a Saturday aud to the [oleventh] tithe of the second fortnight of the month of Kumbha"

The date corresponds to Saturday, the 24th Janaary 1237 A D. But the month Kumbha has erroneously been quoted instead of Makara For, it 18 mpossible that in Kumbha (Phàlgana) the Ilth tiths of the dark fortnght shonld oononde with Múla, and besides, in that year Phälguna badi 11 was a Monday (or possibly a Sunday, for the end of the tathr fell very near sunmse), bat certainly not a Saturday But on the day stated above, the lith trthr of the dark fortnght of Makara ended about 18 ghaţañ aftor mean sunnse, and the nahshatra Mūla ended aboat 27 ghatit $\bar{a}_{6}$ after it

[^105]
## 215 -In the Mantrapuriśvara templo at Kōvilur ${ }^{1}$

1 [S]vastı śrí [11*] Tr[i]bhu vanachchakrava[tti]gal sti-[R]ajara[Ja]-

3 ndu Kannı-nü]ra[r]ru=[p]pürvaa-[pakshnttu achta] ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{mm}-$
4 [ f$] \mathrm{um}$ Tıngal-hlamaiyum [p]crfa Ampatur nàl
"In the year opposite the [2]ath year (of the rotgn) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rājarājadēva, - on the day of Anurā̃hā, which corrcsponded to a Monday and to the cighth tithe (or the fifth $h t h t$ ) of the first fortnight of the month of [Kanyā]"

The date as given in the inscruption admits of four cases (1) 16th year, Jth tithe, the eqmira'ent is Tuesday, the 2nd September 1231 AD, tho nalshatra Anuridhan began 34 ghathhās after mean sunrise, (2) 26th year, 5th tuth Wednesday, the 11th September 1241 AD, Anuradhä ended 47 ghathas nfter mean sunriso Assuming the oighth tathr to be gircn, the week-days will bs (3) Friday and (4) Saturday for the 16 th and 26 th year respectuvoly, and tho nahslatra will also be wrong If we compnte the samo fonr cascs for Simha instead of Kanyä, we get a Monduy only for casc 1 , viz Monday, the 4 th Augast 1231 A D. but the nahshutra 18 Chitıa and Svàtı If we compute for Tulà anstcad of Kanyà, caso No 4 grees a 'Monday, uz 14th October 1241, but then the nakshatra would bo Sravana and Śanshthā Therefore the date of this inscription scems not to admit of venfication

## 218 - On some detached stones in a grovo at Trukkāravāsal ${ }^{3}$

 28 àvadu Karkkadaga-năyarru pürvva-pıLsbat[t] $k[1]$ lamaiyum por[ra*] Utt[1]rattu nü[1]
"In the 28th year (of the retgn) of the omporor of the three worlde, the glorious Rājaräjadēva, - on tho day of Uttara-Phelguni, which corresponded to a Wednesday and to the fourteenth $t_{2}$ thr of the first fortnght of the month of Karkataka."

The original date erroneoubly quotes the 14th tathr instead of the 4th, for, Srārana ( $=$ Karkataka) sudı 14 cannot coincide with Uttara-Phalguni, bnt sude 4 may coincide with it The corrected date corresponds to Wednesday, the 22nd July 1243 A D On that day the 4 th $t e t h r$ of the bright fortnight of the month Karkataha ended 36 ghathass after mcan


## 217 -In the Mantrapurisivara temple at Kōvilūr ${ }^{5}$

 yāndu 31 āvadu Mēsha-nāyarru . . . . ${ }^{\text {E }}$ [pa $]$ ñjamı[y]um Tingalkilamaryum [p]erra [A]nılatta nãl
"In the 3lst year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rājarājadēva, - on the day of Anuradhā, which corresponded to a Monday and to the fifth $t i t h h^{l}$. . of the month of Mēsha"

The 5th tathe of both fortaghts in Mēsha did not fall, in the year of the inseription ( 1217 FAD ), on a Monday, and the nahshatra Anarädhă cannot be coupled with the 5th tethr of

[^106] and the nalshatra giren in the mscription, I find that on Mrondny, the 22nd Aprl $1247 \Delta \mathrm{D}$,
 fortngigt ended 34 ghatzhas aftor mean samme. If thes day 28 the one intended in the inseription, the vord 'puñimyam' as a mistabo for 'pradımay um'

## G-RĀJENDRA-CHŌLA III

## 218 -In the Mantrapuriśvara templo at Kōvalūr ${ }^{1}$




"In the year opposite the [tenth] year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rä̀ëndra-Chöladeva, - on the day of Mōhini, wheh correspended to a Monday and to the sixth tithe of the second fortaight of the month of Kanya $"$

The dnte correspends to Monday, the 11th Soptomber 1258 AD, borrerer the menth Kanga has erroncously been quoted nustead of Simbin On the day in question, the Gth tethr of the dark fortnght of the month of Sumba (being the 15th solar Kanyan) ended 51 ghatihass, and the nehshatra Römini 45 ghathāe after mean munnse at Lantiù

## 210 - In tho Mantrapuriśvara tomplo at Kōviūr ${ }^{2}$

 sinn[du pa]dıneclinada $I^{3}$
 perra Püsat $\left[t a^{*}\right]$ nil
"In the seventeonth year (of the reign) of the omperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rājēndrr-Cholndēva, - on the day of Pushya, which corresponded to a Sunday and to the thard $t$ thin of the first fortnight of the month of Rishabha"

The date corresponds to Sunday, the 2lst May $1262 \triangle D$, if we assume that the third $t_{t} t h u$ has erroneonsly been quoted instad of the necond For, on that day, the 2nd tithe of the bught fortnight of Rishubha (Jyaibhtha) ended about 42 ghatzhäs after, and the nahshatra Pashya eommenced abont 40 ghafuās after mean sanrise at Lankā

## 

 yān]du
 3 perra Aśratı-nāl
"In the 17th year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlde, the giomous Rā[jēndra]-Choladēva, - on the day of Aspini, which corresponded to a Friday and to the fortecnth tithi of the first fortnght of the month of Tulä"

[^107]The date corresponds to Friday, the 27th October 1262 A D The nahshatra Asvini ended about 36 ghatıhās after snnnse, and the 14th tath of the bright fortnght of Tulà (Kärtinka) ended accordng to the Sürya-Siddhānta 58 ghatukkās, and accordıng to the Brahma-Siddhānta 52 ghatuās after true sunrise at Conjeeveram As the same tithe was current at sunrise according to both these Siddhāntas, it was no expunged tithr But it was an expunged tithr according to the Ārya-Siddhānta

## 221 -In the Vilınāthasvāmin temple at Truvilumulala ${ }^{1}$

 fā[ndu] 1[8]vadn ${ }^{3}$ [Kumba]-4nāรarrn [pãrvva-pa]kshattn [pa]ñcha[mıgu]m Budan-b[1]lamar[yum] perfa Utt[1]rāda[ttu nâl]
"In the 1[8]th year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worids, the glorious Rajē̄dra-Chōladēva, - on [the day of] Uttarāshādhā, which corresponded to a Wednesday and to the fifth tithe of the [first] fortnight of the month of [Kumbha]."

In the month Kumbhn, the nahshatra Utíarāshădhä can occor only between the 8th and 11th tithe of the dark fortanght, in the month Tula between the 5th and 8th tathr of the bright fortnight We mnst therefore read Tula in the inscription The detals of the date would be right of we assome that the fifth tithi has erroneously been quoted instead of the suxth For, on Wednesday, the 10th October 1263, the 6th tuthz of the bright fortnight of Taia ended abont 10 ghatshās, and the nahshatra Uttarâshädhā about 20 ghatıhās after mean sunrıse at Lanbā

222 -In the Mantrapuriśvara temple at Kōvitür ${ }^{5}$
1 [Sva*]st[1] Gr[i] [ $\left.\|^{*}\right] \quad$ [Tmbhuvana] ohchakkara[vat]tigal [Sri]-R[āj]ēadıra-
 sha[shthi]ram Tin[gat]-k[1a] [man
$2 \mathrm{p}[\mathrm{e}] \mathrm{rra}$ Śodınāl 7
"In the [22]nd jear (of the rergn) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glornous Rājēndra-Chōladēva, - on the day of Svātı, which corresponded to a Monday and to the sixth tiths of the [second] fortuight of the month of Kumbha"

I cannot verify this date satisfactorily The tathr or the rahshatra mast be wrong, for, the sixth tatlu cannot conncide with the nalishatra Svatu The nearest approanh to the given date is in the twentieth year, Monday, the $14 t \mathrm{~h}$ Februars 1267 AD , on which day the nahshatra Spātr commenced abont sunrise, but it was the 4th tithr of the dark fortaght of Enmbha, which cnded about 18 ghatzk $\bar{a} \varepsilon$ after mean sumrise

## No 12 -DATES OF PANDYA KINGS

## By Professor H Jacobt, PeD, Bonn

$\nabla$ Venkayya, MA, Rai Bahadnr, has sent me for examination the text and Enghsh translation of 17 Pāndya dates, of whuch I heremith pablish 15 In some cases my results

[^108]are not satisfactory, still I thonght it adosable to stato what they are sinco they may be useful ether for further consideration of historical questions involved by tho inscriptions or for deciding the uselessness of the dates as being altogothor wiong

Nos $90-91$ enable ns to fix tho commencement of Jatararman Vira-Pandya's reign, which has approxmately been placed in 1810 AD by Mi Krislina Sastri (Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1908-09 Part II, § 27) Four of these inscriptions quote, besides tho details nsually given in them, the corresponding aolar day, thereby, as stated by Prof Kiclhoin (above, Vol VI p 301), we can find tho preeise equivalent, though the year is not stated in any era, the regnal ycar only being given For, as a given solar day may bo coupled wich any of the tharty tathes and of the seren weok-days, a given combination of these three items will, on the arcrage, ocour onls once in $7 \times 30=210$ years, and the chance will be still rarer of the nahshatra is mentroned, as is usually the case In No 89 the thefir as not gren, but tho combination of the remaning three items is such as will recar only oneo in about 150 jears

In order to solve tho probloms to which such dates givo oecasion, I havo developod my tables (nbore, Vol I) an detarl for several centaries, and with their help the task became an easy one These developed Tables will be published in book form in the Eneyelopedia for IndoAryan Research I shall there explan the method of solving the problom in question

I now proceed to desenss the results of my oxamuation of tho dates in Nos 90-94 They may be summarised as follors -

Jatāvarman Vira-Pūndya (September 29, December 1, $A D$ 1295)
No 90. Gth year 28th Septomber 1302
No 91 22nd year 3rd May 1318
No 92 44th jear 2nd Decomber 1339
No ${ }^{9} 343$ (Cor 46)th jear. 2nd Angust 1339
No 94 46th year 16th Jmo 1342
Nos $90-92$ show that the king mnst havo commeneed to reign between tho 29 th September and tho lst Deeember 1295 With this date for the commencement of the reign No 94 agrees if we read the regnal year as 46, as we may, sinco tho second figuro of $4[9]$ put in brackets in the transcript, may also bo read 6 as stated in tho note In No 93 , the regnal year cannot be 46 , since No $92{ }_{18}$ dated in the same year of our era. Tho dato, 2nd Angast, shows that the 46th year has wrongly been given nnstead of tho 43rd

According to No 89 Tribhuvanachakravartin Kalasêkbara seems to have began reigning in 1379-80 A D I shoald, howevor, not placo too mnch relianee on this result, before it has been corroborated by more inscriptions of the samo king Foi, if in our mseription the wrong nahshatra had been quoted, as is ratior freqnently the case in these records, tho caleulated equivalent would of conres be also intrinaseally wrong

No 84 fixes tho beginnmg of the reign of a Tribhuvanachalkravartin Sundara-Pāndya in AD 1237-38

MĀRAVARMAN SUNDARA-RĀNDYA I (AD 1216-35)
81 -In the Tiruttaliśvara temple at Tirupputtūr 1
1 on Svast[1] srī [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$. . . . . ári-kō-Mārnpa[n]mar=ảna Turba[va]neohehakhravattıgal Sósādu valangıy-arulıya srī-Sundara-Pāndı-
2 yadēvarku jāndu 20 vadun(dın) edurūm=āndu [Ka]nnı-nāyarřu spara-[pakshat]t[n] Visā[la]-kkalamayu[m"] shashthyynm perra PüEattu nā

[^109]"In the yoar opposte the 2oth year (oj the xiqu) of the floriour ling Mithtarman

 nad a Thursday of the ecoond fortmpht of the month of Manyin"




 never beyond the latter

# JATĀVARILAN GUNDARA-PANDYA 2. (G) 

(AD. 1251 to 1901)
82 - In tho Vilanathasvimin tomplo at Tiruvilimilntai:

 gam Najarr[u-hhla]mmar-
2 rum perra Aylijatio mo(ni)l.
"In the 2nd-second-yons (if the argn) of zing Jatatarman (olines) the enmeror of
 a Sunday and to the fourtecnth thith of the Eecond forsugist of tho morith of Dhanus"

In this date tho wrong fortarght in citel, for, in tho month of Dhares or Pareta, AEleth
 out right in either fortangh, if wo asfame the king to bato bev fativarmat Sundara-
 14 fallugg m tho solar month of Pansha were not Suniaga The samm hollg gool nth Parelan
 name, the week-day comes ont ught for $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Y} 435 \mathrm{t}$ Paushn sudi $1:$ corresponding to Snaday, tho 4th January 1251 But the nahehatras coapled with thit dhy wore Punarrasa and Pushy, on the next day occurred $\bar{A}$ ílushi

## JATĀVARHAN SUNDARA-pĀNDYA

## 83 -In tho Vilmanthnsvamin templo at Tiruvinumain?

 Su[ndara-Pan]dra-divn[nkfa jandu] eftara[d]a Dhana-nagarru puirta-pakshatto ashtami[fu]m Vells-[h]k[1]lamaigan perra Re-
2 rati-nāl
"In the elghth year (of thr retgn) of hing Jativarman (alias) the omperor of the threo worics, the glorious [Sundara-Pundyneeva],- on the dar of Mévati, wheh corresponded to a Fuciay and to the eighth tithr of the fist fortnight of tho month of Dhanus"

[^110]I have calculated the date for both Jativarman Sundan Pandja I and II, in both eases, the result is not satisfactory I givo them hele In $125 S$ A D Pauslin was an erpunged month, if we assume that sudi 8, falhng in solar Pausha, was intended, we find that stonded $4 \mathrm{ghath} h \bar{a} s$ after mean samrise at Lankia ou Thuisday, the 5th December, aud the nakshatia Revati began only
 quoted mstead of the ninth - Now nssuming the hing to be the second of lus unme, the date would fall in $1292 \triangle D$ The eqlealation proves that on $F_{11}$ day, the 19th December 1292 A.D , the 9 th tethe ended a fow ghatelis before the end of the day accotding to all Siddhintra, and the 8 th tithe ended on Tharsday Bat as the maluhatra Revati ended about 5 ghathans before sunise of Friday, it could not havo been coupled with that diny

## TRIBHUVANACHAKRAVARTIN SUNDARA-PANDFA (AD 1237-88 to ?)

## 84 -In the Tiruttaliśvara temple at Truppu ${ }^{+}$[ūr ${ }^{1}$

1 ill Q Srastı śrı [\|f] . . Trbhava[na]chehakravatigal sri-Sundaıa-Pāpdyadérarku ginndu 2 ndivad[u] Dhanu-niyarru 11 ndi[ya]d[1]yam pürva_pahshattu dakamiyum
2 Budhan-hilaman[yn]m [p]erra Aspati-nāl
"In the and year (of the reign) of the emperor of the thros worlce, the glorious Sundara-Panndyadēra,- on the day of Asmai, wheh coriesponded to a Wednesclay, to the tenth tith of the first fortmght und to the 11th solar day of the month of Dhanus "

Between 1200 and 1500 A D there 18 but oue day which fulfils all reg̣urements of the
 the 7th Decomber 1238 A D On that day, at mean sumise at Laukin, the 10 th $t t^{2} t h e$ of tho first fortnight of the month Dhanus (Pausha) was ranning, and endrd about 42 ghatihas afterwards, and the nalshatra Aśmin had begun 7 ghatités befoie menn sunrise at Jankia and onded about 49 ghatzhäs after it Accordiugly, thas hag began to rengn in 123738 A D

## JATĒVARMAN SUNDARA-PĀNDYA II (?)

(A. D 1275-78 to 1280)

85 -In the Vilināthasvamin templo at Tcruvilimilalaı 2

 saptamiyum [N]ăyarra-khlab-
2 maygum per[ra P]ūsattu nāl
"In the [8]th year (of the reign) of king Jatavarman (aluas) the emparor oi the three worlds, the glomous Sundara-Pandyadēa, - on the day of Pushya, which consesp, ndid to a Sunday aud to the seventh tethe of the second fortaght of the month of Tula"

The week-day does not come out right for the 9th year of either Jativaman SundaiaPandya I or II I have calealated the years $1259,60,61$ and $1283,84,85 \mathrm{AD}$

[^111]
# JATÃVARMAN SUNDARA-PĀNDY'ム II 

( $A$ D. $1275-76$ to 1200 )
80 - In tho Mantrapurisurara tomplo at Kōvilur ${ }^{1}$

2 ttıga[l Su]n[dara]-Pandigadowarkhu jandn 14 pada Sim*
3 ha-niynrru purvva-paksbatta trit[1a]gayum nudan-klamagum
${ }^{\prime} 4$ perra Attatio nil
"In tho 14th yoar (of the raign) of king Jntavarman alas tho omporor of the three worlds, Sundara-Pandyadēva,- on the dar of Hasta, wheh corresponded to a Wednesdny and to the thutl tithe of the first fortanght of the month of Simba"

The date of this inscription in K Y 4391, Bhadrapadn such $3=$ Wedneed 5 , tho oth August 1280 A D. For, on that day the 3ud tathr of the first fortnight of Mhadrapada (Simha) ended about 46 ghatzhés after mean sunrise at Lanka and the rahshatru Masta began about 9 ghathias after mean sumriso

## HŌNERRIMĒLKONDĀN JATĀVARMAN SUNDARA-PĀNDYA

## 87 -In tho Nilakanthēsurara templo at Vodal. ${ }^{4}$

1 [Sva*]stı śri [ $\|^{*}$ ] Könénmëlhondan bor:Charapmmar Traburnmachohahkarnvattigal
 Karkadaga-niyarra pūrva-pahshattu saptam[1y]um Budaṇ-h]amaynom perra Attattu nill
"In the third (year) opposito tho thirteonth year (of the reign) of Fōnērımékondan king Jatävarman (alzas) tho omporor of tho tbrco Worlds, tho glorions SundaraPāndyadēra, - on tho day of Hasta, whinh corresponded to a Wodnosciay and to tho seventh tithe of the first fortnight of tho month of Karkataka"
[Professor Jaeobr has not recordod tho results of his calcalntion of thas date - Ed]

## MĀRAVARMAN KULAŚĒKHARA I (A.D 1268-1308)

88 -In the Sundararāja-Porumā temple at Pon-Amarāratı ${ }^{5}$

##  [nma* $] r=a ̄ n a(a ̄ n a) \quad T_{1}\left[r_{1}{ }^{*}\right] b$ bvanncbehakkaravatt[1]gall=emmandalamun=gond-aralya Kulaséga-

2 radēvarku yāndu 18 vadu Sumha-nāyarra [pū]rora-pakshattu pañohamyum Tinggalomalamay [u]m porra Utt[1]rädatiou năl
"In the 18th year (of the retgn) of king Mara[varman] alaas the emporor of the three worlds, Kulaśekharadēva, who was pleased to tako every conntry,-on the day of Uttarāshädha, which corresponded to a Monday and to the fifth tithr of the first Yortnight of the month of Simba"

The date of the inscription seems to evriespond to Monday, tho 6th Augast 1295 A D, on which day the 5th tıthr of the month of Sumha (Bhädrapada) ended, about 52 ghatihäs

[^112]after mean burrise at Lanka Bat the malshatra was Chitra It may be remarked that the nahshatra Uttaräshädhā can never be conpled with Bhädıapada sude 5, as required. by the mscription

## TRIBHUVANACHAKRAVARTIN KULASEEKHARA (A.D 1379-80 to P)

88 -In the Truttalisfara temple at Tirupputtur ${ }^{1}$
1 [S]rastı [śri] [ $\left.1^{*}\right]$ [T]rıbhnvanachchakravattıgal sri-Knlaśékharade[varknéa

2 [ k$] \mathrm{l}[\mathrm{lam}]$ aigum porra Rosenn-nil]
"In the year opposite the 4th year (of the rpagn) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glonous Kulaśekheradēva, - on the day of Rōhni, which correaponded to a Saturday (and) to the twenty seventh solar day of the month of Karkataka"

The only day between 1200 and 1500 , which I found to correspond to the date of the inscription, wonld be Saturday, the 28th July 1983 A D , it was the 27th solar Karkataka, (Śrarana badt 10) and tho nakshatra was Rohini This kang therefore would appear to have commenced reigning 1379-80 A D

In the gears 1262 A D, 23rd July, and 1475 , 25 th Jnly, tho 27 th solar Karkataks fell on a Saturday and the nakshatra was the next after Rohini, uz Mrigasiras, we need therofore take no acconnt of theso yeara
[The alphabet in which the inscription $1 s$ engraved shows that it must be older than AD 1200-EA]

## JATĀTARMAN TRIBEUVANAOHAKRAVARTIN VİRA-PĀNDYA (AD 1205-1342 P)

00 -In the Viunāthasvāmin temple at Truvinmulalan ${ }^{2}$
1 Svast[1] sri - ${ }^{\text {s K Kor }}=$ Chadâpanmar Tirubavanachchakkaravattigal Eri-Viram

 Mulattu nal
"In the 6th—sixth-year (of the reign) of king Jatāvarman (ahas) the omporor of the three worlds, the glorious Vira-Pāndyadēva, - on the day of Müla, which corresponded to a Friday and to the suxth tith of the first fortanght of the month of Kanyer."

The date of this mecription corresponds to Fridey, the 28th September 1302 A D. On that day, tho 6th trthr of the Grst fortnight of Āspins (Kanyā) 4403 Kalıyga ended 6 ghafakde after mean sanrise at Lankā and the nakshatra Mĩla was ranning till about 15 ghatakas aftor mean sannise.

$$
91 \text {-In the Turuttaliśvars temple at Tirupputtūa }{ }^{5}
$$





[^113]"In the 22nd year (of the reign) of the glorious king Jatavarman aluas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Vira-Pandyadëva,- on the day of Rōhini, which corresponded to the second tathr of tho first fortanght and to the [4]th solar day of the month of R1shabia "

I tako this date to correspond to, Sunday, the 3ra May 1818 A $D$ This day wag actanily the 8th solar Rishabha (Jyaishtha), not the 4th as foand in the transcript of the mecription, the $2 \mathrm{nd} t r t h r$ of the first fortnight of Jyaishtha (Rashabha) ended about $13 \mathrm{ghat} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{a}$ after mean sunrise at Lankā, bat tho nalshatra Rohnī had ended about 26 ghatahas before sonrise It would therefore appear that the nahshatra quoted was that current at the begumng of the tith, though it had ended before tho day which is called after that tithe

$$
92 \text { - In the Tıruttaliśvara temple at Turupputtür } 1
$$

1 Svast[i] śri [ $\left.11{ }^{*}\right]$ Kó=Chchadaran[mar-īna] Tr[1]bhavanachchakraratt[1]gal Gri Vira-Pändıyadēvarku fūndu 44 rada Dhanu-năyarra
25 tēdıy[um] pūrvon-pakahattu prathamanyum Bribaspati-vüramum perra Mūlatta nā
"In the 44th year (of the reign) of king Jatavarman alhas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Vira-Pēndyadēva, - on tho day of Mūla, which corresponded to a Thursday, to the first tithi of the first fortnight and to the 5 th solar day of tho month of Dhanus"

This date corresponds to Thursday, the 2nd Decembor 1838 A $D$, on which day the first tıth of the firet fortnght of Pausha (Dhanas) ended 32 ghatzlãs after mean sunrise at Lankâ, and the nakshatra Müla was curront at sommse and ended about 41 ghatihass after it

93 -In the Tiruttaliśvara templo at Tirupputtūr ${ }^{3}$
 Pändıyadevarku yāndu 46 vadu Karkadaga nájarria $1\left[4\right.$ tēdı] ${ }^{4}$
2 pürvoa-pskshuttu [pa]ñjamıyum Somavanamum perra Uttrattunāl
"In the 46th year (of the reign) of Lang Jatavarman altas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Vira-Pāndyadēva,- on the day of Uttara-Phalguni, which corresponded to a Monday and to the fifth tethr of the first fortught (and) to the 14th solar day of the month of Karkataka"

This date apparently corresponds to Monday, the 2nd August 1339 A. D. On that day, the fifth tithr of the first fortnight of Śrāvana (Karkataka) ended about 15 ghatıhās aftor mean sanrise at Lankā, and the nakshatra Uttara-Phalguni was current at sumrise, and ended abont 6 ghat $k a \bar{s}$ after it However, the calcalated date was actually the 15th solar Karkataka, and not the 14th as stated in the insernption

84-In the Truttaliśvara temple at Mrupputtur ${ }^{5}$


 Anlatto nâl
${ }^{1}$ No 122 of the Aradras Epigraphical collection for 1908
2 Tho word téls 15 expressed by a symbol.
${ }^{3}$ No 119 of the Mradras Epigraphical collection for 1908

- Tho word $t \bar{e} d r$ sa expressed by a symbol
- No 120 of tho Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908
- The figure in brackets may also be read 6

1Tèdı ss denoted by s aymbol, tēdım stands for tēdıyum
"In the 4[0]th year (of the reagn) of kang Jatāvarman alaas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Vira-Pāndyadēva, - on the day of Anurādhā, whoh corresponded to a Sunday, to tho twelfth tuthe of the first fortnight and to the 2lst solar day of the month of Mithuna"

Tho date corresponds to Sunday, the leth June 1342 AD On that day, at mean sunrise at Lankī, the 12 th tithu of the first fortnight of Ashadlun (Mithuna) nnd the rahshatra Anarādha weie corrent, the former ending abont 24 ghaizhäs, and the latter 45 ghathhäs after mean sumrise at Lanka And the day actually was the 21st solar Mithuna

## Jatātarman vikrama-pāndya <br> 85 - In tie Truttalişara temple at Trrupputtūr ${ }^{1}$

1 III \& Svastı $\operatorname{sr}[1]$ [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Kö-Chchadapanmar=āna Tr[1]bhnvanachchakravatt[1]gal srî-Vikrama-Pindyadēvarka yānda $8[$ radı $] n^{2}$ edır 14 āvadn
2 Śak-äbdam $1344 n$ mêl fellimnna Subhakny $1^{3}$-tarasham Dhanu-ravi 19 tēd $1^{4}$ pūrva-pubshattu tritigaryam Budn-rāramum perra Trirnvonattu
3 nāl
"In the 14th (yeer) opposite the 8th year (of the reign) of king Jatavarman alhas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Vikrama-Pandyadēva, - in the (cyche) year Subhakrat, which was current after the (expiry of the) Saka year 1344, - on the day of Sravana, which corresponded to a Wodnesday and to the thard $t$ th $h$ of the finst fortarght (and) the 19th solar day (when) the Sun (was in) Dhanus"

This date apparently corresponds to Wednesday, loth December 1422 A.D, the correspouding Jovan year of southern zeckoning being Sinblakrit But tho third tithr has wrongly been quoted for the second For, on tho calculated day whoh was the 19th solar Dhanas, the 2 ud tithr of tho first fortnght of Pausha (Dhanas) was current at sunrise at Lanhã and so was the nahohatra Śravana The thrd titht began about 5 ghatzhäs after mean sumrise at Lankä, and the nahshatra Sravana ended abont 52 ghatzkās after sanrise It would therefore appear that the current tithi has brea quoted anstead of the one which ended on that day.

## No 13.-GOHARWA PLATES OF KARNADEVA

## By Proressor E Holtzsce, Pi D, Halle (Sahle)

These plates were found, whilst ploughing, in a field in an old fort at Goharwa, a village in the Manjhanpur tabsil of the Allahabad District The finder was a Kewat He states that his plough turned up the plates and broke the ring which fastened thom together The find was rescued from him by one Ramnath, a zamindar of Mawai Kalan, and produced first before the Collector, and then, by the Collector's directions, before Mr © A H Blant, CS, Sub-Divisional Officer, Karwa, Banda dastrot, who sent the plates to Rai Bahadar Veusayya I edit the mescription from two sets of ink-impressions received from Mr Venkayya

These are two copper plates, resembling ordunary trays, which fit one into the other and form a compact box, with corresponding ring holes at the bottom of the first and at the top of the second plate They were originally held together by a ring, in such a way that the

[^114]inscription was inside The box measures nearly $15 \frac{3}{4}$ mehes in length and about $11 \frac{1}{2}$ moches in breadth The second plate, which fits mato the box, is a hittle smaller than the first The depth of the margio of each plate is about $\frac{1}{2}$ inch When Mr Venkayja examined the plates, the seal had no ring and was detached from the plates. It is bell-shaped and has an almost curcular surface which measares 3 nnches in diameter The apper portion of the seal bears, in rehef, a seated figure of the goddess Lakshmi, facing the front and attended by two elephants ponnug water over her At the bottom is a bull couchant, facmg the proper right, between two indistinct symbols (drums ${ }^{\text {P }}$ ) Across the centre is engraved the name of the king who issued the plates.-

## - स्रोमत्कएर्गेदेव: ॥

Thanks to the peculiar arrangement by which the inscription was protected from damage the minting is on the whole well preserved The langaage of the msomption is Sanskrit, prose (ll $1,33-44,49$ ) and verse (11 1-33, 45-49) The metre is urremedably wiong in two places (11 9, 20)

The alphabet is an early type of Nâgari. ब is nowhere distıngnıshed from व य 18 often writren like $प$, and $\bar{a}$ like व The three letters थ, व्य, and द्य are very similar in shape If at the end of a line no apare place was left for the next akshara, the engraver bas filled ap the line by a vertical dash. The end of a section is marked by the symbols $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ 豕 $\|$ in three cases (11 33, 35, 44)

As regards orthography, the vowel $n$ is expressed by ra in grahe (15) and takes the place of the syllable $r$ in $t r i b h u v a r a(l)$ 4). Instead of the vowel $l_{2}$ a $u$ is emploged in kupta (1 28) The palatal sibulant occars mastead of dental $s$ in $\operatorname{sruta}$ ( 126 ), and $s$ for $s$ in eaght instances (bhrısam and $\overline{6} \delta \bar{a}, 115$, sundā, 123 , asvapatı, 1 34, sākhınē and sarmmanē, 140 , sukla, 141 , vambē, 1 48). The anusvära is replaced by $n$ in yaśānct (1 32), tih by $s h$ in mayūsha (13),
 vulgar forms are tāmvra for tāmra (132), sāmvra for sāmra (1. 39), sīrmáa for simā (1. 39), Kofamıa-pattalāyam for Kauśāmba-pattalāyām (1 37), and uthīrnnıtam for utkīrnnam (1. 49)

In the prose portiou of the inscription, the rales of sandhe are not always observed, and even in the motrical portion, the sandhr is neglected in ${ }^{\circ}$ chayaih tasmät (1) 18) Two cases of wrong sandhs are ${ }^{\circ} v a m d y \bar{o} O h e ̄ d n d r a{ }^{\circ}$ (11) and chaturāsramēka ${ }^{\circ}$ (130) Final $t$ is often written in the Hindi way without the vorama, and after sach a $t$ the sandhr is neglected in


The inscription opens with 30 verses praising the (Kalachuri) king Karnadēva and his ancestors Three of these verses ( $1,12,18$ ) are fond also in the Benares plates of the same king, ${ }^{5}$ and the two firet in the Rewah plate of $\mathrm{V}_{1 j a y a d e r a ~}{ }^{4}$ After an invocation of Brahman (verse 1) and Brähmi (v. 2), the genealogy starts from the moon, from whom was prodnced Budha, the progentor of Paruravas ( $\vee$ 3) Among the kings of the race of the Moon was Bharata ( 7.4 ) The panegyrist nert mentions the thousand-armed Haihaya emperor (Arjuna) Who captnred the demon Rävane ( $\nabla .5 f$ ) The first historical person is Lakshmanarajadēva, who defeated the langs of Vangāla, Pānḍya, Lāta, Gurjara, and Kàsmira ( 8 8). Hıs son was the 'moon among the kings of Chēdl', Yavarajadēta (v. 10), who became a supreme raler

[^115](Paramëstara, r 12). His son was Kökalla (r 13), whose son, ngann, was Gāngēyadēva, the conqueror of the kings of Kira, Anga, Kuntala, and Uthala (r 17). His son was Karna (vv 19, 30 ), king of Chēdi (ov 25,29) It will be obsersed that the paneegrical portion of the uncription contans nothing of historical interest besides the bare names of a few Kalachurn hugs who are already hown to us from other sources.

The prose portion of the anscription ( 133 ff .) records a grant of land modo by "this Paramabhattāraha Mahärājādhnrā̀ja Paramēśvara, tho devont worshppar of Mahēśvara (Śrva), the lord of Trikahnga, the glomons Karnadēva, who meditated at the feet of tho $P M P$, the glorions Vamadēva, ${ }^{\text {t }}$ the glonions Karnadēra who has acquirad by his own arm tho sovereignty of the triad of kungz, (miz) of tho Aśrapati, tho Gajnpati, and tho Narapati,-being in good health (and restding) at the camp of victory pitched at the holy Karna-tirtha."

Among the offcers to whom the hing's order was addressed, the following are speoifed-
 karanha, mahäpratīhära, mahalshapatalıha, makabhändagārtha, mahasamanta, mahäpramattarâra, and mahásuasēdhanzla (1l 35-37)

Tho object granted mas the rillage Chandapahā in Kóssamba-pattala (1 37). The donee was "tho Brähmana Pandrta-sri-Śantisarman, son of Arazathzha-Mīlbu, grandson of Dpā-dhyñya-Silü, (restding at) Vidabhi, of the Kaundıjangotra, with the three pravaras Angirasn,


The date of tho grant was "duming the administration (vyavaliarana) renownod by the glomous Karna, in the serenth yoar, in the month Kärtika, on the Karttiki full-moon tithi of the bright fortnight, on Thursday" ( 141 f ) These detals answer quate regularly to Thursday, 5th Novombor, AD 1047 . see Dr F'lect's remarhs, p 146 below

Before making the grant, the hing had "bathed in the Ganga at the holy Argha-tirtha and rorsbipped the divine lord Śra" "(142)

Il $45-49$ contann six of the usual benedictory nad imprecatory verses At the end we are informed that "this (edict) mas writton by Karaniha-sri-Sarrinanda and angraved by Vidyánanda" (1 49)

Among the geographical nimes mentioned in tho grant, I cannot localse any besides Kósamba-pattală, which is a valgar form of Kausiamba-pattala, 'the dıstrict of Kanámbì' The same torm occurs as Kosamba-patalà in a grant of Jayachchandra of Kanary, ${ }^{3}$ and the Karra inscription of Yasahpaila' refers to a village an tho Kausamba-mandala, 'tho province of Kanáāmbi Manjbanpar, the headquarters of the tahsil an which the Goharwa plates were found, lies west of Allahabad The same remark apphes to Kosam, whoh nsed to be identified, withont hesitation, with the ancient oity of Kausāmbi Mr. V. A Smath has proposed to locate Kaukambi farther soath, near Bharhat, whle Major Vost monld place it at Gurgi ${ }^{6}$ But the finding of the present record at Goharwa in the Manjhanpur tahsil is another item of evidence showing that the country west-north-west of Allahabad between the Gangá and Yamuna nvers must have formed part of the province of Kausambi Regarding Goharwa Mr Blant states that there are several temples, Jana and others, inside the 'fort' in which the plates were found. I would saggest that this site might be carefally examined by one of the officers of the Archeological Department

[^116]TEXT ${ }^{1}$
First Plate，Second Side
1 ओं ${ }^{2}$ स्ति । को व्रहार्ये नम．\｜निर्गुण व्यापक नित्य शिरं पर［म］－ कारण 1 ［み］तवग्राह्यं परं＂द्योतिस्तस्म सह्नंहाणें नम＇ 11 ［Q＊］खुई छदयच्चेत्ने वलीमिव रोपयन्ति
2 यां मुनय： 11 मोच्तमहाफलजननी व्राह्म ${ }^{6}$ स्तक्षम］पि सा जयति $\|$［२＊］ चोरोदघेरपरिमेयसुधानिधानमाविर्वंभूव ${ }^{7}$ अवभूषणमिन्टुर्ते：।
3 अस्माद्जायत वुध：8 स किल चितीशमाद्यं पुरूरवसमाप तनूजरत्न ॥［₹＊］ इति सहति सुधामयूषवंश ${ }^{10}$ नृपतिरजायत भौत्तिकायमान：॥
4 अ्रलभत भरतस्म चक्रवर्तीं ${ }^{11}$ तमुवनभूष एभूयमेकमेव 11 ［8＊］अ्रनेदितो－ दितकुले ${ }^{12}$ जगतीपतोनामीय：कमादर्जनि हैहयचक्रवर्तों［1］य－
5 सय प्रचशडभुजणजरमध्यवर्त्ती काराग्रहै ${ }^{13}$ धृत द्रव प्रसभ दघास्य． 11 ［y＊］ वैरिध्बान्तभिद：सहस्रकरता ${ }^{14}$ भूपालचूडामऐर्यंस्मादन्भुतवाहुव－
6 न्धविघुरी $^{15}$ नकंचरग्रामसी ${ }^{16}$ हिलोलासितवाहुदएडविहित（．）श्नीकंठशैलोर्दति；प्राज्वि－ क्रान्तममून्यत ${ }^{17}$ स्रमपि समिन्द्रजालोपम 11 ［\＆＊］यन्ञाग्नि－
7 घूने：परितोषितेन्द्रास्तस्मादमूवन्वह्हो ${ }^{18}$ नरेन्द्रा： 1 एन्ये न येषां विरराम दृष्टिर्विपचनारीनयनान्वुवाहै：${ }^{18}$ II［ $\left.0^{*}\right]$ वद्ञालभाड़निपुए：परि－
8 भूतपा［गड्घ］साटे म्लु खडनपटुन्जितगुर्ज्रेन्द्र；। काश्मीरवीरसुकुटाश्वितपादपीठ－ स्तेषु क्रसाद्जनि ${ }^{20}$ लच्द्मशराजटेव： $11\left[\tau^{*}\right]$ आसीद्यधि－
 ${ }^{22}$ त्वड्नंतुड़तु एफताडितमहाचोणी［भृ］－
 विद्याएलनाभुजड्र ${ }^{24}$ नि：शेषवाचीधरणाय ${ }^{25}$ शेष：। तत：स
11 जन्मे जगदेकवंदो ${ }^{25}$ चेदीन्द्रचन्द्री गुदराजदेव： 11 ［ $0^{\circ}$ औ］घोवनेन तनी यस प्रतापेनारिमर्ज सु ${ }^{37}$ । कुलाद्रिकु［क्जे］］्वरिभि［सित］मिस्तुस्यं पदं（I）
${ }^{1}$ From inh－impressione recerved from Mr Venksyys
${ }^{2}$ Expressed by a sy mbol
－Read $\overline{\text { 耳 }}$
－Read सद्धघ्यये
－The Benares plates（abore，Fol If p 305，verse 1）read ब्योति•
－Read त्राही
－Read ${ }^{\circ}$ माद्य
${ }^{13}$ Read घन्नोदिसं ${ }^{\circ}$
13 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ वाצु ${ }^{4}{ }^{\circ}$

7 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ वंभू
10 Read＂मयूख ${ }^{\circ}$
${ }^{5}$ Read बiv
11 Read frभुवन ${ }^{\circ}$
1 Resd $^{\circ}$ करती
1y Head ${ }^{\circ}$ ममन्यत
20 Bead क्रना ${ }^{\circ}$

2 Read ववद्ध फुत्र
：Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ सिसमा＇
${ }^{27}$ Bomd ${ }^{\circ}$ सूर्षस सु．
${ }^{33}$ Bead periaps ${ }^{\circ}$ बठोरकठद्धनारस सिभपूर्वरि
${ }^{25} \mathrm{Bead}{ }^{\circ}$ धार्ड⿱宀㠯 ？



r V Venlayya
 समर्गपि नाकपधगानागच्छतो विदिषा ${ }^{3}$ विस्याता भुवि भूरिमाग्गर्ग－－4 13 अनामुचेद्धेधद्धहिनों य：साचात्पर्मेश्वर：समभवत्व्यक्यक् झिवाराधनात् ॥ ［？२＊］दिक्शर्यन्तनिखानयूपनिचय：${ }^{5}$ क्मापालचूडामऐस्त－
14 स्मादड्डुतविक्रम：क्रमवशात्कोक्ननामाभवत् । चक्ई यद्विजयम्रयाएपएव：स्वर्ग्री－

15 तोनो भृस ${ }^{6}$［ १₹ $\left.^{*}\right]$ ख्रासाजये ${ }^{7}$ समदझसंधुरगधरोषाद्यस्योद्यदायतकरा： ककुभां करीन्द्रा：［ ${ }^{*}$ ］पूत्कारमार्त्तिमिव खेचरनायकस्य चक्नु：
16 कपोलमध्रुपध्वनिभिन्निशाया：॥［२8＊］यस्सिन्मुजैकम्रभके प्रजानां तेजोनिवो ${ }^{\circ}$ चैतनि वर्त्तमाने। त［ले $]^{10}$ परस्मिम्भिव योगभाजां निता－
 मन्ये यस्येन्दुनचनताराद्याकारतf गतं $11 .\left[\right.$ दू⿻肀 $\left.^{*}\right]$ कारापंजरवद्यकी ${ }^{13}$
 कारि करीन्द्रकुक्भदलनव्यापारसारात्मना
19 निर्जित्योत्कलमवधिसीम्न्न ${ }^{10}$ जयस्तम्भ：सकीयो भुज：＂［२ง＊］श्रगुएच्चेति लोलेति स्यात यदिच दुर्यंश $1{ }^{17}$ लक्मास्तदधुना घौतं दिव्यमादाय
 रिपुयश：कुसुदाकरभास्कर：${ }^{10}$ सुतमसूत स करण्गेनराधि－
21 पम् ॥［१८＊］घ्राक्रान्तदृष्तसामन्त्रतापप्रसराद्वि 1 चरणी र्यशौखडस्य सीष्माशी यस्य रजतु：$\|$［२०＊］स्फुरख्या वज्ञचक्राभ्यासेक［व्य］$] ज े न ~ ह-~$ 22 स्तयो：। वभार ${ }^{20}$ यः स्रियं सा［च］ादिन्द्रोपेन्द्रविज़ंभिनो ${ }^{21}$ Н［२？＊］${ }^{22}$ सूर्वोष्टृ－ तधराभारदिक्नुजरकराय［तो］। भ्रानद्यतां ${ }^{23}$ भुजौ यस्ख हैलोद्वत्तवसु ${ }^{24}$
23 अरो ॥［२२＊］ल्याग［व्य］सनिनो यस्य विपुल शखयोर्दयं। निधान विधिना मन्ये द्विगुखीक्रतमर्पित ॥［२₹＊］उद्हुख्यस खडग्रविमि［सि］ति－

| ${ }^{1}$ Tue Benares platos（above，Vol II p 307，verse 16）read ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{z}$ क्， |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{Read}^{\circ}$ मालबमान ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{1}$ Read विषिष． | －Read ${ }^{\circ}$ कागर्गiग${ }^{\circ}$ ． |
| －Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ खात＂${ }^{\circ}$ Read भूपं． | 7 Read घापा ${ }^{\circ}$ ． |
| ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Read}$ फूरकार ${ }^{\circ}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ निधी | ${ }^{10}$ Bead तच्वे． |
| 13 Read मलोना ${ }^{12}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ दूर्ख्व \＃क्षाब ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{15}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ ¢ $\mathbf{4}^{\circ}$ ． |
|  |  |
|  | 17 Read लचम्या |
| ${ }^{13}$ Instead of $\begin{array}{r}\text { ¢ }\end{array}$ |  |
| ${ }^{20}$ Read बसार ${ }^{22}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ Fिण才่ | 23 Read मूरी० |
|  |  |

 गजानाम् ॥ $\left[28^{*}\right]$ नाहं नाथ विपचगीच्रवस-

Second Plate, Frrst Srde
25 तिन [व्य]त्तपूर्वेस्थितियंस्साख्यातुमितीव चेदिर्दिनपरेर्दिंभ्कमाक्भामत[:**] ॥ पान्त(:) ग्वान्तवरुधिनीकरिकरास्माला-
 पोतश्नु(:) भुतुर्कधिरसरापानमत्तप्रन्टल्यतवेता- ${ }^{6}$
27 लोत्तालतालारवभरितककु[पृच]क्रपर्या(य))न्तसीम्नि । भ्रन्योच्चालन्तवाचां समिति सरमसं’ दत्तहस्तादिसंत्ञाव्यापारेषामराएiं वररम-
 उड्रभुवि भूभिभृता पुरस्तात ${ }^{\circ}$ । वीरस् वीरचरितं नन्वत्वे0 कवं-
29 छेलेध्म्मीहठगहकठोरसुजस्य यस्य ॥ [२०*] नीतेषु पमदावियोगविधिना



31 आाह्थे निसन्यमू ${ }^{17}$ माक्पप्यग्वररणीधरान्तरसपरत्र ${ }^{18}$ सुरुरोषया:। एति ${ }^{19}$ निमिंतमा: [पु]रा परिनिते ब्रह्याखडे भर्भर्मिन क्य सम्मा[स्य]-


33 डान्तरचक्तवालवाचार्लितेवेंधिरमावमियाज ${ }^{23}$ विग्ठं ॥ [₹०*] क ॥ स पष-24 परमसदारकमहाराजाधिराजपरमिग्वर स्रोवामदेवपादानु-(1)
34 धात्र(1) परमभद्रारकमह्चराजाधिराजपरमेग्र्रपर[म]माह्हेग्ररनिकलिद्नाधिपतिम्यीमल्भउर्यदेव ${ }^{26}$ निजभुजोपार्जितास्लपतिगजप ${ }^{26}$
35 तिनरपतिराजनयाधिपति:7 स्रीमल्करषंदेव: कुगली (॥ छ ॥) जयस्मस्वाषार ${ }^{28}$ ग्रीमल्कवर्णीतोर्थाधिवासिते महादे[वो] सहाराजपन्रो मह्ठास-

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bread ${ }^{\circ}$ gत $^{\circ}$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ तृत्यदेता | ${ }^{6}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ लद्य ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 5 Read सरभस | 8 Read बतת | ${ }^{9}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सात |
| ${ }^{20}$ Read नगुते | 11 Read प्राग्रक्न ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
| 12 Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ वशाद् | 14 Rexd भिज्ती स्थिति | ${ }^{15}$ Read येनैव |
| ${ }^{16}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ ग्रके कगुखता स्पहौक्रता. | 17 Rear निश्यात्ममू माक् ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{18}$ Read ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ धरान्तररसापारात् |
| ${ }^{15}$ Rewd एसम् |  |  |
| ${ }^{11}$ Read यय्यंसि चेटिशपतेरित्याकुजों |  |  |
| 2 Eead ${ }^{\circ}$ संधिरमावसियाय | ${ }^{4}$ Read एष | ${ }^{23}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ देवो |
| * Bread 'वाम्पपवि'. | 27 Eead ${ }^{\circ}$ पत्य . | ${ }^{29}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ वारे |

36 न्ल्री महासान्धिविग्रििको महासात्यो महाधर्म्मधिकरसिको महापतीहारो महान्तपटलिको महाभाएडगगारिको महासामन्ती महाप्र－（1）
37 मत्तवारी महाग्वसाधनिक एतानन्याय्य［ $r^{*}$ ］कीन्तितान ${ }^{1}$ यथास्थाननियुत्तराजपुरु－ पान्चध पप्रतिस्यान ${ }^{2}$ कोशम्बपत्तलायं ${ }^{3}$（11）चन्दपहाग्रामनि－
38 वासिनो निखिलननपदान्घथाहं मानयति वोधयति ${ }^{4}$ समाजापयति ${ }^{5}$［ $\|^{*}$ ］ विदितसस्तु भवता यथा यामोयमस्माभियतुराश्राटविश्डुद：सजल－
39 स्बल：साम्ब्नमधूक［：＊］सगत्तोषर．सलोह्लवाकर：ससीर्मापयंन्त［：＊］${ }^{7}$ सवनतुएयूतिगोचरपर्यन्त：（1）विद्भी कोडिन्चगोनायाड्निरसाम्ब ${ }^{8}$（1）
40 रीषयोवनास्पनि（）प्रवराय＂वाजसनेयसाखिने ${ }^{10}$ उपाध्यायसीलूपोनाय अ्यावसधिक－ माल्हुपुनाय पडितग्रोशान्तिसर्म्मश्य ${ }^{11}$ व्राह्मशाय
41 ग्रामोय एतेपा पवं＂क्षत्वा ग्रीमल्कएलप्रकाये व्यवहरती ससमसंवत्तरे कार्तिक मासि ${ }^{13}$ सुक्तपन्तर्तिक्तिकीपौएएमास्या तिधौ गुरुदि－
42 ने एव सावत्मसमासपचतिधिवारनुक्रमेपाद्येह ग्रीमतश्रहंतीर्थं ग गाया साला भगवन्त निवभहारकं समभ्यक्य मातापिनोरा－
43 त्मनः पुखययोसिवृदचे चदार्कच्तितिपर्यन्त आासनल्वेन पद्त्त द्वति मत्वा यचादोयमानभागभोगहिरसादिसमस्तराजप्र－（1）
$44^{4}$ त्यादाया：एतस्याज़ाग्रवपविधेयीमूय दातव्या： 1 तद्पचिकीर्षया न केनापि （न）ग गन्तव्यमिति $\|$ 五 $\|$ भवन्ति चान पुसयग्नोका．।
45 सब्वीनेतान् भाविन：पार्थिदेन्दान ${ }^{18}$ भूयो भूयो याचते रामभद्र：［1＊］ सामान्चोय धर्मंसेतुर्नृपाएां काले काले पालनीयो भवश्भि：II［₹？$\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ d $^{16}-$
46 हुभिर्वसुधा भुका राजति：${ }^{17}$ सगरादिभि： 1 यस्य यस्य यदा भूमिस्तस्य तस्य

 सर्गो तिष्हति भूमिद：। ग्राच्छेत्ता चानुमन्ता च तान्येव नरके व－（।）
48 सेत ${ }^{22}$ ॥［₹४＊］भ्रस्मिन्वस्स ${ }^{23}$ दिजgोपि यय्यान्यो नृपतिभंकेत ${ }^{24}$ । तस्यापि करलग्नोह्ह शासनं न व्यतिक्रमित ${ }^{25}$ ॥［₹乡＊］सुवएएंमेक गामेका भूमेरप्ये－

| ${ }^{1}$ Bead ${ }^{\circ}$ तान् | 2 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ ¢ुरुप ${ }^{\circ}$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ स्थान |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{q}^{\circ}$ | －Read समाश ${ }^{\circ}$ ． |
| 3 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सौमा $^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{8}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सif ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 10 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ गाखिने | ${ }^{11}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ गर्भ्म㐫 न्नाध्म ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| ${ }^{18}$ Resd शक्न ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{16}$ Rend |
|  | ${ }^{17}$ Read रार्नभि |
| 13 Read प्रयच्छति | 20 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ कर्मा勿 |
| 22 Read वसेत् | ${ }^{23}$ Read ${ }^{\text {\％ब\％，}}$ |
| ${ }^{35}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पेप् |  |

[^117]
##  

## REMARKS BY DR. FLEET ON THE DATE OF THE RECORD PUBLISHED ABOVE.

The reeord is dated "in the administration (eyavaharana) renowned by the glomons Karna," in the seventh year, and on the full-moon tatht, coapled with a Thursday, of the month Kärtuka Karnadēva's predecessor was his father Gängèjadēva, who was reigning, we know, in A D. 1030,4 and for whom wo have perhaps also a date in A D 1037-38: For Barnadiva as kang we Have the date Phanlgana vadı 9, answerıng to 18 January, $A D 1042^{6}$ On that day he made a grant, having bathed in tho river Vēni ou Philgnna rads 2 ou an occabion wheh the reeord mentions as samuatsarē sräddhē (read sräddhē) of his father Gaugayadēıa Tho edito emended the text here into samuatsara-sfāddhē, sud interpreted tho phrase as meaning "at the annual faneral ceremony" in honour of his father Gingéyadēva Tho emendation hardly seems necessary Howerer, in any case, the text appeas to mdieate distuctly, not some indefinite anniversary of the death of Gängēyadêva, bat tho first annuversary of his death, and it is at any rate fully capable of being understood in thes sense. Accoıdungly, Gãngèjadēva died on Phanlguna vadı 2, =22 January, AD 10417 The month Kãrtuka in AD 1041 was, therefore, in the first year of Karnadepa The month Kärtika in his seventh year eame in A.D 1047 In this year the given tathe was counected quate regulaly with a Thursday it ended at about 11 hours 45 munutes after mean sunrise (for Ujusin), that 18 , at abont 545 Pa , on Tharsday, 5 Nosember, and, being current at sanrase, it gave its number to that same day. And this date, Tharsday, 5 November, AD 1047, seems clearly to bo the date of the record

The result stated above 15 in agreement with the points, that this record gives the fall titles of paramount sovereignty to Karnadēva in lines 33-4, and that it does not contan angthing to anggest that Gängeyadēva was living when it was drawn up. The following remarks, howeven, may be added, in case it might be thought that the ase of the term vyavaharana, 'transaction of busmess, management, administration,' instead of rāyya or vijaya-rajya, implies that Earnadeva was reigning as the representative of his fatioer, the latter being still nlive. As we have seen, Gaungéyadēva mas dead by 22 January, AD 1041 Before that, the rearest occannons on whoh the fall-moon tethr of Kärtiika ean be conneeted with a Thus sday are as follows:-In A D 1026 it began at about 21 hours 5 minutes after mean sunrise on Tharsdaf, 27 October but the lateress of the time ( 3 hours 5 minutes after madnight) precludes' the possibility that the tithr should have been eited with that day In A D. 1028 it ended at aboat 11 hours 35 minutes after mean sunrise, that is, at about 535 PM , on Tharsday, 31 October, and consequently, being carreat at sumise, gave its namber to the day bat this $2 s$ altogether too early a date for Karnadara to have been assoczated with his father in the adminstration for already sux years, especially in view of the points, that for Karnadéva's son and successor Yaśahbarna the earlest known date 18 in AD 1120, and that for Yasahkarna's son and suceessor Gayäkarna we have dates in A.D 1151 and 1155-56

[^118]
# No 14-NARASAPATAM PLATES OF VAJRAHASTA III., SAKA-SAMVAT 967 

By Professor Sten Koyom, Pr.D. Christiamia

The grant nascribed on these plates was first brought to light by Mr Appa Rao, and a short note on it appeared on page 111 of Mr Krishan Sastri's Annual Report on Epigraphy for the year 1908-1909 I now edit it from ink-1mpressions supplied by Rai Buhadur $V$ Venkayya, who has kndly sent me the followiag description of the plates -
"These are five copper-plates with slightly raised nims, of whioh the first bears writing only on the inner sade They measure roughly $7 \frac{3^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ in length and flactuate between $3 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ and $3 \frac{1}{1 "}^{\prime \prime}$ in breadth The plates are held together by a circnlai ring, which measures $4 \frac{31}{4}$ in diameter and is nearly $\frac{l^{r}}{2}$ thick The edges of the ring are secured in the bottom of an oval seal, which measures roughly $2 \frac{1_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{}$ and $2 \frac{1_{4}^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ in its diameters The seal is sarmonnted by a high recumbent ball with varions emblems around $1 t$, which Mr Appa Rao says represent a conch, an elephantgoad, a trisüla, a battle-axe, a crescent, a mace, a rope, and a drum. The plates with seal and ring weigh 295 tolas The plates were recorved from the Narasapatam taluka of the Vizagapatam district"

The inscription consists of 74 hnes and is in a far state of preservation.
The alphabet is the same kind of Nāgari as in Vajrahasta's Nadagām plates of Śaka-Samvat $979,{ }^{1}$ and in his Madras Museum plates of Šaka $9844^{2}$ The $\tilde{n}$ in coujancts such as $n c h$ and ifj is placed after the consonant The compound nij, which occors in 169 , is not ased in any of the other known plates of Vayrahasta It will be seen that the form of the 3 of this compound 18 slightly changed There 18 almost no difference between $d \bar{a}(155)$ and $d \bar{a}(151)$,
 anusvara ss asually denoted by a cirole after the consonant. At the end of lane 3 and before the angn of interpanotion in 152 , a vrrama is added underneath The sign of the vurama is slso found in -nvoahàn, 1 17, samabhunah, 127 It has been omitted in eavdäna, 1. 21 ; -puras. sarāna- . . . janapadäna, 1.51, yavata, 156 , -ãdıtyãta, 1.58, -gaurā̃āta, 164 f In eavdāna, 1 21, and -janapadana, 1 5], the bottom of the letter $n$ has been somewhat lengthened There are several superfluous signs of interpunction in the grant itself, ll, 53 ff The numericel figares 907 ocour an 173

In 117 aud 50 some syllables and words are written ia Telaga letters
With regard to orthography we may note forms like -prakshyaluta- mstead of -prakshäluta-, 1. 4, natrityē rastead of narrityē, l 67, and the nse of $v$ instead of $b$, compare siavda-, 19 f ; -lavdha-, $112,-v a l \bar{a} t=, 116,=a v i \bar{l} r \_a, 121,=a v d a k a n=, 1,27$, -luvdha-,1123, Vandumv-, 1136,58 , -ävda-, 1139,73 , - $\tilde{u} v_{j} a y \bar{\partial} h, 148$, -vähura-, 153 The olass nasal and not the anusvāra ${ }_{18}$ regularly written before surds Before $\hbar$ and $p$ we also find the anubuära, thas, sasänka-, 17, alamkarıshnō,1 14, but=Anıyanha-, 126, -pankar, 1.46, Tampavä, $1152 \mathrm{f}, 71$, bat =almpantr, l. 46 Before $k h, g h$ and $c h / h$ there are no instanoes of the class nasal, but the anzm
 läniohhana, 110 In all other nasal compounds, the class nasal is rased

The consonants $h, n, t, d h, m, y$, and $v$ are doubled in all the unstances where they occur after an $r$, thas, -đrkha-, 156 , -harnna-, 18 , -kīrttēh, 136 , sarddha, 153 , dharmma-, 1.64; süryya-, 140 , sarvea-, ll 18,54 A $g$ after $r$ sometumes remanns single and is sometmes donbled, thus, märgēna, 1 42,-vınırgata-, 158, but nrıpatır=Ggang-, 1 $26,-v a r g[g a], 1,42$ The other consonants which are used aftor $r$ in these plates, v2z 3 , thand $b h$, are never doubled

 161 There ane besides, soveral other mistakes which may bo due cither to the writer or to the engraver Thas wo find $a$ for $\overline{4} 1 \mathrm{n}$-prakghyalta -1 , 4 , Gang-, $1113,26,41$, samriddhrman $=130$; -gīyaman-, 136 , chatta-, 155 , a for $\imath$ m $-h r i t a, 139$, $a$ for $u$ in=ächaritam, 141 , $\bar{a}$ for $a \mathrm{~m}$


 $112, t$ for $d$ m -samutbhaväyäs $=137$, $d$ for $t$ m praschyōdan-, $123, y$ for $y y$ in nyãy $\bar{n} a$,


 so forth Mistakes such as see for tsa in prasödāsēm-( $-\bar{a} t=s a m-)$, 118 f, the numerons instances Wheie an anusvära (cf $1127,39,42,53,56,72,74$ ) oi a visarga (cf. $1121,28,51,54$ ) has been omitted, the omission of jō in tasy= $\bar{u} m\left[j \tilde{\sigma}^{*}\right], 121$, of $r$ in $-h a r i s h n \bar{\sigma}\left[r^{*}\right], 114 \operatorname{sun} u\left[r^{*}\right], 158$, of $s$ in $\operatorname{sama}\left[s^{*}\right], 121$; of $s a$ in $s \bar{a}\left[s a^{*}\right]$ nam $=173$, and the many metakes in 162 , are probably due to the ongraver, whle the long omessions on $113 t$ and 65 jast as well can be due to the winter of the drafl

There are several anstances of wrong or uregular samdhe comparo -lattãm $=\tau \bar{a}, 170$, $-n 2 z a h \bar{a} n$ srī, 117 , =gajān=arthibhyah, 124 , sah srī-, 125 , prasiddhah chatth-, 1 54,


In 151 several dots indicato a coriection. The cngraver probably had to copy a corrected draft, and las reproduced it as he found it The orngmal reading of the passage tras probably samastämãtyapramuhhajanapadãne as in tho Nadngām and Madras Minseam plates, or perhaps, sämantāmätyapramuhiajanapalän=, seo the footnote, to the passage

The language is Sanskrit, bat tho constraction in 11 J8 ff is very loose and shows that the composer of the grant was not very well versed in the sacred tongue With the exception of one mprecatoly stanza, the body of the grant is written in prose. The introdaction contans the same twelve verses as the Nadagām and Madaas Maseum plates, with only one slight variation in verse 2, whore our grant has makipatzh while the other two read =eanipatih In the grantitself we find the canious expiession bhümuchhudrapudhänanyäyēn=1 instedd of the common bhūmzchchhadranyāyēna, 155 The epithet paranärīsū(su)dürāya used of one of the donees in 160 f , is also curious

The giant was issued from Dantıpura by the devont worshipper of Mahispara, the Paramabhattäraha Mahārāāädhıräja Vajrabasta (III), the overlord of the three Kalngas, and bestows some land on Trugana [aluas] srī-Mãnādtya Chotta and Vira-Bhūriśrava The name of the former was peihaps not Mänäditya Chotta but Ādrtya Chotta, though thes readıng wonld imply a serious grammatioal slip in 160 He was the son of Mīnāditya or Āditya Chotta, the son of Chotta Vādayarájāa and Rūpadērī of the Vadumba famıly, to which Vajrahagta's mother Vinayamahàdēvī likewnse belonged The donee Iragana Mānādıtya Chotta was conseqnently a relative of the king

The land granted comprised the Gōrasatta distriot with, $\imath$ e molnding thirty-five pillages outside Tampava Its boundaries are gaven in 1165 ff to the east Vistirnasila, to the southeast a banyan tree sacred to Ganeesa ( $\mathrm{V}_{2} \bar{n} \bar{x} y a h a-v a t a$ ), to the sonth a hill with the temple of Tanku-Bhattārikã, probably some form of Durgà, to the south-west the Andhārā̄ēni hill, to the west Käñchaślā on the Vamśadhārā, to the north-west Amrāpāthara; to the north

[^119]Madhupapālı, to the north-east Tālañjaraślă I cannot localıse any of these names with the exception of the river Vamśdhärā, Which flows through the Ganjam and Vizagapatam districts On at is a village which in the Indian Atlas is called Varanasy, situated in $18^{\circ} 51^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $83^{\circ} 56^{\prime \prime}$ $E$, and which I would adentify with tho Vārānasi-kataka mentioned in the Puri plates of Naiasumbadèra IV ' Another locality mentioned in Ganga plates, tho Vaıāhavat tani, ${ }^{2}$ I would look for in the course (, artanī) of the Varāhanadi, whuch rises in the Golkondn hulls to the north of Narasapatam and flows noto the Bay of Bengal at Vätada

The date of our grant is the Sakn year 987, on the minth day of the (solar) month Mina, on a Monday It is consequently tho oldest known grant of Vajrahasta III.

The writers of the grant, tho sanfluvtgralun Dhavala, who is described as tho grant writer (säsanha lüyastia) of Tampayã, and the härahi Mēntōju, are not elsowhere known,

## TEXT

## Frrst Plato


2 nasa-dayà-dâna-dākshunya-satya śancha-śanryya-dhanyy-ã-

1 vimnla-vıchär-a[ch]a[ra]-panya-salla-pia[kshyah]ta-4
5 Kah-kāla-Kalmasha-mashīnānı mahā-Mıhēndr-īcha-
6 la-sikhara-pratishthitasya sa[chaia]charn-guroh sakala-
7 bhavana-[nırmmān-ahha] sa(6ü)trudhärasja sasimha-chu(chū)dã-5

## Second P'late, Frrst Sude

8 manēr=bhagavato Gökarnuastammah prasīdī-

10 vda(bda)-dhavalachchhatrai-hêmachāmara-vaıavrishabhalāmchana ${ }^{8}$-samajva-
Il la samasta-sämräjya-mahımām=anēka-samara-samgha-
12 tta-samupalavdha(bdha)-vijaya-lakshmi-samāhngit-otunga-10
13 bhuja-dada-mandtinnim Trikalınga-mahibhajām ${ }^{11} G a n g a ̄ n a ̄ m=a n v a-$
14 gam=alamkarıshnö[1"] Vishuбı=1val2 vikiam-äkrānta-[dha]rā-mandalasya Guna.
15 māārnnava-mahāıăjasya putrah || ${ }^{13} \mathrm{P}[\bar{u}]$ rviam bhūpatıbhır=จvibhajya va-
Second Plato, Second Srde
16 sudhā yā pañchabluh pañchadhā bhultā bh[ū]rıparāhramó bhaja$\mathrm{va}(\mathrm{ba})$ lãt $=\mathrm{tan}-$
17 m=ēha iva svayam | ökikrıtya vıjitya satra-mivahīn ${ }^{14}$ sri-Vaj[ra]ha-
18 [sta]śs=chatnścha[tvã]rımsatam=atyudāra-charıtah sarvoām=arakshit=sa-
19 mãh || [1*] Tasya tanayo Gundama-rajā̃ ${ }^{15}$ varsha-trayam=apā-
20 layata mahīm || tadanujah Kāmärnnavadēvah ${ }^{10}$ pañchatrı-
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 lapaha(bdha)-madhu-
24. pa-vyälidha-gandān=gajămn ${ }^{6}=$ arthbblyah

## Thurd Plate, Furst Side

25 samadāt=sahasram=atulo jas=tyāgnām=agranih [1*] Eah ${ }^{\text {co }}$ fri-
26 man=Anıyankabhima-nrıpatir= ${ }^{-1}$ Ggang-anvay-8ttameakah pa-

28
29
30
 tad-anu-

32 khyo mahiésh | sakalam=1dam=ara-

## Thurd Plate, Second Srde

 ohakrah || [5*] ${ }^{15}$ Tato [dvai]mātura[s=tasya*] Sadhukāmārnnavō nnpa[h |


 (mb)-ānva-
 ya-mahādēvyāh sri-Vajrahasta ith tanayah || [8*] ${ }^{19}$ Vaynd-ritu-uıdhusa[m*]lhyām yātı Sák-āvds(bda)-Eamghē Duakritaº Vnishabhs-sthē Röhıni-bhē su-
40 lagné [1*] Dhanush clıs sita-pakshe Süryyavãré tritiyā-yuja sakala-dhari-
41 trim rakshtum yo=blushiktah || [9*] ${ }^{21}$ Nyāyēna jatra eamam= ${ }^{\text {² }}$ achantam triva-

## Fourth Plate, First Side


43 níraghāśscha nurīpadaś=cha sasvat=prajā bhavs bhavantı vibhūti-
44 matyah || [10*] ${ }^{23}$ Vyāptē Ganga-knl-ăttamasya yaśasā dık-chakravāl[ē] Saśl-pra-
45 dyot-āmalmēna ya[sya bha]rana-prahlādarsampādunā [1*] samdū-
46 [rairea]tisāndra-panka-patalaih kumbhasthali-pattakēshr=ălımpa-
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## 176


so
R
 paramabha-


Fourth Plate, Second Sude

51 dēva[h*] buśah ma[h-ī]mātya-purassarāna(n) sümanta-pramnkha-janapadāna(n) pavā-grāma-vāhura-(1)pan̂chatıunseta grāmēna sārdaha[m"] Gōrasatta-visha-
54 fō=yam sprasiddhah chatuh-śım-ãvachchinnna[h*] sajala-sthalah sarvva$\mathrm{pa}(\mathrm{pi})$ -

56 yēn=āchandr-ārkka-kshitn-sama-kūla ${ }^{10}$ yāvata mātū-pitrofr=ă[tma]nah pu-
57 nya-yas ${ }^{11}$-vtıddhaye (1) Minamāsa-(1)navam[è ${ }^{18}$ Sōmavare ${ }^{18}$ Kāsyapa-gotrāya
58 Pettakallu-vimurgata-Chotta-Vādayarājā tasya sūnu ${ }^{14}$ Vardumvādatyāta
59 Rūpadēvyāh ${ }^{14 a}$ jātah ${ }^{15}$ śri-Mānādıtya-Chottah | asya śūnu ${ }^{16}$

> Frfth Plate, Furst Sıde

60 Iragana-śri-Mannādıtya-Chottaya Vira-Bhūrisravãya cha 1 para-
61 nārī̄ūdūrayaa ${ }^{17}$ (I) saty-ādhıshthta-chētasō il udaka-purvvan=tānvrabāsa-18

63
64
65
66

68 vanakaraih kehētrakaraśs=cha samuchita-bhāga-bhogādikam samapantetavyam yathākāla-bhāvibhh ²0 svapatr dānam=1dam Manuno dharmma-gaurāvātail || Gōrasatta-visayabyå simãno lhkhyantē II pūrvoatah Vıstirnnásilā || àgnēyè parovata-samīpè Vināyaka-vatah || parvvatah II paschi[m]è ${ }^{24}$ Vamsadhārā-tatē Kāñchas[a]láa ${ }^{25}$ II vāyavyé A-

[^122]Frfth Plate, Serand Side

## TRANSLATION

 quered the cincle of the earth, as (the goil) Vishinu by lus atudes, wishing to adorn the lineage of the glonous G[ängas, the loids of the three Kalingas, who were purificd by goms (in the shupc) of vintaes prased ovel the whole eath, sueh as pradence, good breeding, gonerosity, charity, eouitesy, tunthfulness, purity, valonr and firmness, who were of tho Átréra götra, Who washed off the stams of the imparities of the Kalu age by tho holy water of (thetr) taintless thoughts and deeds, the grandenr of whose universal sovereignty mas resplendent by the anque conch-sbell, the dram, the five makasabdas, the white parasol, the golden chomme, and tho excellent bull crest which they had obtaned by the favoni of the blessed Gokamosrimm, who resides on the sammat of tho lofty Mahendra mountan, the lord of what mores and what cannot move, tho sole architect in the creation of all the woilds, whose crest jewel as tho moon, who Were adorned by lofty staff-hiko arms which were embraced by the goddess of victory won in the scuffles of many battles, -
(Verse 1) The glorions Vajrahasta [I], of exalted condnct, protected the entre (earth) for forty-four years, after he, the very valiant one, had, himself sugle-handed by the prowess of his arm, conquered the hosts of his foes and united tho earth, which had formerly been dirided and enjoyed in five parts by five kiugs
(L 19) His son hing Gundama [I] protected tho earth for three years, his younger brothor Kāmārnavadēva [I] for thirty-five years, his younger brother Vinayāditya for three geans
( $\bar{V}$ 2.) Thereafter king Vajrahasta [II] who was born of Kămärnava [I], and who shone like a wishing tree on esith, with radiant lustre,
( $\nabla$ 3) the incomparable head of hberal men, who gave to mendicants a thousand elephants, whose temples were sucked by bees attracted by the smell of the rat flowng (from them), he who was pransed by kings, the glonous bing Anvankabhima, the ornament of the famuly of the G[a]ngas, onjoyed the earth for thurty-five years
(V 4) His eldest son, who was like the son of the kng of gods, king Kāmārnava [II] protected the whole earth for half a year, prosperons and iesplendent, after he had conquered the crele of ( hrs ) foes
(V 5) After him his yonnger brother who was comparable to the mind-born (Cnpid), a blameless treasure of virtnes, the king named Gundama [II ], protected this whole eircle of tho earth for three years, after he had vanquished the host of (his) enemies with (his) mighty splendour
(V 6) Then his brother from a differont mother, king Madhu-Kämarneva, [protected thus earth for meneteen years]
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 NT
(V 7-8) Thon the son, named the glomons Vajrahasta [IIII], born from Kämãrnava [II], the foremost of all virtaons men, the eldest son of lang Vajrahasta [II ], whose pure and shining fame was praised by the chiefs of poets, and from Vinayamahādevi, who had sprang from the family of the Vaidumbas, as Sri from the milk-oeean,
(V 9) he who was anointed to protect the whole earth when the aggregate of Śaka years reaehed the number of the sky (0), the seasons (6) and the treasures (9), while the sun was standing in Tanrns, nuder the Rohini nahshatra, in the amspicious lagna of Dhanus, in the bright fortnight, on a Sunday, eombined with the thard ( $t 2 t 7 \mathrm{~h}$ ),
( $\mathrm{\nabla} 10$ ) whose subjeets are always enjoying wealth without ailments, sins and misfortanes, While (he), Whose prowess is prased, proteets the earth on the right path in order to get the three objeets of hife accomplished simaltaneonsly,
(V 11) while the eircle of the (eight) quarters is being filled with the fame, spotless as the light of the moon and proonming joy to the earth, of him (who was) the best of the G[ā]nga famuly, the mahouts of the quarters over and over again anoint (theur) elephants on the sarfaco of (ther) frontal globes with masses of thiok red-lead paste,
(V 12) through love of wheh virtuons man Śri and Sarasvati, sitting in (hes) bosom and lotas-like moath (respectively), shine in concord,
(L 49) he, the kng, the devoat worshxpper of Mahēsvara, the Paramabhattāraka Mraharaja $\bar{a} h n r a \bar{j} a$, the lord of the three Kalingas, the glorions king Vajrahasta, being in good health, issnesthe (following) order from Dantipura, having called together the people headed by the fendatories, preceded by the high ministers, who live at the king's feet,-
(I 52) Be it known to you (that), for the ancrease of the religions ment and fame of (Our) mother and father and of Oarself, the well known Görasatta district (vishaya) with (1ts) thurty-five villages outside (bährra) Tampava village, eurenmseribed by the four boundaries, inclading water and land, free from all molestation, not to be entered by distriet officara (chätas) and bhatas, has been grauted by Us, with lubations of water, by means of a charter, after hamig made ( $2 t$ ) into a copper-plate grant, according to the maxim of the coverng of a hole in the ground (bhümi-chhudraprdhäna-nyaya) to lastas long as the moon, the sun, and the earth, on the nunth day of the month Mina, on a Monday, to Irngana srīlMānäditya Chotta, of the Káşapa
 kallu, bora of Rūpadēvi, the sun of the Vaidumba family, and to Vira-Bhūriśrava (Bhürısiravas), who keeps far off from the wives of others, whose mind is set on truthfnlness Therefore, being obedient to him, the proper bhagabhoga and other (income) shonld be bronght to him, +ogether mith the pravanikara and the kshētrakara Fature kangs [shonld preserve] this: gift, from reverence for the law of Mana, from time to time.
(I 65) The boundaries of the Görasatta dastrict (vishaya) are (here) written to the east T. stirnaśla, to the sonth-east the Vinãaka-vata (tree) near the hills, to the south the border hill of Taukr-Bhattarikia , to the south-west the Andhērāveni hill, to the west Kānchasina, on the bank of the Vamsadhärā; to the north-west Amrēpāthara, to the north Madhupapēlh, to the north-east tealañjaraślā [Here follows one of the oustomary verses]
(L 72) This charter was written by the dlustrions sandhurgrahin Dhavala, the grentwnter (sāsunika-kīyastha) of Tampave village The Saks Jear 8B7 Thus also mitten by the karakr Mēntöju.

[^124]
# No. 15 - TIRUVELLARAI INSCRIPTION OF DANTIVARMAN 

By K $\nabla$ Solpahysiry Aifar, BA, Odacameyd*

The subjomed inscription ${ }^{1}$ as engraved on tome of tho stones forming the margin of the well on tho southern side of tae Pundarikaksha-Porumāl temple at Trrurollaral This villdge is at a distanco of 12 males to the north of Triebnopoly and has sevoral interesting ancient monamonts Among them may be menhoned (1) the rock-eat Śva templo of Jambu. nātha ${ }^{2}$ called in its insciptions tho Tiruvannakkal-Perumānedigal, with an mecribed boulder in front, ${ }^{3}$ (2) the Vishṇu temple of Pundarikāhsha-Perumall with another roch-cut eavo on the sonthern side of its first prāhära, and (3) the well on which the sabjomed mscription is engraved ${ }^{5}$ Besides the above, there are the rains of another Sira templo in that part of the village where the Pallava well is situated A big langa and a large sized Nandiare still to be seen in a feld not far from tho well

The stones bearing the reeord ander notiee are not in proper order, but as none of them is missing, it has been possible to preee them together and make out the inseription eompletely It is in an excellent state of preservatiou, having beon engraved after the stones had been dressed for the parpose The engraver seems to bare taken great care as the reeord as almost free fiom any mestakes The letters are cut deeply and the anscription is mriten in two sections of two lincs each The langnage of the rccord is Tamil prose and verse with an admixture of Sanskrit words and phrabcs witten in Grantha characters

The folloming pectuaritics of the alphabet deserve notice The rertieal line to the night of $2 a$ in sua reaehes the foll hcight of the top letter $s a$ The seeondary 2 is written from the ught to the left and tonches the consonant on the right sude only The sceondary $a$ as denoted by a short vertieal stroke added to the right of the letter so as to toneh it by a small homzontal lue at the top The length of the vomel $\bar{\imath}$ and the $\bar{u}$ of tho combined eonsonant vi $\bar{u}$ are as dre$t$ nctly marked as in the modern ohazacters. $\nabla a$ presents an canly type The bottom portion of $d u$ is drawn out into a flourish as sometimes to completely eover the letter that precedes it All the ya's oceurring in this inseription have a big loop at the beginning The eesmbol

[^125]touches the consenant that follows it One graphe pecuharity of the recold 18 that the loeps of $n$ and $n$ are fally develeped and the consonant rav resembles to a great extent the letter pa The pullu (er viräma) is mariably marked by a shghtly ear ved hne cut on the top of the letters It 14 wrengly marked on pe in perunqinaru ( 12 , scetion 1) and on $\mathrm{m}^{-} \mathrm{m}$ varmmınēy (end of 1 2, seetion 2) The Sanskrit words and letters that occur in the inseription are slasti and sri at the heginning of the record, Bhäradzāla-götıa, Dantıvarinna aud Pallarutılatahulörbhava in lina 1 , ratsha of ratshıppär in line 2 aud srīat the commencoment of the Tamil verse in fection 2, hue 1

The objuet of the inscmption is to recerd the censtinction of tho well called Märppiduguperungıaru by onc Kamban-Araiyan, the yonger brothci of a certan Visaiyanallū1ān of Alambākkam The work was commenced in the 4th yoar of Dantivarman, who belonged to the Pallavatılata ( ${ }^{\circ}$ tlaka) famıly which 19 sand to have sprung frem the Bhāradvāja-götra, and completed in his 5 th year The capacity of the well accennts for the time tahen in its censtraction Theie are four ontrances leading anto the well and they aro se construetcd as to give it the shape of the stastiha symbol Wi The Tamil verse in scetien 2 is written in the Asiriyaviruttam metie, each line contaning six feet (sir) It deelares that ne object in this world is permanent, that life is sure to decay, and that, therefere, if one comminds wealth, he mast, after taking what is required for his manatenauce, atilisc the remander in deng workof charity

An msemption dated in the 8th year ( $=A \mathrm{D}$ 1262-3) of the Hoysala hing ViraRāmanäthadēva feund on the margon of the same well recerds that a mochant (vïnnuan) reparred it as it had saffered censiderable damage from Hoods nud ether cansos: The same recerd refers to the well as having been bult by a meichaut In the 13th eentary $\Delta D$ the bailder of the well was beheved to have been a private mdividnal and not a ehief, as the title Arayan appended to his name would lead one te beheve ${ }^{3}$ it io also intelesturg to lenin that repairs were cxecuted to it in A.D 1262. It was perhaps then that the mbenbed stones got ont of order

The preper names that occur in this record are of special interest Alambäkkam may he identrfied with the village of the same name situated at a distance of 12 miles from Lualgudi on the road to Arıyalūr Dantivarmamangalam aud Madhurāntaka-chaturvēdimangalam were its other names in anerent times, and it was situated in Poygai-nädu which was a gaidınsion of Rājēndrasingavalanādu. ${ }^{4}$ Tıruvellarai is sard to have been a village in Vadavaiınādu, a dustrict of Rājāsraya-valanādu ${ }^{5}$ The members of the vallage absembly of Tunvellaral are referred to in two recerds of the Chola king Rijaraja I found in the Rājarajeéstara

[^126]temple at Tanjore and were required to supply two brahmachärns as templo-servants and two other persons as fratehmen to that temple ${ }^{1}$

The well Mârppaduga-poranginara should havo been named other after the iegning king or a local chef under han That it was not called after the bulder 14 evident from the fact that he bore a different name Nor do wo know that Dantivarman had the surnamo Märppidugu It may be pointed ont here that thero was in ancient thmes a famely of kings called Mruttarayen ruling over a portion of the Tanjoro and Triehnopoly distriets and a part at least of the Paduhhottan State. ${ }^{2}$ Some of the members of this famaly had for their surnames Perumbiduga, Vidèlvidaga, otc. Śendalai, one of the places where their ansenptions have been found, is not far off from Allambäkkan whenee the buildor of the Travellaran well halls It may farther banoticed that at Ālambähham, there was, necording to its inscroptions a big tank called Märppidug-ērı It 18 just possıblo that both the Märppidag-ērı of Ālambäkkam and tho Märppıdugu-peranganara of Taravellaran came into existonce at the same tumo and wore named after the same person who, to judge from tho similarnty of the namo, might have been a member of the Mfuttarayan famıly and a fendators of the Pallava king Dantivarman of our record

From the Taml work Nalayiraprabandluam, it appears that the $\nabla_{1 s h n u}$ temple at Turuvellarai was in existence from an early period, ${ }^{3}$ as tho Vaishava cants Pornyălvãr and Tiru-mangai-Ālvār composed hymns in its praise The latter saint mentions the village not less than fonr times in his compositions and thero is not mnch doubt that one of these references, vzz Vellarayyul hallaramél ${ }^{4}$ has to be taken to denote the rock-ent cave in the PandaribakshaPernmal temple From tho fact that Turumangai-Ālvar mentions in his hymn on Paramésraravinnagar some of the hattles where the Pallava king Nandivarman Pallavamalla ganed victores over the Pandya sivereign and refers to anothor Pallava king Vagmomegan, it has boen surmised that the Alrär was a contemporary of the latter and hred an the period mmediately following the reign of the former whose aehevemonts were fresh in the sant's mind ${ }^{5}$ Turamanga1- $\bar{A} / \overline{v a n}^{6}$ has thus been assigned to tho 3 rd qnarter of the 8 th centary AD Accordiugly, the cave in the Pund uihaksha-Perumãl temple probably eame into exustenco before that time One of the earhest inscriptions in the cave belongs to the time of a Palleva tileka kng Nandıvarman. This record mentions a cortan Visuyyauallülūn, who $1 s$ perhaps tho same as that Vígayanallädān whose younger brother was Kamban-Arayana, the bunlder of the well at Thrpvellaral If this de the case, it may be concluded that Nanduvarman ras perhaps the predecessor of Dantivarman At any rate he could not be far removed in point of time from tho otber

[^127]King Danturarman of the subjomed record 18 stated to have been born in the Pallavatilaka family which had sprung from the Bhāradvāja-gätra In editang the Tripicane inscription of Dantivarma-Mahārāja, Mr Venkayya remarked that he was perhaps the mmediate successor of Nandivarman Pallavamalla and added in a note thathis successors probably spoke of themselves as belonging to the "famnly of the Pallavatiaka" And Pallavatilaka is a shortened form of Pallavahulatulaha which was actaally borne as a title by Dantivarma-Mahāraja of the Triphcane inscription ${ }^{1}$ Nandivalman Pallavamalla was a usnrper as we know fiom the Kās̄ākudu plates Snbseqnent hangs (there are at least two known so far) claming descent in the Pallava line, trace therr ancestry apparently to Dantivarman of the Tmplicane inscription as ovidenced by the epithet Pallavatzlaha-hulödbhava prefixed to their vames The apphcation of thas epithet to the king of the present recond necessitates our distinguashing him from Dantr-varma-Mahārāja, "the ornament of the Pallava race" That sach a dastnction has to be made is also evident from the fact that this 18 not the only mscription which introdnces this family Not less than five records have, so far, been found where members belongung to the Pallavatilaka family are mentioned, ${ }^{2}$ and it is therefore difficnlt to snppose that all the writers did not intend any difference between the terms Pallavahula and Pallavatilahahalla

From the Trravālangādn grant of Rājēudra-Chōla $I$, we know that Ādıtya I, father of Paräntaka I (A D. 907-947) foaght with the Ganga-Pallava Aparājta ${ }^{3}$ This hing may be supposed to be the son of Nnpatnagavikramavarmen and his snccessor In troo records of the 18th and 22nd years of Nrıpatunga, Adıgal-Kamban-Mārambāval, qneen of Nandıvarman of the Pallavatiaka family mahes grants and there is a mach damaged anscription in the Arrāvatéspara temple at Niyamam apparently dated during the reign of a Chola king also registering a grant made by the same queen ${ }^{4}$ From these facts it may be concluded that, of the two kangs of the Pallavatilaka family hitherto known, Nandivarman was either a contemporary of the Ganga-Pallava Nripatungavibramavarman or lived prior to has time by a ferw years As Dantrvarman of the sabjoined anscription has been shown to be closer in point of tume to this Nandivarman, he may be assigned roughly to the beginung of the 9 th century A D

## TEXT

## Frrst Section

1 Svasti sfī [ $\left[i^{*}\right]$ Bhāradvāja-gõtrattin valı=ttonnıya Pallava-tulata ${ }^{5}$-kulōrbhavan Dantıvarmmarku yāndu nāngâvad=eduttnkkondu [am]dā padu marruvitā̄n

2 tambı Kamban Araisan Trruvellarai=Ttennūr=pperan-ginaru [1*] Idan pryar
 olnnūrravarnm !-

Second Section
 padautta nāl pârtun nınṛu nayyãđēy ${ }^{7}$
$2 \operatorname{tandā}^{8}$ mūppu vand $\quad$ nnnal=ttalara=chcheydu nullàmnnn=undēll ${ }^{9}=n n d u \quad$ mikkadu nlagamm=arysa [v]aumminēy ${ }^{7}$

[^128]
## TRANSLATION.

First Section

Hal ' Piosperity' In the fourth yoar (of the retgn) of Dantivarman who was borm in tho Pallavatilaka family which had sprung from the Bhäradvãja-yöra, Kambari Arayan, the youngor bothel of Viśayaiallülān of Alambākkam, commeneed (to brild) tho big well at Tennüri in Tiruvellaral and completed ( ${ }^{2}$ ) in the fifth (year of the same retg") Mārppidugu-perunginaru is the neme of this (woll) The three-thonsand and serca handicd ${ }^{2}$ of this willage shall protect thas (churrity).

## Seamad Secion.

Piospenity ' Without beng (passinsintely) attached to this world whero mon seen (todav), are not seen (tomorrono, , whout grang (yourself) ap to despar looking for tho (fingl) day appomed by the Creator, ud bufore your (limbs) become weah at tho appearance of old age with its (appembagr of a) stick, if jou have (ucalth) mamtnin (yourself) and devote (what remans to charsty) so that (all) the world may know (2l).

## No 16 -NEW SPECLAL TABLES FOR THE COMPUTATION OF HINDU DATES

Bi Hervann Jacobi, Pa D, Sanshrat Profesogr at Lonn, Germany.

The new Sperinl Tables which I publish now are intenced to supplement the General Tables in the same way as the old Speeial Tables do, wheh were pubhshed in Vol I above, p 450 ff , $2 e$ they are intended to test, accolding to one of the promeipal suddhantas named at the head of the several Tables, the appreximato aesnlt calculated previonsly by the General Tables To these new Tables the remarkin § 4, p 403 l. c.appleesalse "The General Table is to be used finst, and only whon by that table the cad of the tethr falls very near the beginning of the day, and the whelk day comes ont in erior by one day onls, need the Speeal Tables for the several sullhinntas bo thed to see af one of thom will furnish tho desued result"

The plin on which the new Tables have been construeted is the same as in the General Tailes, an fir as their obyect, the lughest pessbbo degree of accuracy. will allow Thercfore tho new Tables (I) refer throughont to nufn sumise at Lankä, not, as the old Special Tables do, to the leginning of the Solar jear, (2) they farnish tho quantities on which the tithe depends, in decimils of the cucle and not in dogrees, minutes and seconds as is the case in the old Tables. These innovations render tho working of the new Tables vory easy and almost mechnmeal

The Special Tables differ fiom the Geneial Tables in twe points, (1) Thoy centan three rolumnes haded $n, b$, ansteqd of the two in the Genean Tables hended 'Trthr' and ' $C$ 's anomaly a deriotes muan digtance of Sun and Moon, expiessed in five decimals of the circle By Tabler $\mathrm{XVIII}, \mathrm{XIV}, \mathrm{XV}$ a can be convertod into tithus, yhatha $\bar{s}$, and palas, $b$ denotes the mean anomaly of the moon in four decimals of the circle, and $c$ the mean anomaly of the Sun, also in

[^129]four decimals（2）To the sum of $a$（mean distance of Sun and Moos）tus correcuons（equations） mast be apphed，whic in the Geneial Tables only one equation is necded The argumputs of these equations are the sums of $b$ and $c$, respectively，and they are to be looked ont in the tables of equations under the several suldhantas In order to calculate the value of the cquation fur an argament not ontercd in the table，but lyng between two table values，a column headed $\Delta 10$ has been rasertcd in the middle of those tables，which gives the merease or decreast of the equation for a dufference of ten in tho argument

I now proceed to illustrate the working of the new Tables by a ferw cxamples
First example－Let it be proposed to venfy the date Kali－Yaga 410S，Chatia ul d， 2 ravau，according to the Sunja－Siddhanta We first calculato the date accondmg to the Guneral Tables，and write down the calculation in the propor form（see above，Vol I p 410）

| 4100 K Y | （1） | 558 | 111 | Ind | $=2043$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 98 jcars | （4） | 399 | 59 | Ind su dı $2=2243$ |  |


| 4198 K．Y | （5） | 957 | 170 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15th sol Chaitraa | （4） | 22.52 | 593 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | （2） | 209 | 703 |

eq $763=0$

Fesult On the Monday（2）in question，the third tithe was runing it commenced on the preceding day（Sunday），about $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ghatzhäs boforc mean sunrise Noir in widu ic cilculat the
 centary K $Y$ in table $I, 98$ yeais in table $I I$ ，and 15 th Chatri in table XIIl（whith oth s no for all Siddhuntas）and sum up the quantities in the scveral colamons（1ejectung rutegus）thar－

|  | 20 | $a$ | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41st centary | （I） | 18563 | 6157 | プッ」， |
| 98 years | （4） | 13299 | 571 | リリリ |
| 15th sol Chartia | （1） | 75053 | 5932 | 9501 |
| K Y 4198， 15 Chatra | （2） | 6915 | 2660 | 335： |

Now find the cquation for $b 2660$ from table III，$n_{a} 5$ ，and the equation for $r=: 3 ; 1: 0 \mathrm{~m}$





 XV to the result found beforo， $1:$ from 691522 －

| $4 \mathrm{ghat} \mathrm{th}^{\text {ases }}$ |  | 24 | 2 | 6，915 | 2060 | 733 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 \％alas | 30 | 3 | － | －256 | 27 | 2 |
|  | 256 | 27 | 2 | 6659 | 2633 | 7351 |

Equation for $b=2633$ is 4 , equation $c$ for 7351 is 3 , the sum of both equations $=7$ added to 6659 makes 6666, which falls short of 6667 by 1 Therefore the end of the tzthr occurred one pala after the moment calculated or 4 ghathhās 31 palas before mean sunrise at Lankā

Second example-Compating the date K Y 4276 Bhädrapada $s u, d \imath 13$ ravau, our calculation by the Genoral Tables stands thas (l. c. p 4ill) -

| $4200 \mathrm{~K} \text { ₹. }$ <br> 76 years | (1) (5) | 219 127 | $\begin{aligned} & 699 \\ & 454 \end{aligned}$ | Ind $\quad=2654$ <br> Ind su di. $13=954$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (6) | 346 | 153 |  |
| 3rd Assunna | (2) | $8 \cdot 83$ | 661 |  |
|  | (1) | 1229 | 814 |  |

eq 814

$$
=003
$$

(1) $1232=$ Sunday, sul. $d r .13$

Let it now be required to calculate the end of the 18th tuthr according to the Arya-Siddhānta By the General Tables we find that this moment occuried about 40 ghat $\mathrm{ck} \bar{a} s(=068$ tithr, table IV) after mean sunrise at Lankā The Tables for Ārya-Siddhāata furnish the followng data -

| 4200 K Y | (1) | 7236 | 1988 | 7848 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76 years | (5) | 4208 | 4555 | 9 |
| 3 Åstuna | (2) | 28266 | 6615 | 4271 |
|  | (1) | 39710 | 3158 | 2128 |
| $40 \mathrm{ghatikäs}$ |  | 2257 | 242 | 18 |
|  |  | 41967 | 3400 | 2146 |

equation $b$ for 3400 is 215 , equation $c$ for 2146 is 1178, therr sum 1393 added to a $41967=$ 43360 The difference from 43333, the value of 13 th $t$ ththr, is $a 27=25$ palas, by which the end of the trthr occurred before the moment calculated The exact tume is therefore 39 ghatikizs 35 palas after mean sunnse at Lank $\bar{a}$

Possible error - As in the tables fractions are neglected or counted as 1 , according as they are leas or larger than $\frac{1}{2}$, the absolnte error in every quantity may amount to $\pm 05$ Usually the plus and the minus of the different figares will compensate for each other, but in extreme cases the neglected fractions may sum up to $\pm 25^{\circ}$ r $^{2} \pm 35$, according as 5 or $7 a$ 's are summed np. This error, in time, would be 2, 7 and 3,7 palas, or 1 minute 5 seconds and 1 m 29 s , respeotively.

SỮRYA-SIDDHĀNTA
Table I - For centures (of the Kalr-Yuga)


Table II-Sōrya-Stddhanta Fears of the century


IFU L6 jNDW SPECIAL TABLES FOR TUE COMPUTATION OF HLNDU DATLS 1,3
Tible III－Sūrya－Stddhanta

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 를 를 E |  | M | Oから以 | 아Nunco |
| $\begin{aligned} & \circ-1 \\ & ه \end{aligned}$ |  | $5889$ ONCON ON |  |  02060 $0000$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䔍 } \\ & \text { 苞 } \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { 若 } \\ & E \\ & E \\ & E \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\cos _{2}-10=9$ |  |  |
|  |  <br>  |  |  |  |


| Iquation b |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| argument 3 |  | equation | $\triangle 10$ | uquation | argament $l$ |  |
| 0 | 5000 | 1402 | 892 | 1402 | 0 | 5000 |
| 104 | 4896 | 1307 | 883 | 1195 | 9896 | 5104 |
| 208 | 4792 | 1215 | 874 | 1587 | 9792 | 5208 |
| 313 | 4687 | 1121 | S 61 | 1678 | 9687 | 5313 |
| 417 | 4583 | 1035 | S | 1767 | 9583 | 5117 |
| 521 | 4479 | 917 | 854 | 1855 | $9 £ 79$ | 5521 |
| 625 | 4375 | S61 | S 806 | 1941 | 9375 | 5625 |
| 729 | 4271 | 777 | 878 | 2025 | 9271 | 5729 |
| 833 | 4167 | 696 | 740 | 2106 | 9167 | 5833 |
| 938 | 4062 | 619 | 740 | 2183 | 9062 | 5938 |
|  |  |  | 720 |  |  |  |
| 1042 | 3938 | 716 | 681 | 2238 | 8958 | 60.42 |
| 1146 | 38.54 | 173 | 653 | 2329 | 8854 | 6146 |
| 1250 | 3750 | 107 | 604 | 2393 | 8750 | 6250 |
| 1354 | 3616 | 314 | 557 | $24 J 8$ | 8646 | 6354 |
| 1458 | 3512 | 956 | 55 | 2516 | 8542 | 6438 |
| 1562 | 343S | 233 | 509 | 2569 |  |  |
| 1667 | 3333 | 185 | 460 | 2617 | 8333 | 6667 |
| 1771 | 3299 | 112 | 413 364 | 2660 | S229 | 6771 |
| 1875 | 3123 | 10\％ | 304 307 | 2698 | 8125 | 6575 |
| 1979 | 3021 | 72 | 307 | 2730 | 8021 | 6979 |
| 2083 | 2917 |  | 260 |  |  |  |
| 2187 | 2813 | 13 20 | 181 | 2757 2777 | 7917 | 7083 |
| 2292 | 2705 | 10 |  | 2792 | 7813 | 7188 |
| 2396 | 2001 | 2 | 077 038 | 2800 | 7604 | 7292 7396 |
| 2500 | 2500 | 0 | 038 | 2804 | 7500 | 7500 |

ĀRYA-SIDDHĀNTA
Tabte V -Centirtes of KaliaYuga
-1-Orgenal Ārya-Szadhinta

$B$-The sane uath I alla's ronectons

| cent | 10 | $a$ | \% | c | sol corr | For mean Distance $\mathbb{C}$ - 0 add |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | 1 | 76160 | 6718 | 7826 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { gh p } \\ +150 \end{array}$ | For mern Longitude of the Sun |
| 37 | 1 | 63811 | 2306 | 7830 | + 75 | For trre Longatude of the Sun |
| 38 | 1 | 52523 | 8.475 | 7834 | - 050 | 2dd 2227 to $c$ and sabtract tenth part of equation $c$ |
| 39 | 1 | 41201 | 4353 | 7837 | -8 85 | - |
| 40 | 1 | 29880 | 232 | 7841 | -16 40 |  |
| 41 | 1 | 18558 | 6110 | 7844 | $-2435$ |  |
| 42 | 1 | 7236 | 1988 | 7848 | -32 30 |  |
| 43 | 0 | 92528 | 7004 | 7824 | +19 35 |  |
| 44 | 0 | 81206 | 3383 | 7828 | +11 40 |  |
| 15 | 0 | 60584 | $\bigcirc 261$ | 7832 | $+345$ |  |
| 16 | 0 | 38563 | 5140 | 7835 | $-410$ |  |
| 17 | 0 | 47241 | 1018 | 7840 | -12 50 |  |
| 45 | 0 | 35919 | 6896 | 7843 | -20 0 |  |
| 49 | 0 | 24597 | 2775 | 7847 | -27 55 |  |
| 50 | 6 | 9890 | 8291 | 7823 | +24 10 |  |

Table VI—Ārya-Sudduñta Teais of the Contury


|  |  |  <br>  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10419 \\ & 0.5 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathscr{D}$ <br>  |  |  |
| E |  |  | combicicicis |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{<}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \text { gNos } \\ & \text { alron } \\ & \text { aln } \end{aligned}$ | 8 N． <br> － 0000 |
| 号 |  | 电號忩会 | $\stackrel{C}{C O} \underbrace{0}_{0}$ |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Traxex |  |  |  |


|  |  | gig |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 年 |  |  |  |  | そこに禺禺 <br>  |
| $\stackrel{i}{4}$ |  |  |  <br> cenins |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 영궁응 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 菏 |  |  | $\frac{1}{2}=\frac{5}{2}$ | $r_{1}=0$ | 三边三00 |
| $\cdots$ | E |  |  |  |  |
| 害 |  |  |  | 我きたにな <br>  |  |
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BRAHMA-SIDDHANTA AND SIDDHANTI-ŚTROZMAVI

A - Blamya-Sidmiãaf

| 1 nt | $\because$ | $\pi$ | " | ' | $1 \mathrm{l}, 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 1 | 63840 | 2531 | 7857 | Hh +13 |
| 38 | 1 | 52565 | $846^{7}$ | 7861 | + 345 |
| 39 | 1 | 11287 | 1358 | 7566 | - 53 |
| 40 | 1 | 30010 | 247 | 7870 | -14 59 |
| 41 | 1 | 15734 | 6.135 | 7871 | -21 22 |
| 42 | 1 | 7458 | 2024 | 万2\% | $\cdots 311$ |
| 13 | 0 | 92795 | 7850 | 785) | +10 33 |



| ernt. | 10 | $a$ | \% | $c$ | sul corr |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | 1 | 7263 | 1995 | 7519 | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{rh} \\ -33 \mathrm{j} \end{array}$ |  |
| 13 | 0 | 9254\% | 7320 | 7825 | +31, 5 , | $\begin{cases}1, \quad \text { a } \\ 0,0\end{cases}$ |
| 44 | 0 | 81111 | 3405 | 7828 | + 7.1 |  |
| 45 | 0 | 70033 | 9296 | 7832 | - 1 - ${ }^{2}$ |  l.er 2lhi borm 1100 kl I and 215 F for 1100 K K |
| 46 | 0 | 58752 | 5184 | 7836 | -11 14 | n-m. |
| 47 | 0 | 47171 | 1072 | 7839 | $-20 \quad 37$ | Pn, Limatu'nit Sum idd |
| 48 | 0 | 36176 | 040 | 7841 | - ${ }^{4}$ |  |
| 49 | 0 | 24909 | 2548 | 7816 | --m; 50 | - - - -nom |
| 50 | 6 | 10241 | 8373 | 7822 | $-1116$ |  |

 by one day thau acco-ding to the other biddbindtas.


 after 34

| 3car | $\because$ | a | ＂ |  | mat mors | Srs |  | \＃ | 1 | c |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{\mathrm{blh}} \quad \mathrm{p}_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 300015 | 2165 | （193） | ＋15 30 | 31 | I | 1 $+\cdots 1$ | 110 | ＋6，${ }_{8}$ | －1 | $1$ |
| 2 | 2 | 72013 | 1029 | Hithes | $+31$ | 311 | 2 | 11，3： | 9．7： | 9，27 | 1： | ， 14 |
| 3 | 1 | 11171 | 77， | ， | －13 ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | \％ | 1 | 呩曲 | 交） |  | － | in |
| 1 | J | 47113 | $\underline{21}$ | $)^{\text {gray }}$ | $\underline{2}$ | II： | B | 1913： | H17， |  | － | $1$ |
| 5 | 6 | $8{ }^{2}+2 \times$ | 205 | （\％以！ | $+17 \quad 3$ | i ${ }^{\prime}$ | 1, | －890 | ［ 21 | ， 1 ， | $\underline{+}$ | H1 |
| 6 | 0 | 19117 | 31，1 | M5 | ＋1； | 为 | 0 | （ $37-10$ | －3ma | dime | － | 1 |
| 7 | 2 | 50520 | 7977 | ； | －11 27 | is： | 2 | （159 | －${ }^{1} \times 1$. |  | － 11 | ${ }_{5}$ |
| 8 | 3 | 91527 | 112 | 974 | ＋13 | is＇ | ： | ，㐌的， | ¢（2， | － | － | 3 |
| 9 | $\pm$ | 30533 | 2900 | 941 | $+19$ | 51 |  | －7113， | ，a | － 690 | f1 | 72 |
| 10 | 5 | 66819 | 371 | 9081 | $+35$ | い1） | J | ｜ 11196 |  | （可に「 | $+$ | 22 |
| 11 | 0 | 6， 233 | 8195 | 7 | －9 $\square^{\prime \prime}$ | 11 | 0 | － $2+5{ }^{2}$ | （ill）． | ，$\quad$ | $-1$ | 17 |
| 12 | 1 | 12210 | （1，${ }^{1}$ | 4797 | ＋ 1 | 1，31 | 1 | 合阶 | （ram | \％19n | ， | 1 －3 |
| 13 | 2 | 72．27 | ：127 | 9900 | ＋21 | 63 | ： | －2，${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 107） | 吅以 | $\div 1$ | 年 |
| 11 | 4 | 174，${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 「יッ | 10 | －2 | 1.1 | 3 | ＂aly | 9\％ | ： 19 | － | 2 |
| 15 | J | 了 $\because 11$. | － $\mathrm{H}^{4}$ |  | $-7 \quad 2$ | $\therefore$ | ， | ヶ．1． | ， 3 |  | －12 | $1 \cdot$ |
| 10 | $1)$ | S＇いう | － 4 | ＂14． | －＂ | 12． |  | ＇ 1 ＇11＂ | 83－ | 1， | $\pm$ | 2 |
| 17 | 0 | 2 uco | 3913 | ＂以发 | － 23 3： | W1 | ， | $7 \mathrm{Cl} 1{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ！M2， | ，191 | $\pm 15$ | 7 |
| 18 | 2 | 65037 | 6170 | － | －20 5 | 呺： | 1 | （6）． | 3 | ＂4．9 | ＋${ }^{1}$ |  |
| 19 | 3 | 1060 | 8010 | ， | －5 23 | $6{ }^{4} 1$ | ＇3 |  | 吅， | 1 | －1： | 1 |
| 20 | 1 | 37016 | 1105 | 9905 | $+10 \quad 7$ | 70. | ， | （－1发： | W析 | 9m47 | $+$ |  |
| 21 | 5 | 73073 | 3 Jn 9 | 9788 | ＋25 95 | 71 ： | 5 | 171331 | 1711 | （19\％） | $+2$ | 5 |
| 22 | 0 | 12166 | 6397 | S | －15 51 | 7. | 0 | ｜，人20， | ：111 |  | － | 33 |
| 23 | 1 | 18473 | $\mathrm{S}^{\circ} \mathrm{ff1}$ | 1 | －3 31 | 7： | ， | バざら2 | 459 |  | － | 3 |
| 21 | 2 | 84350 | 1326 | 930 t | ＋12 $\quad 7$ | 71 | $\underline{2}$ |  | 0270 | naro | $\pm 7$ | $\underline{2}$ |
| 2. | 3 | 20187 | 3790 | 9987 | ＋ $47 \quad 39$ | 7 | ； | いなど | 17： | 1985 | ＋32 | 78 |
| 26 | 5 | 59550 | 6018 | 7 | －16 51 | 76 | 5 | 1234 | 502 |  | －21 |  |
| 27 | 6 | 97826 | 9082 | 0 | $-180$ | 77 | $G$ | 10218 |  |  | $-6$ | 1 |
| 23 | 0 | $3189{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1517 | 9933 | $\pm 11 \quad 10$ | 75 | 0 | 7 T | 9 gl | nuw | $\underline{1}$ |  |
| 29 | 1 | 67000 | 4011 | 9756 | ＋29 41 | 79 | ， | 13209 | $1{ }^{15}$ | mon | －25 | 0 |
| 30 | 3 | 7293 | 6839 | ${ }^{6}$ | －11 19 | 6） | ה | 516 2 | f8： |  | －19 | 0 |
| 31 | 1 | 43304） | 1303 | 979 | ＋ 042 | 811 | 1 | 8゙6ア9 | 735 |  | －1 | 0 |
| 32 | 5 | 79306 | 1745 | 9922 | $+1612$ | 821 | 5 | \＃3，析 | \％12 | ？ 1 m | ＋11 | 31 |
| 33 | 6 | 17913 | 4232 | 9985 | $\square 31$ | 87 | 6 | 50， | 2170 |  | $\pm 2$ | 1 |
| 31 | 1 | 51706 | 7060 | ］ | －12 37 | 84 | 1 | 90006 | 5402； | 7 | －17 | 29 |
| 35 | 2 | 90713 | ${ }^{9} \mathbf{7} 24$ | 9998 | +243 | 85 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 35072 | 7118 |  | －1 | 58 |
| 36 | 3 | 26720 | 1989 | 9991 | $+1813$ | So | 3 | 71079 | 993 | 9943 | ＋13 | 32 |
| 37 | 4 | 62726 | 4453 | 9984 | ＋33 44 | 57 | 1 | 7086 | 2397 | 9386 | $+29$ | 3 |
| 38 | 6 | 2119 | 7281 | 1 | －10 40 | 88 | 6 | 16189 | 3225 |  | $-15$ |  |
| 39 | 0 | 35126 | 975 | 9997 | ＋ 4045 | 89 | 0 | $\because 2186$ | 7659 |  | ＋0 |  |
| 40 | 1 | 71133 | 2210 | 9990 | ＋20 15 | ${ }^{1}$ | 1 | 15472 | 15\％ | 9002 | $+15$ | 34 |
| 41 | 2 | 10140 | $467+$ | 9953 | ＋33 15 | 91 | 2 | 54144 | 2615 | 1985 | ＋31 | 4 |
| 42 | 4 | 49533 | 7502 | \％ | －S 815 | 02 | $\underline{1}$ | 43 tan － | －146 |  | －15 | 26 |
| 43 | 5 | 8510 | 9766 | 9996 | $+646$ | 93 | 5 | 69309 | 7910 | 1095 | ＋ 2 | 5 |
| 44 | 6 | 21546 | $2+31$ | $998{ }^{7}$ | ＋22 16 | 91 | ${ }^{6}$ | 6） 406 | 375 | $0{ }^{2}$ | $+17$ | 35 |
| 45 | 1 | 60939 | 7299 | 10 | $\underline{-213}$ | 93 | 0 | 1912 | －890 | 491 | －33 | 6 |
| 46 | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 96916 | 7723 | $\stackrel{9}{\square}$ | － 9 4 4 | 96 | $\stackrel{2}{3}$ | $113^{\prime 2}$ | 5 | 1 | －11 | 24 |
| 47 | 3 | 32953 | 187 | 9995 | ＋8 817 | 97 | 3 | 7712 | 8131 | $\mathrm{y}^{1007}$ | $+4$ | 6 |
| 48 | 4 | 68960 | 2652 | 9988 | ＋21 18 | 98 | 4 | 13319 | 5，96： | 1790 | $+19$ | 37 |
| 49 | 6 | 8353 | 5479 | 9 | －20 12 | 99 | 6 | 49326 | 3060 | 9983 |  |  |
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TABrr XIII
For the months and dats
3
25
$\bullet$
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| $\stackrel{e_{z}^{=}}{\approx}$ | $=$ | cosesoses | ＋$\rightarrow$＋ |  | 2315222020 | $152520.52 \%$ | 0eceso |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E |  |  | 8¢¢유ํ |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { E }}{\text { E }}$ | －${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ¢¢¢¢¢9¢ |  |  |  | 206998 |
|  | $=$ | 00000 | －ramm | mommen | cracerever | croserex ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ベッ゙ヶセが |
|  | C | H090\％゙ャ | crex－mo | 애돌 |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { E }}{\text { cti }}$ | Hesesfas | －rmes |  | 으오옹 | caty | ¢8çanco |


| ${ }^{\circ}$ | Finzeso |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sim$ |  |  ตผだ |  |
| c |  |  N． |  <br>  |
| 穻 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ | comesen cosestios | 202300\％N－0000 |  |
| $=$ |  |  |  |
| E |  | HNㅜㅇㄷㅇ <br>  |  |
| 氝 | H0cente Ormos | Fixperin |  |


| $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 品気荳 |  | M్ర్ల్ల్ల్ల్ల్ |  <br>  | 군으우웅 <br>  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 들 | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$ |  |  | Bicention |  <br> 「ごになのか |  | 20 |  |
| $\stackrel{\cong}{E}$ | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  © |  <br> があっため |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 200 } \\ & \text { 第恐 } \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  |
|  | $\Xi$ | C3－420 | onteres | CO\％ | － 150 | －rcies | 2200 mar |  | － |
| \% | $\stackrel{5}{4}$ |  | O5H2000 |  | 9xise | cancric | crentecin |  | c |

No 16 jact special tables for the Cumputation of hind d dates


| 1ct <br> Derim: | , | Decm |  | , | 3rd Decimal |  | , | " | 4th Decmal |  | " | 5th Delimal |  | " |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | 36 | 001 | 3 | 36 | 0001 | 0 | 21 | 36 | 00001 | 2 |  | 000001 |  | 13 |
| 02 | 72 | 002 | 7 | 12 | 0002 | 0 | 43 | 12 | 00002 | 4 |  | 000002 |  | 26 |
| 03 | 108 | 003 | 10 | 45 | 0003 | 1 | 1 | 48 | 00003 |  |  | 000003 |  |  |
| 04 | $1+4$ | 004 | 11 | 21 | 0004 | 1 | 24 | 21 | 00001 | 8 | 38 | 00000 L | 0 | 52 |
| 05 | 180 | 00.5 | 18 |  | 0005 | 1 | 48 |  | 00005 | 10 | 45 | 000005 | 1 | 5 |
| 06 | 216 | 006 | 21 | 36 | 0006 | 2 | 9 | 36 | 00006 | 15 | IS | 0000015 |  | 18 |
| 07 | 252 | 007 | 25 | 12 | 0007 | 2 | 31 | 12 | 00007 | 15 | 7 | 000007 |  | 31 |
| 08 | $\underline{98}$ | 008 | 98 | 15 | 0009 |  | 32 | 45 | 00008 | 17 | 17 | 000008 |  |  |
| 09 | 324 | 009 |  | 21 | 0009 |  | 14 | 21 | 00009 |  |  | 000009 |  | 57 |

## Tide XVIIT -Tith Table

(Aigument $\Lambda=a$ coirected ly eq $b$ and eq $c$ )


| Tith | lright fortnutht | $\begin{aligned} & \text { marh } \\ & \text { fort } \\ & \text { fught } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | A |
| 0 | 0 | 50000 |
| 1 | 3333 | 53333 |
| 2 | 66it7 | 56667 |
| 3 | 10000 | 60000 |
| 4 | 13333 | 63333 |
| 5 | 16667 | 66667 |
| 6 | 20000 | 70000 |
| 7 | 23338 | 73333 |
| 8 | 26667 | 76667 |
|  | 30000 | 80000 |
| 10 | 33333 | 83333 |
| 11 | 36667 | 8 80667 |
| 12 | 40000 | 90000 |
| 13 | 43339 | 93333 |
| 14 | 46667 | 90667 |
| 15 | 50000 | 00000 |

## No 17 -NaTALqKHI PLATLS OT SMADITYA $]$-[GUPTA.] SAMYAT $28 G$

## Br Promeson II at Bmativar

I cdit the followng phates from two imperions tindly rent to ne hy Mr $\mathrm{D} R$ Bhandarhar, MA, Acheologent Supenntendont, Westen Gircle The tro imiticetons to
 at the begmong of the accond phate In the casc of theos tro names, the ongmal welf scems to be damaged
 10 miles from Juagedh, and aronow preserva in the Bahndur Mascum, tumigh Th Thy are two in number, cach mscribcd on one side onls The edges are fachioned min smm on the four margins The plates appozr to have leen held together ly thek ring prosing thongh two holes at the bottom of the first platn and at the top of the eecond The hole, are weuly $\frac{7}{4}$ in dameter, and seem to haro been bored beiore the grant atmolf was anecribed The ustanl seal must have been atached to one of these copper mige but is it is not forthcomare, 3 prasume it has boen lost The plates measure about $104^{\prime \prime}$ by 9 The first be crs 27 hates and the second 16 The arcrage size of the Jetter, 1 , abont Th' $^{\prime}$

The sulyomed ancrition 19 comparationly fius from mitahes and difien in thas respect
 in Gupta-Samrat 290, puhhshed by Dr Buhler (refented to in the sequel for tar adue of brevity ns 'the giant of 290 ') The charactors belorg to the western varitety of the couthern
 bardly distnagusbed (cg häryya-phalah, 17 , and jilhertiudha, 1 11) The language ss Sansknt prose with the crecption of threcimprecatory rerses at the cad The rules of sandhr are followed in many places bit neglected in others As regeràs orthography, the followng pouts may be noted -

The letter $g^{h}$ is uscd mintend of $h$ in samglaths ( 1 g), and sanghataratio (1 11) The guttural $n$ is med instcad of the anustāta in chatiarmatape (1 25) according to the wactice of the Fajurvedi Brahmanes in their Vedic recitations, and the dental $n$ takcs its place in ${ }^{\circ} b h \bar{a}$ curataransa (for ${ }^{\circ}$ taransa) as is the practice with the Gujaritis of the present dar Thefinal $m$ of a word is changed only once into the nasal of the elass to which the followng letter belongs in sumtinyañ=cha (l 30), whlule in other casas at is gencrally changed into an anusuära The letters $\operatorname{tr}$ in ${ }^{\circ}$ tradasaguru (16), and trin in trinoud ${ }^{\circ}$ (1 7) are both wrong and should be corrected by a rociprocal meterchange Consonants conjunct wath the repla are generally doubled raccordıng to Pänim VIIT, 4 46) except an the case of siblants, eg llarsayntú (ill), and uarsha (1 33) When the letfer $a h{ }_{2}$ conjunct with $y a$, the unaspirated $d$ as also prefixed,
 (11 19-20) The double nna 19 pritten as man in ${ }^{\circ}$ chandrārtārnnaza (1 28). The sagn of
 1 in 1 17, and to the group so formed the rowel signs of $\imath$ in the first case, and of $u$ in the second are added in the way usual with othet conjonct lettera These are the only tro occasions for thas biga in the thole of thas insuription, bat mhle there nie about half a dozen cases There the apalhmanniya aymbol may he expected, it whatten only twice in conjunction with $p$ in mãtāpitrülpunyāpuăyanaya, 1 19, and sīdhuhpunarādadīta, 133 This sign is like the letter ch Final $t$ is denoted by the nsual aign for the syllable ta mith a slight stroke at the top slanting to the right

[^130]
 founded bv Bhatärkin It as dated in the year 286 ( $A D$ (605) on the 8th day of the dark holf or Ishedbe The dit fumshes the numerical aymbols for $200,80,6$, and 8 The
 natural, cractly the same as that of the giant of 200 , eferred to above ${ }^{1}$ A comparisen of the copper-plate records of the famly shows that Siladity I was the first king who omitted the names of the ling menterenng betweon Mhatankha, the founder, and Guhascaa, the grandtather of the present donor,-a practice whech was subsoquently adopted in all the late grants of this farmuly

The bubjoined mseription records the gift of a villngo called Bhōndānaka, situated mithin the promneal limits of Vatnagara I am not certan whether this Vatanagira is the same as Fadnagar mo the Broda State, or as tho Vatapadra whoch $D_{1}$ Buhler took to be the modern Fadodra or Barods" In any case the villago cannot bo identified The gift was made to forts-four Brähmanas who had emigiated fiom Samgapuri, which as believed to be the sam. as the pisent Shahāpür near Junagadh the cansc of the immigration is notiecolded Probably they rere indaced to sottle in this phace by the present gift

The names of the Brähmaras are canons Some looh like surnames or family name, whic others are clealy the nemes of particular mdividuals The uame Boppasvam may show that he wan rery hely a Talloga Brihmana: Some are Pribrit foums of Sanshit names, cy
 eeems to be derived trom Bhartri The last name is famlial as the one borne also by the author of the Bhatit hateya It is probable thint the numes Bappataha, Nāvuraka, Khohkhah i, Gimjaka, and Gogyaha arc also simular in origin, though I am nable to suggest the precise derivation (Otbel names nie pure Sanslrit Tatca is a gita or family mame of several Brahmaras cien at present The names Drona, Bhatth, Adity?, Bhadra, Bappataka and Gop: appeas to belong to more persons than one amony the donees of the present grant, and henee the indiridual of the enme nome subsegnently uncutioned as distinguished fiom the first named person, by prefixing diz, in as may be regured The piefixes mean, I behove, the second and the third ${ }^{5}$

The following officiale are mentioned in the grant -
(a) Ayulıala -This nord appears to be tery old being fonnd in Pāminı ll 3, 40, wherc it eignifies a person appointed to some small work of a special chathcter, and from the nature of the example given in the Faumude to illostrate the inle, it seems probable that these may be Brilhmanas omployed for religions or charitable purposes, such as the pujarts or the wolshppers at temples, etc, or the äsritas, $\imath e$ the learned men phatronised by the hing for holy merit

[^131](b) Vimyuhtala -This may be the officer an charge of the village as potizl (the headman), hulaharni (the elenk of the , illerer) ot some such vulace officer
 officer or merely an inh ibilant of it
(d) Mufla'tura -This is often used to signify men of special respectability in a place, snch as the heads of pariscular commontieg it is also possable that this may form one word with the $p$ erious one and the wo together may then mean' the respeetable mhabitants of the town ${ }^{2}$
(e) Chäta - Monier Willams' Dichonay raises the guery whether this shoald properls be chära (a spy) AB, howovol, the vord occurs in this form in almost all tho grants of this family, thes explanation it, not tenable Dr Buhlei renders it by
 Yijñaralkya speaks of chatas along watu theren, cte, as men who are likely to be impurious to the publie In Mrochuhhatatida, Acs $\bar{V}$, the froend of the hero remarks in Prikrit that "evon dogs mou't ro 20 or place where the chatas, conrtesans, cte reside" (Bombay Sanskit serres, Vol 1, p 223, line 63) The smilanty in sonnd with chählı, 'flattory' whinch this word presents is so close as to lead one to translato the word by 'fintterer', 3nd the rord may on that ease denoto the attendants of the king who are rory hloly to masuee ther position by being harmful to others 0 . they way bu the sorvant whozo duty is to sing the prases of the ling and has forcfathers [For ofuer explenitions of the term chata, sce above, Vol. IX, p 2E1, not، 10, and 2 20b-Td]
(f) Bhata means 'a soldher' Perhaps the word as connected with Bhanta-the herald attendant on a kug, whose duty 13 to sug bis prases
(g) Kumārämãtyas 18 rendered by Dr Bühler as ' ihe pronces and mmisters' (Ind Ant, Vol IV, $p$ 175) As, however, tho word $\sim_{\bar{a} j a c t h a n i y a ~ o c c u r s ~ b o f o r e ~ t h e ~ e x p r e s-~}^{\text {a }}$ sion under consideration, I behero at shonld ho rendered as one mord by 'the pronces, the noble lords of Räasthänc' $D_{1}$ Bühle, however, translates the epithet as 'representing 10gaity' The pruces of the royal blood are oven now given the epthet of humãra, and I behere mold thmes they formed a councll of the nobles, and were consulted by the ralmy chicfs on points of imperal importance [For a different explanation of the word himāräntitya, seo above, Vol X, p 50, note 2-Ed ]
The requrements of a formal sāsana include also nn accnaze description of the granted land, which should be declared as given free of all dues for ever The subjoined attribates of the word grama, should accoidngly be mterpreted as evppigne, these requrements -
sölrangah - The word zulranya asgiven in the Petorsburg Diotionsry aa a synonym of dranga (a town) and hence this adjective of gräma may be rendered by 'toeethor with the himlets'
söparzharah -Pussibly means 'together with its appartenances' as timber, trees, atc standung on the land Drs Büller and Flect, horrever, suagest that all these attributes signufy dafferent soats of land and other levenue In that ease, this adjective may menn' together with manerpal taxes lovied on tradermen, ete for the management of the town' in aecondauce mith Mana VII, $137{ }^{2}$ It appears to

[^132]me, however, that all such dues accrung from the village are montioned by the adjective sadhānyahıranyādèyah Hence it seems preferable to take the first thice adjectives as referring to the descriphon of the gaft
savātabhütapratyāyah - Several giants read ${ }^{\circ}$ pratyayah nnstead of pratyāyah The meaning of both seems to be nearly the same The compound wold may be translated by 'as determined by wind and other maris of the limits of a place' I am toid that the wold 18 actually nsed in the Niarmadämāhātmya to signify the extent of the region on either bank as belonging to tho Narmada, where the distinctive breeze fiom the river 18 recognised l'he boundary marhs ane, broadly speahng described by Nirada, ${ }^{1}$ quoted in many other smritis, as fivefold viz. (1) lage trors or mountans, (2) rivers or tanks, (3) undergronnd signs, (4) artificial maks made by men, and (5) by the order of the kiug It is, I believo, to all these $t^{\text {liat }}$ thas adjective refors See, however, Dr Buhler's note ou the word (Ind Ant Vrl IV. $p$ 106) He understands the word as referring to certam dues fiom the village Dr Fleet also, in his Gupta Inscriptions, $p$ 170, note 9, appears to agree with Dr Buhler
sadhänyahzranyädēyah is clearly 'togcther with the revenues in gian and gold'
sadaśaparädhah-' with the right to the fines for the ten offences,' see Gupta Inscruptın's, p 189, note 4
sötpadyamānavzshtıh-2vshtr yelds vëtha in Maiāthi The adjective means 'with the right to forced labour as occasion for it occurs' as prescribed by Manu VII, $138^{2}$
ahastaprahshēpaniyah -This word is equevalent to the Maräthı idiom hāta ghālané (lit to put one's hand in a matter) The phrase, therefore, should be rendered 'not to be meddled with' by any of the royal officers
bhūmuchclihudranyāyēna -'according to the principle of a hole in the earth' This popular maxim ${ }^{18}$ vanously explanned by Dr Bhandarkar (Ind Ant Vol I p 46) and by $\mathrm{Dr}_{\mathrm{r}}$ Bühlor (ib2d Vol IV p 106, note) In tho uncertannty thus cansed I may proposo a third explanation The word asually occurs after pūrvapratta-dēvabrahmadēyavarjıtah, thongh sometmes without $1 t$, and is to be connected grammatically with nesrishtah that follows It appears fiom this that both these words signify the reservations from the gift The previous attribute having reserved the gifts to temples or Brähmanas previously made, this mord seems to reserve the right of the grantor to the mineral resources and treasnietrove, etc The right of tho king to these ${ }^{3}$ is always urged aganst a gift unless it is expressly transferred The word, therefore, may reserve this right in favoor of the royal donor in spite of the gift of tho land
The word dūtaka (1 34) is translated by some as 'messenger' Seeng, however, that in several cases, princes of the royal blood are styled in this manner, I beheve this mast be a respousible officer who had to attest such sásanas as requured by the Smritis In Mana VII, 635 the dīta is spoken of as a chief minister in special confidence with the kng His connsel was ulways sought on matters of atmost importance like peace and war The nord, therefore, should

[^133]In translated with Dr Bhandarkar as 'tho minister, the exocutive officor' In thas sense the ห, ond may peihaps be denved fiom दितीय, a joint officer ${ }^{1}$

The word dui irapatiz seems to signify the head-clenk or at the most the chief secretary of itc king Accooding to the Smritis a sasana had to be in the handwiting of the clerk whose busuness was to draft the important orders of peace and war, so it must be such an officer who is spoken of as duitrapatz

> TEXT
> First Pláte.

1 ग्रा $^{3}$ सस्ति ${ }^{4}$ वलभोत. प्रसभप्रगतासिनाग्रा 'मैनका यामतुलबत्त ${ }^{6}$ सपन्नमशड्रलाभोगस स्तन ${ }^{7}$ पहार शत लब्वम्रतापा[व्र]-
2 तापोपनतदानमानार्ज वोपार्ज्जितानुरागादनुरकमौलभृत[ उसमाहेग्वरস्री-
3 भटार्कादव्यवच्छिन्नरजवान्मातापिटचर्यारविन्दप्रगातिपविषोतायेषकल्मष. शैश्रवात्मशुति खद्वड्नित्वियवा-
 सत्तपादनखरश्मि-
$5{ }^{\varepsilon}$ सघतिस्मकल स्मृतिप्र शीतसार्ग्ग सम्यक्परिपालनप्रजाहदयर जनान्बर्थ्य राजश्र््द: रुपकान्तिस्थैर्य ग्वेर्य्य गा-
 भयम्रदान ${ }^{\circ}$ परतया
 पादचारीव ${ }^{15}$ सकलभुवन-
${ }^{1}$ See also Dr Fleet's Gupta Iuscriptions, pago 100, note 3
${ }^{2}$ It is interesting to note that this word dueira presents a close sumlarity to the word dabira, and an possibly i Sausl pitised form of the latter Dabra, I learn, is an Arabic nord meaning 'man iger' on'secretary' It was the othetal uame of one of the eight minasters of Shivaju and is equivalent to the title sumanta of banshrit origin This offeer was sery much the same as a "foreign eecretay" and bad charge of all the records eonecrning treaties, t. with foreign powers
: Expressed by a sy mbol

- For thi position, etc of this eity, see Gupta Inseriptzons, p 165, and note
${ }^{5}$ For Motrakas see Ind Ant Vol XV p 361, note
- For ${ }^{\circ}$ sampanna here and an later grants, the earher reading is ${ }^{\circ}$ sapatna ${ }^{\circ}$, on which see Dr Haltzseh's interesting remarls in Vol III, $p$ 319, above
: For ${ }^{\circ}$ prahär ra heic and in later grants, the earher ones of the same famıly read ${ }^{\circ}$ sanprahära ${ }^{\circ}$
i: llead ${ }^{\circ}$ स₹а ${ }^{\circ}$
- Rcad ${ }^{\circ}$ सहति०
* 'Ihe word dharyya does not oceur in this compond in any of the Valabhi grants exeept the prescnt one. tuegrant of 200 , and that of Dharasina 11 (Ind Ant Vol VII $p$ 71) In ats absence the mord adririja in the follonag componnd means Hemãlaya, but when the nord occurs, the phrase adriraija has to be spht into two to correspond to the tro qualities sthargya and dharryga In that case adr may mean any monntain as a type of s cadınes (stharyya) aud räja may perhaps denoto Dharmaräja as a type of courage (dhaıryya), as suggested by Ho uame I'udhwshthura


Ndralakhı Plates of Siladitya I - [Gupta-] Samvat 286


8 मखडलाभोगपमोद: परमयाहेग्वर: सीगुहसेनस्तस्य सुतस्तत्पादनखमयूखसन्तान-विस्तजार्श[वी]जलौघप्र-
9 चालिताशेपकल्मपं प्रखायिशतसह्होपजीव्यमानसम्पद्रूपलोभादिवाच्रितस्सरभसमासिगामिकेर्ग्ग या: ${ }^{1}$
10 सहजश्तिशिच्चाविशेषविस्मापिताखिलबलघनुर्डर: प्रथमनरपतिसमतिसृष्टानामनुपालयिता धर्म्मदा-
11 यानामपाकर्त्ता प्रजोपघातकारिएामुपप्लवानां दर्थयिता ग्रीसरसत्योरेकाधिवासस्य 'सधतारातिपच्त-
 रसेनस्तम्य सुतस्तव्पदानु-
13 घ्यातस्मकल लगदानन्दभाल्यद्भुतगुरासमुदयस्थगितसमग्रदिझ्भ एडलस्समरश्यतविजयशोभासनाथम सडला-
 लमतिरणि सर्व्वे-
15 तस्तुभाषितलवेनापि सुखोपपादनीयपरितोषस्समग्रलोका गाधगाम्भीय्यंहृदयोपि सुचरितातिमयस्यव्य-
16 क्तपरमकल्याएयस्वभाव $\backslash$ खिलीमूत क्धतयुगन्टपतिपथविशोधनाधिगतोदग्रको श्तिडी म्मान्तुपरोधोच्वल ${ }^{5}$ तरीद्धता-
17 संसुख्वसपदुपसेवानिरूढधर्म्मादित्यह्वितीयनामा परममाह्हेश्वर: स्रीशीलादित्य $\triangle$ कुशली सर्वर्वानेवायुक्त-
18 कविनियुक्तकद्वाङ्यिकमहत्तरचाटभटकुमारामाल्यादीनन्यांग्च यथाभिसंबद्ध्यमानऊTन्समाज़ापयत्यस्तु व:
19 संविदित यथा मया मातापिनो $Х$ पुस्याप्यायनाय सगपुरीविनिग्रीतनाना-गोनचर्एतपस्स- ${ }^{-}$

21 लुद्रक-ग्यादत्यवसु-चिद्रोए-चिद्रोस-कुमारशर्मी-भहि-अआदित्यरवि-

## Second Plate


23 म्मा-दूग्रूर-बोप्पस्बामि-दिबप्पटक-गोप-दाम-द्विभट्र-खोक्वक-वेशव-

[^134]24 गोवशूर्मा-ग्रग्निशर्मा-द्विगोप-नावुव[क]]-कुमारसद्र-सीच्ह-नट्टक-गिंजक-गोग्गक-संगम[-]
25 हिभहि-भानु-एवं चतुन्य्वारिड्भ्यते ${ }^{1}$ व्राह्यणीभ्य: बटनगरस्यल्यन्तर्गतनभोण्डानक-ग्रामसोदढ्ग-
26 स्मोपरिकरस्मवातभूतप्रत्यायस्मधान्यहिट सादेयस्सदशाप राघस्सोत्पद्यम्[T]न ${ }^{2}$ विध्टिसब우[ [7]-
27 जकीयानामहस्तप्रच्पेपयीयं पूर्व्यम्रत्तदेवव्नह्मदेयवन्जित: भूमिच्चिद्रन्यायिनाच-
 धर्म्मदायो
29 निमुष्ट. यत: ए[षां] भुजतां [द्ष]षतां कर्षयतां पदिघता वा न कैस्रिद्व्वासेधे वर्तितव्यमागामिभट्र-
30 नृपतिभिरप्यस्म[द] [शनेरन्चैर्व्वा अनित्यार्चैम्वर्यास्ससिरं मानुघं सामान्चध भृमिदानफलम-
31 वगच्छह्दिशयमस्मद्धयोन्नुमन्तव्य: परिपालयितव्यद्येति ॥ "बहुभिस्घसुधा भुन्ता राज-भिस्सग-
32 गादिमि: [ $1^{*}$ ] यस्य यस्य यदा भूमिस्तस्य तस्य तदा फलं ॥ "यानीच दारिद्यभयान्नर्द्द्रिई्दनानि घ-
33 म्मायतनोक्रतानि [1*] निअ्भुर्तमाब्यप्रतिमानि तार्ान को नास साधुर प्रनराददोत ॥ [स]fि वर्षसस-
34. हत्राणि स्वर्ग्ग मोदेत ${ }^{6}$ भूमिद; [1*] याचेत्ता चानुमन्ता च तान्येष नरके वसीत् 11 दूतकम्या-
35 च भद्वादित्धयशा: [1*] निखितं सन्विविग्रहाधिद्धतदिवोरपतिवनभहिना ${ }^{7} 11^{6}$ 36 सं श०० 七० द अापाढ न न $\mathrm{H} \circ \mathrm{I}$

1 More properly ${ }^{6}$ चत्वर्शरिते


* Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पण7a ${ }^{\circ}$

* The metre $1 s$ Upajāts made up of Upèndraragrā and Indraragrā
* For modēta many other granta read mōdati, but क्षe have got varati instead in the Smriti passages where it eccars
${ }^{2}$ The orignal clearly reads the name as Vatrabhatthat, which does not make any sease The grant of 290 reads Chandrabhattina instesd which perhaps is the proper spelling of the aame It ghonld be noted, bowever, that Dr Bhandarkar's plate (Ind Anf Vol I $p$ 17) also appears to read the mame as Vatrabhatti rhich the Doctor reads as Vashabhata, as it does not look quite clear at the place
- [The symbol here corresponds to the symbol at the beginnang and may be read om -HES]


# No 18 -BANSWARA PLATES OF BHOJADEVA, [VIKRAMA-]SAMVAT 1076 

By Professor E Hultzsci, Pr D, Halle (Saale)

Although the approximate period of the reign of the Paramāra king Bhōjadēva of Dharā is settled from references in contemporary literature, ${ }^{1}$ the only inscription of his time whieh had been diseovered so far was the Ujuan copper plate grant of Sunday, 24th December, A.D. $1021^{2}$ The copper-plato inseription now published is dated about two years ean her, zua in the [Vikrama] year 1078, on the 5th tith of the bright fortnight of Mägha (131) The date of the grant was the anniversary of the conquest of Konkana (l10) It follows from this that Bhojadera had undertaker an expedition into the Konkan either in Sampat 1075 or in one of tho years jreceding at

The subjoined transeript is based on an ink-mpression prepared by Pandit Gaurishankar H Ojha, Superıntendent, Rajputana Mnseum, Ajmer, and transmitted to me by Raa Bahadar $\checkmark$ Vonkayja I have received no debeription of the original, which was found in the possession of the midow of a thathērā (eoppet-smith) living at Banswara ${ }^{\text {s }}$ But as the impression shows two rug-holes at the bottom of the first side and at the top of the second side, it may be pre$s^{r}$ ned that the anceription 16 engraved on two copper-plates wheh are held together by two rings and measure $13 \frac{1}{4}$ nebes in breadth and 97 mehes in height The nnscribed side of the second plate bears at ats bottom in a rectangular border (before lines 28 to 32) a fying figure of Garuda, faeing the proper left and earrying a anake in his left am

The alphabet 18 Nägari of the same type as in the Ujunin plates * The avagraha is nsed, mproperly, for markng the eliston of a short $a$ after a long $\bar{a}$ in 11,10 and 22 The consonant
 The gerund बुछा 18 twice spelt gुण्वा 7

The doenment is drawn up in Sanskrit prose mixed whth verses wheh are nine in number Excepting the different date and the particulars of the donee and the object granted, the new grant is praetieally rdentical with the UjJain plates A short abstraet of it will therefore be suffieient for all parposes

After tro verscs mvoking the god Śra (Vyömakếa, $\nabla 1$, or Smarārātr, $\nabla 2$ ), Bhōjadēva, suceessor of Sindhurajadēva, suecessor of Vākpatirajadēva, buccessor of Sǐyakadēva uforms all rojal officers coming to Vatapadraka inclnded in the Ghāghradöra distııct (bhöga) of the Stali province (mandala) and the Brämmana and otlier nhabitants, that, at the anniversary of the conquest of Konkana, having bathed and woishapped Šxa (Bhavānīpatr, 1 10), he granted 100 nvvartanas (in words and figures, 1 16) of land in the above-mentioned village (2zz Vatapadraka) to the Brāhmana Bhāilu, bon of Vämana, of the Vabibhtha gōtra and the $V \bar{a} j 1$ Mādhyamduan sāhhā, whose aneestors had cmigrated from Chhuñchhā erty (sthāna, $118)^{8}$

[^135]Ine 31 contams the date Sampat 1078 Hiagha su di 5 A focsimile of the rojal signatare "this (is) the own hand of siri-Bhojadēva" ss affixed at the cnd of cither plate (ll 15 and 32)

The date doos not noclade any details wheln admet of actual trification But Dr Fleet tells me that, with the Jear 1076 taken as expred, as in the Ejain record, the detans wheh are given take us to 3rd January, A D 1020 The tucalities named in the grant cannot be dentified, becanse there is no clue as th the eact neighbomhood in which we ane to look for them, and because enough of them are not mennone 1

## TEXT <br> Jurst Plate, Second Sude

 fिरसा लेखा ज-
 3 ल्पातसमयो ह्तमतडिदल्लयविंयला ॥ [२*] परममहाबन्महारा-

1. नाधिराजपरमेन्वरस्री[मी]बकदेवपादान्वल्वातपरममहारक्कम-

5 हाराजाधिराजपरमे व्वरग्रोवाक्षतिग बदेवदादानुध्यंत्तपरमभ-
6 द्रारक्महारा नाधिराजपरमंन्वर्योसिधुराजहेवपादानुध्यात-
7 परमभहारकमहाराजाधराजपरमेग्वर्य्रीभोजदेव कुगली ॥
8 सलीमंडल़ घाघ्रदोरभोगांत.पातिवटपद्रके 'ग्गुपगतान्ममस्त्रगजपु-
9 "कपान्व्राद्चसीत्तरान्यरिनिबारिननपदादीच समादिश्वमुओ व: संविदित ॥
10 यबाड्म्माभि. कोफएणिजयपर्वलश ग्रात्वां चराचरगुरु भगवन्तं भषानीर्पत

12 नसधुरी विपयोपभोग: । पाएास्तुगागनलविदुसमा ${ }^{10}$ नराएां (1) धर्म. सखा
13 परमही परलोकयाने " [₹*] अ्अमत्मधारचक्राग्रधाराधारामिमा चियं । म्राप्य ये न
11 दटुस्तेपा पसात्ताप पर फल $11\left[8^{*}\right]$ दृति जगतो विनमूर संखृपमा-कलयोपरि-1"
10 सहहसीय ग्रीभोजदेवस्स [11]
Stcond Plate, Frrst Side
16 लिखितग्रामात ${ }^{12}$ भूनिवर्त्तनशतैकं नि $२ 00$ खसीमावएगोचरयूतिपर्यंतं
हिराखा- (1)

[^136]Banswara plates of Bhojadeva - [Vikrama-]Samvat 1076
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17 झायममेत सभागभोग सोपरिक्रे सर्वीदायसमैतं＇ वाह्मगाभाद्रलाय वामन－
18 सुताब＂वशिष्ठसगोनाय वाजिसाधंदिनशार्बायै कमवराय च्छिच्छास्थानविनिगों－ तपूर्वं－

20 वच्चितिसमकाल यावत्पर्या भक्तया ${ }^{5}$ साशनेनोदकपूर्र्व पतिपादितमिति मत्वा त－
21 न्निवार्तिजनपदेर्येथादीयमानभागसीगकरहिर खाटिकमाज्ञाग्रनएविधिये－
22 भूल्वा सर्ब्भमस्म्म समुपनेतर्व्यमित ॥ सामान्च चैतत्पुखफलं＂वुध्बाडस्मदंशजन－ रन्च－
23 रपि भाविभो कृनिभिग्ममव्पदत्तधर्म्मादायोयमनुमतव्यः पालनीयश्च ॥ उतं च 1 व ${ }^{8}$
24．हुभिर्बंसुधा भुक्ता राजमि：सगरादिभि： 1 यस्य यस्य यदा भूभिस्तस्य तस्य तदा फलं＂［ $\left.y^{*}\right]$
25 यानीह दत्तानि पुरा नरंर्देर्दोनानि धर्म्मांघयस्कराया । निर्म्माख्यवांतिप्र－ तिमानि
26 तानि की नाम साधः पुनराददीत ॥［दे＊］अस्मल्मुलक्कममुदारमुदाहर्श्भि－ खन्चेश्र दानमि－
27 दमभ्यनुमीदनीयं । लच्यम्स्तडित्सलिबवुदुद्चचलाया ${ }^{\circ}$ दानं फल परयश：－ परिपाल－
28 न च ॥［ $0^{*}$ ］सर्व्वानितान्भाविन：पाथिविचेद्रान्मूयो भूयो याचते रामभद्र：॥
29 सामान्योय धर्मससेतुन्टेपाया काले काले पालनीयो भवर्विः ॥［ $\tau^{*}$ ］ हूति कम－
30 लटलावुविंटुलोला ${ }^{10}$ श्रियमनुचिन्य मनुघनीवित च 1 सकलमिदमुदा－
31 हृतं च वुष्बा न नि पुरुष：परकीत्तीयो विलोम्या द्वति 11 ［ع＊） सवत् श०७द्ध माघ गु दि 4 ［ $\left.1^{*}\right]$
32 स्यमान्ता । मगल सहाग्री：॥ सहह्तोय ग्रीभोजट्वेवस्य［॥＊］

| Read वाह⿸户口卄 ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Resd}$ वसि8 ${ }^{\circ}$ | ：Read＂aशtio． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －Read चद्रार्कर् | ${ }^{5}$ Read प्रासनें | ${ }^{6}$ Read ⿹ड्डूT |
|  | ${ }^{8}$ Read ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{11}$ Read ${ }^{\text {geg }}$ |  |

## No $19-T H E$ SIRPUR STONE INSCRIPTION OF TEE TLME OF MAHASIVA. GUPTA ${ }^{1}$

By Rai Batadur Hira Lal, B A, Naqpup

Surpur is a small village on the right bank of the Mahannadi in the Mahāsamunda tabil of the Raipar Distriet in the Central Pronnecs It is 37 miles north-east of Rapor and 15 males from Ārang Sirpar was onee the capital of Mahā-Kōsala and was then known as Śripura, ${ }^{3}$ as gren in the stone inseriptions found there, and also in the copper piates found in Rajım ${ }^{4}$ and Baloda ${ }^{5}$ The ruins in and around the village indicate that it was onee a great eity and there arc scores of temple sites, the identifiention of wheh ns now rendered ampossible by the rumoval of the rdols they contaned to a place near a briek temple, wheh 15 the only one now standing as it was orignally built It is popularly known as the 'Labshmans temple' Wring of the bricks with which it is built, Mr Cousens who visited it in 1904 remarked ${ }^{6}$ that they wero of a finer make than any he had till then seen, either ancient or modern They are moulded and carved with considerable artistic skill About a third of the temple tower was gone, while the mandapa had totally collapsed and was a heap of rouns when it was taken under Goverument conservation It was while remoring the debras of tbus mandapa that the subjouned insciption was found and removed to the Rapar Museum where it is at piesent deposited. Sir A Cunningham visited Surpar in 1881-82 and notieed all the unseriptions he found there, remarking that one of them must bolong to this tomple He was right in supposing that the temple must hare had some inseription bat it had not come to light then and it was about 2 years ${ }^{7}$ ago that it was accidentally discovered.

It is perhaps the biggest inseription yet found in Surpur It is engraved on a thick redaish stone, wheh is not exactlv reetangular, the breadth of the upper corner being 3' $8 \frac{1}{2}$ " and that of the lower $3^{\prime} 9^{\prime \prime}$, while the herght at the right sude is $2^{\prime} 3 \frac{1 a^{\prime \prime}}{}$ and at the left $2^{\prime} 1 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ The writing eovers a space $3^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ by $2^{\prime} 1^{\prime \prime}$ There are altogether 26 lines of writing, but some parts of the stone coming in contact mith others have peeled off, and portions of lines $3,4,5,6$ at the left hand top eorner and the last 4 lines have been partally damaged The characters are Nāgari, beautifully engiaved, theur average height being $\frac{7^{\prime \prime}}{4}$ They belong to the Northern class of alphabets of about the 8th or 9th eentary AD The most noticeable peenliarntes are the antiquated forms of the letters $\imath, n a, s a, d h a$, tha, bha and $j a$ The $m \bar{a} t r a \bar{a}$ of $\bar{a}$ is represented by a top stroke, and $\bar{e}$ by a small stroke prefixed to the letter The sign of $u$ as added in the middle of the letter $r a$ as usual, but when made long it is bent upwards as in gurūpacharane in line 7 and -rūpainin line 12 In the case of the short gir in Purashottama in he 1, the bend is upwards instend of downwards, but tins is probably a mistake as other short rus have it in the usual way downwards The sigus for $\tilde{n}$ and $n$ when joined to a class letter appear to be identieal compare punja in line 1 and mandanam in line 12 Forms of final moecur in $114,11,12,13$ and 14 and of $t$ and $n$ in 116 and 16 respectively ${ }^{8}$ The language is exeellent Sanskrit, haghly rhetorical, contaning 42 verses in vanous metres

The record consists of two parts, vaz a eulogy in 23 verses and rales for the temple management from line 16 to the end The inserption begins with an invoeation to Purushottama, which 18 in prose The first three verses are devoted to the prase of the Nrisimha or man-hon incarnation of Vishnu King Mahäsivagupta, has mother and two ancestors

[^137](father and grandfuther), tre next mentionod Tho historienl information wheh is here fun melled 15 that, in tho lunar race, thero was $a$ hero whose name 18 illegible (v 4) His son was Harshagupta ( r 9) From lum was born Mahaśsvagupta ( v 12) who was also known as Bālārjuna owing to his proficiency in the nsc of arms (v 13) He appanently had a jounger biothci named Ranakēsarin ( $\vee$ 12) We are then infoimed that his mother, named Vāsatā (v 15), was the danghter of Sūryavarmā, hing of Magadha (v 16) She became a widow (v 17) and caused to be constructed a templo of Hari ( v 20 ), the same to whol this inscription was affized She ond her acts are prased on seven verses (ve 17 to 23)

The minter of this cnlogy who calls humself prasasthāarah havih was Chintāturānka Issāna (v 24$)^{1}$, who in the second part procecds to lay down the regnlations for tho management of the temple as follows - Five villages, viz Tödankana, Madhuvēdba, Nälipadra, Kurapadra, and Vānapadra, were given (v 25) for the manntennce of the temple to which apparently a sattra or an almshouse was attached The villages were divided into fon shares, three of whinch (subdivided into threc separate parts) weie to be reserved for the manntenance of the almshouse, repars (to the temple) and for the sapport of the servints attnched to the sanctanay ( r 26) Tho fourth sharo was durded into fifteen parts, of which twelvo were to be enjoged by a corresponding number of $B_{1}$ ämanas fully convorsint with the Fédas, cach of the thee Védas ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ k, Fajus and Saman) having four experts The remanngg three paits wore to be enjoyed by a sacrificial prest and two others who werc Bhägavatas ${ }^{2}$ The names of these fifteen peisons anc duly recorded and atis cnjouned that theu descendnats should inhent the gilt af properly qualificd for 1 t, otherwise the giant should go to some other relatives by thoir own selection and not by order of the hing (ov 27 to 34) An additional villago nmed Vargullaka is stated to have been given sepuratels to the god himsolf, for mooting the expenses of offerings to him (v 36) The engraver was a certan Arra Gonna ( V 35 ), the same who wroto the slab bnilt fat into the paroment of the nerr work outsido tho conrt wall of the Gandhēstara templo at Surpur ${ }^{3}$

Luko other inscriptions of Sirpur this 18 also undated, and therefore its age can ouly he determined from its charactors, which, as stated before, belong to the 8th or 9 th oentary $\Delta D$ Mahäsiragupta who 18 montioned in nlmost all the mscriptions so far fonnd in Sirpur, seems to have heen a temple-builder, on at loast he cnconraged othors to build them Apparently he was a Śaiva, although his mothor was a $\nabla_{\text {arghneva and so was his fathor who is described in }}$
 temple of Gandhésvara (correctly Gandhervēssara as given in an inscrpption affixed to thoparapet thcre) wo know firo ancestors of Mahäśrvagapta, so that onr insoription gives no additional information abont the famils, as it only takes ns back to lis grandfather Bat verse 6 leads ns to the important inferonce that Mahāsivagupta's grandfather [Chandragupta] had an elder brother who whe tho king's commander in chef This elder brother cannot I suppose be any other than the Tivaradēva of tho Rājm and Balodã plates He was the son of Nannadēva, Chandragupta's fathor Tivaradēva's inscriptions were issued from Śripara and be is described as beng tho 'supreme lord of Kösain's He had apparently no 18 sue and his brother probably sncceeded him A second bistorical fact to bo gleaned from onr unscription is the disoovery of one additional namo in the line of Varmă knngs of Magadba, viz Sūryavarmä who mnst have flonrished about the 8th century AD He apparently belonged to the Western Magadha dynasty He mnst have becn a contemporary of Chandragnpta, to whose son Harshagnpta he gave his daughter in, marriage

Attention may be called to tho name Ranakēsarm (in verse 12) who wonld appoar to have been a younger brothor of Mahāsivagupta, althongh the word has been used in a donble sense $\operatorname{Dr}$ Kielhorn ${ }^{6}$ has drawn attention to this name with a view to show that names

[^138]ending in Kèsamin wore not foreign to this family as a curions concidence betwcen the Sirpur kings and the Oirssa Kēsain family. The second namo of Bhavadëva who, Dr Kiolhorn sajs, ${ }^{1}$ was 'a cousin of Indıabala's sen Nannadōva, the father of both Tivaradēva and Chandragapta,' was also Ranakêsamn, but we meat it in the dnect lmo heic In spite of the ovcrwhelming palmegraphic oridonce ${ }^{2}$ which teads to dieprove any connection between the Surpur drnasty and that of the Sōmavamśi linge of Eatals (or moro cericetly of Vinitapura or Yayãtinagara, in both of which a Śrvagupta oecuis, it secms possible that General Cunningham may still prove to havo been right in linking them together, although the dates assigned to thom by him nre all wrong ${ }^{9}$ The hings of Surpar appear to have been ousted by tho kings of Sarabhapura, whele place has not been identified as yot 5 The insenptions of the latter have beou found in tho country reand about and in elose vicinity of Supur, vaz, at Àrang, Rapur, Khariăr and Sārangahh which encloso Sirpar fiom all durcctions, nouth, south, east and west I hnvo already identified several of the villages mentioned in them (see nboro, Vol. IX. p 283) and then position shows that a very large poithon of tho present Chhattisgarh Division oame under therr sway Probnbly they could not conquer the whole of Mahã-Kōsala whec extended fiom the congnes of Berār to the bonndnry of the Katak Distriet The Surpur dynasty laving been duven furthor east settled in some place on the bank of tho Mahannadi. Thoy stull eontmued to rnlo at least a part of Kósala That seems to be tho reason why thoy continued to call themselves 'Lord of Kosala' unmilling to show a reduced fiont They had probably lost the western poition of Mahī-Kosala for ever, and that scems to be the icason why most of the villages granted by them aro situated in the Sambalpui Distict and the adjoming feadatory states of Patna and Sonpar ${ }^{6}$ The Surpui dynasty piebably reganed its former potver bat could not regan the lost hingdom, as although the Śnablapura kings seem to bave fallon as quiokly as they rose, they were suececded by anothor rising power, the Haihayas of Tummanna, who echpsed the chefs of the whole of Chhattisgarh and extended their dommons stall farther

Dr Flect assigns the charaeters of the reeords of the Sömaramsi kngs of Katak to the elerenth eentary and says that evon if a somorhat oarlier period than what has been arrived at, shonld be hereafter established for Sivagupta and has successors of the Katak line, the palmographe changes in so many details appean more than ean possibly be covered by tho lapse of a single geneation 7 His conelusion is that the kings mentioned in these inserptions 'are to be placed somemhere betwoen A D 1000 and I100.'8 Since the eharactors of the Sirpar inseriptions mo behered to beloug to about the 9 th eentary, it would appear that Dr. Fleet would place an interval of a hittle more than 100 years to aecount fol the palwographe difficalines This is a period whech may easily be eovered by three gencrations, and on examinng the genealogical table madc out from tho 1 ecords of the Somavamsi kings as given by Dr Fleet, ${ }^{9}$ it seems to me that a link of two gonerations is at piesent missing, which farther discoverres might bring to light.
${ }^{1} J$ R. A S 1905, p 622
${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol III. p 333
${ }^{3}$ [Thc date assigncd hy Prof Kichoru to Śrragupta Bäārjunas on the 'amalgamated Sirpur and Katak Gupta d) uasty' ${ }^{18}$ abeut the begrunng of the 9th contary, while the Kntak king Mahähharagapta II nud his three predeccssors bolong to abeut the 11th contary (abevc Vol IV p 25\%) If these twe approximate datcs aro correct, theu we weuld have twe kings, ere a deubtful Mahäbharagupta and Mabàtiragupta, of whom ne records have so far been found, for about tre oenturics - Ed ]

- [SNnhäsndàra of Śarabhapurs has been nssigned by Mr Hirnalal to ahout the 8th ceatury (ahove, Vol. IX p 283) And Tivaradoba, Prof Fielhorn has assigncd without hesitation to the middle of the 8th century If theen the approximate dates are cerrect, the usurpation bs the Śarablapara kungs wonld have taken place at a tume in hen the Surpur hugs mast havo hecn pererful - Ed]
- It may pcrhaps hare been a uce name imposed on the oongnored eity of Śripura by the victor from the fabulous anmal of tbat name, who 28 beliered to be a match for a hen, with refcrevee to the clam of the Sirpur dy unsty to be Késaris (hona).
- Sec tabular etatement appended at the end giring the geegraphical mformation ascertaned by local enquiry pr othern isc and map eheming the situation of plaees identified
: Above, Vol III p 334
${ }^{8}$ I6sd, p 383
- Ibsd p 323

There are four kings in this hast, bat there are only two names, $22 z$ Sivagapta and Bhavagapta 1 Three of them hare a second name which may lave been their birth-names or titles The Siragaptr of our mscription had also a second name, ze Bäläıjuna The Bälärjana Śrivagupta may possibly be the grandfather of the tatleless Sivagupts of the Katak inseriptions By the way it may bo noted that his grandunclo Tivaradéra who was hing was also called Mahāsiva as stated in the Balodi and Ripmo plates : I suppose that tho title of Harshagnpta must have been Mahübhar agupta, and any furthor discoveries giving both the names would, I venture to think, confirm this surmise So we can trace back the official title of Mahāsiva up to Tivarvadēve at least and he was possibly great-great-gianduncle of the first Sivagapta of the Somavamsi records Aecording to this view the genealogical tree of the amalgamated :Surpur and Ratak Gupta dynasty would be as under -


[^139]The Sirpur inseriptions show that Bālārjuna Mahäśivagapta must have been in a farrly piosperous state and so it was probably his son, a possible Mahäbhavagupta who was ousted fiom his aneestral capital If we do not find any of his iecords, there is nothing to wonder at A person in ealamity driven out of his home wonld hardly think of bestowing grants or revel in perpetuating his name when his own position was so shaky, and his deseendants would hardly be melned to mention one who was in sneh a plight, it bcing better to omit than to reeord his tale of defeats ${ }^{1}$ For the matter of that, they might also have omitted his son Śragupta's name as he also does not seem to hare been in a much better position, bnt he was the direet aseendant of his renowned son, and it is possible that he might have prepared the way to the conquest of Trikalinga of which all his suceessons are ealled adhupati, though no snch title attaches to his name in any of the inseriptions It appears that it was Janamèjaya Mahābhavagupta (I) who retrieved the good name of his dynasty by conquerng the Trikalinga eonntrs So far as is known, he was the first in his lme to take the title of Trikalingadmpati, though it was disputed by the Hahhaya kings of Chèdi, as we find the title nsed by tho Kalachari Karnadera of Tripuri in his Bunates plate of $1042 \Delta \mathrm{D}^{2}$ and by other members of the same family up to 1174 AD But they were appalently raiders with superior power, the real rulers of Trikalinga being the Somavamsis 'There is hittle donbt that these two houses eame in eontact with eaeh othei as in one of the Patnã plates (marked H) the donor clams a victory over Chēdi

With regard to geographical names, the conntry of Magadha whenee the temple bnilder was biought in marriage is well known The other plaees mentioned are 6 villages given to the temple and its aecessories. Of these, I thank Kaiapadra is the same as Kulapadar, 15 miles sonth-east of Supur, and Vargallaha 15 apparently Guluu, about 10 miles soath-west of Supar and 5 from Árang Todankana may be Turēnga near Kulapadar Abont 4 miles from Tuiengà there is a village named Madhuban wheh may be identieal with Madhuvēdha of the inseription As regards the remanung two, Nalipndri and Vanapadra, I conld not find any villages answering to their names Tâuapadra most have been quite elose to Sirpur, as it is stated to be 'on the spot'

An endeavour has been made in the appended statement to identify places mentioned in other records of these kings, and it magy not be out of place here to diseuss the unsettled question of the eapital of these kings In the records of the Somavamsis the phrase 'srimatō vzjaya-Katahāt' oceurs which has been interpreted to mean 'fiom the vietorions Kataka', the eapital, in preference to its simple meaning 'from the victorions camp' That the latter is the real meaning clearly appears from the coppor plates ${ }^{3}$ of Mahābhavagupta Janamejaya belonging to the 3 rd year of his reign (the oldest of all so far found), in wheh the word skandhāvärāt has been used instead of the usual latahāt It will be observed from other mascriptions that whenever hataka is ned, the name of the eamp (a separate place-name) is 10 variably $y^{4}$ giren, but this is not the case when the charters parport to be issued from Yayätinagara or Vinitapura, which Dr Fleet considers to be fanciful names of Kataka itself It has been assumed that Kataka was the eapital of these kings, but I sm

[^140]unable to shano the view The questiou has already b.en discussed by other seholars, ${ }^{1}$ and the identification of Yayatnagaia with the modern Jijpui has been suggested, but Dr Fleet has pointed out that thas saggestion is unteuable as the mecriptions distinetly imply that Yayătmagna was on the Nahannad, whercas Jajpar is only on the Vatarani, about 50 miles "way from the former miver

The namo Yayatinggara was appareutls mposed upon Vimiapura dung the reign of Yayatn ohherwise hown as Mahastrigupta It is noteworthy thet prior to his tame tap name Yayãtuagara docs not occur in any ascriptions In fact ho hmelf used the older name Vanitapara in the records of the sth and 9th years of has reagn, wheh fact shows that tall then the adea of narmang the townafter humself had not occured to him It was probibly somewhere betwen the 15 th and 24 th jear of his reagn that the town changed its name Sine then the otheral name scems to have become Yagatingara, and we hive in all four inseriptions mentioning it, two of wheh belong to the 24 th and 2 sth yeirs of his own eegna and two to tho 3 rd and 13 th jear of his son's Tins nome apparently coutmued to be used as logg as Vinitapura was the capital, at least in official circles, but as 15 woll known tho ongmal name usually sticks so persistently in the popalan mind that it as diffienlt to eradicate it Miny a monarch has endearoured to change the names of big eities after his own, but tho old name has usually asserted tho ground, and I suppoao tho same happened with Vinitapura, wheh namo can now be trieed in the coirupted form Bink that state It falfils all the conditions appertannug to Vinitapurn $\mathrm{It}_{15}$ on tho bank of the Mahandi, and the mper secuery there is as benutiful as deseribed in the anseniptions Fiom Surpar it is about 100 mules as the ciow fles and about 180 by river - quite a safo distance to which the ousted family might have removed itself The two places aro so situated that if one fled straight to the eact he would meet Buka as the first place on the Mahan nadi, as betwoen there troo plices the rivar flows macurro Binka, moteovel, is central to all tho eamps fiom which the hings assuod their charters Of the 13 so far diseovered, 5 ware assued from the capital iteelf, 3 from a pleasuro garden, which must bave been somewhere in the big groves still to be seen on the outskirts of Bunha, 3 were assued from Mūrasima or the present Mursing $\bar{a}$ in the Pitnástate, about 11 miles from Binkă, one from Sonpar, and one from Vimindāpãtít o: Bamrà, 60 miles to the north-cast, but thas last was sssued by a feulatory from has own headquarters, and he has mentioned his overlord's capital as Yayitinagary Tho valleges granted so far as they hare been identafied aro situated close to and round about Braka as a glance on the accompansing map will shows The existenco of a village named Rajpali (meanng royal bamlet) withn a mile of the present Binka town 18 signafiennt There are also remans of a fort close by and a ghàt embankment ou tho Mahänad

[^141]TEXT ${ }^{1}$

 [ू[व] चरएग्चक्रिए: से घनौघान्विघ्वस्य घ[T]-
2 न्तधाम्न: करिण इव किरन्मोतिकाभानि भानि $1 l^{4}\left[?^{*}\right]$ लब्बो निर्सेतुसेमिन्न रिपु-
 हासोझासावहेल तदितरकरजाग्रेया निभिभ्द्य [सद्य: कोशा] ${ }^{6}$ चित्जेप तब्जं मलमिव
3 दनुजं य: स वोव्यान्नृसिद्म: ॥T $२^{*}$ ] वह्हटिव [क]ना गा[ड्य्या दंष्र्रi] सर्जिन्ममिवासिना ब्नर्लदिव दघच्चक्रेएास्यं गदा भुकुटीसिव ; ग्रसितुमसुरान्संभूयेव स्रितान्तकविभ्धम दुरितमिति [विदोयं विष्यो:] $-\cup \cup$ - $-11^{9}\left[₹^{*}\right]$ [भ्रासीच्छशी]व भुवनाद्युतभूतभूति ${ }^{10}$ -
 स्यया पृथुगुए: प्रथित पृथिव्याम् $\|^{11}\left[8^{\star}\right]$ गरीयान्भारोय दुरधिगमिद् वर्मं पुरतो न मे पष्टः कश्चिन्न च समधुर: वच्चन सखा 1 हू —————ソ $\cup \cup \cup — — \cup$ गएश: खशक्या
 वर [दो] रएदारफशषु ${ }^{13}$ सीरायुछः स हूव कंसनिषूटनस्य । शजाधिकारघवल: सबलो बभूव यस्याग्रजोप्यनुचरश्रृतो रशप $\|^{11}\left[\xi^{\dagger}\right]$ कुग्भेरद्नितमस्तका-

6 न्मातड्नकान्मारयन् $\mid$ खेव खापदराट् न यस्य नृपते: शोर्यं जगामोपमां हनन्तु: कोशपराक्रमान्वयनयस्मीतत्विपां विद्विपां $11_{10}^{10}\left[0^{*}\right]$ तस्याभूदवनिभृतामधीख्वरस्य प्रस्याती जगति सुतो यथा हिमाद्रे: । रनानां वसति-


[^142]7 स्थानं चिराटुचितमेतद्रन्ममेति लच्मी: प्रस्त्रतिसमये यमुवाह्ह छर्षम् । तेनाहृतः सततमेव शुचामगम्य: ग्रीहषंगुप्त इति नाम ततो [य उने] $\|^{2}\left[\varepsilon^{*}\right]$ ससक्ता: सऊलोपभोगविपये धर्माध्वनि प्र(पा)ध्वरा: सहोष्टोपु निरन्तरा: परबलघ्वन्मे ${ }^{2}$ व्यवन्ध्या: सदा 1 [ग्रचुणणा:] सतत [गुरू]पचरण
8 यान्ति चम विस्मापिनो यस्यानेकसुखंक्रयासु युगपत्तंभाविनो वासरा: ॥ ${ }^{3}$ [२०*] चुजग्णा भित्तिरनेकधा विदटिता: सर्वेप्यमी [स]न्बयो वीघ्यङ्नान्यपि विच्त्तानि परित. ग्रुष्कोस्थिव(ब)न्वक्रम: 1 चिनं प्रचुतमामुखादपि कथ कि वोच्चितिनामुना यस्सेति द्विपता कुनाटकमिव दिष पुरं
9 प्रेच्चक: $\left.\|^{4}[३\}^{*}\right]$ तस्माद्नायत महाशिवगुपराजो धम्मीवतार द्रति निर्वितथं प्रतीतः 1 भीमेन य. सुत दूव प[घ]म: पृथाया: घृघ्धी जिगाय रएकससरिगानुजन $115\left[\left\{२^{*}\right]\right.$ भावी हन्त पितामहादपि महाना"चर्यंमप्योनसा नेघल्येप ₹ती वलेन भविता तल्लीस्य वेकत्त्तन: । श्रस्वा[भ्य]स्तिष य सम[स्त]
10 जयिन मल्लेति वालार्जुन से देहेपि नहु स्पृहामरिगखा: प्रागीव सम्पत्तिपु $\|^{7}\left[१^{*}\right]$ य: प्रहेपवतां वधाय विक्छतीरासाय मा[घ][मयो: कृषाो [योव]तरन्नमूट्दि स खल्वब्याजलूनाहि: । नासीदेव समी हरिध्धिवलतामात्यन्तिकों विभ्रतो यस्याकल्कमतेर्न चापि भविता क[ल्की] भविध्घन्पुन: $117\left[88^{*}\right]$
11 तस्योरोज्यर्जायनो जननी जनानामीकस्य शेलत[न]देव मयू[रके]तो: 1 विस्मापनी विवुधलोकधियां बभूव ग्रीवासर्टिति नरसि[हतन]नो. ${ }^{8}$ सटेव $110^{\circ}$ [24*] निप्पद्ध मगधर्षाधपल्यमहता जात. कुले वर्मएां पुखाभि: कृतिभि द्वती हृतमन कम्प: सुधाभो[नि]नाम् ।
12 यामासाद्य भुता हिमाचल हूव ग्रीस्रूर्यवम्म नुप: म्राप प्राक्परमेख्वर-
 पवासव्रतकीशितेरमि । न मुत्तमेवावयवैर्यंदोये. सुावलीलामयमात्मम ख्डनम्

13 प्रज्ञेव प्रीववेचनी सदसतोस्त्युयावता ग्री: स्बम् । उत्तातार्सिलकल्मप्रसरया किण्चिचलन्ती स्थिते सन्नानाय यया सखीव पृथिवी भूय: हृत स्सारिता $\|^{12}$

[^143] क[नि:] खेपि समये हतमान द्धतो चया $\|^{2}\left[\ell c^{*}\right]$ तया निज: 14 प्रेल्य पतियंयाविधे वसत्यसो नित्यमुपासिताचुत: 1 प्रभाशितु ताद्रशमेक काषित विसोरिद धाम हरे सनातनम् $1 \|^{n}\left[20^{*}\right]$ दिव्यादें खकल्लक्य
 [य:*] पासाद" $[$ [ह] च्छलेन क-
15 चित: संसार एव स्फुट पश्यन्त्सदिम मन: कुरुत भो पापेपु सा सूमिपा: $11^{5}\left[2 १^{-}\right]$च्यासध: चगमुत्पतितेन्नभ: पवनलोल [त]या [घ्वन]. पलऩ्ने: $1(1)$ हर्यापालनयोरचिति गती कथयति स्समेप महोभुजा $\|^{c}\left[२ २^{*}\right]$ तट एव भवाब्बुबेस्तरोतुं निनि-
16 तो धर्मसय: प्लवो सहान् 1 परिपालयितव्य एप भूपैरवदोग्री़ी हि निमज्ज. यत्यद• $\|^{7}\left[\right.$ ₹ $\left.^{*}\right]$ इति व: मूस्तिकार. कवि' स चिन्तातुराद्ध हैशन: 11 यत्पालनार्घमर्थयति पार्थिवास्तां स्थिति मृय्यत $11\left[28^{\star}\right]$ तोडङ्कग-म[ध]-
17 [वेढो] नालीपदश्य कुरपद्रश्थ [ $1^{\circ}$ ] सानेन्न वाएपद्रश्य पन्य दत्ता पम ग्रामा $11^{10}\left[2\right.$ थ. $\left.^{-1}\right]$ एषां भागासय: सने खगडसुटितसस्कृतो 1 पादमूलपरीवा-
 धाह्द-
18 तो विसागेन 11 तन हादश विमा: प्रतिवेद्द पितचतुष्जेन $\|^{12}\left[20^{*}\right]$ व्रह्यनिविद्रसोर्कम्य विष्युदेवस्तथापर; 11 तथा महिरदेवम्य चत्वारो बध्रृचोत्तसा: $\|^{33}\left[२ \digamma^{*}\right]$ एव कपर्दोपाष्यायो भास्करो मधुस्स्दन' $\|$ वेद् गर्सेय चत्वा-
19 रो यजुर्वैंद्स्य पारगा: $\|^{13}\left[₹ c^{\prime}\right]$ तथा भास्करदेवस्य स्थिरोपाष्याय एव च 11 नैलीक्यह्ह[न्सो मोड््ह]चत्वार: सासपारगा $\|^{13}\left[₹ 0^{\circ}\right]$ भाव्य
 $111^{13}\left[₹ १^{*}\right]$ य[स्तु]
20 नवविधो ${ }^{11}$ स[हें] यश्दापुनी विपत्सते 11 तयोरझ्ड़ प्रवेश्योन्च: पूर्वोतगुए-


[^144]${ }^{11}$ [Ou the impression the reading appears to bo भावी -H K S ]

एभिरेव च साम्मत्याब्मवेश्यो न नृपा[झ]या(तु) $\|^{2}[₹ ₹ *]$ ततो वासवनन्दीति [वि]प:
21 पु[स्याह] $]^{2}$ वाचक: $\|$ हौ च भागवतौ नाम्ना वामन: स्रीधरस्तथा ${ }^{1 /}$ [₹४*] एते पष्घदशाड्रा विवजिता दारविक्रियाधाने. ॥ सर्व्वीपि [च] सद्रोज्या: (II) लेखकचार्यगेएल दूति द्रति [॥२乡*] यस्तल ए[व] ग्रामो वर्गुल्नकसंश्रित: स [दे]-
 साषिष्ठाने: सपादमूलैख सर्वंकार्याणि ॥ सम्भूय विप्रसुख्यै: करसीय(ग्चैकमल्येन $11^{5}[₹ ७ *]$ स्थितिरिय क्षितिपा: परिपाल्यतां च[ल]तु
23 धंसेष क्षतोपद्धति[क्र]म: ॥ [न]नु भविर्यति का पुनरू[त्तरा] गतिरहो भवतामपि को[fत्ति]षु $\mu^{7}\left[₹ \xi^{*}\right]$ [ग]जस्तानं जातं [खलु] चरएकुद्दालनमिद सयं पुखीस्थानं यदिह परकीतिच्चतिद्धतां ॥
$24{ }^{\circ}$ मदक्नाम्यत्तन्वीनयनतरलास्वीध्य विभवानत: श्रेय: ${ }^{10}$ ब्युजं व्रतम[नुचर]न्कीर-
 भाली 11 केदारनामा स [ह]दं समग्र-
25 मकारघत्पुस्यमह्रानिधारं [ $11^{12} 80^{*}$ ] य्यी शिवगुमी राजा द्रित्वा नेलोक्य -

 [साय] 4
26 भास्त्यास्याविटुषे विदुषे [ ${ }^{10}$ आस्बेष्डु वेदेषु] $11^{17}\left[8 २^{*}\right]$

[^145]
## TRANSLATION.

## (Lnne 1) Om' Salntahion to Parushठttama ( $\nabla_{1 s h n a) ~}^{\text {) }}$

(Verse 1.) Let the discus-holder's (Nresmha's') foot protect yon-tho foot whose sharp clatry emitted a sound like that of gunj $\bar{a}$ bermes (shahen) by the gust of strong winds passing through the long spaces between each other, and (looked) terrific (more so) with the jaws shinung with the flame of raye (emanating) from the nalls, whon they being lifted up, tore throngh the mass of dark clouds in the sky and revealed the stars with pearly brilliance, like a lion who, having overcome that storehouse of darkness,- the elephant, jumps about scatterng brillant pearls (torn from hes temples)
(V 2) Let that Nrisimha protect you, who lookang with eagerness at (hes own) nals, for the onemy (Hranyakašpu) who had not been secared for being torn with these (claws), happened to see him hiding through fear in tho cavern-like cavity in the interior of tho deep hollow of those (nalls) With a langh (at hes fooleshness in taking shelter in the place where he could be easuly crushed out), joy (at finding him out) nnd contempt (at the meserable creature) be split the demon at once with the pout of the other claw and thren him away with wrath like dirt that had collected there
$(\nabla 3)^{2}$ As af bearing the jaws like a beautiful conch and the tongue liko a sword, with the face barning like the discus (and) with the eye-hrows (as of carryeng) the mace, this form of Vishan born for devouming, like bins, the demons, presented the appearanco of the god of death
( $\nabla$ 4) There was the unequalled crest-jewel of the lanar race, whose wealth was wonderfinl on earth, just as the origin of the moon was miraculons and whose dignity was commensurate with his devotion to the lord of goblins (Siva) He was indeed of manifold virtues, (and) famous on the earth by the name of [Chandraguptaraja]
( $\nabla$ ) This task 18 very diffioult, this path before (me) unsurmountable I have no gasde nor (have I) any friend (whocan) share the burden (with me) I accomplish this singlehanded
( $\nabla$ 6) Even his elder brother shining with regal power, ${ }^{3}$ berame his follower in battles and (through him) mighty, like hum whose weapon is the plough (Balarâma), who likewnse followed the killer of Kamba (Krishna) in tearing np the maghty elephants of his naassanlable enemy ${ }^{4}$
(V.7) In bravory, a lion kalling the elephants with(swelling) frontal globes,-rendered dall by the omes (due to) excessive rat,-with fodder placed in thear months, or the tranks hanging down, is hive a dog and cannot stand companison with the king destroying (his) enemes bloomung with the prosperity of therr treasares, prowess, race and atatesmanship ${ }^{5}$

[^146](V 8) Of hm, the lord of the rulers of the earth, wns born a son famons in the world (voho toas) a very treasure of jewels, ( $u$ ho had) a lange mombor of undivided allies and (who evas) high in charaeter, (thus) resembling the high Mananka hill wheh is the offapring of the lord of mountanas, etz the Himidri (mountain), has oxtensive wings which are unchpy ed and is a mine of jewols
( $V, Q$ ) At the timo of hus birth, the goddess of wealth wns transported with joy saying 'It is a lorg trme sunce I found snch a sumtable place for myself' Ever filled with joy (harsha) and verily inaccessible to grief he aecordingly bore tho name siri Harshagupta
(V 10) His precoous days passed in enjoying all the sensnous objects, (they vere) indefatigably applied in the path of virtae, and unceasingly (spent) in good ascemblies, they never went frutless (when employed) in tho destruction of hostile power and were unnterruptedly devoted in tho service of the elders Thus they always caused wonder in (the performance of many plensing duties sumultaneonsly.
( $V .11$ ) His enemies' town is hated by tho spectators as the walls are crushed to powder, all the jonts que separated in various ways, the hmbs of the streets are also tom asondor, and on all sides there $1 s$ an exhubition of dry bones (They exclarm)' rohat 1 s to be seen here? How has the variegated appearanee oven of tho entranco (of the town) dasappeared ?' Thas (saying) (the spectafors) hate it liko a bad drama desitute of intorest even in the prologue, with torn curtains (blatit), disjounted moldeats, broken dalogues and dry plot
(V 12) From him tras born hing Mahäsivagupta truly renowned as an mearnation of virtuo (dharmātatara) who conquered the carth with (hts) younger brother Ranakēsarin as did Prithe's first son (Yadushthira) wth the add of his youngor brother Bhima who was like a lien an battle
(V 13) Indeed 'greater than oven his grandfather (as he 2s) ho would boat oven his teacher in battle with his prowess and strength, who would thexefore be his vanquasher (harttana)? The crowd of enemies considering him Bailarjuna, (to be) an all-conqueror amongst practisers of arms, gave up the hope even for thor lives (as they had done) already for ther wealth [like the foes of Arjuna ( 2 e the sons of Dhintarāshtra) who first gavo up therr hope for wealth when they fouvd that young Arjuna promised to excel Bhishma and burpass Drọna in protress What was Karna before him in the practice of weapons ']
(V 14) He who took deceptive forms for destroyng the enemies, who was born black ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r} 1 \mathrm{shna}}$ ) on thas (earth) and who agam becomes in the fature also sufna (Kalki) - that Hari ( $\nabla_{1}$ sinn) could not really stand comparison with him who kills his enemies without practising any deception, who bears the utmost whiteness ${ }^{2}$ and who has no sinful mothves
(V 15) Of that lord of men, the conqueror in fierce battles, the illustrions $\nabla \bar{a} s a t a \operatorname{was}$ the mother, like the very mane (satd) of the twan-lon form (of $V_{\text {sishan }}$ ) bewidermg the winds (evers) of wase men (and of the gods), just hako the mother of ham who rides the peacook (Kinttukēya), the danghter of the monntann (viz Parvati)
( $V$ 16) Dorn in the nnblemashed famuly of the Varmans great on account of (thetr) supremacy over Magadha, the illustrons (and) pous kng Süryavarmā who had caused trembling in the bearts of the gods by his virtuous acta, having gat this daughter, obtaned the very proud hononr of being the father-nn-law of the great lord (Paramésuara) of the East, hike Himãcbala (who obtaived before a similar honour by marrying his daughter Pärvati to the great god (paramēsvara), Śva)

[^147](V 17) Evon after (her) lord went to hearen, in spite of her almars observing fasts and nasternties, whinh cause leanness (of the body), her unaltored hmbs retaned their natural charming graco
(V 18.) She, hihe the Vëdas, was the shelter to the people belorging to the fonr aarnas (casteq) and ä́ramas (or) hko Policy to a hingdom, like Wisdom (hersolf) m deciding lxtween truth and falschood, (and) the goddess of Wealth herself to the greedy The spread of all sins was checked by her and the earth that was about to abandon her fidelify (by woonng, sa to speak, the Kalr age) was agan rominded by her, as a friend, of tho hrita (ayc) for the sahe of reanion [as a lady's maid reminds her mistress of (her husband's) acts to bring about a union (betwecn them) when somewhat distarbed]
(V 19) By whom Kalı duning his own regime ( 2 B the Kaln age) was duhonoured, that Koll who boasted of having aecess even to Damayantia in olden times
(V 20) By her this oteraal abodo of tho lord Han was caused to be made, to show exaetly (the abodo Varhuntha) where her deceased lord lived worshipping dauly the imperishable ( $\mathrm{V}_{2 s h n u}$ in tho heavens)
(V 21) Oh kings 1 do not turn jour minds to sins, seeing that has been clearly described of this wonderful woild (samsära) under tho gaise of the temple (2c) the dirersity of aets of all creatures high and low- with cage-like bodies (passing) through ranons stages of existenco-from the celestial beinga (downtoards)
(V 22) This atself tells tho kngs tho approprato fate of destraction and protection (of charty) bs (the waving of) its flags (tossod) by the ansteady wind, in ono moment going down, and in another rising ap towards the sky
( $\bar{\nabla} 23$ ) On the very bank of (thes) ocean of the world, the great boat of dharma bas been placed to cross it This must bo proteeted by kings Negleeted (it) would sink down
(V 24) 0 (future) kinga' (Now) listen to the porpetantion which tho poet Chintasurānka İs̄āna, anthor of the prasastı, snbmats to you for the protection of it (ic the charity)
(V 25) Tödankana, Madhuvēdha, Nālipadra, Kurapadre and in this place (sthāna) Vānapadra,-these five villages are given
( $\overline{\mathrm{V}} 2 \mathrm{f}^{3}$ ) Of these (nillages) three shares have been divided in three ways for (the mannterance of) the almshouse, the repair of breaks and cracks (in the temple) and the support of the servants of the sanctuary (one share beeng allotted for each of the purposes)
( $\overline{0} 27$ ) The fourth share has been divided into fifteen parta Theso are (for) twelve Brähmanas, four for each Vēda
(V.28) Brahma-Trivikrama, Arka and another Vishnudēva, as well as Mahiradēva (arc) tho four best learned in the Rugvèda

[^148](V 29) Sımılarly, Kapardōpādhyāya, Bhäskara, Madhusūdana and Vēdagarbia (are) the four fully conversant with tho Yajurveda.
(V 30) Agan, Bhāskaradēva, Sthırōpādhyāya, Trallōkyahamsa and Mōuddha (arc) the four aecomplished in the Simaveda
(V 3l) Therr sons and grandsons (who succeed them) shonld bo such as offor sacufice to fire and know the six supplements of the Vēdas, who are not adancted to gambling, prostitutes and such other (bad assocrations), who have ther mouths clean (apztiaha) and who are not serrants
(V 32) If one does not answor to this description, (ho should bo abandonel), also one who dies sonless- in thor places must be apponted other Brähmanas possossing the foregong qualifications
(V 33 ) He stould be their relativo, advanced in ago whlo be,ng learned. He should be appointed by thoir consent alone and not by order of tho kng
( $\vee$ 34) Further, (there are) tho Brihmana $\nabla$ āsavanandin who at sacrificos deulares holıdays ${ }^{2}$ and the two Bhăgavatas by namo Vãmana and Śridhara
(V 35) These fifteon parta, (tho alienation of tohuch ws) probibutal by gitt, sale and mortgage, must all bo (thus) enjoged by virtuoas men Tho writor of thes is Ärya-Gonna.
( $\vee$ 36) The vallage whech is on a low levela and 18 known as Vargallaka is separatery given (as a supplencitary contribution) for the maintenance of the almshonse, for bali, charu and nueddy to the god
( $\nabla$ 37) All the transactions should be porformed annmmonsly by the prinempal Brithmanas (resident there), aud the wershippers (pädamūla) meeting together
(V 38) Oh hinge ' protect this organzation Lot the arrangement of matal obligation continue (for cter) Alas' what indeed, will be tho fature of your fame!
( $\nabla$ 39) The establishment of (tho places of) punya (ic almehousos, otc) by those who destroy the (deeds of) glory of others 18 just liko an elophant-bath (throwing dust on one's oon head) or an axe for (cutting one's) feet Therofore, secung that nehes aro as ficklo as the eyes of an intoxicated woman, it is better to follow the path of virtue and
.$^{3}$
(V 40 ) He who was distingurshed for the nobility of his charactor and family and whose name was Kēdara, became tho helmsman of the boat of virtoo in tho ocoan of oustence for the queen and get this great recoptacle of religious mert bult completoly.
(V 41) The illustrous kang Sivagupta . . . . the thros worlds, gave to Gōnārya-Bhatta
( $V 42$ ) One part of this ( $g 2 f t$ ) whech is to be enjeged by a vurtnene Brähmanaa is resorved for one who 15 well read in the Sástras and (theor) commentaries, as well as the Vidas and is of exeellent character

[^149]Geographical names en the racords of tho Somavamsí kings of (Kafak) Tinizapuna, evth thontufications tohero ascortaznod






## No 20-THE INSCRIPTION ON THE WARDAK VASE

## By F E Pangiter, Ma, ICS (Rrtd)

The Wardak vase or arn mag found by Masson in the topes of Khawat in the distract of Wardak in Afghamstan duing the jears 1S31-7 He called the place Kohwatand gavo this deseripuon of it -" Chese topes are situated on the course of the aser, which, having ats sounce in the Hazaraját, flows throngh Loghar minto the plan east of Kabul, whero it quates with the stream passing through tho caty They are distant about 30 miles to the west of Kabul" (Aranac Antıqua, 117) The map of Kabnl and 2ts neyghbourbood compiled by the Survey Department of India shows the distuct as "Khawnt or Wardak," and as lying from 34 to 50 males south-west of Kabul Khawst thicoforo and not Kohwnt 15 the correct mame of the spot whele the vase was fonud in the Wardak district Mnsson's deseription of the finding of the vase is this -"There are five or sis topes, strictly so called, with numerons tumuli . . I fonnd that three or four of these stractures had been opened at some unknown period, and before the piesent Afghan mbabitants had dispossessed the former occupants, Hazaias, aboat one haudred years since .. In the principal tope an internal cupoln ras enelosed, or ather had been I duected certain operations to be pursued, even with the opened topes, and pointed out a number of tumula which I wished to be examined, as they nere very eubstantally constancted the resulte prcied successful, in great measure, and comprised seven rases of metal and steatite, wath other and ramons deposits One of the brass rases was sairounded with a Bactio-Pall inseription, of whech I and not tako a copy, as to have done so I mnst have oleansed it I was averse to tako thie liberty, being awaio that the characters being firmly dotted in could by no chanee be obliterated" (Arana Antıqua, 117-8)

The inscription was copied and published in a plato, whol 18 nambored $X$ in $E$ Thomas's Edition of Prinsep's Essays, vol 1, and E Thomas there says -"I have dovoted plate X to the oxhibition of the mscription on the brase uris discovered an a tope about 30 miles west of Kabul in the district of Wardak This urn, which in shapo aud aize appioximates closely to the ordmary water-vessels in nee in India to this day, has originally been thickly gilt, and its surfaee has in consequence remanned so excellently well preserved, that overy paueture of the dotted legend may be satisfnotorily discuminated" ( $2 b 2 d, 161-2$ ) Ho tred to decipher the unscription and published his resnlts there Mr E C Bayley then took the inqury up but was not satisfied with his endeavours, and the elncidation was then entrusted to Babn Rajendralala Mitra He published his rendering of the insciption together mith the same plate in J A S B, 1861, p 337, to which Mr Bayley added a note ( $262 d, \mathrm{p} 347$ ) Prof J Dowson next undertook the decipherment of this and other records an the Kharōshthi chaiacter and published lus results together with the same plate in J RAS, 1863, vol XX, pp 221-68 His scrutiny left many parts of this inserption still undeciphered, and so the matter rested Other scholars have given some attention to this insciptiou lately but were unable to complete the investigatiou ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Dr}$ Fleet then asked me in November 1910 to undertako the work, and sapplied me mith excellent ink-impressions I now publish the results that I have been able to obtain ${ }^{2}$

This vase is now in the British Museam, and I have inspected it and compared the inkimpressious with the uscription The vase is 69 mehes hagh and 66 inches broad at its

[^150]widest part Dr Read tells me that it bears no traces of evor having been gilt, but that parts of the brass might have presented a brillant apperrance like gold, if they had been protected by remaining in contact with certain earth while borned in the tamulus

The inscription is in the Kharōshthi scmptand consists of tro portions The first 18 writen in three lmes encircling tho shonlder of the rase, and the second conssating of one line is written aronnd the broadest part of the rase below the former and separated from it by three lines, and occupies not quate half the circumference The first is the man portion and 18 in letters varying generally from $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{3}{8}$ mech in height In the fonrth line or second portion the letters are abont $\frac{1}{8}$ meh longcr and correspondingly broad They are formed of minate dents pricked unto the metal, and the dents are so close togethet that from 8 to 10 are generally contamed in $\frac{1}{4}$ inch in the first three lines, and from 7 to 8 in the last line

The two plates annexed hereto, giving a facsimile of the record, have been preparcd nuder Dr. Fleet's supervision from the ink-impressions which also were made under his direction The shape of the part of the vase where lines 1 and 2 he 18 such that 10 nk - mpressions of them cannot be made withont introdncing a gap at some poiut ot anothes, the place selected was naturally that where the record begins, and a supplementary ink-impression was made of that part of the record and has been reproduced below tne complete hnes It shows how the lines run right round the vase without any blank space in line 1 , and with only a small space at the end of line 2 , and gives in their complete forms the final to of hine 1 and the initial 2 of hine 2 , which are not perfect in the circular mpression To have reprodnced line 3 in one prece would have ontailed a some what cumbrous folding plate, hence it has been treated in three parts overlapping each othet, thas $3-\mathrm{B}$ repeats the last six syllables of 3 A , and 3 C repeats the last five syllables of 3 B aud also shows at its oad the bha with which 3 A begins $A$ fourth prece 3 D has been added to show how this line also practically rans quite round the vase, and contangs the last seven and the first eight letters of the lino

The style of the seript 15 that of the Kashana inscriptions portrayed by Bühler in Table I of his Indische Palcoographie, cols $x$ to $x 11$ Fuither specimens of the script have been pablished lately, namely, the Taxila plate by Bühler (E I 17, 54), the Taxila vase by Prof Lưders (E I Vin, 296) and the Mathura Lion capital by Dr F W Thomas (E X. 2x, 135) Mr E. Thomas in discussing this inscription spoke of "the little care that has been taken to mark the nicer shades of diversity of outhne whoh, in many cases, constatnte the only essential difference between characters of but hittle varying form" (Prinsep's Essays, vol I, p 162) But it seems to me after a careful and detailed comparison of the letters, that the minscription has been carefully made, tho letters are generally well-formed, only a ferr clerical errors occar, and the distmetions between letters which are similar in shape are generally expressed olearly 1 except as regards troo particular letters, $y$ and $s$ For natance, $t$ and $r$ have the same general shape, and are farly well distingaished, in that the downward strole of $t$ is uaually of aboat the same length as the horizontal atroke, while in $r$ it is generally abont twice as long, and in only one or two places is the difference noglected No dishnction 18 made betiseen long and short vowels, and the only special form that need be mentioned is nè in muné (l)

The exception just referred to occurs in the letters $y$ and $s$, and these are the only letters that canse real nncertanty Ordinarily $y$ has a pointed top and s a flat top, but the distmetion is very far from being observed here and is indeed moro often ignored, especially since sometimes the top has an metermediate roundish form and sometimes the letters are distorted by

[^151]being tilted over to the right Wo may confidently read $y$ in $1 y a$ Khavarlanri ( 1 ), and f in Sahya and sarra (1), bata (13 A) and saphatiga (13 B), bat mall other instances it is hatdly possible to say positively, solely from the shape of the letter, whethcr it is $y$ or $\zeta$, and regard must be had to the meaning This is well illustiated by tho word puyae which occurs thrice in 12 and onec in 13 B Tho $y$ has a different shape in each of thece phaces; in the last place it has undoubtedly the form of $s$, and in all tho other places its appeamace is far more that of $\{$, than of $y$. In all these instances then what looks hhe $z$ is really $y$ Hence it is clear wo must be prepared for similar confusion in other passages where one or other of these letters is intended, and the deesion mast iest mainly, if not ontirely, on the sense Thus we can read mithout doubt $y$ in Artamistyara (1) and in padiyamsam (ll 2 and 3 C), and $s$ un the words husala (12), mahnsa (1l 2 and 3 B) and also in padtyamsam. Therc roman somo words in which $y$ or $s$ is combined with a vowel mark or another consonant, nad. since $y$ is written sometimes with a flat or rounded top, there would be nothing surprising if its top is made wide in order to find room whero tho rowel marks $z$ and $\bar{o}$ havo to be insericd, so that $y /$ and $y \bar{o}$ would then look hike si and sj Aecordingly $y$ and not $s$ appenrs to be the correct reading in ladalayıgra (1), paryata (1 3 A), palayıza (1 3 B), and yō adra (1 3 A), while ; is right in sōcha (1 2), while what looks liho asamśrana (1 4) is doubtful These words will be discussed in tho Notes anfra.

The characters for $b$ and $v$ require some notice $V$ propet approximales to the choracter for $b$, bnt they are distingousked in that the top line 15 flat in $y$ and 15 curied uprards on the left side in $b$ Thas wo have $v$ iminalin vagra (thrice, If 1, 2) and rihana (11 1, 4) and mednal in bhavagra ( 3 A ) and avashatre ( 3 ), while $b$ occurs in bhradaba (12) nnd nabagıa (1 3 A ), and in conformaty therewith it ${ }_{18}$ proper to read $b$ rather than $v$ in pardiliabéh (l. 1) Another character is found for what is properly va, whoh oceurs only as a modial and is eridently distinguished from 2 a proper, for it is always small and mathout tho strohe to the left at the bottom It is nsed in bharain nlways, also in bhagrazala (1) and partaña (13 C), and apparently in Khavadamri (1.1) This difforenco in shape may denote a dufference iu raluc, and this small form may perhaps havo bad tho sound of $w$

No distinction appears to be recognized between the letters $n$ and $n \quad \Delta$ slight difference may be percerved between the character in meena (1) and mulēna (1 2) and that in Habhtuna (12) and avashatragana (13C), and that conetitutes the difference which Buhler has shorn in his Table I, cols $x$ and $x 1$, bat his form of $n$ ocenis here, not only in words where it should pioperly appear as in sarına and Rōhana ( 13 B ), bat also in words where it is madmissible as in mēena (1), mulēna (12) and satvana (13A) Therc appeais thereforo to be no renl distinction obserred between $n$ and $n$, and this is what Prof Lüders has noticed in his piper on the Taxila vase (E I vin, 297)
A. letter that presents some diffeulty is that which I read as di in gadzgrēna (1. 1) and padiyamsam (twicell 2,3 C) It 18 one and the samo in all three places, for 1 ts form in the finst and second places is adentical, and, though it varics somewhat in tho thrd place, jet it occuns there in precisely the samo expression agra blaga padıyamsam as in the second, and must be the samo in these two places ${ }^{1}$ Hence it mast be read the samo in all. It is certainly

[^152]









 form of $d$












 conctuct on of the fontese sapports thin inforonce it is not necessary to suppose that the datere is inturd after hatati bere. for the conatraction with bhath is cleanls changed


 nexter nominatno coding in $n$ (bey $p$ 209) Thas chamater can hardly donoto $n$ final $m$, bectuse m doss not occar nan fiml in Prikit, as far as I hoor, cxecpt in poetiry whero a
 it would come prastictlys to the fame result if thin character bo read as fimel $m$

A letter ocenta ninch appear to ly a new form of ph. It 15 disonesed in tho noto on tho word taphotigu ( 3 B), it gra, p. 217

 sana ( 1.3 A) and the first zarim ( 3 B ), aud as apparently intended by the sumilar charaotor Whthent the loop in the second atarena (1. 3 B ) Tenppearn to bo menut by tho $t$ with tho upright hue added in eatrana ( 3 A ), and $n$ now loter mhoh I tako to be mbe in tambimre (1) is drecnesed in the Notes (p 213)

[^153]The letter $y$ as the second membor of a componnd lettor is well illustrated here, being formed by a rightward hook added to tho timl of the first member as in arapyata (13 B). Since the tail 18 often curved to the left, the addition of the hoot gives tho appearance of a doable ourve as in Kamagulya (1) and tya in Mftyagasya (130), or even a triple curvo nsin Sahya (1). This conjoint $y$-mark 19 added to another letter which iesembles $a$ ar $n$, bat the eomponnd can only denote sy in the genitivo termination sya becauso of its position It as best formed in masya (1 1), Hōvēshlnsya (12), Vagra Maıāyrasya (1 2) and Mıtyagasya (1. 3 C), somewhat vaınant in Mashtına Marëgıasya (1 2), nad badly shnped in Marēgrasya (1).

The mark for $r$ as the second ne uber of a compound letter 15 a stroke to the rightatached to the lomest point of tho first member It occurs often and iases somo interesting questions. Dr Thomss found on tho Mathuia Lhon-capital that conjunct $r$ is so represented and also in two other ways, namcly, by a similar stiohe to the left and by a dot (E I 1x, 137) The dot is not so used in this inseription The strohe to tho left oecurs often, but has no engaifienvee, thas (to cite only a few instances) it 1 s added to an mitial $a$ sometimos as in Artamisyasa (11), agra sometimes (112,3) 3nd arrpyuta (13 B), to the Grst 9 a bnt not the two others in maharaja rajatıaja ( $\mathbf{1}$ ) and to bhr in bharatu somotimes but notalmays (11 2, 3) These illantrations also shew that it cannot denoto the donbling of a letter, nor a distinction between the vowels $a$ and $\bar{a}$, nor the vowel $u$ or $r 2$ It seems to be a mere flomish in funghing the tails of letters writton from right to left

This stroke to tho right occors chiclly with the letlor ga, which represents the snffix ka and 18 thns a very common termination'and a vory noofnl critemon for dividug the words It occaıs with $t$ in avashatrı (13C), thriee with $d$ in pudra (1 1), midra (12) and adra (13A), ${ }^{1}$ and once $w i t h b h$ in $b h r a d a b a$ (1 2). In all these words, oxcept perhaps the first, thas $r$ stroke represents a real $r$, and it is only in conjunction with $q$ that uneertanty arises

Tho letter $g$ occars both with and withont this $r$ stroke The downward line of $g$ ends straight in palayuga ( 3 B) and bhaga (twice in 13 C ), and has the shght leftward flonrish in aröga (1 3 A), Saphatıga (13 B), avashatrigana nnd Mılyagasya (1 3 C), and samghigana (1 4) In all other places the rightward stroke appears, and in discussing it we must divide the inscription into two parts, the first pait down to bhazagra ( 13 A ) and the second comprising the remander In the first part it has two shapes, Grst a horizontil stroke more or less straght, as in gaḑgrèna, hadalayıgra, Marıgra and bhagravada (1), Marēgrasya (11 1, 2), bhagraè, natıgra, sambhaingrana and bhagra (12), nabagra and biavagra (1 3 A), and secondly, an apward carve which appears always in Vagra (11 1, 2) and agra ( 12 triee) In the first part then the carved form represents a genuine $r$ in agra and apparently also in Vagra, and the straight form 18 nsed in all the other cases and alwayg incorreetly, for oven in Mraregra and Marigra the gra probably does not contan a real $r$ In the second part, however, the $r$ stroke is never added to $g$ except where there is a real $r$, as in agra (l 3 C ) and parigraha (1 4), and then it is denoted by the stragght horzontal stroke which is the correct form

It appears therefore that it was discovered while the record was beng inscribed on the rase, that the straight $r$ stroke was being impropurly inserted, and that the fanit was corrected ofter the word bhavagra, hence the difference betreen the frst and second parts The horizontal form, which is the correct sign, is nsed with $g$ in the second part, and with all other consonants thronghont the inscription, wherever a real conjunct $r$ oconrs, bat in the first part a distinction was made in the case of $q$, the horizontal stroke being improperly employed to represent an anreal $r$, and the earved form being ased to donote a real $r$ This pecaliarity was discovered and rectified after the word bhavagra aq mentioned. That word ocenrs in the middle of what soems to be a poetreal quotation (see p 218) Was it some discnssion abont the qu, tation that

[^154]rased the question of orthography? Thus it might have been notieed that the addition of the unreal $r$ vitiated the metre, as it certainly does an that word Whatever be the explanation, it is certann that theie is a dufference in the nse of the $r$-stroke with $g$ in the two portions

The persistent and nacorrect addition of the horizental $r$ stroke to $g$ thronghont the first part cannet howerer be aceldeutal, bat must have seme significance, and suggests that at denoted a modifieation of $g$ Such a modrfication could hardly be anything else than to give $g$ the seund of $\varepsilon$ After I came to this conclusion, I found that $D_{1}$ Thomas had pat forward a simnlar suggestion with regard to the peculaa $h r$ which 18 employed on the Mathara Inon-capital (E I ix, 137) There 15 however an important difference betwcen this inseription and those on that capital, namely, that thoie an orginal $g$ is hardened to $h$, as in blahravato (=bhagavatō) and nal taraasa ( $=$ nägarahasa), whlnle hero an origiaal $h$, when not initial and not compounded, 18 (as will be explaned, see belorr) softoned to $g$ It seems probable therefore that $g r$ in the first pait here had the sound of $\varepsilon$, and that $h r$ on that eapital had a seund like $\tau$. It will be convenient to tiansliterate this ducritieal $?$ as an italie

Similar to the $r$ stroke 18 a straight stioke to the right, attached to the lewest pount of the eharaeter for $m i$ It obvionsly denotes semething different from plain $m 2$, which eecars in Artamisıyasa (1), madra (1 2) and Mityagasya (130) All the instanees in whoh it appears are singulai loeative cases, and as the loentive singalar in the Prakrits ends in mho or mma, we may justly take thas character $m \mathrm{me}$ as meaning $m$ hs It ean bardly denote $m m$, becanse this means a donbled $m$, and letters aie nerer writton double an this script It will however be convenient to tuansliterate this stioke also as an italio $r$

It seems therefore frem this examination that the straight $r$ stroke was used as a diacritieal sign to denote a modification of tho man conbonant in certan cases, certainly with $g$ and $m$ hero and with $k$ on the Inon-capital, in the way of giving that consonant a rougher, thicker or donbled sound

The language 18 a form of Prâkrit and in discussing its character the phonetic changes may be noticed first, and the infectional forms afterwards Vowels reman the same as m Sanskrit cxeept that ant is reduced to $\overline{0}$, and $r i$ is replaced by $a$ or $\imath$ There 18 no olear mstance of the elision of consonants (except $\Omega$ final $t$ once), net oven of the semi-vowels $y$ and $v$ which are so hable to snffer mu Präkrit, except $y$ of the genitive termination sometmes Speaking generally the only changes that oceur among consenants are, first, a unform softening of all mingle tenues or hard censonants of the five classes to ther cerrespending medim or soft consenants, and secendly, the assmilation of the more diffienlt compound consenants The ohanges may be convenently set out in the form of rules

Rule 1-Sanskrit tenues or hard consonants, when not initial and not componnded, are changed to their cerresponding medim or seft consonants This helds good for $k, t$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$ thronghout, ${ }^{1}$ exeept $t$ in verbal terminations Thas $d$ represents an original $t$-bhagravada $=b h a g a v a t a$ ( 1 ), mada-prdara $=m \bar{a} t \bar{n}-p ı t a r a$ and $b h r a d a b a=b h r a ̈ t a b a(12) \quad B$ represents an orgınal $p$-parıdhabēt $\imath=$ parıdhäpētı (1) and nabagra=napagra (1.3A) And $g$ represents an orignal $k$, and thus the cemmonsuffix $k a$ appears here as $\mathrm{ga}^{2}$ or (with the diaeritical $r$ stroke dscussed above) as gra-so natıgra=natzka and sambhatzgra=sambhatika (1 2), nabagra= nrıpaka (13A), jalayuga=jalāyuka (13B), and samghrga=samghtka (1 4) Whother thıs correotive apples to the name Mfaregra and ite variant Maragra is doubtful, yotit does probably becanse the stroke in them is that of the unreal $r$ One exception ocours, rajatiraja (1. 2), in which the original $t$ has been preserved, probably beeanse it is a special royal title

[^155]This rule applied also to $t^{1}$, thus padyamsamevatyyamsam (11 2,3 C) for whieh sec ralo 6 Only one case of medial ch ocenis, namely, söcha (1. 2), and thero the ralo did not hold good if socha=Skt satucha (bat soe rule f) The rulo probibly apphed to aspirated tenuess, bat no instance occurs

As mentioned above, an orginal $t$ in reibal terminations remams nnehanged; thus tre find parulhabëtr (1 1) and blavatu (11 2, 3).

Rule 2 -Rule 1 apphed also where an original medial $t$ was eomponnded with $r$-thus pudra=putra (1), and midra=mitra (12) The akshara in these words as clearly dr and not $t$ or $t r$, for it has esuctly the form of $d$ (as in dachhntaé, $13 \Delta$ ) with the $r$ stroke, and there 18 no instance here where an undoubted $t$ approximates to $d$ in shape as it appears to do at tumes on the Lion-capital : Bat $t$ combined with any other consonant was not softened, $\mathrm{c} g$, amtara $=$ antara (13A), and see note on arupyata ( p 217) The $t$ in Arlantstyasa (1) remans unchanged, but this 15 a Greek word

Fule 3 -Consequently in reading this inscription it mast be noted that, where a meda or soft consonant ocenrs as a non-initial, it may repiesent an original medin or (since consozants are never written donble here) a doubled media, or the eorresponding tenus; thus $d$ ean represent original $d$ or $d d$ or $t$, and similarly $g$ and $b$ So $d=o n g i n a l d$ in saila (1 3 B ), and $=$ original $t$ m nada (12), and $b=b b$ in bhradaba $\because$ hach $=67$ uätabba

Rule 4-Where a tennis or hard consonant appears here sungly (or combined with $r$ or $r$ ) and as a non-intial (exeept $t$ in verbal terninations), it represents a donbled letter, for otherNise it would have been modified according to rule I Thns as regards $t$, nategra $=$ natitina $a=$ nattika (by rule 1), and sambhatıgra=sambhattzgra=sambhattiha (12), satza=satta (13 d), and arıpyata=arūpyattü (13B) Sücha would follow this rule af we read sochcha=a possible Skt form sauchya, bat see rulo 1 So also in the case of aspurated tenues, thus, dachinnä= $=$ dachchhınāe (1 3 A ), and śaphatıga=sapphatizga ( 13 B )

Rule 5 -Conjunct $y$ appears only where respeet requared the full form of the word, as an the personal names whether in the nomantiro or in the genitive case, Famagulya (1), Marēgrasya (ll 1, 2), Hḕēshhasya (12), and Mrityagasya (13C), and on tho specal term arupyata in a quotation (13B) Elsewhere it 15 assumalated, as in Artamsiyas(s)a (1 1), and


[^156]bhabba) ; or 25 mentrad into $2 y$ as in padyamsiam from Sht patyomia, bat it remina when


Reto $G$-Conjunct $r$ as the seend member of an memin conzonant is dropped, thus
 braliced the follaming $t(112,7 C)$ and sain=vata ( 3 A ), except in bhataba ( 2 ) where it has penseted pariaps in ennsonneo with the old Pers an bhatar, "brother" In a mednal
 (13 B), or as the second member, is in agra (11 2, 3 C) and pargraha (1 4), and nee Rule 2

The changes in the consorments then, it will be seen, are not mandom (if one may use the word) as so often ceems to bippen in Prihit, but follow a consistent plin, so that, if nay word be re-adjusted acording to these ruleq, it apperrs at once as actan Sanshrit on but one step remosed therefrom

In considenng the infectional forme, it will be convenent to cato the words as they wonld appear in thear more coneet Prikrit form when e-adjusted accordiug to the foregong rales, that 15, to cite them from the reading in italics ( $p 210 \mathrm{f}$ )

The Prabrit of this inscription haq more aftinites with Ardbn-jlägadhi thinn mith Śruraoöni, if we compare the grammation termmations that occur with those given by Paschel ${ }^{1}$ The



 ming ends in mst, from which casily comes the form $m$ m, that 15 found in Palit out not in any other Prilat, I belneve Tho gentive plursl ends in ann, as in samblationina (l 2),
 duslects, but not apparentlo an Śaumsini

There are other peculntities which mark this Priknt The nomin singular masculinc of
 grafia ( 14 ), except in the poctical quotation in $3 \Delta, B$, where tho $\overline{0}$ form appears in you and
 both wheh words appear to be considered nenter instead of mascuhne, but tocha (1 2), wheh should bo neater, docs not support this rulo nad suggests that tho nomin also erded in a and the acens ends in $a$, as in sarira ( 1 ) The gomitive masculne las its ordinary ending sa as in Arlamsiyaza (1 1), bat when nsed honouficilly bas its full form sya, as ma Margasya (11. 1, 2), Hörshlasya (1 2) and Matyagasya (1 3 C) Fio nother noun bises may be noticed the genitive bhagavata Säl jamusic (1), which=Skt bhayatatah Siühyantnēh without the viearga which disappears in Prikrit, ${ }^{2}$ and a form ra of the gontive angalar of noun-baseq ending in $\bar{n}$, that 1s, Skt $r$, as in matä-ptara (1 2), whilh is disenesed in the Notes ( $p$ 215) Ameng prononns wo find ésha used as a peuter nomm (l 4) ${ }^{3}$, and from 2 dam, not only tho mstramental singular $2 m i n a^{4}$ (11 1, 2), bat also probably a new biso $2 y a^{5}$ Among tho very few verbs that occar may bo noticed $b h_{\bar{i} y} y \bar{a}$ the apocopated form of Sht bhāyat (1 2) ${ }^{6}$

The inscription records that in the year 31 and in Euviabka's reign, Famagnlya (oi perhaps Kamakalya, by rulo 1), son of Vagra Marcga (or perhaps Marükn, by rulo 1), zuterred a relic of

[^157]Buddha at the Vagra-Mariga monastery, and enunciates a series of prous aqpirations in fevour of tha Emperor Huvishka, his own father and relatives, a neighbouring Raja and other persons and all creatures generally The last line states that the monastery was bestowed on the Mahāsanghika sect among the Buddhists

The dedicator Kamagalya does not say he has founded the monastery, nor does he umply that he dedicates the relic at the time of the foundation, but he aimply declares that he places the relic in this vase inside a small vault within the monastery ${ }^{1}$. The natural inference there fore 18 that his father Vagra Maiega fonnded the monastery before and named it after himself, and that Kamaguiya afterwards interied the rehe within it The last line does not conflict with this inference, because it seems, both from its purport and also fiom the larger letters in which it is written, to be a separate declaration, iecorded no doubt as a safeguard for the Mahāsanghuha séct on this sacred vase which wonld be sure of reverent preservation, and because it may be read in the past tense just as well as in the present, there being no verb.

The father Vagra Marēga 18 styled a mahīsa or local Rāja He bears the name or title Marēga and so does his grandson (Kamagulya's nephew) Hashtuna, but Kamagalya does not use this name for humself, and only says that he has fixed his residence at Khavata, the very place whore the monastery was One is tempted to infer that Maregga is an appellation derived from some town or district, that Vagra had his home theie or was ruling thene, and that Hashtana was also living there, perhaps as Vagra's hen-apparent (for Hashtuna's father 18 not mentioned and may have beon dead) Kamagulya speaks of his grandchildren (son's sons) bat not of has son, hence it would seem that his son was dead

None of the names mentioned, except Rohana who was also a mahisa or neighbouring Rāja, appears defintely to be Iudan Vagra might be read as Vakra (by rule 1), and thrs name and Kamagulya (or Kamakulya) may have Indian affinities, but Hashtuna seems more like an Iranaan name ${ }^{2}$ Moreover Marēga (or Marēka) is not Indian, but snggests Marg, the old name of Merv, or other places of similar name which exist southward of Kbawat It seems probable that tine dedicator and his famly were Persian The only other name mentroned, Mityaga (or perhaps Mityaka, by rale 1), appears almost certannly to be Greek ${ }^{3}$

I will now set out the transliteration of the inscription and interhneate with it in italics the more ordmary Präknt forms of the words, as they would appear if re-adjusted in the light of the foregoing rales and of the discussion on the dacritical $r$ stioke $A B I$ iead the inscription, all the different charicters aie treated as distinct and each has the same valne consistently throaghont, and the supposition that there are errors in it is reduced to the smallest limits, in fact, only three words appear to have mistahes, namely rajatrbaya (12), ǎıya (1 3 A) and asamśrana (14) 一with perhaps avashatr also (13C) ${ }^{4}$

## TEXT.



[^158]Lmes I and 2


W GRIGGS \& GONS LTD PHOTO-L


| Vagra-ILamgra-z haramrs | tumbimr | bhagravada | Sohya-munē | Garira |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vagra-Martja-tharamht | tumbmht | bhagavaia | Sähya-mutè | surira |

paridhabits
parddhipita
a Imenn husala-mulonz Imráa husala-măľna


Marin-puara mí payai bhnvata Bhradibn mè Hashtuna-Marẽrosya payaé


 Mrahss cha Vagra-Maregrasya agra-bhagm-pahyaminm Mahisa cha Fagra-Marēgaчya agra-bhäga-palıyamsam

 blasagra jo ndıa-tamtar-amdin-jis jalnynga baphatiga arupyata sarvins bhấala yö āddra-antara-anda-jo paläyuha sapphaltaha arūpyaltã särvina puyai blavatu Mahsa cha Rōnona $\ddagger$ sada-sarvinat avashatrigana
 ba-namarz cha agra-bhaga-padiga(m)Sam blarvitu MItyagasya cha agza-bbaga sa-partära cha agia-bhäga-phleyamsam bhatatth Mhtyagasya cha agra-bऔāga bhavatn $\ddagger$ Wheratse
4 Dsha vibamm asamsrama Mahasamghgana pangzaha Lisha uthäran acamstaya or ãchiryana3 Mahäsanghthana pangiaha

## TRANSLATION

In the year 5l, on the duy I6 [of the first hale ?] of tho month Artomisios. By means of thas tase Vagra Narēga's son Kamagulya, who has fixed his residence in this place Ehavata, iutcis a relio of the Lond Sakya-mum mondo n vault mithn tho Vagra Mariga monastery

By means of this martorions fonndation - may it (the rehe) tond to the pre-eminont lot of the great hing, the sazeran of hinga, Efōeshlea! May it tend to the venomation of my parents! May it tend to the vonciation of my brothon's son Eashtuna Marega! May there be purity for mol May it tend to the venoration of my grandsons, frrends and associates ! And may there be a share of a procminent lot for the tenstorial lord Vagra Marega! May it tend to the bestowal of perfeci health on all beinggl May at tond to the veneration of all these, namely, the smatly hag, $\$$ him who has obtainod tho coudition of having mastered tho docinac, the creature which 18 born from morstaic, from a womb (?) on from an egg, the creature whose lifo is m water, the gramnivoious anmal and the incorporoal soul! And

[^159]combination with $b$, and haidly probible in combination with $v$, for $2 v$ would be a doubled $v$ and letters aro not written doublo hero Only $n$ thercfone sceme possibln, and the eharacter is presumably mbe (or maz) In support of this at may bo obsorted, that w could findly be erpiessed by the nsual curve for $m$ (see $p$ 205) ndded to $t u$, and could therefore be only maicated by nomondartion to the letter $b$ ( 0,1 ) to form the compound $n b$ (or mu) The
 word seoms possible, and tho only mferemo that anges is that thath (or thonti) is a forergn nord adopted and Pribritized Sinco tho cotebral $i$ is aesd to denote the Gieek $t$, as in Lirlamisiya, tumbi suggests the Greek wod tumbin", the dimenutive of tumblos, "a sopnichral chambor or valt," T'embion wonld becomo fumbuga in Prihnt and might be bhotened to tumbs in ondmay parianeo Grech afluence was strong in this region, and thonhons would bo an approprato woid to denoto the substantally constracted carity or cupoln in the tumulas in which the rase was found (see $p$ 201) This rondenng 28 surprising, jot none other secms to me possible fiom the seiuting.

Bhagrarada Sahya-munā has been disenfsed nbove (p 209)
Parudhabēt $=$ parıdhäpētı (by ralo 1) =Skt parthápayats, enaçsl of part-dha, and means "encloses" Tho usanl term to express tho installation of a a ehe-shrine is paththüreth, and this suggesta atself as the word intended here $T h$ and $d h$ are amblar and the thard alshana might be read oither way, bat patithabent (as patithatent might appoar here br rulo d) does not appear to bo intended for three reasons based upon tho wrating, the grammar and the sense First, the second akshara is cortanly not $t$ but $r$, fon atagrecs ovactly will the two $r$ a whech immedately peeedo in the rord sarira, seconils, if at were intended, it rould presumably appear as padzthabèt or perhaps padifhabētz (by rules 1 and 4) and di or de could hardly lead to an erroncous re, and thrdly, this word mast be taken with aneena gadigiona, in wheh tho rcho was enclosed, and pardhäbët sults the contert better than pahthinueth

## Inne 2

Kusala-mūlēna - This expression ocears on tho Mankfyāla stono, nad is also a techment Buddhist term As a teehnical term- The thee Jinsalamillac, "roots of goodness or gronndwork of morit" are alobho, adoso, amoho, freedom fiom covetonsness, fiom anger, and from ignoranco' ${ }^{\prime}$ but this interpretation is unsurtablo here, and the expression hete presamably means something different, as Piof Ludors also apparently holds when dealing with the Mānukyāla stonos It may mean "well-concerved fonndation," " wirtnous endowment," if hifala be taken as an adjective, and "root of well-bong," "soarce of meritorions action," if husala be taken as a noun ${ }^{4}$ Hero from its general adaptability it may amply all these moanngs with reference to the relic

Agra bhäga-Agra means "foromost, pre-eminent," and bhäga "portion, lot, destiny" The word might be read blagga (by inlo 3), whinch would=Sht blagya, "fortane, lot, destmy" The meaning is the same enther way M Souarthas pointed out that agrabhaga here corresponds
 pratyamsatāyāstu ${ }^{5}$ Aguēlhīva denotes a stito ol condition, and agrabhaga here must presumably imply tho same, "a pre-eminent lot," and not " $a$ first shme" $I$ do not understand

[^160]to what bhăga as a "share" conld refor, ${ }^{1}$ wherens a condition 18 intelligıble and natural He first translated agrabhäga as "prosperity," but afterwards agiced with Buhle" to seck in it a direct allusion to the acquisition of bodhi ${ }^{2}$ I would inther suggest that, since the expicsson appears to denote a condition, it refers to Buddhahood With the woid pratyamsa added (see note to padzyamsam, infra), "a share of Buddhahood" scems to be as appiopiaty as "a share of bodhi" The crpression can hardly refer to any worldly blussing, beciuse in that case is it not rather witless to utter such $a$ wish on behalf of Huvishka, who enjoged alieady the highest position on earth?

Bhavatu is used here with the dative, as agia bhāgāe and puyy $\bar{e} \quad$ Since the dative implies ' purpose, intention, aim,' bhavatn with the dative means " may it be for the puipose of," " may it be rith an am towards," that is, "may it tend towards," "may it operate towads"

Mada-pıdara=mātā-pıtaıa (by rule 1) This must be the genitive of mātā-pıtä twited as a singular noun,$^{3}$ and it 18 so treated in the Tavila plate, where the accus mata-putcorm ocenrs ( $\mathrm{E} \mathrm{I}_{17} \mathrm{~F}, 55$ ) The genitive must be emplojed hore after päy $\bar{a} \bar{e}$ as in all the othe similar sentences This appears to be a new form of Prakrit genitive, and the nealest approach to it is plaraha which is a gentive of p2tan ${ }^{4}$ Its real formation appears to be this-plana=pitanah (the visarga disappearing in Piakpit) which wonld be correctly formed as a genitice fiom pitar (which is the essential base of pitri), ${ }^{5}$ just like $g n a h$ from $g i r$ and chanalh fiom char in Sanskrit ${ }^{6}$ The declension of pitrin Sanskrit shows eimilar forms from the base pitar, as pitarann, pitarau, pitarah and pitarı

Pūyāe - $P \bar{u} j \bar{a}$ means more than "hononr" and imples some degiee of veneration or rever-


Bhradaba as the word clearly is and not bhradaıa Hashiuna Maıēgrasya is in apposition to this word and not to $m \bar{e}$, for the declarant as Kamagulya and $m \bar{e}$ refers to him, and the meaning is that Hashtnar Marêga is the bhradaba in relation to mé, that 1 s , Kamagulya Më 19 mserted parenthetically, like cha in mahıśa Vagra Mareëgrasya (1 2) and in mahnsa Rōhana (1 3 B) Bhradaba cannot well be treated as a mistake for bhradara on the analogy of the preceding mãtā-pitara and so taken as the genitive of $b h r a \bar{d} d \bar{x}$, because (1) it 18 contray $y$ to the geneal practice to inflect two words in apposition as would happon if we read bhradara Hashtuna-Marëgrasya, and (2) it is unnocessary to suspeot any mistake Indeed one should hesitate to sappose there is an error in spelling, unless the mistake 18 patent (as in rajatibaja, 1 2) or the word actually written appenrs impossible (as in aviya, 13 A, and asambrana, 1 4), and oue should presume that what has been written is what was intended, if it has an intelligible

[^161]and appropriate meaning ${ }^{l}$ Nor can the word be iead as bhrada ba, treating ba as $=$ Skt $\imath \bar{a}$ or $\bar{e} v a$, becanse (1) Skt $v$ when single is not changed to $b$ here, and (2) neither nord would suit the contest but only cha Bhradaba is therefore one word and=briätabba (by rules 1 and 3 ), which=Skt bhrītruyya, "nephew," and the whole phrase $=$ Skt. mad-bhrātruvya-Hashituat. Marēgasya

Söcha has been diseussed abore (p 205) ${ }^{2}$
Bhuya=Sht bhūyāt, the final $t$ being dropped in Prākrit ${ }^{3}$ This word is well choscn bere to eonvey a less positive meaning tha, bhavatu Where the declarant expresses his desire on behalf of other persons he nses the imperative tense, bnt heis in attering his desire on his own behalf he modestly nses the precative

Natugra=natizha (by rules 1 and 4)=Sht naptrı $+h a$
Sambhatıgra=sambhattha (by rules I and 4) =SLt sambhahtrifha, "sharer, favourer"; here no doubt "paitisan, assoctate"

Mahrsa $=$ mahī̀a, Skt mahī $\bar{i} \times a$ It oecnus again in $13 B,{ }^{4}$ and, as the pensons named are inferior to the Emperor Hnushka, it evidently means a loeal Raja There were apparently tre Rajas in this part of the country, Vagra Marëga and Röhana

Padzyamsam - This word oscurs agan in 1 i C It bas been fully diseassed above (pp 204f,209, 215), where the surnlar expressions foond elsewhere have been mentioned, agrēbhāva pratyamsutāy $\bar{s} t u,^{5}$ agra-phatyasatāyè bhavatu, ${ }^{\text {T }}$ and bhatara Svarabudhrsa agrapatrasaē.7 It=patıyamsãm, (by iulc !) = Sht pratyamsa "division, share," as M Senirt and Prof Luders have pointed out I wenld inanslate agra-bhanga-padiyamsam as a "share in a preemment lot" It is to be noticed that this phrase is used only with reference to the two local Rājas, Vagra Marãga here and Rohana in 13 B , whereas full agra-bhäga is apphed to Huysshba and Mitfaga

## Inne 3

Aröga may =Slet a-röga, or stand for ärögga (by rule 3) and=Sht ärögya The meanng is the same, and the latter is probably preferable beeause ārōgya duhshquäyē is sard to occur on an inscription at Mathurã ${ }^{8}$

Azzya•nabagra - Avıya is no doubt a mistake for arvya, ${ }^{9}$ for the $v$ is as large as the usual size of $r$ and only the small stroke at the top of $r$ is wanting These words then=arya-

[^162]napaha (by rule 1)=Skt äryanaripa+ka, "the samily king" They might be taken separately as "the saint, the knug," if it 18 probable that the dedicator would have monoked a blessung not only on the saintly king, bat also on kings generally If so, the arya mast be distinguished from the s-āviha who is mentioned ncxt

Paryata-śava-bhan agra='paryatta siva-bhīvaka (by 1 nles 1 and 4) $=$ Skt paryãpta-śrāvabhävathai S̄īla which means "hearing" ?ppears to signify "learning, mstruction" here and the whole phrase to be a crrcnmlocution for srävaha

Yō adra amtara amda-jō-The first letter might be read as sō, bnt that could only represent Sht svas, "tomorrow," and is meaningless here The $j \sigma$ at the end clearly answors to $y \bar{o}$ at the beginnag, so that the whole is one long phrase, and, as no sandhi blends the intervening words as in the following words jalāynga, saphatiga and arupyata, the intervening words are obviously distnct and mnst each be read with ja, otherwise they would have had the same termination $\bar{o}$ netead of ending in $a$ The whole phrase therefore becomes yō adra-jo amtara- $\bar{\jmath}$ amda $-\rho \bar{\sigma}$, and as $a m d a-j \bar{o}$ cleanly means an "egg-horn creature" and the followng word jaläyuga means " $a$ creature that has ats life in water," adra-jo and amtara- $\jmath \bar{o}$ mast denote other great groaps of living creatures $A d r a$ (as the word appears to be, though the second letter 18 not clear even in the original) $=\overline{\mathbf{i}} d d r a$ (by rale 3 ) $=$ Skt $\bar{a} r d r a$, "moist", and this adjective 18 endently used substautively here, ${ }^{2}$ so that adra $9 \delta$ menns " a creature born from monsture," and=Pālı samsēda $-\eta \bar{o}$ Amtara $=$ Skt antara, "the interıor," and antara-jo may mean "born from the interior," and=garbha $1 a$, that 1 s , " mnmmalian"

Jalayuga $=$ jalãyuha (by rale 1) $=$ Skt jala $+\hat{a} y u+k a, ~ " a$ creature which has ita life in wate:" The word might be read as jalāyuhā or jala suha, which mean " $a$ leech," but such a narrow and unsatisfactory allusion 18 out of the question

Saphatiga -The word looks lihe Sasêtrga or sasuētıga, or we might read $y$ instead of $s$ in either or both places, sinco these two letters are not well distinguished (seop 203), but no such reading gives any sense, because the latter part whether read as ètrga or ettuha (as it should be by rules $l$ and 4) is an impossible ending, however we attempt to restore the word in Sanskrit The first letter certanly seems to be S The second letter however differs markedly from the first and diverges from $s$ or $y$, in that its left limb is prolonged mananally downward as in $p$ and $p h$ I am led therefore to think that it is not $s$ or $y$ but is a badly formed $p$ or $p h$, and that the stroke which resembles the vowel mark $\bar{e}$ is really the top part of $p$ or $p h$ shifted slightly to the right ${ }^{3}$ Of these two letters $p h$ is preferable, becanse the nght limb has an upward curve which $p$ does not possess and which is not the mark of $r$ in $p r$ As $p h 1 t$ is a new form, yet $p h$ has two different shapes in Bühler's Table $I$, so that its character was not ugidly settled Taking the second letter then as $p h$, we obtan a reading which is appropriate Saphatıga =sapphattzka (by rules 1 and 4) $=$ Skt sushpâttrı $+k a$ "an anımal feedıng on gress" This is no donbt a pretentions word, ${ }^{4}$ but it accords with the contrgaons words and oecrurs as will be seen in a poetical quotation

Arupyata=arūpyattā (by rale 4), "ıncorporeal soal " ${ }^{5}$ This may represent Skt arūpın + $\bar{a} t m a \bar{a}$, but not arüpya $+\bar{a} t m \bar{a}$, because arūpya does not have the meanıng "incorporeal" Pālı

[^163]has arūp $\bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{a} r u p p a$ ( $=$ Skt $\bar{a} r \bar{u} p y a$, formed directly from $a-r \bar{u} p a$ ), both mezning "incorporeal" Aıupyata might represent the latter word, if read as a $\bar{r} \bar{u} p y a t t \bar{a}$, but arüpyattă seems prefcrable, becausc the finst syllable is probably shoit sunce we have here presamably a sloka quoted

All thesc mords from ariya to arupyatal have a particularly literary sayle and rhythm, and here nlone occurs the nomin teimination $\bar{o}$ These featares suggest that we have a poetical quotation here, in which all the words were in the nomin singular as shown by the $\overline{0}$ form and the word autuyatta If then these words be adjasted according to the rnles set oat aborc, and the nomin form $\bar{o}$ be sestorcd, and $g \bar{o}$ be added to the two wolds from which it has been omitted, the passage falls into the sloka metre -

```
.. .. anya-mapako paryatta-şãva-bhävaho
Yō āddra-jo antara-jo anda-jo [cha] jaliynabo
Sapphattıho aripyatià?
```

Sarınna=Skt sürlinnām, gcnitive plural of $s \bar{\pi} v \bar{z}_{s}^{s}$ a feminine collective noun formed from saila, precisely like sämagri from samagra, and with the same meaning. It is used in the plaral, because it applics to each of the preceding terms

Sada=Sht sadac, "residcace, drellhng", sada-sīrví means "honsehold" It is used in the plual, probably honorifically, with reference to all the houscs that composed the residence of the Raja Rōlana

Avashatriga =avashatirita (by rales 1 and 4) $=$ Skt femin form avasahtri + h $\bar{a}$ But per: haps the $r i$ is a mustake for or a remmiscence of the rowel $r z$ of the mase form, and the word autended would be avasulutritha Arasaltris not actually found in Sanskrit, but would be a legitumate formation from the root ava-sañ (which does ocenr) and would mean "an adherent" The preposition ala sometimes changes a following $s$ to sh as in arashtambh and arashvan, and, though it does not so modify the root sañj in Sanshrit, yct it might do so in Prākrit, especially when there is a closcly allied root suañ, "to embrace," which would I imagine be modifed after ara Both roots would be allke in PrāFrit

Padiyamśann 18 discussed above ( $p$ 216) Tho medal $m$ is not so clear here as in that earler place

Mriyaga (or perhaps Mriyata by rule 1) seems zo be Greek both from its appearance and also from the use of the cerebral $t$, see Artamasıya (p 212), but the only Groek words I can suggest after cnquiry are métorhos, which means "a forengn settler" but is hardly a mame, and mätrôkos, in which the $h \hbar$ could hardly be represented by $k$ It is noterrorthy that the dedicator expresses the sama mish for full agra-bhäga for Mityaga as for Huribhba

## Lene I $^{\text {I }}$

Esha cinaram - Vihāra appears to be treated as a nenter noun, becanse vinăramin is clearly the nomin casc Esha 18 used as a neuter in Prākrit ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Asamśrana 1 s a dificult word. The second akshara is hike sam at the beginning of the inerription, and the thurd appears to be s or $y$ compounded with $r^{6}$, and if the former is sam,

[^164]the only tenable reading for the latter is sra, and the whole word would be asamsrana, but this seems impossible, if taken as one word, and if it is divided into asam srana, we only obtun two difficalt words, and mitial sris madmissible sinee it drops its $r$ as shewn in sĩva (see p 217). There seems to be a clerical erior in the word Two emendations may bo offered Finst, it may be a mistake for a-samsraya, "having no asplum or habitation,' tho gift of a vihāra to Mahāsanghikas who had no sanctuary would have been a natural aet of piety Or secondly, as Dr Thomas suggests, the sam mar be a mistako for the somowhat sumilar letter cha, and then the next letter may be read as rya, ${ }^{1}$ so that the word would be āchāryāna, genitive plaral of ächärya, "tescher" On the whole this seems preferable, ${ }^{2}$ and I have adopted it in the translation

## No. 21 -SURAT PLATES OF VYAGHRASENA, THE YEAR 241.

 Br Professof E Heltzsca, Pi D., Halie (Saale)In my artacle on the Pardi plates of tho Trakītaǩ hing Dahrasōna ${ }^{8}$ it was stated that the late lamented Mr A MI T Jackeon, I.CS, had in his hands an unpublished copper-plate grant of Dahrasēna's son and snecessor Vyäghrasēna $^{4}$ at my request Raı Bahadur Venkayya obtaned the original plates on loan threugh the Government of Bombay, Who were good enongh to permit them to be sent to me for inspection

As stated by Mr Jaekson, tho copper-plates come from Surat. They are two in number, each measurng between $9 \frac{1}{4}$ and $9 \frac{1}{2}$ inches in breadth, and about $3 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ nehes in height, and bear writing only on their maer sides They are comparatively thin and have no rased ums, but the writing on them is in a stato of farrly good preservation A number of letters are filled mith rerdigns and therefore have not come out on tho impressions, though then onthnes are quite visible on the original plates An in the case of the Pärdi plates, thero are two nong-holes at the bottom of the mseribed side of the first plate, and two eorresponding ones at the top of tho seeond plate A long eopper wire is passed through tho two holes on the right, and its ends aro twisted round, but not soldered A seeond copper wire may bave held the plates together on the left, but is now missing The total weight of the plates and wire is 50 tolas

The alphabet 1 g of an early sonthern type The Jibvaminliya oeears once (1) and the Upadhmãniya five times (ll 4, 5, 6 (twice), and 14) In three instanees ( $m a, 19$, and $l a$, 1113 and 18) the secondary form of $\bar{a}$ is expressed by a hook at the bottom of the preeeding consonant The date at the ond of the inserption eontans the abbreviation sam (for sameat) and the numorical symbols $3,5,10,40$, and 200

The language is Sanskrit prose, but two verses of $\nabla$ yäsa are qnoted near the end The rules of grammar and of sandhi are carefully observed, only 11 10-14 contann a few blunders, and 18 two elerical mistakes Anusvära is replaced by $n$ m vansa (1 5) and vansya (1 12)

The msseription reeords a grant of land to a Brāhmana by the Mahärāja Vyāghrasēns ( 7 f ) of the Traikūtaka family ( 1 ), who $18 s n e d$ his order from "the vietorions Aniruddhapurà" (1 1) This city, which appears to have been the capital of the Traikātaka kings, is mentioned also in the slightly different form "the victorions Anruddhapari" as the place of residence of the donee in the Bagamrã plates of [Kalachum-]Samat 4065 Vyinghrasénn

[^165]clams to have ruled the Aparünta country (12) This sinteraent farmshes an intaresting confirmation of tro serses of Kilidisa's Raghuzam $a$ (iv. 58 f), where the mountam Trikuta, from - Thich tho designation of the Trakntaka family mast bo derircd, is placed in the territory of the king of Aprinti - Acrording to the Yazayantiz (cd Oppert, p 37, verne 35), which is quoted by Mallinätha on Raghuvansa, iv 53 , the chef place of Aparanta seams to have been Sürpāraka, the modern Sōparā, with whel I fecl tempted to identafy Anruddhapura, the oapital of the Trakūtakas The objeet of Vyäghrasēna's grant was the bamlet Puröhitapallikä in the Iksharaki distr.et (īhära, 1 8), which I am nabli to idenify Fiom the name given to the hamlet we may perhapa infer that the donoe, Nagasarman (i 10), was the king's family priest (purōhuta)

The date of the grant was the l5th tithi of the bright fortnight of Kartika in the year 241 of an unspeelfied ers (1 18) As we know from coms that Vyighrasena was the son of Dahrasēna, ${ }^{3}$ whose Pärdı plates are dated in [Kalaehurı-]Sampat 207, the date of the new inscription mast be also referred to the Kalachuri ora of A D 249, and the specified month places the reeord in AD 480 or 481

The fullowiug short pedigree comprises all that we know from coms and msenptons regardug the Traikūtaía kings of Aparānta, residing at Anuruddhapura. -


TEXT.4
Turst Plato, Second Stde
1 स्ति विजयतिरुदपरान्त्नेटूटकान[T] मातापिटपादानुद्यातो भगवत्पादकर्मकरफ्कर-गतक्रमायत-
2 लौीतापरान्तादिदेश्यतिर्परिमितनृपतिनतचरणकमलस्सभुजपरिपालनपता-





[^166]
8 राजब्याप्रसेन: ${ }^{1}$ सर्व्वानवेच्चरव्याहादान्वर्ग्गत पुरोहित पनिक्राप्रतिवासिनो. ${ }^{2}$
9 स्हमाज्रापयति [1*] विदितमस्तु वो यथास्माभिन्मातापिनोधालनग्व खपुस्यांसबहढवे

Socond Plate, Furst Sude

11 प्रावेश्या सर्ब्वित्यविहिपरिहोणोग्राहारस्थित्यान्नयमोज्या ${ }^{5}$ याचन्द्रार्दीएयंव-
 द्वानायुब्बि-
13 योगानुगतद्युणाय दीग्घंकालानगुएान्दिगएय्य दानज्य गुएवतासवदातमपदान-
14 मिति पमाग्पोल्बत्य शूशिकर्युचि रुचिरण्विराय यर्शच्चिचोपुसिरिय ${ }^{\circ}$ पलिकादायोनुमन्तव्य $\times$ पT-
15 लचितव्यच [1*] यस्मादुक्तभ्भगसता वेदव्यासेन व्यासेन [1*] पूर्व्घदत्तन्ह्निनतिम्यो यनादच्त युषि-
 स्वर्गो मोदति
37 भूमिद[: |*] अाच्छेत्ता घानुमन्ता घ तनन्येव नरदे वसेदिति [॥ २ ॥*] प्रतिपृच्छ्ध लिखित मया महासान्थिमिग्र-


TRANSLATMON.
(Lnne 1) Hall From the victonous Anirudahapura, tho ginrivas Mraheraja Vyäghrasēna,- (who belongs to the famaly) of the Traikutakas, who madinates on the fect of (hss) mother and father, who 18 a servant of the fect of Bhagavat ( $V$ ishnpu), who 18 the lord of Aparannta and other meh countries, annexed or mhented (ly him), to whose lotas-feot mnomerable kngs are bowing, who has obtaned bright fame, periading all durections, by distributing the vast treasures acquired with has own arm by $10 \operatorname{lng}_{\text {g }}$ (hus lunydom) and by conquest, whose body is as brilliant as the autumal moon, whose conduct is as noble as that of excelient men of former tumes, who has been created, as it wore, as an example of good conduct, who has repellod neighbouring enemies, who as more distinguished than other kings, who has become the ornament of his family, who has occupred forts, catres, and oceans by armes of many groat beroes, whose nature is as doep as the ocean and as firm as the chiet of mountans (Hımălaya), who ravishes the hearts of men by nature, chose wealth is sharod

by scholars, refugces, clders, relatives, and dcvotees, (and) who has acquired desirable glory by practising self-rostraint in a manncr morthy of ( $h_{2 s}$ ) descent, - commands all residents of Purōhitapallıka ancluded in the Iksharaki dustruct ( $\bar{d} h a ̈ r a$ ) -
(L 9) "Bcit known to ynu, that, in oider to increase the ment of (Our) mother and father and ot Ourself, we have grantcd to the Brāhmana Nāgaśarman of the Bhāradvāja götra this hamlet ( $p a l l h i \bar{i}$ ), not to be cntered by megular or rogular soldiers, unless (an order to arrost) robbers or peisons guilty of high-ticason, exempt from all taxes and from forced labour, to be enjoyed, under the rulos of an agrahära, by (the donse's) descendants, (and) to last as long as the moon, the sun, the ocean, and the earth sball exist
(L 12) "Therefore kings belonging to Onr lineage and others, considering that sovereignty is liable to cessation, that life is followed by ecparation, and that (only) virtucs are lasting a long time, admitting the pimerple that gifts to virtnous men are noble achievements, and desurous of accumulating for a long tumc brilhant fanc, as bright as the rays of the moou, must approve and protect this grant of a hamlet
(L 15) "For the holy Vyansa, the arıangel of the Vèdas, has spoken -
[Herc follow two of the castomary verses]
(L 17) Having cnquared (regarding tho necessary detatls of the grant ${ }^{\text {) }}$ ), (thes elluct) was

 of Kirtinea

## No 22-FIVE BANA INSGRIPTIONS FRON GUDIMALLAMI

## By V Vevratia, MA, Rif Bamadop

Gudimallam 18 a village in the Kàlahastı Zamindaini of the modern Cbittoor District, abont 8 malcs from Rēmrguta Junction, and 13 miles soath-west of Kalahastı town On a hurried misit to the rillage which I pard in August 1903, I found important insciptions, and subsey-n $n$ tly a mombor of the Madias epgraphical establishment was dcputed to camine the place more leisurels In all 26 usouptions were copied in the Paraśurāmésvars temple at the village ${ }^{2}$ They bclong to tac Pallava king Nandippotitarayar (No 229 of 1903), the Ganga-Pallava kings Vijaya-Dantifikramavarman (No 226 of 1903) and Nripatunga (No 228 of 1908), the Bāna princo Vijayáditya (Nos 223 and 224 of 1903), the Chōla kings Parāntaka I. (No $225^{2}$ of 1003), Rãjakēsarivarman (No 222 of 1903), Vikrama-Chōla (Nos 212 and 213 of 1903) and Rijuaräja III (Nos 201-11, 214, 216-21 and 227 of 1903) The village is called Tiruvirperumbēdu in Sllar-nādu, a sub-divisıon of Vengada-kōttam in Perumbānappādı, a distriot of Eayangonda-Chola-mandalam. In the earher recolds (Nos 226, 223, 225, 229 of 1903) the forma Tiruvippirambēdu and Tiruvirpirambēdu (Nos 228 and 224 of 1903) and Tiruvirperumbedu (No. 222 of 1003) occur In thieo of them, the vallage as mentioned withont the duetrict to which it belonged (Nos 223, 226 and 229 of 1903). In four others Silai-nādu and Vengadu-kottam are added If we aceept the form which occurs in the earicest inscription, vim Tirucuptrambètu, the name may boannlysed anto Tiruveppiran and pēdu Fippiran is apparently




[^167]below), Paraśurămiśvarattu-Peramānadıgnl (No 222 of 1903) and Paraśıāmiśvaramudanyāı (No 221 of 1903) Thas the temple is invariably callod Parasaramisvaia from the time of the earliest mscriptions down to the present day None of the cpigiaphs, however, furnshes any clue as to the circumstances which led to the chooce of this name

The subjoined mscriptions A to $E^{1}$ are the earhest recolds of the temple and are selected for publication as they thiow some light on the history of a feudatory family, that of the Bänas, the members of which appear to have played an moportant part in Southern India in ancient times a general note on the listory of this family is given on pp 229 to 240 , below Most of the stones on which these inseriptions are engraved were found lying in the court-yaid of the temple The fact that three of them register grfts to the Parasurāmisvara temple may be taken to show that the stones belonged onginally to it perhaps they bocime detached fiom the temple when it was built (or rebailt) daring the reign of the Chola king Vikrama-Chola,.

The palæography of these reconds does not call for any special remarks Attention may, however, be drawn to the fact that two dots placed one above the other are used as a sign of punctation in line 10 of $A^{3}$ The mitial vowel a 18 written on line 31 of $B$ and line 25 of D . exactly as in the Grantha portion of the Kâśākudı plates of the Pallava bing Nandivarman Pallavamalla, while the more common form of the letter occurs in line 52 of the formor record. Less important pecularitios are noticed in the footnotes to the text of each of the records As regards the language, vinch 18 Tamil, the only point that deserves to be noted is the nse of the phase chandrädrtyagatam (ll 32 te 35 of $B$, and 110 of $E$ ) instead of the more common charärādstyavat ${ }^{4}$

A records a gift of land for a lamp to burn in the Paraśurāmiśvara temple by a certan Nullurkilar who was ove of the members of the committee adminstering the villago of Tiruvippirambēdu The land granted was parchased by the donor from noother member of the same committee In B a third member of the same committee granted land for remoring silt from the tank called Velleeri at Tiravipprambeda Ont of the produce of thas land were to be met the charges for digging pits in the tank and depositing the salt on the tank-bund In $C$ the stone $1 s$ mutilated on the right side, so that the writing has suffered on three sides of it The missing letters on the first side can be restored with some certants, while on the third side restoration 18 not possible The object of the grant is consequently not clear, but it probably regısters the gift of alamp In $\mathcal{D}$ the Bāna queon Mādēvi-adıgal grunted 30 halañju of gold from the interest of which was to be met the expenditare on account of twilight offerngs and lamps to the Parasuranmírara temple at Tuavippurambidu The assembly of Tiravapprambēdu accepted the ondowment and undertook to proyide twilight offerings and lamps. E registers a gift of gold by a native of Viramangalam for a perpetual lamp The amount conssted of twonty kalañju of gold, and the meterest on it was calculated at the rate of four mañjădz per year for each kalañju Out of the meterest amounting to 4 lalananju, 180 nă ${ }^{2}$ of ghoe was to be purchased at the rate of 45 nalu for each halanju At one urz of ghee per day, 180 näl would be enough for the whole year The assembly of Tuavippuambeadu teok charge of the enderment and agreed to provide the ghee requured every day

[^168]The rolationship which the members of the committee or commigionora (ganattar) boro to the vallage aseembly is not clear In other wordg, ther reqpectro jarightiction is not kookn Tho comunssoners are sard to bo ruling tho millage Two other village beades Travipprambidu are at preseut known to havo possessed thas constitution, the Uthranmerar, tha inodorn Utfaramallür in tho Chingleput district and Ambandi, the molorn Ammordi near 'Iarurallam in the North Arcot District ${ }^{2}$ Theso beom to lane been pretty leme whlmes. Perhaps the commasioners woro expected to ropresent the anterests of the king

A - Inscription of the timo of Vikramaditya Mívali-Vanaraya: dated in the 23rd gear of Nandeppōttarayar*

TEXT

```
Svast \(\quad\left[\|^{*}\right] \quad\) Sri-Nanti \((n d i)-\)
ppōti*]tarayark-
ku yāndn ıru-
battu-mungrā-*
vadu Vikeıramã-
ditte(tas)-Mãvalı-
Vānarājar Vadu-
gavalı-mērka
prıthivuàjyañ̃=
jeys: 5 Thruon[p*]pira-
mbēdaūlan=gana-
ttăral Munlırakı[a]-
25 20v-ür sabharyommam
20 nattu=ttiraviakkukkēy riga ennas kolvadaga=ppautiom \({ }^{7}\) [ \(\left.\|^{*}\right]\)
```


## TRANSTATION

Hall In tho twenty-third year (of the reign) of the glonious Nandippottaranyar,-while Vilkramẽdıtya-Mãvalı-Vānaräya was ralıng over the Vadugaralı-mêrku (provnce), Mallırknlār, (one) of the members of the commitee (gana) admimstering (the village of) Tiruni[p]pirambèdu, purchased by a deed of sale (the field called) Vëppambolappail (from) Kanyyar Agnisarman of Kulaippalür, (one) of the members of the committee adminstering this willage, and gave (it) to (the god) Mahūdēva (Śna) of (the trmple of) Paraśurāmisivara at this village, as an ondowment for (supplyng) ghee to the sacred lamp, ${ }^{9}$ The assembly of this village

[^169]ordered that all the oul mills (sehhu) of thas village shall be set op on this land ${ }^{1}$ and the oul required exclusively for the sacred lamps shall be procured (from them)
B -Inscription of the time of Vijayādıtya-Mahāvalı-Vānaräya dated in the 49th year of Vijaya-Dantivikramavarman

TEXT ${ }^{2}$
On one of the broad faces of the stone

| 1 [Sia]stı [ $\\|^{*}$ ] Śri-ko- $\mathrm{V}_{1-}$ | 9 jyañ=jeyja $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ | 17 ulla Nandiku- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ś[aı]ya-Dantınkkı- | 10 ruvippiramb[ē]- | 18 ndil [ $\overline{\mathrm{a}}] \mathrm{na}$ serp[ n$]$ |
| 3 [ra]maparumarku y[8]- | 11 d=ãlan=ganat- | 19 ıvv-ür Ve[1]. |
| 4 ndu nārpatto- | 12 tīrul Kalnyama- | 20 lēruykku è- |
| 5 nbadāvadu V1. | 13 ngılan=gılãr | 21 richcheruv=āga |
| 6 jalyādıtta-Ma- | 14 Ayyappō[r]- | 22 varttēn [ $\left.{ }^{*}{ }^{*}\right]$ 1- |
| 7 bãvalı-Vã[na]- | 15 rıyín enga- | 23 dir $=\mathrm{bhoga}$ [ n$]=$ |
| 8 rāyar prithivirã- | 161 Tūmbanēm | 24 gondu 1-v- |
| On one side of the same |  |  |
| $25[\mathrm{re}] 1[1] \mathrm{e}-$ | 32 rmmañ=cha- | 39 lutti- |
| $26 \mathrm{rigi[1]}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 33 ndıādı- | 40 nān 10004 |
| 27 kull kat- | $3 \pm$ tyagats- | 41 asvamè- |
| 28 tı attu- | 35 ñ=jel- | 42 dhañ=jey* |
| 29 radūga | 36 vadāy- | 43 da palan |
| 30 vaitter | 37 tta [1*] 1- | 44 peravà |
| $31 \mathrm{n}\left[1^{*}\right] \mathrm{c}^{3}$-ddhs- | 38 ddharmauี=jo- | $45 \mathrm{r}\left[1{ }^{*}\right]$ |
| On another side of the same ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |
| 46 1d $20{ }^{\text {a }}$ - | 51 tu=ppadu- | 56 n mudi- |
| 47 ttan | 52 vär [1*] 1-ddha- | 57 mēlı- |
| 48 Vāranȧśs ${ }^{0}$ | 53 rmman rakshı- | 58 na [ $\\|^{*}$ ] |
| 49 alittā- | 54 ttãn |  |
| 50 n pāvat- | $55 \mathrm{adi} \mathrm{e}^{7}$ |  |

## TRANSLATION.

Hall In the forty-ninth year of the glorious king Vajaya-Dantivikramavarman, - while Vıjayādıtya-Mahāvalı-Vānarāja was rulıng over the earth,-I, Ayyappöri, the headman (kelär) of Kaliyamangalam, (one) of the members of the committee administerng (the vallage of) Tiruvippirambēdu, gave the field called Nandikundul in (the tank) Tūmbanērı of our

[^170]( illoge) to (the tank called) Vollērı of this rillage, as the tink-fich (iracheloryutu). I gare (it) suthat (they) mis dig pits in thas Veltin (tain) and deposit (the alt on tho bued)(pasiag the changes) fiom the protuce (bhiga) of the (theld)

This chanty his to list (as longe) is the moon thid the sun endme Ono who maintans

 (hanty shall be ois my hend

C -Inscription of the tıme of Vänavidyädhara-Mahibalı-Vanaraiye dated in the 24th your of Ninputinga ${ }^{\text {l }}$

TEXT
On the jirst sule of the stone

|  | ") 12-pratharihrita |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{Nri}[p a]\left[t u{ }^{*}{ }^{*}\right]$ - | 10 sri-Mahäbah- |
| $3 \mathrm{gar}[\mathrm{ku}$ 1][̄n* $]$ - | 11 kul-öthhava(od̆lhava)- |
| 4 dn rruba[ttu-n $\left.{ }^{+}\right]$- | 12 sri-Vãnavi- |
|  | 13 'jyàdhara-miah[a]. |
|  | 14 balh-Vana- |
| 7 randta-[snlin mat- ${ }^{-1}$ | 15 rāpar Vaduga- |
| 8 dhis-Paraminya j- |  |

On the secome sule it the stane

17 kōttattu=[Cb]chılar-nittu=Ttruvirppırambētua sabhar-
Ont the thend sude of the stone

| 18 | $\mathrm{rkF} \mathrm{c}^{3}$ | 30 | ga 1-pparu- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | m $n$ [ 11$]$ | 31 | hsadn=muttumi- |
| 20 | - d[a]va[nā]r | 32 | $r[p a] d u ~ y ~ i t t u r[0] . ~$ |
| 21 | namy ula | 33 | [m]anom sabh[ar]- |
| 22 | [1a] tēya | 34 | ¢om [1*] ıppa[ra]. |
| 23 | pon | 35 | īdit[t]anu= |
| 24 | $\mathrm{tr}_{1}$ oru-v1 | 36 | ñ=jandramp- |
| 25 | - $112[n]$ - | 37 | $\mathrm{mm}=$-llala[ ra ]- |
| 26 | [ru]m[m]o | 38 | m uduvomã- |
| 27 | [ n ]aysa[m] | 39 | nōm 1-ppa- |
| 28 | mãum o- | 40 | rn[s]a mattinar [a]- |
| 29 | yum ju |  |  |

Oir the fourth side of the stone ${ }^{4}$
41 - iran uttaman dha[2]mmamāva-
42 . mbittu sableyèm' [ $\left.\right|^{*}$ ] 1-ddha-
43 . Htom padam en talaı méls [il*

[^171]
## TRANSLATION

Hall In the twenty-fourth year (of the reign) of the glon ous Nripatungan,-whle the illustrious Vānavidyādhara-Mahābalı-Vānarāya, born in the plosperous raee of Mahābalı who had been made door-keepers by the lord of gods and demons, Paramésvara (Śva), who is worshipped in all the thee worlds, was 1 alug the west of Vadugavalh, (the members of) the assembly of Truvirpirambēdu in Silaı-nādu (a sabdivision) of Tıruvēngada-kōttam
[The rest of the inseription is too fragmentary to be translated]
D -Inscription of the tıme of Vıjayādıtya-Mi̊hābalı- $\nabla$ ānarāya dated saka $820^{1}$
TEXT.
On the first side of the stone

| 1 | [Sva]stı sri [ $\mathrm{ll}^{*}$ ] Saka- | 11 | [ $\left.\begin{array}{rl}\text { r } & \nabla_{1}{ }^{*}\end{array}\right]$ jayādıtta-MIahā- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | [la*]-jaght-tras-i- | 12 | [ba*][lı]-Vānarāyar pri[thi]. |
| 3 | [ bhira ${ }^{5}$ ]ndita sur-disa- | 13 |  |
| 4 | [r-1dha*]sa-Parımésra- | 14 | [kar'] [f]āndu on- |
| 5 | [ra-pra*] thärihıita-Ma- | 15 | [nū]rr-rrubadāvadu |
| 6 | [hä*][ba]le-kul-бtbha- | 16 | [TT ${ }^{*}$ ]ruvippirambet- |
| 7 |  | 17 | [tu*] Paraśurāmiśva[ra]ga- |
| 8 | [dyã*][dha]rar Mahidún- | 15 | [rat*]tu=pperumānadı- |
| 9 | [adıga*]l=iy ${ }^{\text {na }}$ Māraka- | 19 |  |

On the second sade of the stonu
20 [tra]va[ma]dakknm nandivilakku onrukkum-iga=kkadutta sembon
21 mappadın kalañju [ $\left.\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ 1•ppon MLādēvi-adıgal pakkal ivr-ūr sa-
$22 \mathrm{bb}[\mathrm{ai}] y$ on=gondu 1 -pponnukku=ppoh-ūttàga tirovamudakku misadam 1
On the thurd stde of the stone
[One or more lines are matalated hoie]

| 23 | [da]ñ=jeluttu* | 29 | [tom*] [sa]bhanyom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 |  | 30 | [ $\mathrm{xd}=\mathrm{a}^{4}$ ]nr=eņom ${ }^{5}$ Ga- |
| 25 | $\left.\left[50^{*}\right] \mathrm{m} \quad[1]^{*}\right]{ }^{\text {sideddha }}$ | 31 | [ $\mathrm{n}^{*}$ ]gar-1dar=Kıama- |
| 26 | . . . . . ${ }^{4}$ | 32 | [ $\left.\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{r}^{*}\right] d \mathrm{au}=$ chcheydù |
| 27 | [ $\left.\mathrm{Lo} 0^{*}\right] \mathrm{ndu}$ [se] $]$ ln $[\mathrm{tta}]$ - | 33 | [Sex]yda pápattu=[p]- |
| 28 | [ $\mathrm{Vada}{ }^{*}$ ]ga ottị-kkudut- | 34, |  |

## TRANSLATION

Hall Prosperity' In the year of the Sakas, elght hundred and twenty, when [ $\nabla_{1}$ ] jayādıtya-NTahā[ba]lı-Vānarāya, son of Mahādēvi-Adıgal alıas Māraka madıgal,

[^172](queen) ${ }^{1}$ of the glorions Vānavi[dgā]dhara, born from the race of Manăbalı who had been made door-keepers by the loid of gods and demons, Parnméseara (Śiva), who is worshippod in all tho three worlds, was raling the earth, - thirty lalanju of pare gold were given for a perpetual lamp and offerings at tminght to the god (perumanadtgal) of (tho tomplo called) Parasurāmistaragaram at Turuvippirambēdu We, (the members of) the assombly of this village reected this gold from (the queen) Mādēvı-Adıgal . . . . every day for ofierings as interest for thas gold
[A portion of the inscription 25 heie lost]
We, (the members of) the assembly shall pay . . . . . . . Wo, (the members of) the assembly agreed and gave [that wo] shall pay . . Those who deny this shall be gailty of the sins committed by all simuers between tho Ganges and Kumari

E-Inscrıption of the tıme of $\nabla_{1 j a y a ̄ d ı t y a-\nabla a ̃ n a r a ̃ y a ~ d a t e d ~ s a k a ~}^{827}{ }^{2}$
TEXT


## TRANSIATION

Hail! Prosperity' In the year of the Sakas 8[2]7, whule Vijayādıtya-Vānarāya was rolng the earth, - we, (the members of) the assembly of Tiruvirpirambedu in Silai-nãau, (a subdivision) of Tiruvēngada-kōttam, received gold from the magıstrate (adigāri) Tāh, the headman of Viramangalam, for burning (one) perpetual lamp, as long as the moon and the san endure, to the god ( $p 2 r a \bar{n} \bar{a} r$ ) of (the temple called) Paraśurāmiśvaragaram in our village The intorest on thas gold- the capital of twenty kalangu-is four kalañju of gold annuslly, at the rate

[^173]of four mañjādı (for each halañju) Oue handred and eighty nālu (may be parchased annually) for (this amount) at the rate of forty-five nald of ghee for (each) halañnu We shall without obstraction burn a pei petaal lamp with one arr of ghec dally If there be any obstruction, we (the members of) the assembly shall meur the sin commotted by sinners betreen the Ganges aud Kumarı

## Note on the history of the Bāna princes

Of the foregoing recolds, the inscription $A$ is dated during the ieign of the Pallava king Nandıppōttaraıyarl whose feadatory was the Bāne chıef $\nabla_{1}$ kramādıtya-Māvalı-Vānarāyar. B belongs to the time of the Ganga-Pallava king Dantivikramavarman, who had Vijayādıtya-MILahāvalı-Vānarāya for his feudatony, and C to thai of Nrıpatunga, who probably belonged to the same family, though the charactenstic portions of the names of the kings of that line are here missing The grant recorded in the latter was made while Vănavidyādhara-Mahābalı-Vānarāya was governing the Vadugavalı-merku (province) D and $E$ are dated in the Saka era² and belong to tho time of the Bāna chief Vijayāditya-Mābalı-Vānarāya The tract of conntry in which Kālahastı and Gudımallam are situated belonged to the Pallavas, ${ }^{5}$ and it is therefore no matter for surprise that an inscription of that dynasty and two of the Ganga-Pallavas have been found there

The identity of Nandippottararyai of the Gudımallam inscription A with Nandivaiman Pallavamalla of the Kásákadı plates remans doubtful, thongh not nulıkely $A s$ regards Dantıvikramavarman, another inscription of his reign dated two years later than his Gudimallam record has been found at Trrachchānür near Tirapatı, ${ }^{4}$ and we may, for the present, identufy hum with the first Ganga-Pallava King Dantıvarman of the Bāhūr plates, and Nripatunga with his grandson Nripatunga-Vikramavarman Earler inseriptions of tho former, ranging from the loth to the 2lst year, have beon so far fonnd in the vicmity of Conjeeveram ${ }^{5}$ and may pe taken to show that his territory was limited Why the two later inseriptions aro fonnd in a dufferent part of the country is a point on which no information is at present fortheoming His son Nandivakra. mavarman, too, seems to have been raling a limited conntry, to jndge from his mscriptions found

[^174] $\mathrm{l}_{1}$, moscuptions are form bock in the ancent Pallava tenitory and in the Ohola country ${ }^{\text {a }}$ In fact, it may be phesmed that the (ranga-Pallivas oecuphed a comparatively migminuant position dmiur the cigu of the lisis tro knigy This protly accounts for the fact that the descondints of the migual Pallaras of Conjeeveram conhnued until $a$ veig late peisod ade by side whth the Guga-Pall wa, ${ }^{2}$

Apratt from the P'ullavis did the Gugr-Pillaraz, the five subjomed records throw considenable hight on the history of afendators fumly whel played an uaportant poitin the ancient
 They Clum to be lo do of Nandagni, e Nimulavog an the Chok-Ballipur tiluka, Kolir District,


 'lues scem to have been the gidulians of the lial asa and Ganga-Pallava tenitones in the noith and oftur fage meathes-ands and similu fiontier was

The ealiest anentron of the Biansis in the Talgund pillai anseuption of the Fadamba king Kiknstharnmen Meic at is sund that Majürasirinin, the fist Kadamba king, who may be aswigned 1 oughly to the the centurg A 1 , leved tubutc from'the great Bāna's who was per-

 to the wost of the $\bar{A} n d h i a$ road (or of the countis called $\bar{A} a d h r a p a t h a)$, in Sinskrit $m$ the Uducindu un ginut of Vikiamiditya II," Vadugavalı-mërku in A above, Vadugaraligin mẽги m C abore, the Vadugavalı twelve-thousand in a Tuarallam inselipt on of Vilqa. Niudsikiamaratmin, Vadugavali 12,000 and Manne 200 in a lecold from the wicinty of Ping min in the Voith Ancot Distncts and "the twelve-thousand villages in the AndhraMandala" $n$ the Nudn mur pintes, professmo to be inted in AD $335^{\circ}$ The last mentioned
 prim

 histim the ent The mei Patir probilly tomed the southen houndary of the province in mancut the, ${ }^{\text {lu }}$ None of the foregong terms make it clear if the Bina dominons formed part of tue Andlua cominy, on werestunted to the nest of it, or should be loohed for to the west of a 10 didunang flom the Tamil to tho Vadugn, $\bar{n}$ ndha or Teluga, country The question $1 s$ funthen compheited by the absenee of any defimite bomidaries of the Andlia country that could be

[^175]
 as its capital (ox one of its torns), ${ }^{1}$ may be taken to be 1 synonym of liuldifavilu occuning in Trmil inscuptions In the ease, it is not clear why the bians uc sud m some of the iecords to be luling the westcin poition of at, and not the whole of it as the othcis make us lechere Besides, Pcrumbinappadi, whelh seems to harc been wothce name of the Bin tenuton, and wheh has been tentatively loeated in the northern poition of the moden North Ancot Distuct, could not hase fomed part of the $\bar{A}$ ndira country On the othes hand, we have e eason to suppose that it was meluded in Tondan-nady or -mandalam, also eilled Diaridaz The Chnese pulgum Hucu-Tsiaug who visited India in the 7the eentary $\Delta D$ loentes Āndha in the modern Godavari und Ki,tna distnets ${ }^{3}$ Vaualhamhua's loeation of Āulhia in the Gothe century $A D$ also takes $u$ tis the samo locality ${ }^{4}$ The tract of countis in which the meseuptions of the family have bee a found, $2 e$ the noithen poition of the Noith Areot Distuet and a pait of the Koliar Distriet of the Mrsore Siate, would couespond to the Perumb mappidu of Tarmil inseliptions, but eannot be in the west of the Āndhra countiy, nor foim nny put of $1 t$, as impled in the term
 we hase to snppose, at least provisionally, ether that theic was 2 old leading to the Ändhra conntry (pcilinps fiom Drävida) or that the conatay nhech lay between Andha and Drāvida was ealled Vadugrval, as the road to the Audha connty lay tbrongh at, and it was the country to the west of this road or tho westen poition of it that $\pi$ ins zuled by the Bannas There is still a thud possibihty It may be supposed that the name Vadugivali-mérkn or ats equivalent was the name correctly apphed to the Bana dommons in very early times Then they were probably rulng, as Pallava feudatories, a poition of the modern Ceded districts wheh nould be situated to the west of the Andha counting That this 15 wot nitogether a wild conjecture is shomn by the fact that the Pallara dommons ongially extended uto the Ceded distinets and that the Bunas were also ruhng come frontien proviuce in that pait of the country daring the time of the Kadamba hing Mayunasarnan With the wse of the Chalahyas of Badami in the 7th centary, the Pallavas appeax to bave been disco out of the Teluga country and at may be supposed that the Banas were fonced into the northern portion of the North Arcot District This pionince they continued to call Vadngavah or Tadugavali-merkn, though at was no longer to the west of the Ândha count $5^{5}$

Therr traditional eapital seems to have been Parivipurn (corrupted moto Prapain), Parivar, Parigipura or Parvipura Thas place has not yet been Jdentified The form Pangipara may be taken to show that it may be identified wath Pangi in the Hindupai tilubz of the Anantapnr Disenct ${ }^{6}$ This eapital is mentioned for the first time in the Shohnglar roch-mscinption of Paräntaka I7 It may, therefore, be assumed that Parivpura became the chicf town of the Bänas

[^176]aftet they were reduced to the position of Chola faudatories Travallam in the North Arcot Distriet seems to have borne the other name Vănapuram, and it is not nulikely that it was one of the important towns, if not the eapital, of the Bāna territory ${ }^{1}$ Long after the Bauas ceased to be rulers, membors of the famuly clamed to be lords of Parivipara and Nandagirı ${ }^{2}$ The Bāna crest was a bull, and thear banner bore the emhlem of a blach-buek, whle their dram was ealled $P$ arāecha ${ }^{3}$ Mahäbali, the piogentor of the Bānas, 38 sad to have been made door-keeper by the lord of godsand demons, Paramēśvara (Śva) worshupped in all the thee worlds ${ }^{4}$

The Udayēnduram grant of Vikramādıtya II, ${ }^{5}$ furnsebes the followng gencalogy of the Bānas for erght generations


Banädhiraju


[^177]As the last of them, Vikramāditya II, 15 sald to have been the "dear friend of Krishnarāja," Tho 18 no doubt adentical with the Rāshtrakūta kimg Erishna II (A D 883 to 911-12, ${ }^{1}$ We rayy assign bim roughly to the beginning of the loth ecntury AD This would eaury Jayanandirarman, the earlest known member of the family, to about the end of the 7 th contury A D But the Udayendiram plites tell us that Jayanandivarman came to the thone after "many' Bann pruces had pissed away The antuqnity of the family in enined fartnel by the Talgunds inscription of Käkusthavarman, whel, as I have alieady noted, leports that Miasun sarmon, the fusi Kadrmba king, lusied tubute from "the gieat Bana" Thus the history of the family is carried back to the jth cenluiy A D

Accordng to the Tami poem Mannärqalal, the quecn of the Chöla kng Nedumudikkilli ${ }^{3}$ was Śirttı, daughter of a descendant of Mâvah This is appuently a reference to the Bina family Śrtti was also called Rāıamãdēri and she had a son named Udayakumara The time when the Bāna father-n-law of the Chola king flourshed, eannot be made out satisfactonly at present But it may be presumsl that the fo mei 18 eailier thuu Jayanandivaiman, the first Bina king mentoned in the Udayéndnam plates

No anthentic records of the earheı knges of tho family have come donn to us Of Konganivarman, the progentol of the Gangas of Kölār, it 1 k said that he was anointed to conquer the Bāna-mandala ${ }^{4}$ It may be presumed that this slatement gives pointed expression to the hostility whinch gencrally existed between the Gengas nud Biasas in therr Jater history,
 suzcian loids of the Western Gangas

A stone mserption of the Ganga king sri-Mãduava-Minttarasa at Tallapallio which Mr Rice assigns approximately to AD 72j, ${ }^{6}$ 2efers to his expedition agunst Mahãvalı-Banarasa and to a battle at Kōyãttūr, $z e$ the modeın Laddıgam in the Pungauur Zamindârı At Kendattr-iladivàla in the Kolisi Distıct is a stoue insc iption of Nitmãrga-Kongourarman (KI 79) wheh Mr Rice assigns to abont A D 890 The Ganga kng's feudatony Nolambadhirâja of the Pallava family is hete said to have ordcied a general of his to fight aganst the Bana

[^178]kung (Bunarasa) At Bangavadı in the bame district is a Bana epigraph which refers to the capture by the Permanadıgal (ic the Western Ganga king), of Mlabata ajara-nād wh ch belonged to the Bana chef ( Mb 228) ${ }^{1}$ That the Gangas aud the Bauns woie also occasoonally on frendly terms 1 , shown by the maruage of the Ganga pincess Kundarvaryär, daughte of Prithuvipatı I, with the Bāua king Bānavidyādhara ${ }^{2}$

The kings mentioned in the stonc inscriphons bear several names, and, consequently, it is of ten very difficult to dentify them with those in the foregoing genealogical table Though we bavo reason to suppose that the Bāuas were fendatories of the Pallaras, the efcrences to them in Pallavainscipitions aue vely fcw In A above, Vikramãditya-Māvalı-Vānarāya, govcrung the Vadugavalı-merkn, figuies as a fendatory of the Pallava king Nandippōttarayar, who may be identical with Nandivarman Pallavamalla, the last ponciful king of the Pallavas If tlus be the case, the Bāna king Tihiamaditra under cfcience, caunot be idenified with Vil ramatheya I of the forgong table One of tbe calher biugg must have borne the saname


After the domafall of the Pallavas of Conjeeveram, then empne seems to hase been split ap, and we find the Banas playing a veig important paitin all the fıontici wais The GangaPaliava king Narasimha-Vikramavarman, mhose intial date bas becn placed by Dr Fleet between AD 760-70, had a feudatory named S'annda-Bānādhirāja ${ }^{3}$ At Bangavādı in the Mulbagal tailuba of the Kolan District is an menenption recoiding the death of a sermat of this hing on the oecasion of a cattle-iadd Vijaya-Skandasishya-Vikramavarman of the Rāyakota
 Danti-Vikramavarman ( $B$ above) had Vijayāditya-Mabāvalı-Vānarāya for his fudatory This Vijaraditya mast be carlter than Vijagādty 11 , in the foregong table Dintinikiamavarman's son and succcosol Nandıvikramavarman had Vikremāditya-Mãvali-Vänarāya for his feudatory in the 17th year of has rergnt and an unnamed Mãali-Vanaraya in the 62nd yeni of his reagn ${ }^{7}$ The former has perhaps to be rdentifed with Vhrainiditra $I$, as we base some reacou to suppose that Nandukrama married a diughter of the Rāshtrakūta hitg Amōghavarsha I (S14 lo to 877-78) ${ }^{8}$ This Vikiamidatga is probably adentieal with Bāuavidyadbana who manted a daughter of the Wostern Ganga Prithivjpati I, another coutemporary of the Rāsbtraküta king Amögharassha $I^{9}$ The Bina contemposay of Nand
 Ab Piofessor Hultzsch has tentatisels identified the Purudi-Gangarayar, mentioned in the Ämbür insciptions as a feudatory of Nripatunga, with the Ganga Prithripati I, ${ }^{10}$ it may be supprosed, at least for the present, that this Vannvidyadhara 15 dentical miti the son-m-law of the Ganga King Prithivipati I

The arcompanring table shows at a glance the synchonsms of the Binas, the Pallaras and the Ganga-Pallavas -

${ }^{1}$ III 235, Bp 48 and $B p 86$ of the Köñr solume mention a Mahāralı Bānarasa rulung the Ganga 6,000 pro rince The first two are aseigned by Mrr Rice to about A D 890 and the thard to about $A D 770$ These anserip tions mas imply a temporary oceupation of the Ganga conntry by the Bänas, see Ep Carn Vol A . Introduc tron, $\mathrm{p} \mathrm{v}_{2}$
${ }^{2}$ South Ind Insers Fol III $p 90$
z above, Vol V p 160 The firat member of tire name Skanda-Bänïdhrãja might denote his overlord

* Above, Vol VII p 23 see al.o Ep Carn Vol X Kölãr, Mb 228

Bbrd Vol V, p $52 \quad$ o South Ind Insers Vol III p $94 \quad 7$ Ibzd $p 91$
8 Abore, Vol IV, p $181 \quad$ South Ind Insers Vol III p $98 \quad 10$ ©bove, Vol IV $p 182$.

| Bānas |  |  | Ganga-Pallavas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Skanda-Banādlurāja feudatory | of | Vijeya-Narasimhavarman |
| 3 | Maralı-Vānaıña do | do | $\underset{\text { Vijayan-Skandaśshya-Vikramavar- }}{\text { man }}$ |
| 4 | Kātōnırı-Bãnaıāja do | do | Vajaya-İśraıquarman ${ }^{1}$ |
| 5 |  | do | Vijaya-Dantiviliamararman (40th year) |
| 6 | Yahamãdıty a ( 1 ) Mãalı•Vānaity do | do | Vijaya-Nandivikramavar man (17th ycar) |
| 7 | Māralı-Tānariya do | do | Tijaya-Nandivikiamavaıman (62nd y(ai) |
| 8 | Vanavidyōdhana-Mahãbalı-Vāuarījı do | do | Nripatunga (24th jear) |
| 9 | M[hãral-Vănariga Saha-S2mvat S10.2 |  |  |
| 10 |  | mva | 827, 331 ¢ |
|  | Vibiamaditya (II), contompoiary and "dcar hang Krishna II (A D 888 and 911-12) | nd " | Erıshnaraja, ze the Rāshtakñiť- |

The irsciphions discovered in the Pungnounr Tamiudiri of the Noith Areot Distict mention

 the first of theso bings icfers to $\sim$ battle fought at Soremati, where the Binn opposcd, on behnif of the Permanadi ( $2 e$ the Western Ganga Kug ? ), the Nolamba (kugg ${ }^{2}$ ) and Rāchamalla and Mayindadi ${ }^{4}$ A viragal at Madanapallo in tho Cuddapals Distice iefers to a battle at the same place, wheh prolorbly took plice duing the ieign of the Vandumba king Ganda-Trinetras A stone at Bangavadi in tho Kolir Distiet rofers to an encountor betweon the same parties ${ }^{6}$ If tic Richamalla in these tiragals was a Ganga, he may be ldentithed with the Satyavaliya Fongunivarma-Permanadi Rajamalla, whose final date as about A D 870-717 If the adentification be conect, the date of the Mahavali-Banalasa of the Punganuir anscuptiong may be takcin ronghls as the middle of the 9 th contuiy A.D

Accoldıng to thc Gülgänpode epigiaphs, ${ }^{9}$ Vikramaditga, who bas bron rdentifica by Di Flect and Piofessor Hultzseli with Vikiamiditya I (No 6 m the foregoing genealogical table) was also enlled Jryaminn ${ }^{9}$ It may, therofore, bo coneludel that the above mentioned Mahavali-
 the piecciling table (f gynchionous kings) Peahaps the Mahivah-Vannaı 2sa-Bānavidyädhara mentioned in another Puaganail inseiption as also identical with Vikiamiditya I In the twa Gñlganpode inseiptions, reference is made to a commander named Prabhumerru, who nighi bare been a general cilled after the father of Vikiamiditya I It is, hower or, not unlihely that Vijnyaditya-Vinchūhimnm-Piabhuméru mentioned above ss ideutical with Vijayāditya II, un Which casc the Pıabhamẽıu of the Gülgapode recoids might be the son of Vikramaditya I. This udentafiction reccires some sapport fiom the fact that, an one of the Gülganpode tablets, it
 Punganūr iccoid of Vijayādityn reference 18 made to a a ard on Köyatūr, ze Laddıgam in the Panginur Zamindārí, by Kãduvatti-Muttarasa 10

As Vikramāditya II was a fiend of Krishnarāja, who lins bcen identified with the Rāshtrakūta Erishna II (A D 888 and 911-12), it m2y be couclnded that the Vijayaditya

1 Aborc, Vol VII p 25
${ }^{2}$ South Ind Insas, Yol III p $95 \quad{ }^{5}$ No 99 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1899

- No 543 of the Mruias Eprgraplical collection for 1906

3 No 295 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1905
6 Above, Vol VII p 23 © Above, Fol V 153
'Ind \&nt Vol X p 39 and Fp Carn Vol X Kölär, Sp 5, 6

- South-Ind Inters Vol II! p. 99

20 SIadras Eipigraphical Report for 1906 7, Part II , paragaph 45
son of BEnavidyāduara, mentioned in $D$ and $E$ above, must be identical witb Vijayãatya II This adentifieation, if confirmed by future researches, would show that Vikramãditya I, also bore the surname Bānavidyādhara-a fact already summsed by Dr. Fleet fıom the Gulgãapode records

The fact that the two Gudimallam inscriptions of Vijayāatya are dated in the Saks era and that they mention no overlord is significant the dates are Saka-Samvat 820 and 827 correspondrug to A D 89798 aud 904-5 We have another date for the same king in an inscraptıon at Mangatia-Gollarahallı ${ }^{1}$ heie the Bāna punce Bejeyitta-Bānarasa 18 eald to bo ruling in Éaka-Samvat 831, cor respouding to $A D$ ©09-10 If this be the ease, the Bāna hing Vikramàditya II must base assumed the leadership of the Bunas towads the close of tho iergn of the Râshtıakuta hing Krishna If The rcasons which must have led to Vihramaditya's courting the frendship of the Rābhtrakutas may now be examived

We have aheady seen that the Gangas and Bänas weie often fighting with one another At Kendatin-Madivala in the K olar Distıct is nis stone inseription of Nitimárea Kongunvarman which $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ Riee assigns to abont A D $890^{2}$ The Ganga hing's feudator f , Nolambädhiraja of the Pallava family, is here sud to hare ordeled a gencial of his to fight aganst the Bäna hing (Bãnarasa) Auother unnamed Bāna king is said in n lizagal nt Bangavādu in the same distruct to harc opposed a confederacy of the Nolamba king, Rāchamalla, Mayındadi and Dadıga ${ }^{3}$ at Dharmapuri in the Salem District is a pillar (non removed to the Madras Maseam) wheh recolde a gaft by the Pallava kıng Mahēndrādhirāja-Nolamba The latter claımed to have "destroyed the Mahäbalı ( $\imath$ e Bāna) family" The inscriptiou is dated in A D 892-93 Mahèndrädhriaja-Ňolamba was the son of Nolambādhrāja by Jãyabbe, the danghtor of the Ganga bug Rājamallas As the lattet was the giandfather of the Nolamba king Mabendrīn dhraja, those date is A D 892, we may rdentify tho Ganga king Rajamalla with the Satya vākpa-Kongunıvarma-Permanadı Rājamalla foı whom Dr Fleet gires the date A D 840 to S70-715 The Nitımárga-Konganivarman of the Madıvàla insciptıon, whose contemporary and feudatory was the Nolambakıg Nolambädhraija, has to be identıfied with Rajamalla's father Ranavikrama, for whom $D_{1}$ Eleet gires the approximate dates A D 810 to 840 . It is jast possible that Nolambädhuajja was contemporancous mitb both Rajamalla and his father Ranavikiama and fonght against the Banns We have aheady secn that the noithern port on of the North Arcot District was meladed in tho Bana domimons At Vollumalai, which would be almost in tbe centre of the Bāna territory, we havo an inscription of the Ganga kang Rajamalla Of him it is sad that, having seen the Vallimalar Lill, be tooh possession of it and caused a Jama basti to be made on it 6 Though it is not stated from whom the Ganga king took fossession of the hill, we may suppose thit it was wrested fiom the Banas An amago of a pupil of the Bäna pronee's spuntual pieceptor is cut in rolief on the hill not far from the Ganga unceription This unfortunately is the ouly vesuge of Báua rale which 18 now traceable on the hill Bnt Mrèpādi, which is only a male fiom the hill, is said to have belonged to Perumbānappādı in the Chola inscriptions found in two Śva temples at the village 7 It may, therefore, be sapposed that the Gangas and Nolambas had jomed togethei ${ }^{8}$ to fight aganst the Bannas 9 The captuic of Vallmalai by the Ganga king Rajamalla was effected apparently in the camparga
${ }^{1}$ Ip Carn Yol X Kölär, Ilb 229
${ }^{2}$ Ibtd, Kl 79 In the introduction to the Kölăr rolume, p vi, Mr Rice agagns the Bäns prince mentioned on the inscription to aboat $A$ D 8 ono
'A Aove, Vol. VIl p 23 : Above. Vol X p 56 'Above, Vol VI, p 66
'Above, Vol IV p 141 'South-Ind Insers, Vol III p 22
${ }^{8}$ In the 10th centary the relationship betneen the Gangas and Nolambas seems to hare been completely


- Tho numity betreen the Banasand Nolambas is also indurectly shown by the fact that the Ganga 6,00p dastrect ans sometımes governed by the Banas nod at other times by the Niolambas, see Ep Carn Vol X, Kölare Introduction, pp vignd ru
aganast the Bānas ${ }^{1}$ The claim of the Nolamba king Mahēndrādhirāja, grandson of the Ganga king Rajamalla, to have destroyed the Mabābalı family was evdently not an empty boast Consequently, the Banas must have been driven to a conner about the time of which we are new speaking

Again, in the Tamil countig, the Ganga-Pallavas who had beon overlords of the Bānas mere evidently tottering at the time Prior to A D 897-98, the date of the earher Gudimallam insciption (D ), the decisive battlo of Triruppurambisam must have been fought between the GangaPallava Aparājta and the Pändya king Varaguna The Chōlas nust also bave taken the place of the Ganga Pallavas in the tract of country of which we are at present speaking It was evidently $a$ time when the Ganga-Pallavas had disappeared $f_{1}$ om the scene and then place had not been actually taken by the Cholas Perhaps thes was why the two last of the subjoined inscriptions do not mention auy overlord, but are dated in the Śaka era This state of things probably contnued for some time For, in Saka Samvat 831, cornesponding to $A D$ $908-9,9$ the sume Bana pince $\nabla_{i j a y a}{ }^{2}$ itya II wasiuling, but there is no mention of any overlond in the iusciption Perhapshis son Vikramāditya II of the Udayēndiram plates 1 epie sents himself as an independent king for this reasen The political condition of Southenn ludia at the time rendered it necessary for Vikiamäditya II to mahe finends with some powerful kung As the Bana prinec Vijajaditya seems to have miled untıl at least A D 909, his successor Vibramadity II must have assumed the leadeıslup of the Bannas subsequent to that date In this case, he must have become the fuend of Erishna II towads the close of the latten's reign

Though the Nolamba hing Mahēndā̀dhuãja elaims to havc "destroyed" the Bānas, they contmued mach longer They appear to bave lost their power and infuence with the dechne of the Ganga-Pallavas The synchionsms of the Ganga, Biua and, Nolnmba dyaasties arc shown ${ }^{\text {on }}$ the accompanying table ${ }^{3}$ -


The subsequent history of this feudatory famulys not altogether derond of interest How long Thkramäditya II contunued to rale we hare at present no means of ascertanning Either he or his successor must hare been conquered by the Chōla Eing Parāntaka I in or before A D 92122 The latter clams to hare uprooted by force two lords of the Bāna kngs ${ }^{\text { }}$. We are not, howerer told whether he uprooted imo Bāna kings an succession or smaltaneonslr Any how, he made over the Bina kingdom to his Gonga feudatory Prithivipati II Eastimaila in or
 (who was a feadatory) of the Choia king $s$ At Yedarur in the Kolir District of the Vybore State is a stone mschiption dated in Saba-Samrat SS3 corresponding to AD 961 mhelu menthons a Bãna chref named Sambayya as a fendatoly of Irnve-Nolamba \& Agan at Sannemūru in the Podila dinision of the Nellore District is another stone inscmption of the Bana family I have read the date tentatively as Śaba-Samrat 890 (corresponding to $A D .96 S$ ) and the name of the Bina chref as Aggaparajui ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The latter doos not mention any overlond and this miry be talce to show that he was sem-independent The date falls into the reign of the Easterin Chālukya hing Amma II ${ }^{6}$ and 15 a few rears prion to the internegnom in the Vengi countrs. ${ }^{7}$ What part if any this Bāna knug played in the mterregnum we hare at pesent mo means of aseet tanng The shall not be far mong if we suppose that the Churraballirāju, who figures in a stone inscmption at Konidena (neal Narsamopet in the Gnntur District) was a descencont of Aggapariza. The inscıipron of Chāraballnriju is dated in Śka-Samrat 1073, cornesponding to 1. D $1150-51$ which falls into the reign of the Chälukya Ling Rājaraja II ${ }^{9}$

In the Tamil districts of the Madras Presidence, the history of the Binas does not come to an end $v$ ith then conquest by Panataha and the transfer of then dommons to then rivals, the Gugas It has been surmised that the Bianas were origually settled in the Telugu conntir and that $a^{2}$ a later stage in ther lustorg they moved into the northern part of the modenn North Areot Distuct bat retaned the origual designation of their territory After Perambinappidi wis transferred to the Gaugas or pelhaps at an earlier pernod on their history, ${ }^{\text {º }}$ the Binns seem to hare moved forther soath, ciossed the arer Piliar which seems to bare been orignally the sonthein loundary of then terntory, and settled on the banks of the niver Southern Pennar, calling the


[^179]Krishna III had a Ganga feuditory named Prithviggangarayar, whose wife was the daughter of Vannkōaraigar ${ }^{1}$ This may or taken to show that the province of Vannagappidi had come moto eristench prior to A D 988 Kinshan III rppears to have made over the province to a Vadumba fend itoy of his, is it figures among the ternitones admmistered by the latter ${ }^{2}$ We havi, hurcici, no reason to suppose that the Binas weie completely dinven ont of Vanagappad at the tim. Thu Vänorãja Alaga niyan $n$ ho is mentioned in a Tirumãlpuram inscription of Pártinvẽadravarman nas appazcntly a member of the family who had settled on the vicimity of Conjecruam ${ }^{3}$ We have a cettun Maravan Narasımhavarman alacs RājarājaVānakövarayya, with the usual Bina tetles, as a feudatory of the Chöln king Rājaräja I in an mecuptinat Jambar in the Sonth Arcot Dishict ${ }^{4}$ The arme Bima chref built the tank at Nerhanamalzus Yyuamiga-chatur vèdımangalams In an mincuption of a Cholr king named Karikala, whose time ib at prest ut unknown, ruference ss made to a certan Vãnakōvararyar Viraparumar (zc Vnavaman) ${ }^{6}$

In lnea timesi thero was a chnc fumed Ponparappina Vannakōvainyar, a feudatory or officer of Sakalabhuranachakravartın Poruñjungadēva ${ }^{3}$ Hess appatently zdentıc ul with Magadësan Vānakulōttaman and Viramāgadān Rājarājadēvan Ponparappınāng Magadaıpporumãl, ${ }^{10}$
 mis amala in the Puduhhöttar Sate A number of Tamil verses in has $p$ arse bave beon found cagraral un stous au the Sonth Alcol Dastact andan the Pudukkötal Statell His tatle Jlagadisa: ol Jlagadurpoumel is metcstug From Hoysala 1uscriptions we know that the Hoysala king Narasimba II upnooted the Makara or Magara hingdom ${ }^{12}$ The
 "uprooted the Xahara kngdom, sened him (1e the Mabara chef), his women and thasures and halted at Pachchur" In editug the mascription Dr Hultzsch had remaked that the Magasa hing.lom his to bo looked for in the Combitore or Salom District ${ }^{13}$

At Tittagudr on the border betireen the districts of Trnehwopoly and Sonth Arcot has been found in epgin, h of Magadēsan Ponparappina Vănakōvadaraivar rccolding the gift of a

[^180]village in Magadai-mandalam ${ }^{1}$ Three other records from the same village ${ }^{2}$ show that the district of Magadar should have been close to the village, if it was not actually included in it It wonld not be an altogether mild conjecture to suppose that Mahara, Mahara and Magara of the Hoysala inscriptions is identical with the Magadar-mandalam ruled orer by the Vannakovarayyar Ponparappinin mentioned in the foregoing paragraph ${ }^{3}$ If this identification be trne, it wonld indicate tho movement of the Bānas farther south as far as the Pudukjöttai State The chief of this province who was cvidently a fcudatory of the rebel Peruñunga had to be overcome before the latter could be attacked by the Hoysala generals commissioucd to liberate the Chola hang Rājaraja III from captivity ${ }^{4}$

We harc traced the morement of the Banas as far sonth as the Pudukkötaı State In still later times they figare as fcudatories and officers of the Pändyas in the 13th century A D ${ }^{5}$ Mr Sewell mentrons two chiefs, one namel Sundara Tōl Mahāvılıvānādurāyar and the other Muttarasa Tirumalan Mahāvalıvānādırāyar as rulers of Madura in the period A D 1451 -1499 G We bave a few inscriptions of the l6th ceutury which show that the Băuas eontinned to wreld some power and influence These have been foand at Kalayãkōyl, Thruppullani and Devipattanam in the Madura distrret The earhest of the chefs mentioned in them is Mahabali-Vānādharāya-Nāyaka ${ }^{7}$ Then came Sundarattōl-Udaȳār Māvalı-Vānādarāyaı ${ }^{8}$ or Sundarattoludaya Mahābalı-Vānādarāyar ${ }^{9}$ He was apparently also known as MīvahFānādarāyar withont any additional designation 10 In tno of them he bears the epithct rrandchalam edutta 'who revired the past,' (2e re-established the Pindya hingdom) This may be tahen to show that he took some part in the attempt made by the contemporancons Pandya princcs Śivallabha and Kulasēkhara to set up a show of Pindja sovereıgnty ${ }^{11}$

Thas the history of the Bāuas fnrnishes another nastance of the movencnt of a tuibe from one part of Southern India to another This aspect of Indan histoly has aheady been explanned in my artucle on the Pallavas published in the Director-Gencral's Annnal for 1906-7

[^181]
# No 23 -Dates of chola kings. 

By R Semeli, ICS (Retd), MRAS

## RAJARAJA I.

223 -In tho Umāmahēśvara tomple at Kōnērırãjapuram. ${ }^{1}$


```
    āvadu
```

 $\operatorname{padi}[\mathrm{na}]$. m Bu[da]n-hhlamaı ${ }^{2}$
6 perrra $P[u n] a r[p u ̄ \leq a t] t ı-[n] a ̄ n r a$
"In the [2]日th year (of the retgn) of the glorious king Rājarāja-Rājaicēsarivarman,on the day of Punarvasu, which corresponded to a Wednesday and to the [forr]teenth ${ }^{3}$ [tithr] of the second fortnight of the month of Karkataka of this ycar"

The date in thas case 1s, like most others of this early ponod, worded in a mannor a hittle different from the stereotyped form of later gears in South India, so much so that while Mr Krishna Sastri has stated $1 t$ as referring to the l4th, or possibly 16th, solar day in Karkataka, I find that $1 t$ tallies with the l4th tethr of the second fortnight of Äshädha bot with the 19th solar day of Karhataka, and, since the word for " 14 th ", padi $[n \bar{i}] \quad m$, occurs in the ongmal between apara-pahshattu and $B u[d a] n$ hulamat, I presumo that it 15 possiblo that the namber " $1 t$ " refers rather to the tation than to the solar day ${ }^{4}$

In the 20th jear of Rājarija I Rjjakeesarvarman, the l4th tath of the second fortnght of Áshädha was current at mean sunuse on Thursday, July 13th A D 1010, whoh was the 19th solar day of Karha Now the l4th tethe of Āshadha 18 devoted to one of the Sivaratra festivals, and accordingly this tuthe is lablo to be jorned with tho Weducsday rather than with the Tharsday, the midnght betreen the two having been moladed in it (Kielhorn, Ind Ant 1897, pp 177, 181) Thas 14th krishna tith began 13 h 15m before mean sanrise on the Tharsday and meludod the midnght in question, whilo the nahshatra Punarvasu began, accordng to the equal-spaco system, 18 h 6 m beforo that mean suarise, and aonsiderably eathor than that by the systems of Gargn and tho Brahnia-siddhinta, being carrout both at midnught on Wednesday and at mean sunrise on Tharsday I thinh therefore that the day coricspondung to the given day was Wednesday, July 12th AD 1010 If this is correct the accession of this soverogn must have tahen place between June 25th and July 12th A D 985

KULOTIUNGA-CHOTA I 224 -In the Umämahēsvara templo at Kōnērarājapuram ${ }^{6}$
1 Svastı Érí $\left[\left\|\|^{*}\right]\right.$ Pagal-mūdu vilanga . .
7 . [Erı-Rājahi-


[^182]9 [Kulo]ttu[u]gn-Solndērahhu yy̆n-
10 du [uarpitton]badivadu Mesha-mangary purria-

12 [rra] tra[r]odnsi-mirru ${ }^{1}$
"In tho forty-ninth yoar (of the rign) of tho glorious Rizakengarivarman, alens the emperor of the threo worlds, the glonous Kulöttunga-Chöladeva, - on tho dys (wheh was) the thrtcenth tethr and which coriesponded to a Wednosday and tis (in. diy of [UttaraPhalguni] of the first fortnght of the monih of Misha"

The given date correnponds to Wodnesday, March 20th AD 1110, on whel, dry the 13th
 Uttara-Phalguni Thas day was tho 3rd solne day of Mesha

Thes the 49 th jear of Kulottunga-Chein I began on, or later than, 27th March $A$ D. $111 \varepsilon$ and the aegn must hare begun on, or later than that date an A D 1070, wo that for the hing's necession we now have the period March 27 th to Octobrr Sill A D 1070

## 225 -In tho Umāmahēśara templo nt Kōnẽrırajapuram $=$

1 Srastı Sn [11*] [Pugal suluda] . .
"In the 47th year (of tho ethin) of king Rajakēsarivarman alu, the emperor of the three worlds, the glorıous Kulattunga-Chōladèva,--on the day of Müla which comvsponded to a Mondey aud to tho first tethe of the first fortugght of the month of Dhanus"

To paraphaso the opeming passage of Professol Tuchnorn's remarhs on has Chola date No. 40 (Vol. VI p 279), "a date in tho month of Dhanus of the 17 th year of the king's reign will be erpected to fall ncar the ond of $A D$ Jllb" Now, ns detalld, tho given date is inconect for the 47 th regnal year But it is peifectly colroci for the 1Sth jear, $t i t$, torrards the end of AD 1117 Now Mr Kushan Sastrinforme me that the figules 47 are perfectly clear in the ongmal and caunot bo read 4 S We must therofore assumo that tho composer of the record made a mistahe

The given date, except for that mistake, corresponds with Monday, Novembor 20th A D. 1117, which was the 2nd solat day of Dhanas, and on wheh day at mean sumriso tho fist tethe of the first for tnight of Pausha was current By the equal-space system the uakshatra current at that mean sumrise was Minla, but by the system of Garga and by the Brahma-siddhānta the current nahshatra was Pursa-Ashidha I conclude that the date is genume, but that the composer erroneously stated the 47 th instead of the 48 th regnal year

| 226 -In the Sāra-Paramēsvara temple at Truchchrars |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ${ }^{4} \operatorname{Tr}[1]$ bhvanachchakrava[t]tigal | [-11-Kulottur.]g | ladevahu | fându |
|  | 47 ãva[du] Ishaba-[u]ujarru | apasa-palshattu | dasamilj]um | Badan- |
|  | k[1] ${ }^{\text {amaragum }}$ |  |  |  |
| 2 | porra Sadaya[t]tı nal |  |  |  |

[^183]"In the 47th year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glomous Kulottunga-Choladēva, -ou the day of Śatabhishaj wheh corresponded to a Wednesday and to the tenth tuthe of the second fortnight of the month of $R_{1}$ shabha."

Thes date is unrelable In the 47 th ycar of Kulottunga-Chola I the 10th krishna tath of Vrishabha was current on Tuesday, May 9th A D 1116 (not on Wednesday), and on that Tuesday at mean sumnse the nalslatra current was Uttana Bhadrapada, so that it was not possible for any moment of the Wednosday to bo connected either with the nalshatra Satabhishaj of with tho loth krishna tutho Śatabhishay had exprred before mean sumrise on the previous Monday Worhing for the 48 th year of this king I find that in that ycar the 10th krishna tath fcll on Saturday, the 5th day of Vrishabha, the mahshatra ourient at mean sunrise being Pürva-Bhadrapadā The correspondıng day in European reekoning was Aprıl 28th AD 1117

It is ineorrcet for a possible 47 th jear of Kulottanga-Chola II, or of Kulütunga Chola III

## VIKRAMA-CHOLA.

## 227 -In the Sāra-Paramésvara temple at Truchehirai. ${ }^{1}$

 Miduna-[n]aya[rru] apa[ra-pa]lushat[tu] tra-
2 [yōde]śyum Vıȳla-kk[1]la[mayum] perra Urōsanı-nāl
"In the 5th year (of the reign) of the omperor of the three worlds, the glorious Vakrama-Chöladëva, - on the day of Rōhni, wheh corresponded to a Thursday and to the thirteenth tithe of the seeond fortnght of the month of Mithuna"

The date is ansatisfaetory Given that tho aeeession day of thas sovereign was, as settled by Professor Kielhorn (Ep Ind, Vol V1I, p 5), the 29th of Juno A D 1118, tho date should correspond to a day in $A D 1122$ or 1123 In AD 1122 tho 10th day of Mithupa was Sunday, June 4th, and on that day the 13rh tith of tho second fortnght of Jyeshtha and the nahshatre Rohini were carrent at mean sunrise The week-day stated in tho inseription is, horvever, Thursday Consequently I hesitate to aceept it Moreover, that June 4th would be in the king's 4th not 5th year.

For A D. 1123 both week-day and nakshatra would differ from those stated in the original, the week-day of June 23rd, which was tho day on whach tho l3th éukla tithr in Mithuna was corrent at mean sunrise, being Saturday, and the then corrent nahshatra bemg Mrigasiras

Nor does tho result agree with the given dotails for the 5th year of the hing, on the supposition that his reign began, as origmally sot forward by Kielhorn, on 18th July A D. 1108 Hence $I$ ean find no exaet agreement in any case

## 228 -In the Māgālēśvara temple at Tırumălam ${ }^{2}$


 Śoladēvarku yā[ndn] $\quad$ [ [3]āvadu ${ }^{6}$ Ānı-māsattu Tıngat-kkılamal perria Trruyōnamum $\operatorname{tr[1]}$ trgaryom=ãna nāl

[^184]"In the 1[3]th yean (of the retgn) of king Parakeesarivarman aluts the emporor of the three worlds, tho glorious Vikrama-Choladēva,-on the diy which was the thrd tithr und (the day) of (the nahshatra) Sravana, wheh conespouded to a Monday of the month of $\bar{A} n_{1}$ "

This date 15 correet in all respects for the 13 th yeir of the reign of $V_{1}$ krama-Chola as gixed 1, g Profcssor Kelhorn, who estabished the day of the king's aceession as June 29th A D 1118 It eorresponds to Monday, June 15th A D 1131, the fourteenth jenl of the roign beginnug Tune 29th of that year and June 15th being stll in the thinteonth year The date proves that the rugn eould not havo begun bcfore June 16th A D 111 S

On that Monday, June 15th $\triangle \mathrm{D}$ 1131, tho 31d teth of the seeond fortmight of solar $\bar{A}_{n 1}$ (or Nithuna), was current at menn sumise, as was also the nahshatra Śravana

KULOTTUNGA-CHOLA II
229 - In the Mägālēśvara tomple at Trumanam ${ }^{1}$
1 || || \& Svastı śri [ $\|^{*}$ PPūmannu padnmam .
 chahrafartıgal śī-Eulo]ttunga-Śoll dōvarku fünda
$11 \mathrm{pa}\left[\mathrm{d}_{1}\right] \mathrm{n}$-ourivadu Danu-nāarru nandan-dıadıum Sant-kinlamayum perra [Pū]sattı-[nall]
"In the Ilth-eleventh-jear (of the reuqn) of king Rājaikēsarivarman aluas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulōttunga-Chōladēva, -on the day of Pushya, which corresponded to a Saturday and to the second solar day of the month of Dhanus"

The date regularly comesponds to Saturday, November 27th A.D 1143, whinch was the second day of the solar month Dhanas, and on which day at mean sanrise the moon was in the nahshatra Pashya by all systems Thas day fell in the elerenth jear of Kulottnoga Chotla II, which began, as at present found by me (Vol X, p 13S, No 190), between 26 th Mareh and 14th July A D 1143, has accession having taken place on some diay between those dates in A D 1133

The stated dato would be ineorrect for the eleventh jear of Kulottunga-Chöla I, in which year the 2nd Dhanus fell on a Tharsday (November 26 A D 10S0), with Bharani as the takshatra curront at sunrise And the record cannot belong to the reign of the third king of that name whose title was Parakēsarivarman

## PaRAKESARIVARMAN VIRARAJENDRA-CHOIA (KULOTTUNGA III)

## 230 -In the Kanlāsanātha temple at Ālambā̄kam ${ }^{2}$

Syastr śri [II*] Payal vajtta valam peraga . . .
11 . . . . . . Kī=Pparakīsa-
12 rı[panma]r=āna Trıbnvanachchakkararatigul ${ }^{\text {s }}$
13 śri-[Vī]raräjēndura-[Śolad]ē [ra]rıu fă-

15 [pr]kshattu ${ }^{4} \mathrm{pra}[\mathrm{dha}] \mathrm{m}[\mathrm{yq} \mathrm{a}$ ] [Śa]n-kkilamayum pe-
16 rras Asrata-nal

[^185]"In the fifth year (of the reign) of king Pazakēsarivarman aluas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Virarajëndra-Chöladëva,-on the day of Asvini, whinch corresponded to 7 Saturday aud to tho finst $t_{2} t \mathrm{Th}_{2}$ of the finst fortngith of the month of Mēsha"

Virrrijindar (Kulnttuaga III) honing como to tho thone betreen the Gth and 8th July AD 11 is (Vol IV, p 266, VIII, p 261, No 10S) the month of Mesta in his fifth year must fall in AD 1183, and the details of tho date are perfectly ennect for Saturday, March
 fist fortnght of adhha-Vasikha was current at mean sunnisc, the moon beng then in the nalshatra Ávini

## 201 - In the $V$ yāghrapādēśvara tomple at Sıadhalngamadam. ${ }^{1}$

1 Srastı sri [ll $]$ Pagal vigttu

 nulnt-
5 t[n]-nilin disady um pürva-pakshattu saptamırum Visilq-kkinmayam perra Éadnyatto nil
"In the 9th year (if the reng") of king Parakeesarivar.nan aluas the omperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulōttunga-Chōladēva,-on the diny of Satabhishaj, wheh corresponded to a Thursday, to the seventh tithi of tho first foringight and to the twenty-fourth solar day of the month of Vriscluka"

Tho date regninly cors csponds to Thursday, Novombor 20th AD M88, a day in the 9th gear of Kalottanga-Chioh III, whel was the 2tilh day of Vruchiha, and at whose mean sumrsce the 7th tithe of the finst fortnight was curnent, the moon being, by the equal-space system, in Satablushaj $\mathrm{By}_{5}$ the systems of Guga and the Brahna-siddhinta tho nahshatra was Pîrra Bhadrapadi, but they do not scem to have been used By the equal-space system Sntabhushay ended Th 52 m after mean sumuse, whereas by Garga that nahshatra had endod 4h 16m, and by the Brahma-siddhinta 3h 17 m before that moment

$$
232 \text {-In the Kanlāsanātha temple at Ēlambākkam s }
$$

1 Svastı Sii [IN]
Payal râttu
21 . . . Kō=[Ppa]rahè[sari]pa[nma]r=î-
22 na Tuıbuvn[nachchn]kEarava[tti]gal Madu-
$23 \mathrm{rar}[\mathrm{yn}] \mathrm{m}$ Îla[ma]n=Gniuvā[rum P]andı-
24 yann mudi-t[ta]lary[a]m kond-ara-
25 [lya] sr[i]-Kulöttunga-Sôlade[var]ku [y]indu 26
26 [vadu Ka]m[ba] n[ī]ya[rru] pûrvoa-pa[hshattu] mo $T_{2}-$
27 ngat-[hla]]mayam per[ra Eurtti]g[an]-nü[1]
"In the 26th year (of the rergn) of king [Pa]rakēsarıvarman alus the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulōttunga-Chōladēva who was pleased to take Maduras (Madura), Ĩam (Ceylon), Karuvūr and the crowned head of tho Pändya, -on the day of Krattika, wheh corresponded to a Monday and to the
[ $\left.{ }^{2} t h 2\right]$ of the first fortnight of the month of [Kumbha]"

[^186]The 26th year of Knlottunga-Chōla III began betweon the 6th and Sth July AD 1203, and in that 20th year thes date comesponds to Monday, February gth AD 1204 At sunrise on that day, which was the 17 th duy of Kumbla, tho 7 th tetht of the first fortnght was current, and the moon was then in Krittika

## 233.-In the Umāmahēśvara temple at Kōnērırãjapuram ${ }^{\text {I }}$

1 Svast[2] śs[i]h—Ti[1]bhuvanachchakmvatt[1]gal [Mfa]durar[y]um [P]andis[y]an [mudr]-tta[laygun= go]nd-arul[1].
 pa[kashat]tu pra[tr]maryum Budan-
3 kilamayum perra Atta $\left[t^{*}\right]$ to $n \bar{a} l$
"In the sixteenth year (of the retgn) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glomous Kulôttunga-Chōladēva who was plensed to tike Madnrar (Madura) and the crowned head of the Pandga,-on the diy of Hasta which coricsponded to a Wednesday and to the first tuthr of the second fortngght of the month of Mina,"

This date regularly corresponds to Wednesday, March 8th AD 1194, which was the 15th of Mina and was in the sistecnth jear of Kinlöttunga Chöla III At mean sumrise on that duy the 1st tethe of the second fortught was current, and the moon mas in the nahshatra Hasta

## 234 - In the Unnatapurisivara temple at Molatū ${ }^{2}$

 [3]vadn Ishaba-nifarra [pū]rva-pashshattuo t[1]_[2]t[1y]aryum Budan-k[1la]m[ayum perra Pa]narpuiśa[tta] n[al].
"In the [3]rd year (of the reign) of the emperor of the three worlds, tho plomons Kulottunga-Chōladēva, - on the day of Punarvasu wheh conesponded to a Wednesday and to the thurd tethe of the first fortnight of the month of Rishabha"

Since this date $1 s$ so worded as to afford no clno as to wheh of the thrce known Kulottongas' reign it belongs, I have tested it for each of those sovercigns, with an unsatisfactory result in the end

In the third jear of the reign of Knlötunga-Chöla I the 3rd sukla tuthe of Varsākha in the solar month Vrishablia mas current at mean sumuse on Tacsday, April 21th, AD 1072, and also at menn sumise on Sundas, Jlay 12th, A D 1073, cithel of which days, zecolding to our present hnowledge as to the date of that king's accession, might have fallen in his third year In the first case the naheliatra current at mean sunnso was enther Mirigisuras on Ādra accordmig to the authonly used, and in the second case was Ārda $\bar{a}$ by all systems

For the icign of Kulottunga II we also have the chonce of tro jcars, and tho day mentroned in the record, so far as the tithe 18 a gunde, may have been Friday, May 17th, A D 1185 when the nahsibatra was $\bar{A}_{1} d i a b$ by the equal-spoce ssstem for 7 lm lm after mean sumrise, but Punarvasu by Gaiga and the Brahma-siddhānta, or it may have been Wedncsday, May 6th AD 1136, when the corresponding nahshatra was smalar to that in the last easo, Ādrà lasting by the equal-space system for 7h 36 m after mean sunrise

Thas latter date, therefore, would evactly tally mith the grocu details if we could assame that the panchangas of that day rete calculated, in the matter of the nahshatra, either by the

[^187]systcm of Garga or that of the Biahma-siddhanta, but at present I thank that the werght of evidence chows that the equal-spree system was then in use Though the week day is correct therefore the nahshatra is not so And for that icason $I$ hesitate to accept the date

For the relgn of Eulottungn-Chöln III the 3rd sulia tuthr of Jyeshtha eorresponded to Monday, May 1Sth, $\Delta D$ 1181, wheh was the 2 5th solar day of Vushabha, on wheh day at mean snoise the moon wis in Pannurasu by all systems Thin thetefore would eoncide with the gren date if we presumed that the week-day had been wiongly stated as Monday nstead of Wednesday The 3id suhia tilhe mquection ended at 1 h 41 m after mean sanrise on the Monday, so that it could not be connected uth the Taesday, still less with Wedncsdny I am therefore, as before, unable to accept that date as eorrect

From the abore tianshteration at appens that the number of the regnal jear "3" is somernht donbtful If the number is eapable of benge certamly asecrtaned, no doubt the date conld be conelasively reuficd ${ }^{1}$

## RAJADHIRAJA II (?).

## 235 -In the Kalāanātha temple at Alambakkam ${ }^{2}$

1 Svastı sıi [11*] Tuibuvanachelnhkara[ri]ttiga[1 si]i-Rajadh[ur]ajaderarkku Fandu pannuandà[ra]du s[Si]mala-nâfarru apura-pahshattu dasa[mi]yum Tinga[1-k]alamaryum perra Mülattu nàl
"In the twelfth gear (of tho retgn) of the omperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rājādhrājadēva,-on the day of Mūla whinch corresponded to a Monday and to the tenth tuthr of the second fortnight of the menth of [Si]mba"

This date is intinsically rrong During the month of Sumba a tenth tithe of the second fortnight can never be connceted with the nalushatra Müla, but a tenth athe of the finst fortmight can be ro, and I have therefore tested the date for both these days in the reigns of Rajadinaja I and II respectively

Tahing the latter first, the date must, for his 12 th year, fall in AD 1174 In that year, in the month of Simha, the 10th brishua tethu fell on a Friday, and the 10th sulla tath also on a Friday, the nakshatia in the sceond case being Miñ by all systems As the $\pi$ eck-diy is thas altogether wrong I rejeet the date, although in the sccond case the nakshatra stood as stated

For the relgn of Rijādhrijua I we have it, as established by Kiclhorn, that his twelfth year began between March 15th and Deceniber 3rd, A D 1029 Hence the month of Simbe in that regnal year may have been etther in AD 1029 or 1030 In the first of these two eases the 10th Krishna tuthr of Simba fell on a Thursday, the nalshatra beng Mrigasiras This is planly wreng The 10th sukla tithe of Simha in A D. 1029 was current at sunrise on a Thursday, the nakshatra Mūla having expired $3 \mathrm{~h}, 36 \mathrm{~m}$. before that sunrise. This also does not fit the descmption

Now for the second case, zaz, the Sumba of the year AD 1030 (Śaka 952) The 10th krishna tethe was curient at sunrise of Monday, July 27th, A D 1030, wheh was the lst day of Simha, but the nafshatra then earrent was Rohini This is altegether wrong In the same year, AD 1030, the 10th snkla tethe was current at sunnise of Tuesday, Angast 11th, which

[^188]







 hetween Amah $15 t_{1}$ oud Angut 11 hi, A D luls

 dered an unluds slas 1

## RAJARAJA IIT

## 230 -In the Kachelmapisara tomple at Trukitehohur.:

 vadu Dham neya-


 tho first tith of the habt fortmelit of the menili of Dhanus"
 sponds to Tuesday, Decomber 18th A D 12e9, which vathe 9 gril Dhatu4, men on wheh dig
 Cttua-Āh delia, wew curzem

237 -In tho सachchhapésvara temple at Tirukkachehnir ${ }^{3}$


 nil
"In the Srd (yen) (of theregn) of thr emporor of the throo worlds, the glomous Rājanājadēva,-on the day (tohoch tous a) Sunday, (nnd) wheh comesponded to (the day of) Mula and to the fourth teths of the first fortunght of tho month of Masara

This date is uregulia in atself, besides boing wrong in other respects On a th tithe of the first fortmght in Makara tho moon cannot be in the nahathatra Minl Mal arz in the 3rd jear of Pijuija III occuncdlato in AD 1218 and carly m 1219 The 4th Suhin tiths corresponded to Mondyy, Jnnuary 2lst, $\Delta D$ 1219, wheh was the 27th day of Makara, on wheh day

[^189]at mean sunme the nah.hatra was Uttana Bhadrapada The day comesponding to Mñlu in that solur month wis Tueslay, Janaas 15, A D 1219, which was the 21st day of Makara, and on that day the 12 th tithr of the scoond fortnght was curnent at mean sumise

## 238 - In the Cholisivara temple at Turayūr ${ }^{1}$

 3 radu Minn-niyarra apara-pakshattu [ē]kadisi[5u]m Sans-kkılzmaryum perra Sodargattu ni[1]
"In the 3 rd year (nf the retgn) of the emparor of the three worlds, the glomous Rāja-rājadēra,--on the dyy of Satabhishaj, whek corresponded to a Saturday and to the elevcnth tithe of the second fortngight of the month of Wina"

This date is also arregular In the thard year of Rajanija III the month of Mma fell ealy in $\triangle D 1219$ The elcrenth tethe of tho second fortnight in that month comesponded to Thuredry, Jarch 14th 1219, whel was the twentieth day of Mina On that dny at mean sunnase the 11 th hrishua tuthe and the nahshatra Dhanishthā were enrient On Finday, Mateh 15 th, at mean sumise the cariout $t_{t}$ ha wns the 12 th krishna and the eurrent nahshatra wes Sinabhishay On Satuday, March 10th, at mean sumuse the current fithe was tho 14th hrishna, the 13th bung expunged, and the current nahshatra was Pürva-Bhadiapadu So thatit is not posible in that yerr to form at any trme a combination, even at any time of $a$ day, of a Sation duy, the llth hrishn: itht, and the mithohatra Satabheshay, nor can we arive at any solution withoas alturng two ont of three of the elements given in the dato as stated This would bo dangerous

The date is megular for the rugas of Rajanija I or II

## 230 -In the Umãmahēsvara temple at Kōnērurājapuram:

 ırubnttunīlãndın ed[ı]rim=ãndu Mēsha-nāyarru a[pa]ra-[pakshattu a]shtamıyom Vell[i]-khlnmaryum perra T[u]a[vi] $]^{3} n$ ntu nül
"In the year opposite the twenty-fourth year (nf the reqgn) of the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Rājarājadēra,-on the duy of Sravana, which correspondud to a Friday and to the eughth $t_{2}$ thr of the second fortugght of tho month of Mesha"

The given date corresponds to Friday, April 5th AD 1241, which whe the 12th day of Mêsha On that day at mean sumrise the Sch krishna trthr was carrent, and the moon was in Sravana

## 240 -In the Muktisvara temple at Samayavaram 4

1 [Svac]tı ślī [II] Tuubuvana[ch]elnakkarvvattıgal śri-Rāsarāsadēvarku fāndu [6]-
 Mñla-
3 [tta] nil
"In the [6]th year (of the renqn) of the emperor of the three worldes, the glomous Rājarajadēa, -on the day of Mūla, which corresponded to a Wednesday and to the fitth tethr of the sceond fortnght of the month of Mēsha"

[^190]The date 18 unsatisfaetors From the details given it would seem that the number of the regnal year 18 not elear On the supposition that the number of the year 15 shown by a single ahshana I bave tested the date for every year of Rajnrija III's reign from the first to the munth inelnsive, and in no case hare obtaned the desned combination ${ }^{1}$

The nevest approach was fond in the lst regnal year, Mēsha of AD 1217, the 1th regnal year, Mésba of A D 1220, and tho 8th regnal year, Mísha of A D 1224

In A D 1217 the week-day cornesponding to the 5th krishna tithe was Wednesday, and the diy was the 5th duy of Mesba, or March $29 t h$, but the nahshatra Müla only began, by the eqnal space kystem, at 1 h 23 m after mean snarise on that day, thongh at that monent (mean snnrise) the moon was in Müla by the systems of Garga and by the Brahma-siddhanta This was in the hing's fist regaal year

In A D 1220 the 5 th krishna tethr was current at mean sunrise of Tharsday, Mareh $26 \tan ^{\text {a }}$ wheh was the 2 vd day of Mesha, having been conent for the last 20 h 3 m on the provious Wednesday Aeeording to the equal-spiee spstem Jyeshthā was the nalshatia enrrent dung all those hours of Wednesday, Mñla only begmming lh 38 m after menn sumise on Tharsdiy, thongh by the system of Garga Manla was enrrent for the last llh lím and by the Brahma-siddhinta for the last 16 h 12 m wo the Wednesday So that by fhese litter anthonties Müla and the 5th krishna tithe ian together for a considerable period on Wednesday, Mareh 25th But I can aseertan no reason why the Wednesday and not the Ihursday, if who e sunrise the 5th krishua $t_{2}$ the was cnrrent, should have been coupled with that tith Tl ere u as nothng speenal, co far as $I$ ean gather, in that tith wheh wonld warrant a departrre from the usual procedure of namug the day from the tithe current at snnmse Tbese days in A D 1220 were in the king's fourth regnal year

In A D 1224 the 5th krıshna $f_{2} t h 2$ fell on Wednesday, Apml 10th, wheh was the 17 th day of Jlēsha, but the nahshatra cnrrent at mean snnıse of that day was Pūrra-Āshādhā br all systems, Mrüla having ended on the Tuesday Thiswas in the erghth regnal gear of Rājaraja III

The date is inegular for his other regnal jears fiom the first to the month melusive
It is also mrregular for the 6th year of ether Rājarija I or II

## RAJANARAYANAN SAMBURAYA

241 -In the Kachchhapēśvara temple at Trrukkaehchūr :


3 yarra-kılamayyum per[ra] Kättı[ga]ı nāl
"In the 13th year (of the rezgn) of Zäjanārāyanan Śamburāya, -on the das of Krittike Whoch corresponded to a Sunday and to the scoond tethe of the sccond fortught of the month of Tula "

[^191]Two mscriptions, one at the Ulagalanda-Perumăl temple at Käũehi, and tho other at the Kailasanātha temple at Ścrvallimēdu, are dated on the ycar Vyaya, and expressly state tho year
 the 7th jear also exists at Śevvallimedu If these are found on examination to contan fullen detals of the date $1 t$ may be possible to gather from them sufficient evidenee as to the date ot his aecession But so far thas can only be fixed as being m Śs 1259 or $1260,2 e$, on some daty between Maneh 26th A D 1337, the first colar day of Mêsha Saka 1259, and Maveh 25th A U 1339 , the last solar day of Mina Śaka 1260 Consequently the thinteenth yeas must he betwede March A D 1349 and March 1351, and the month of Tula stated in the presont inscription at Trukaehehūr mist correspond either to (about) October $\triangle D 1349$ or the same month in $A D$. 1350

The detals of the date aro irregular for AD 1349, the second tethe of the seeond fortnight in Tula in that gear falling on Tresday, September 29th, on which day at menn sumrise the moou was in Bharani I doubt eren of the date can be aecopted as rogulir for A D 1350 In that year the seeond krishna tath of Karttikn was earrent at mean sunrise of Monday, Octobel 18th, that day being the 20th day of Tula, and the moon at its sunmes being m Rohmi The fithr had been current for the last 21 h . 11 m of Sunday, October 17th, and up to 3 h 5 m before sumrise on the Monday tho moon had been in Rrittika, accordng to the equnl-space ssstem By the system of Garga the moon had been in Krittiki up to 15 h . 13 m , and by the brahmasiddhanta up to 15 h 56 m before the Monday sunrise If thereforc the week-day had been stated as Sunday, the date given would have proved regular as rcgards the nahshatra but wrong as regards the teth, wheh shonld have been stated as the lat krishna The 2nd hrishan tu.hi and the nakslatia Kritihin had been together current for some hours on the Sunday, but not on the Mondny, and I can ascertan no ceremonial ieason why a 2nd krishan in Kirttika should be coupled with the day pror to that on whose sunnse it was current

I have tested the date for several other yenrs about this period but quite withont sueceas It 15 not coireet for AD 1351, in wheh jear the given tithe corresponded to Friday, October 6th, wheh was the 9th Tula and on wheh day at mean sunnse the moon whs in Bharam
[Incidentally I mas mention tbat I find the date qnoted by Professor Haltasels for the 17th yeut of thus king's piedecessor, Venrumanhonda Śambuvaraya (South-Indtan Inscraptuns I, p 78, Xo 52 ) to be perfectly regular The date conesponds to Nay 10th A D 1339, and the king'g necession 19 tixed as having taken placo between May 11 A D 1322 and May 10 1323]

## Rajanarayanan sambuvarata

## 242 -In the Vyăghrapādēsevara tomple at $S_{1} d$ dhalıngamadam ${ }^{1}$

 ritartu sigiodu 20 at wh ${ }^{4}$
 nil
"In the 20th year ( 11 the retg") of Rājanārāyanan Sambuvarāya the emperor of all the
 the first fortnght of the month of Makara"

[^192]It is almust eatran that the $n o l$ shatra or the tathe quoted in thas ingeription must bo wrong. for br the cqual-spaee syrtem and that of Garg the 3rd Sunin tathe in the solat month Mrpara can never eorreapona to Śrisans, while by the Brahma-siddbinta it could only do so for about 12 mentes at the beginnagg of the tethi Tho moon could, hoverct, be in Sravann on the list or 2ad sublitithe of tant month In the prisent caso I thenk that the quoted tithe is corsect, sinee it fell on a Wednesday as stated, but that the natshatra has been wrongly quoted as Giamna when it should here been Dhanchthat

If I am correct in thus sapposition the green date corresponds to Wednesday, Janariry 2nd
 ervi day of Makara The cunent nahshatra nt man sunrise s 3s, however, Dhamshthi and not Śarion Thes dny bung in tho 20 th recanl ycar of Rijanāmiyann Śambuvariys we have it that his aceession occurred on some day betreen January 3rd AD 1339 and Janunry 2nd
 conld not have taken plice lator than the last day of Snha 1260, rheh was Morch 25 th AD 1339 And constquentle, if the preseat date 15 aecepted, the reign of this king began between January 3rd and March 25th $A D 1539$

But if so, the last date evamined, No 211, 15 nat regular, for it should heve corresponded with a diy in Septernber Octuber 1 D 135l, bing in the 13th year And for that year it is ucorieet

## PERUNJINGADEVA.

## 243 -In the Vyäghrapādēsvara tomple at Siddhalingamadam ${ }^{1}$

 2 rankku jädu 19 radilu] Rishabha-nigar[rm] apara pahshattu t[r]

"In the 19th year (of the $r_{t z g n)}$ of the emperor of all the worlds, the glorious king Peruñungadēva, on the dar of Müla, whech corresponded to a minonday and to the thud lithr $^{2}$ of the second fortnght of the month of Reshabha"

The ieign of this ha $g$ has leen premon-ls ditermined bs the late Prof Eichorn to have beg a between lith Febinary and 30th July \& D 1213

The present date is quite regular for the 19th regnal rear It corresponds to Monday, May 8th A.D 1261, which wis the 14th Vrihabha, Śaka 1184 expred On that day at menn funnse, and thereafter for 1 ih $3-\mathrm{m}$, the 3 r 1 brishon tethe of Vasiatha was current, the moon heing by the equal-s ace qystem ia Mōla at sunrie and for 3 h 40 m after it $\mathrm{By}_{\mathrm{y}}$ the systems of Garga and the Brahma addhānta the nabshatra current at mean sumise was Pürva-Äshädi

We now thei efore know that the aceession of Peruñingadēra took place betreen May "th and July 30th A D $12+3$

# No 24-DATES OF PANDYA KINGS 

By R Seweli, ICS (Retd), MRAS

## MARANJADAIYAN

## 98 -In the Jambunāthasvāmin temple at Tiruvellarai

1 Svastı srı [ll* K Kō Marañjadaryarku yându nill $\bar{a}]$ -
2 vadark=edır onbadāradu $V_{11 s e h ı k ı-n ̃ a r r r u ~} \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ -
3 ngat-ki[lamai] perra Aśvatı [muda]l-aga.
"In the nunth (year) opposite to the fourth year (of the rrign) of king Mārañjadayan -from (the day of) Asvini whech corresponded to a Monday of the month of Vrischika"

The names of two soveloggns bearing the tritle Mirranjadaigan have been biought to herlit 20 the territories at one time subject to the Pandyan kings The eather was alive ma $A$ ) 770 as 15 gathered from the Anarmalar miseription, the latel is behered to huve succeeded to the throne some time in A D 862-63 (Ep Int, Vol IX, p 8S) No sufficient detanls exist for versfieation of the dite guven for the former I have exammed the dite of the presont record on the supposition thit it might refer to that Märañjadayan whose iergu is saia to havo bogno in A D 8u2-63

It appears to belong to his thirteenth year, which would perh 2 ps be the year A D S74-75 Tue date given 15 so far legulat that on Moudar, the 29th day of the solar month Vriseluha, tho
 tens This curl day correspouded to Monday, November 22nd A.D 874 On that day Reivati expried and Asvini began it 20 h 36 u after mean sunrise Therefore aceoldng to the ondinary praetiee of Southen Intia the Monday in question would have been coupled with Revati and not with Acrmi The lunar day curient at the Monday sunnise was the 1Oth suhla of Margarisha The 11 th sukla tethe beg ua at 18 h 34 m on that Monday, or 34 m after midnight

Now I under tand that tho 10th sukla of Miargasirsha is considered an unlucky tuthe (at least Albeiunni sags that it is so), but that the lith is peculanly auspicious since it is the neca-
 ëhädasi in other parts ${ }^{2}$ And as this tethe ian for about 51 houns before the end of the envl dy, Monday, whle tho ralshntra Asmin was similarly curient for 3 bout $3 \frac{1}{3}$ hours befone the end of the d 2 y , it seems quite possible thit this constitutad an ocension jusiffyng a departuie fiom the usual practice and the coupling of the Monday an question with Asini mastead of with Rêratī

If I am right in this surmise the date may be aceepted as genuine, and if so this king's rign began some timo between November 23rd, A D 861, and November 22nd, 862, alwars supposing that "in the minth year opposite the founth year" mems "in the thirternth jear" Conplng with this the date given in the Arvarmala record ( $E_{p}$ Ind, Vol IX, p 88), whach makes Śaka 792 synehronous with the bing's elghth year, we have for his accession the perioci March 22nd to November 22nd AD 862 This presupposes thnt the quoted Saka year $\% 92$ was the cunent year

[^193]
## MARAVARMAN SUNDARA-PANDYA.

## 97 - In tho Mundisvaramudangar tomplo at Manappadarvidu !


 pürvva-pakshattu ashtamiyum perra Rérati-nh[1]
"In the loth yoar (of the rutgn) of king Maravarman alue the omporor of tho threo worlds, tho glorious Sundara-Pandyadēva, who was pleased to tako every connirg, - on the das of Rēati, whach conesponded to the Sth fithz of the finst formight of the month of Vrischika"

I havo tested thes date for tho 10 th year of the threo known Maravarman Sundara-Pandyas, the first of whom began to ie'gn between $\$ 212 c h 29$ and September f, A D 1216, the second between Juno 15, A D 1238, and Januay 18, 1239, and the fhrd between Jnno 2, A D. 1531, and June 1, 1532, that is to say I have calculated the tifth and nah, hetra mentionel, durng the conise of tho solar month Vrisehikn, in eqeh of the yoars A D 1225, 1220, 1247, 1248, 1540, 1541 In no ease was Revati carreat at any timo dungy tho oval day wheh might havo been eonnected with tho 8 th sakla tilht of a lanar month $I$ must thercfore hold this dato to be unsatisfactory.

## JATAVARMAN SUNDARA-PANDYA

## 98 - In the Nedungalanāthasvāmin templo at Trunedungalam *

 sr[1]-Sundaua-P[ī]ndıy yadēartkku findu
 [ru]m perra Púsattu nal
"In the third year (of the reign) of hang Jatārarman altas the emporor of the threo worlds, the glorious Sundara-Pändyadēva,-on the diy of Pushya which corresponded to a Monday and to the eleventh tethe of tho first fortnght of the month of R1shabha"

This date 15 also arregular when oxamined for the therd year of any knonn king of the name declared in the record Tested for the reigns of tho first on second Jativmman SundaiaPändya the stated day must fall in orthe A D 1253, 1278, or 1279. In the first case the given tathi fell on a Saturday, in tho second ou a Weduceday, in the thind on a Tuesdry, in the first tro cases the nahshatia mas Hagta and in the third Chitri Onan 1lth suhla tethe in Frishnbla the moon cannot be in Pushya

89 -In the Kachchhapēs̃ara temple at Trukkachchür *
1 Svast[1] srir[ll*] . . [Kör=Śadar] .
2 buvanacheha[ K$]$ karavattı[ga]l sii-Śundara-[P]ūndı[Ja]dēvarkku f[ān]-
3 dn 7 vadu ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Miña-nāyarru pāruva-pakshattu deśamyum Nāyarru-[kala]-
4 mayum [p]erra' Atta[t*]ta nūl
"In the 7th year (of the rozgn) of king Jatā[varman altac] the emperor of the [three] worlds, the glorious Sundara-Pāndyadēva,-on the day of Hasta which corresponded to a Sunday and to the teuth $t_{2}$ the of the first fortnight of the mouth of Mina"

[^194]Thus date is altogether unsatisfactory In the 7th year of the reign of Jatävaman Sundara-Pāudya I the l0th sukla, tithe in Mina corresponded to Satarday, Manch leth a D 1258, the Pushya nahshatra being carrent at mean sunrise

For the 7th year of the relgn of Jatāvarman Sandara-Pāndya II I have tried all the possible years In no case is the nahshatra quoted correct for the day corresponding to the 10tn sukla tathe in Minna, these beng always erther Punarvasu, Pushya, or Āślêshā, and never Hasta Only in one year, A D 1284, does the l0th sukla tıt the correspond to a Sunday, and that was on 27th Februasy of that year, but the nahshatra for that day was Punarvasu

The record cannot belong to the reign of any possible king of the name coming between Māravaıinan Kulaśékhara I, whose last known date is Jnue 9th A D 1309, and the second king of that name, whose reign began in March A.D 1314, sunce in all the possible rears that I have tried, the nahshatra, on the given day, is either Punarvasu or Pushya Indeed during the month of Mina the moon cannot be in Hasta on a 10th sukla tethr

## 100 -In the Vighnēśvara temple at Tırukkachchūr ${ }^{1}$

1 Srastı śri [ $\left.1 \|^{*}\right] \quad$ Kôr=Chadapanmar=āna Tnıbhu[va]na²chchakkaravatagal
 Risha-
 Pūśatto nă[1]
"In the 8th year (of the reign) of kang Jatāvarman alras the emperor of the three worlds, the glonous Sundara-Pändyadēva, who was pleased to take every country, 一 on the day of Pushya which corresponded to a Thursday and to tho third titho of the first fortnight of the month of Rishabha"

This date 18 irregular To correspond with the 8th year of the named king, either the first or the second of the dynastr, the day mast fall in either $\operatorname{AD} 1258$, 1283 , or 1284 In 1258 the 3rd sakla tuthr in Vrishabha was current at sunrise of a Tresday, and the nahshatra was Mrigasiras or Ärdrā at sunrise In 1283 the week-day was Satulday, and the nahshatra was Mrigasiras by all systems In 1284 the week-day was Friday, and the nakshatra at sunnse mas Panarvasu by all systems Part of the 3rd Sukla tathe, in this last case, concided with Thursday, it did so in fact for the last $10 \frac{1}{2}$ hours of that day, but during that period the nakshatra was Ärdrâ or Punarvasu

101 -In the Nedungalanāthaszāmin temple at Trunedungalam ${ }^{5}$
1 [Sva]s[t] [ [17*]samasta-jagad-ādhāra
5 [Sun]da[ra]-Pā[ndıya]dē[va] ${ }^{\left[k k u^{6}, ~ y a ̄ n d u ~ p a[1] t o[n] r a ̄ v a d u ~\right.}{ }^{7}$ Makara-nāyarru pū[rvod]pakshattu [sha]sh[th] $1 \mathrm{y}[\mathrm{u}] \mathrm{m}$ Budhan-kyla[m]ayum
6 perra Urớan [n] n̄l
"In the eleventh year (of the reegn) of

- [Sun]dara-Pāndyadēva, -on the day of Rōhini which corresponded to a Wednesday and to the sixth teth of the first fortught of the month of Maikara"

[^195]The date is irregalar whether for the reign of Jatavarman Sundaıa-Pāndya $I$, or for the second king of that name The given tethi in Makara corresponded with a Wodncsday in A D 1261, the Ilth year of Jatāvarman Sundara I, and m Jannary of A D 1286 which might pussibly have been in the 1lth year of Jatavarman Sundara II, bat tho eorrcsponding nahshatra ou those Wednesdays was oither Pūrva or Uttara-Bhadrapadi In Jananry 12^7 the 6th sakla tethu in Makara fell on a Tncsday, with the nahshatra Aspini eurront at mean snnrise, and in Jannary 1288 it fell on a Sunday, with tho nakshatra Rērati eurrent at mean sunrise

## 102.-In the Kachchhapēśvara temple at Trukkachchūr ${ }^{1}$


Turıbnvanaehehakkaravattıgal srı-[Śn]ndara Pānlıpadōvarku fã[n]du $13 \mathrm{vada}{ }^{2}$
pad[1]nminn[r]ãvadu Kam[bha]-nãyarru parvva-[pa]kshattu prãehamıyum Bu-
2 dan-ki[la]mayy[n]m perra Aśratı-nāl
"In the 13th-thirteenth—year (of the acegn) of king Jatāvarman alas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Sundara-Pāndyadēva,- on tho day of Asvini which corresponded to a Wednesday and to the fifth tuthe of the first fortught of the month of Kumbha"

This date 19 irregular For the 13th year of Jativarman Sundara-Pandya I tho 5th sakla tathı in Kurabha has Aśvini foi nahuhatra, bat the ncek-day was Monday It eorresponded to 4 February, A D 1264 For the 13th year of Jatãvarman Sundaia-Pãndya II we may test the date in tro ways, aeeording as we aeeept one or the other of the accession periods fixcd by the two gronps of insenptions If we aecept the carlre penod, the 5th sukla tithr of Kumbha in the 13th year eorres,onded to 8 Febrnary, A D 1289, and at snnrise on that day the nahshatra mas A-viai, but tho week-day was Sunday If we aeeept the later, the quoted day eorresponded to 27 January, A D 1289, but on that day at sanriso the nahshatra current was Reavati, and the week-day was Thursday The fifth sakla tuth was carrent for 3 h 24 m at the end of Wednesdas, but tho nahshatra Aśvini did not begin till 13h 46 m after mean sunrise on the Tharsday, so that it could not possibly be connected with the Wednesday previous

## JATAVARMAN SUNDARA-PANDYA I

## 103 -In the Kachchhapēśvara temple at Trukkachchūr ${ }^{3}$

1 Svast[1] śr[1] [||*] . . . [Korr=Chadaı]panmar=āna

2 kn yăndu Sralu Miduna-nāyarru apara-pakshatt[u] Tingal-kilunauyum perga Uttrrat[t]ādir-nāl
"In tho 8th year (of the reign) of king Jatāvarman alaas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Sundara-Pāndyadēva, - on the day of Uttara-Bhadrapadā whoh corrcsponded to a Monday of the second fortanght of the month of Mithuna"

The month of Mithuua in the 8th year of Jatārarman Sundara-Pandya I fell in AD. 1258, in which year the lst day of that month corresponded to Monday, May 27th, and the Eth krishna tith The nahshutru carient at maan suarise by the systems of Gaiga and Brahmagnpta wes Uttara-Bladrapadā, but by the equal-space system Pārva-Bhıdıapadē was

[^196]then curcnt Examining Kıelhorn's dates Nos 11 to 18 (Ep Ind, Vol VI, pp 306 ff) I find that in six cases it is impossible to siy which system kas generally in use in tho Chinglepat tract during that reign, bat No 12 proves that in A D 1253, near Trichinopoly, neither the system of Gargn nor that of the Biahma-siddhănta was in usc, and No 16 from near Tanjore shoms that the latter was not used there in AD 1260

On the morning in question, aecording to the equal-spaco system, Uttara-Bhadrapada began 55 m after mean snnrise, and thongh this throws a slight donbt on the date I think it may bc accepted

For the reign of the second king of that name the date must fall in A D 1283 or 1284 and for those years the quoted nahshatra would be incorrect, though it is correct in all respects for Monday, June lst, A D 1282, which was the 6th day of Mithnan And if the quoted regnal ycar had been the 6th instead of the 8th, I shonld have had no hesitation in accepting it ${ }^{1}$ As the case stands, however, I think that the date 18 genume and that it belongs to the reign of Jatāvarman Sundara-Pāndya I

## 104 -In the Muktisivara temple at Pūrattukkōyıl ${ }^{2}$

1 Svast[1] sfi [ll** K $\quad$ = $\operatorname{Ch}[$ cha]dau[panma]r=à[na Tribhava]na[chchalkarava]-
2 th[gal sii].Śsudara-[Pândya]dēvarkn [yā]ndu llvadu
3 Kann[1]-nā[ya]rra [apara-pa]bsha[ttu] [yum Buda]n-kl]a[m]al-
4 [yu]m perri Śodi-nāl
"In the IIth year (of the reign) of king Jatāvarman alias the emperor of the threo worlds, the glorious Sundara-Pāndyadēva, -on the day of Svāth which correspondod to a Wednesday ind to the - tath of the second fortnight of tho month of Kanyă"

The given elemonts of the dute do not correspond to any possible 1lth or-supposing a wrong regual year to havc been stated,-10th or 12th year of Jatāvarman Sandara-Pāndya II, nor to any sakla tuthr on a Wednesday in Kanya in any of those years, supposing that the fortnight was wrongly recorded

The day apparently corresponds to Wednesday, August 31st A. D. 1261, which was in the 11th yeur of Jatāvarman Sundara-Pāndya I, and on which day at mean sunrise, which was the 3rd day of Kanyä, the 4th sukla tithr was current, the current nakshatra being, by the equalspace system, Sväti By the other two systems of Garga and the Brahma-siddhanta the nakshatra nas $\mathrm{V}_{15 \text { śãkbā. }}$

I think that the record 18 one of the reign of Jatāvarmau Sundara Pāndya $I$, and that the fortnight was wrongly stated The date does not correspond to any day in the daik fortnight during the given solar month

## JATAVARMAN SUNDARA-PANDYA II

105 -In the Nedungalnnāthasvāmin temple at Turunedungalam s


[^197]6 [dira]d var[k]ku Jündu 8 vadu 1 Ma[ka]ra-[n]āarru $\quad$ [p]ūıva-pakshattu daśamisum Budan $[k]$ lamayyum perra Rō-

## 7 [ am ] $]$-nal

"In the 8th year (of the reign) of the glorious king Jatãarman aluas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Sundara-Pāndyadēva, -on the day of Rōhini which corresponded to a Wednesday and to the tenth titliz of the firat fortnight of the month of Makara"

For the 8 th year of Jatãvarman Sundara-Pändya $I$, the 10 th sukla tuthi in solar Makara fell on a Sanday, at whose sunmse the nakshatra was Krittika Neither Rohini no the l0th sukla tuthz could be connected with a Wednesday

For tho 8 th year of Jatävarman Sundara-Pändya II the jear may coirespond either with A D 1282.83, or 1283.84, according to tho initial date accepted for the rergn The given date 18 irregulan for both these years It 18 , however, perfectly 1 egalas for tho 9 th regnal year of this king, if his accession dated from some day in tho year 1276-77, low the given lunar day falling on the 23 rd day of Makara, corresponds regulaly to Wednesday, January 17th A. D. 1285, on which day at mean suurise the l0th sukla tithi and the nuhshatra Rōhni mere conrient Since this date is regular in all its detals, I think it should be accepted But in th it case either it clashes with most of the othor records of this king as to the imitial date of his reign, or the regnal year 15 wrongly givin as the 8 th, when it should have been stated as the 9th A mustake of this kind is frequently made, and I beheve it to have been made in this instance.

## 108 -In the $\nabla$ yaghrapadēs̃ara temple at Siddhalingamadam ${ }^{9}$

1 Svastı sri: Kob[r]=Śadaıpanmar=āna Tıubu[va]na[ch]chak[ka]ra[ra]ttıgal órī• 2 Śandara-Pāndıjadēvarkhu [y]āndu 13[vadu] Mina.[nāya]rru pū[rrva]-paksha. 3 ttu Śanı=kkılamaıyum ${ }^{3}$ shash[th] $\operatorname{syu}[\mathrm{m}]^{4}$ perra Ro[sa]nı-na $[1]^{5}$
"In the 13th year (of the rezgn) of king Jatãarman aleas the emperor of the three woride, the glorious Sundara-Pāndyadēa, -on the day of Rōhni which corrosponded to the suxth tithe and to a saturday of the first fortught of the month of Mina"

For the reign of Jatavarman Sundara-Pāndya $I$ the date 1 s irregular, as the given tathe in his 17th year fell on a Wednesday, though tho mahshatra was Rönni

Working by the gronp of inscriptions which fixes the reign of Jatāvarman Sundara-Pāndya II as beginning in 1276 and, therefore, his 13 th yedi as beginning in $12 \circ 8$, I tind the date so far satisfactory that for 4 h 31 in at the end of Satuiday, February 26, A D 1289, which was the 4 th day of Mina, the 6th sukla taflu of Plianguna and the nahshutra Röhui wene together curient At sunrise on Sunday, both this tithz ind makshatra weie curient, and by ordenary practice they would have been coupled with Sunday, February 27, and not "ith Satuiday, Fehraary 2uth Phālguna snkla 6th began 7h 8m after mean sunnse on th it Situiday

Workug by the carlier accession-date fixed by the other inscripinon-group the date is irregular

With the reservation above stated the date corresponds to Saturday, February 28th, A D. 1289, and this fixes tho beginning of the reign as subsequent to Febinay 20th, A 1) 1276

[^198]
## 107 -In the Kachchhapêsvara temple at Turukkachchūr. ${ }^{1}$

1 Svastı sri [ $\left.\|^{*}\right] \quad$ - Ko=Chchadapaprna[r]=āna Trıbnvanachchakkaravattigal śrī-Śndara-Pāndıyadē[va]rkku yându 1[3]radukku edurām=ā-
2 ndu Karkadaga-nāyarru apara-pakshattu desamıyum Tingal kknlamayam perra Kätígaı-nāl
"In the year opposite to the I[3]th year (of the rezgn) of king Jatāvarman alras the emperor of the three worlds, tho glorious Sundara-Pãndyadēa, - on the day of Erittika which corresponded to a Monday and to the tenth tithe of the second fortnight of the month of Karkataka."

The date given is incorrect for the 14th year of the reign of Jatavarman Sundara-Pändya $T$, bat correct for that of the second of that name It corresponds to Monday, July 3rd, AD. 1290, which was the 6th day of solar Karkatake, or Karka, and on which day the 10th krishna tethr of Jyēshtha was current at sunrise At sunrise, also, on that day the nakshatra Kritika was current according to all the three systems of calculntion According to this the accession-day of Jaţãarman-Sundara-Pāndya II mnst have been aubseqnent to July 3rd AD 1276.

## 108 -In the Kachchhapēspara temple st Trrukkachchūr:

1 - Kõ Sadapaṇmar=āna Tmbavanachchakkaravattıgal śri-Śnudara-Pāndıyadēvarkku [̧]āndu 17vadu Sinna-năyarru pürvrapakshattu
2 . miyam Tingal-klamaygum perra Uttirädatita nâl
"In the 17th year (of the rergn) of king Jatēvarman alras the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Sundara-Pāndyadēva,-on the day of Uttarāshāahē which corresponded to a Minonday and to the tethe of tho first fortnight of the month of Simhe"

This date 18 incorrect for the 17 th year of the reıgn of Jatãarman Snndara. Pândya I It 18, however, correct for the reign of the second king of that name It corresponds to Monday, August 25th AD 1292, which was the 28th Simha, and on which day at snnrise
 in the 17th year of the reign of Jatāvaiman Sundara-Pāndya II, if his accession took place on or before August 25th, A D 1276

I have given great attention to the question of the date of accession of Jatāvarman Snndara$P$ andya II, becanse the evidence regarding it has hitberto been in a very ansitisfactong conds. $t_{10 n}$, and after concluding my osamination of the eleven inscription dutes sent to me this year, which, with those previously examined by the late Professor Kielhorn and myself, mike a total of twenty-six records, I have come to the conclasion that this king ascended the throne on a day between the 6th and 25th August A D 1278
$A_{B}$ I differ from the opmion expressed by the late Professor Kielhorn and have modified the conolusions I had previonsly formed (above, Vol X, pp l44f) it is necessary to state the case at some length The dufference of opinion alluded to bas reference to records Nos 23 to 27 Kielhorn considered that the regaal years stated in Nos 23 and 24 were wrong, and adhered to those given in Nos 25 and 27 He considered that a wrong tithl bad been stated in No 26, and corrected, rightly as I think, the " 13th" tithe to the 3rd After this correction the date

[^199]










| No | Date of mserrption $\triangle$ D | Regnal 5075 | Accesson later than A D | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S 104 | (Regn of J S P I) |  |  |  |
| " 105 | Wed 17 Jan 1285 | 8 | 17 Jan 1277 | Year 8 should be sear 9 |
| „ 106 | Sat 26 Feb 1289 | 13 | 26 Feb 1276 |  |
| , 107 | Hion 3 July 1290 | 14 | 3 July 1276. |  |
| " 108 | Mon 25 Aug 1292 | 17 | 25 Aug 1275 |  |

From this statement it will bo seen that wo haro six dates, 2zi, Nos 23, 24, 76, 77, 106 and 107 which as they stand, withoat any alteration, show that the bing's recession took place 10 1276 A D after Augast the 5th, one, No 108, which shows that it may have tahen place on or before Augast 25, 1276, and two, which, as they stand show that it tool place before Angast 27th in that year These are Nos 54 and 55 Also ono, No 26, wheh, without alteration of the regual yeur, supports that conclusion though a wrong tathr was quoted Seven others, Nos $25,27,52,53,74,75$ and 105 , are not inconsistent with it if one year is eather added to or dedncted from the given regnal year Nine others nre ether megular or bolong to the reign of a different king ${ }^{1}$

Reforring to my remarks on the accossion-dato of thas king at p 129 of Vol X , it will be seen that I now necopt K 26, with Kalhorn's proposed alterntion It was "intrinsically wrong" for the stated $t_{2}$ the, bat, with the alteration made, it supports tho accession-date which I now beleve to be tho correct ono With iegaid to two other dates, Nos 55 and 75, I was donbtful on account of apparont errors in thom, bat in viow of tho light since thrown on the matter they may be accopted with those errors corrected

## JATAVARMAN KULASERHARA

100.-In the Mülasthänēśvara tomple at Tenkaral:

1 III Svastı $\mathrm{sr}[\mathrm{j}]$ : $\operatorname{sr}^{9}$ Ko=Cheha-
2 daxyavaımmar=àna Trıbh[u**]a-
3 nach[cha] leravattigal śrı $^{3}$
4 Evlasihbaradēva-
5 rkku Jãoda 2vadu
6 Midhnna nuyarra ira-
7 badān-dıyadıyum pü-
8 rvaa-pakshattu trayo-
9 daśryam Badhan-kila-
10 maryum per[ra A]-
11 nulatto nãl
"In the 2nd year (of the rergn) of the glorious king Jatavarman alzas the omperor of


[^200]sponded to a Wednesday and to the thirteenth titha of the first fortnight and to the twentieth solal day of the month of Mrthuna"

This date 18 urregnlar for any year in the rergn of Jativarman KalaSëhhara I, 38 nell as for any in the reign of Máravarman Kalasalkhara II, nor 18 it correct for any dato between AD 1190 and 1350 except in the case of two jears Ono is in AD 1239 and the othci is in AD 1334 In each case the 13 th sukla fithe concided with the 20 th day of solar Mithuna, and in each case the week-day was Wednesday and the nahshatra current at mean sunrise was Anaráduan, the only exception being that in A D 1239, if the calcnlation wero mado by the Brahmasiddhānta, Anurídbā had expned 20 m beforo mean sunmse on that day Bnt this objection necd not be taken into account, since that nahshatra was piobably current at truo sumrise

For the year A D 1334 the comerdence is absolute In the first case the given date corresponds to Wednesday, June 15, A D 1237, in the second case rt cor rosponds to Wednesday, June 15, A D 1334 In the first case the king's reign began after Iune 15,1237 , and before June 15,1238 , in the second case the reggn began after Junc 15, 1332, and beforo Jnnc 15, 1333. Careful stndy of the characters and form of the ongrual mscription will donbtless lead to cortan determination as to whinch of these two years is the correct one ${ }^{1}$ If this king's reign should be found to begm between 1332 and 1333 AD , we shall have the namo of a hutherto unknown Pändyan kıng, coming probably between Mãravarman Kulaśekhara II and Māravarman Paiãkrana Pändya, and bearng the title "Jatārarman" accordıng to custom And if his reign began between 1237 and 1238 A D we shall similarly have a now "Jatāvarman" between Máravarman Suudara Pāndya I and Māavarman Sundara Pändya II, bat with a ccrtann overlapping of ieigns In the other case thero is no overlapping, and if this should prove correot the king's title will have to be, at least provisionally, stated as "Jatãvarman Kulasékhara II"

## MARAVARMAN KOIASEKHARA

110 -In the Mülasthānēśvara temple at Tenkarazs
1 Svast[1] sii̊....... sri: Ko Mā[ra]panmar=ano kkaravatti-
2 gal e[m*][ma]ndalamun=gond-aralı[ya śri]-Kulasēgaradōvar $[k] u$ yāndu $23 \mathrm{va}[\mathrm{du}$ Ma]gara-
3 nāyarra púrva-pabshattu [sapta]m[1]y[um] T[m]gal-kkilamayyum perra Attattınāl
"In the 23rd yoar (of the revgn) of tho glorious king Märavarman aleas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulaśēkharadēva, who was pleased to take every country,-on the day of Hasta, which corresponded to a Monday and to the seventh tithe of the first for tnight of the month of Makara"
'This date is urregular In the first placo the moon can never be in Hasta on a 7th sukla tathe doing the solar month Makara For the 23rd year of erther of the known kings of the name stated, which correspond, for the 7th sukla tathr of Makara, to a day in January A D 1291 or Janoary A D 1837, the reek-day is Monday in tho first case, and Friday in the second, and the correspondıng nahshatras are Reveati in the first case, and Asvini in the second Woiking fol a possible 7th krishna tath, on the supposition that a mistako had been made by the engraver, I find the meok days to have been respectively Tuesday and Friday, with nakshatras Chitrā and $\nabla$ ısākha

[^201]
## 111 -In the Mnktispara temple at Pürattukkōyll 1

 garadêmrku jändu
2 28vadu Kann[1]-иäรarru [amı]ri-pakkashattu ${ }^{3}$ desamyyam Vell-kkılamayam perra Attattu
3 nal
"In the 28th year (of the reign) of king Maravarman alias the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulaśekharadēva, - on the day of Hasta which ouresponded to a Friday and to the tenth tith of the second fortught of the month of Kanya"

This date 18 intrinswally wrong, for on a tentli kishna tithe in solar Kanyã the moon cannot be in the nahshatra Hasta In such a month the day of Hasta mast be orther the 14 th or 15 th krishpa, or the lst or 2nd Sukla intit Moreorer tho weok-day given 15 incorrect for the tenth hrishne tathe in Kanyà, both in tho 23th jerm of Jitrararmin Kulasthhan I (A D 1295) and in a possible 28th scar of Mâravarman Kulaśekhara II, whelh would fall in A I I 341

## MARAVARMAN KULASEEHARA I

112 -In tho Mñlasthānēs̃ara tomple at Tonkaraz *
1 || Sunstı śri: ŝrī-Kó Mārapanmar=ãna Turıbuva-
[na]obehukkuravaitigal [em]mnadalsman=
2 [gond-a]rul[1]5a śri-Kulasēgaradēva[r]ka jā[n]da juvadu Kannı-nāya[r]ru pu[r]pva-pahshaita [sa]pta[m]yum [N]áyarsa-kki[la]masym persa
3 [MI]ūlatta ninl
"In the l4th yoar (of the reggn) of the glorious lung Maravarman aluas the omperor of the threo worlds, the glomous Kulasēkharadeva, who was pleased to take every country,on the day of Mula, wheh coresponded to a Sunday and to the seventh tithe of the first fortmuglit of the month of Kanyer "

Thus date 18 regalar for the 14th jear of Miravarman Kulasebhara I, and nacorrect for that of MI Kulasēkhara II For the former it corresponds to Sunday, September 21st, A D i281, which was the 24th day of solar Kanya At mean suarise on that day the 7 th tithz of the first fortmght was carrent, and the carrent nakehatra was Müla, by all systoms

For the 14th year of Māravarman Kulasékhara IL the given day would eorrespond ta September 23rd, A D 1327, but that day was Weduesday

## 113. -In the Mūlasthānēsvara temple at Tenkarax ${ }^{5}$

1 Svast[l] $\operatorname{sr}[\bar{i}]$ : . . śrí Ko [Mārapanmar-āns Tra]bhuva[nacheha]kravatt[1]ga[l cm]mandalamu[n]=go[nd-ara]l[1]ya [śn]-Kala[ś]egaradovar-

2 apara-pakshattu chatu[r]tthyym Nā[ya]rra-khjlamaygum perra Pūsab[tu] nāal
"In the [2]8th year (of the reign) of the glorious king MLuravarman chlas the omperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulaselkharadēva, who was pleased to thkic every countiry,-

[^202]on the dey of Pushya, which corresponded to $n$ Sunday and to the fourth tith of the second fortnight of the month of $\nabla$ rischika"

For the 28th jeai of tho reign of Maramaman Knlasöhhara I, whoh began in June AD 1295, this dato is pefectly regular It corresponds to Sunday, Novomber 27th, AD 1295, on which day, the 30 th day of solar Vrischikn, the Ath tithe of tho eecond lanar fortmght mas current at mean sunase, the nat shatra then being Pashga by nll fystems.

It wonld be meorrect for the 20th yeur of tuat king, or for a possible 20th or $28 t h$ year of the second king of that name

## MARAVARMAN KULASEEHARA II.

## 114 -In the Míuktisvara temple at Pūattukkōynl.?

 [sri]-Knlasc[ga]radēxarkku j[घ̄]n[du Gradu]
 Avittattu näl
"In the [6th] year (of the reign) of ling Maravarmen altas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulaseekharadēva, -on the day of Dhanashthe which corresponded to a Saturday and to the [first] tithe of the first fortnight of the month of Makara"

Thas date regalarly corresponds, for the 6th year of the reign of Miñrarman Kulaséhhara II, to Saturday January 12th, A.D. 1320, which was the 17th day of Makarn, on which day at mean sanrise the lst sukla tithz was current, the nahshatra being Dhanishthi by all systems.

It is ancorrect for the 6th year of the reign of Māravarman Kulásokhara I.

## jatavarman parakrama-pandya.

115.-In the Vikrama-Pāndyésivara temple at Solaparam:

1 Svastı sr[1] [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Śakäbdam äyırattu-ıru-[n]ürra-[när]pattu-nähn mel óri-Ko Chchadaıpa[nmar=ana] T1[1]bhava[na]chchnkravatt[1gal sri]-Parâkrama-
 pan[r]n[y]ai[yn]m Budan-kzlamai[y]um perra Sitiran-u[in]l
"After the Saka year (one) thousand two hundred and forty-four (had passed)in the year 8 (of the rergn) of the glomous king Jatāvarman alzas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Paräkrama-Pāndyadēva, -on the day of Chitrā, whoh corresponded to a Wednesday, to the full-moon $t_{t}$ thr, and to the 28th solar day of the month of Mina"

This date 18 regnlar whenecalculated by the Ārya qiddhänta It corresponds to Wednesaay, 23rd March, A D 1323, on which day at mean sunrise-the day being the 28 th of Mina, the full moon, or 15th sukla tithi, was current, it having began 15 h 35 m in mean time bcfore, and endung 7 h 52 m after mean sunrise

The nahshatra, however, 18 fonnd to have begnn, by the equnl-space and Garga systeme, hh 30 m after mean sumrise, thongh by the Brahma-siddhānta it was current at mean sanrise, having began 2 k 14 m earher I have calcnlated the date by the Brahma-addhānta, and find that that anthonty was not naed by the framers of the pañchannga 品 carrent nse at the

[^203]time, for by it the day of the week, of the solar month and the number of the $t_{2} t h 2$ all work out differently, as also does the nahshati a for mean snnrise of the day so estabhshed. It follows that the date was ealculated by the Ārya-siddhānta, but that (1) either there was a slight error in the calcalation of the nahshatra, or (n) the nahshatra for which calculation was made was the one entrent at the time of the action recorded in the inscription, - which action probibly took place between lh .30 m and 7 h 52 m after mean sumise on the same 23 rd March Tho inserption belongs to the reign of a king named Jatāvarman Parākrama-Pāndja whose reign began between 24th March A D 1315 and 23rd Mareh 1316.

## MIARAVARMAN VIKRANA-PANDYA

## 116 -In the Vyāghrapāđēśvara temple at Sidahalingamadam ${ }^{1}$

1 [S]vastı sri [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ Ko [M]àrapatmar=āna² Trıbkuvanach[eh]akharavattigal sri${ }^{3}$ Vibrama-Pānd[ya]jadēvariku yāndu
2 [6]radu [Kannı]-nāyarru pürva-pakshattu prathamayyum Nājarru-k[k]lamaiynm perra $\Delta t[t a]\left[t^{*}\right] t u \quad$ nāl
"In the [8]th year (of the rezgn) of ling MEaravarman ainas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Vikrama-Pāndyadēva,-on the day of Hasta, whieh corresponded to a Sunday and to the finst tith of the first fortnght of the month of Kanya"

According to the information supphed to me, doubtless from palmographo deduction, thas inscription belongs to a period later than the fifteenth century $A \mathcal{D}$, and consequently to a hing whose date has not yet been estabhshed, and with whose name we are not yet famular This may well be ao, bat I find it impossible, the detals being given as they are here, to find a dato which would correspond with those details, without esamining them separately for every year, and this would entall a vast and perhaps unnecessary amount of labour ${ }^{4}$

Meanwhale I would pount ont that, if it is permissible to sappose that this Märavarman
 Vol IX, p 228) between 13th Janaary and 27th July, A D 1401, the given date very farrly well fits in with his reign In the 6th year of thes king's reign the lôth day of Kanyá corresponded to Monday, September 13th, A.D 1406 On that day at mean sunnse the lst $t_{2}$ thr of the first fortught was corrent, as also, by all systems, the nakshatra Hasta. The lst sukla tiths had begun llh 20 m before mean snnrise, that is to say, at had been current for 1 lh 20 m on the Sunday (September 12), and durnag that time the makshatra Hasta had been ourrent by all systems, it having begnn by the equal-space system and that of Garga 17h 11m, and by the Brahma-siddhãata 20h 35m, before the Monday sunrise That Sunday was a particnlarly sacred day being the Mahälaya-amāväsyà day, whth both sun and moon in Hasta The moon's nakskiatra has besa slready stated At the moment when the lst sukls trthr began on the Sunday the san's mean longatude was $165^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 27^{\prime}$, proving hum to have been in Hasta

It may be that the same conditions existed at an altogether later date, and the years can be examined individually if a palmographic study of the onginal insoription can be undertaken which would eonfine possibilities within a hant of, say, 50 yeirs

[^204]
# 117 - In the Vyāghrapādésvara tomple at Siddhalingamadam. ${ }^{1}$ 

 Pändıyadérarku jändu Lorndu Dhonusu-nĭyařu [n]para-
2 pakahattn asb[ta]m[1]ynm Sani-hLilamaynm perta Attattu nis
"In the 15th year (of tho roign) of king Mriravarman, the omperor of the threo worlds, the glorious Vira-Pändyadèva,-on the day of Hesta, which correspondad to a Saturday and to the eaghth tithr of tho second fortugith of the month of Dhanue."

The date $1 s$ ancorrect for the regn of the only Meravarman Virn-Pandsa as yet known to history, whose 15th year began between 13th Mareh and 25th July, A.D 1457 In that jear the 12th Dhanus corresponded to Friday, Decomber 9th, A D. 1457, on which day the 8th fithet of the seeond fortnight was carrent at mean eunrise But the weok-day was not Saturday, and the given tithe conld not be conneeted mith Saturday, whilo thongh the nakshatra at mean sumise was Hasta by the Brahma-siddhânta having began 2 h 26 m carher, it was UttamPhalguni by the equal-space aystem and that of Girga

In the provions year the given tethe was ourrent at sunriso on a Mondny
The date, however, 18 perfeatly regular for the l5th ycar of Jatitarman Vira. Pandya which year began between the 11th November $A D \quad 1266$ and the 13th Jnly 1267 In that 35th regnal year the 14th day of Dhanus eorresponded to Saturday, December 10th A D 1267, and at mean sunmse on that day tho 8 th $t_{2} h^{2}$ of tho second fortaght was current, having began 5 h . 40 m before mean sumnse At that suncise the nahshatra Hasta was current by all systems

I think, therefore, that the date 18 corrcct, bat that the record belongs to the reign of Jatararnan Fira, and not of Marazarman Tima-Pigdjadera $A$ study of the palmography of the record will settle this qucstion, since of conre the anscription may belong to the reiga of a Iater soverelgn, bearing the name as given, who is not jet known to us histoncalls s

If my identification is consudcred satisfactory the commoncement of the reign of Jatavarman Vira-Pündya 15 fised as having taken place betneen December 1lih, A D. 1252, and July 13th, 1253

## No 25 -THE TIBETAN ALPEABET

## By A H Francee

## Tibetan accounts of its origin.

As regards Cibetan historngraphy in general, there nre tro dislinct schoois of it, vis. the Central Tibetan nad the West Tibetan sehool Thas, the reoords referming to the introduction of the Tibetan alphabet vary to a certan degree, aceording to the schools of witers

Central Trbetan records.-The Petersburg rGyal rabs gsalbar melong, Sarat Ob Das' The Trueto-Afongolian dectanary, Togbanlowa and the Bodhimor They all agroe on the following points ling Sron btsan sgampo (c 600-650 A D) sent his minster Thonmi to Hinduetan, Southern Hindustan or Magadha, to learn reading and writing He recenved instruction from a certan $L_{1}$ byin (the $I_{n j n}$ of the Nongols) in reading and writugg, and formed the Thetan alphabet after the Lañtsha and Vartula characters, Tibetan dBu chan after Lañtsha, and Tibetan $d B u$ med after Vartala Ho brought the Tibotan alphabet up to 30 oharaeters,

[^205]by taking 24 from the Indian alphabet, and inventing six now chanacters hmself For the explession of the Tibetan vowels ho added fonr vowel signs.

The West Trbetan school states that kug Sron btsan sgampo sent his minster Thonmu and 16 fellow students to Kashmır, to learn tho characters They loant tho characters from the Brahman In byin, and pandit Senge taught them tho langaage (Sanskrit) Bringing them nito agreement with tho Tibetan language, they formed 24 gSal byed and 6 Rins, altogether 30 characters. (The following rentenec is probably a later addition) Besides, they made them to agree with tho Nagaia chainetors of Kashmir and brought them into shapo.

Looking at these two, the West Tibetan recond strikes me as being the more orignal of the tro In tho first place, the country from wheh the alphabet was bronght to Tibet, is gis en bere as being Rashmar This is moro in aecordance with the resnlt of Dr Vogel's exnmination of the alphabet Then, the passago about tho forming of the Tibetan alphabet after the Indian Lañtsha aud Vaıtula elaracters, wheh as altogethor doubtful, 36 omitted heio The Wost Tibetan acrount makes montion of the Indma Nagari alphabet, it is trae, but this pageago loohs lihe a later weterpolation

European statoments -The Central Tibotan account, which rakes tho Indann Lañtsha and Tartuln elaracters the parents of the Thbetan alphabot, was acconted by a number of European and Indun witers on this subject Thus, Jaschike in lus libetan gramman, $p \mathrm{l}$, ens that the Tibetan ecript was adapted fiom the Lañtsba form of Indan characters Giunwedel, in his Mythology says, that the Trbetan scxipt was formed after tha Indian characters of those times, the so-called Vartul2, nud Sarat Ch Das, in has articlo 'The Sacred and Onnmental Charaeters of Tibet' (J \& S B, Vol LVII, p 41) spenhs of the 'letters which Sambhota had introduced from Magadha, and which he had shapod partly after the form of sume of tho Wastu characters of Magadha'

As tre now how, the Tibetan chanacters were diroctly derived from Indinn Gupta and this furt was alieady recogused by Csoma do Kobros, the Nestor of Tibetan studios He says
 is stated to harc been formed from the Dersuagan prevalention Contral Indis in the seventh century On comparing the forms of its letters with those of various anciont Sanskrit naserip. thous, praticulanly that at (isua, tianslated by Mr (now $\mathrm{S}_{12} \mathrm{Cbarles}$ ) Wilkins, and that on the column at Allabal ad, transinted by Capt Thoval and Di Mill, a strikng sumihtude will be observed" When Csoma wioto this, tho tom of Gupta had not yet been conned for that particnlar hind of script Othenwiso he would bavo used the teim

The next student of Thbetan, whe told me tho samo thing, was Dı F W Thomas of the India Offico Labiary In his opimon, this fact was so appareat nad finmly ostablishod, that he did not think it necessary to wite a hno about it And in his letter of the 7th June, 1906, he sent me a hist of Gupta characters fiom Indore, of the year 465 AD , which agroe very clo ely with the Trbetan ehaiactors

Tho same view has been expressed more recently by Col Waddell, in his aitiele on anorent Tibetan inscriptione from Lhasa (J RAS, 1910)

With regard to the date of tho introduction of the Tibetan alphabet, and the place of its provenonce, Dr Vogel hos favoured me with the followng note '-

## Relation of Tibetan to Indian soripts.

"Besides tho ordinary Tibetan character, the lamas have the so-called Lanttsha whoh 18 enciasively used for Sanakrit formulas The local tradition (of Central Tibet) holds, that the

Lañisha 15 the older of the two, and that from it the ordmary Tibetan alphabet has been derived This has been repeated by European vinters, but in view of palmographical evidence there cannot be the slightest doubt that the tradition has reveised the real facts.

[^206] ters used in Indian Sanskrit inscriptions
"The Trbetan alphabet is based on the Indıan script, which had developed from the Western Gupta and which used to be designated by the name of Kutila Buhler has rejected this appellation and has proposed to call it 'acnte-angled' (spitz-winklig)' The Tibetan bas retained the characteristics of this script, acute angles at the lower ends, small wedges at the tops, and in general a very ornamental aud elegant appearance As regards the date, When it was introduced into Tibet, the following points may be noted
"Initial $\bar{a}$ is indicated by the sagn for initial $a$ mith a curve attached to the bottom of the vertical, and not by a stroke to the right as an Nägari The stroke came into general use in Indsa from abont 900 A D [Let me note that this curve is a subjomed small 'a (No 23 of the Tibetan alphabet) Bat another ancient form of $a$ is found minscriptions near Khalatse. There the syllable öm 16 written like that
"The $j a$ has the ancient type of the Gupta period (wath central bar to the right) which was preserved in Inda till about 700 A D [The S̄̈radā has kept this form thioughout].
"The ta closely agrees mith the corresponding Śärada letter in that the left half of the carve has disappeared [But see some forms of the 8th century -F.]
"The da has no wedge at the lower end, as 18 found in Indin from about 700 A D
"In pa the vertical is not prolonged beneath, as happens in India from about 700. Neither is thas the case in Sarada
"The pha has retanned its Gupta trpa, which existed in Inda till about 700 A D. It dıffers consıderably from the Śáradã pha
"The ba resembles Śāradá va The vertical, as in pa is not prolonged beneath [But see one case from the 8th centaig -F]
"The ma has a loop, but the vertical is not prolonged beneath It is therefore different from the Śarradē letter, bnt closely resembles the Indıan ma of about 700 AD
"The ya is of special importance, as it has the tripartite shape, which in India became changed into tho bipartite form in the seventh centary. [The most ancient Ladakhy ye dharma formula from Khalatse which Dr. Vogel belneves to date from r. 600 AD , exhubits already the bupartute form of ya,-F] The Sárada has the brpartzte type
"In la we observe the same as in $p a$ and $b a$ the vertical is not prolonged beneath, as happened in Indsa after 700 A $D$ The absence of these prolongations is the more remarkable, as the Tibetan 18 fond of long down strokes
"Our conclnsion 1s, that the Tibetan is derived from the Northern Indian script, which was used in the 7th century It is not based on the Śanada, but has certain points of similanity with this seript, wheh snggest that both weie derived from the same Northern Indian eharacter"

My own view is, that the Tibetan alphabet was quitly worked out in the ancient monasterios of Turkistan, the Thbetan Li yul and that Sron btsan sgampo's minister Thonme raped the frant of snch learning My reasons ane the following The seript ased for Sanskrit in Tarkistan, the so-called Central Asıan Brāhmī, is another descendant of Indaan Gapta, and closely related to the Tibetan scipt ${ }^{1}$ Simular characters were used also in Ladakh for Sanskrit formulas between 600 and 800 A D These olaracters were probably the parent of the so-called Brutsha (Brizha, Dand) form of writing ${ }^{2}$ The Tuikistan monasteries were the very places where any new kind of script might heve been invented, as is shown by a number of new languages which were first reduced to writing in Tarkistan Then, the man who tanght Thonmi in Kashmir, is ealled $L_{1}$ byin which name donbtless means, 'Blessing of the land $I_{1}$ ' (blessing of Khotan). Thas name may be compared with sueh names as Khru bdun yul byın, 'Blessing of the land Khri bdun' Thus, the man who tanght Thonmi may have been a nativo of Tarkstan We have a single testimony of history for the early use of Indian eharacters in Western Tibct, in the Chinese Sur shu, wherc it 18 stated, that such characters were ased in the Empire of the 'Enstern Women' (Guge), otc The Tibetan alphabet, thongh probably mpented earler, may have followed the development of the North Indian alphabets, until it remancd stationary from the 7th or Sth centary

Regarding the langnage for which the alphabet was invented, I am of opinion, thant it certanly was tho classical Tibctan Bnt we mast not behcve that classical Tibctan was generally spoken in the 7th century From passages occorrang in a good number of documents excavated by Str Aurel Stcin in the deserts of Turkistan, we know for certan that the Tibetan dalects werc then already developed to an advanecd degree It is very improbable that the prefixes should have been pronouneed in full in those days There is a possibility that Tibet was in possession of an archaie saercd language from thme immemorial, that it was this language which was first reduced to writing, and that this already saered langaage was aceeptod as the language of Buddhism In this connection the following note from Dr Barnett's article 'Thbetan MSS, in the Stem colleetion' ( $J$ R $A S, 1903, \mathrm{p}$ 112) will be of noterest "It was probably in the reign of Khri Sron lde btsan, if not later, that the larger part of the Northern Canon, inelndıng the Sülıstamba Sütra, was translated nuto Tibetan If this be so, 1 t is singulai, that a nation, wheh aceording to tradition had been hitherto buried in barbarism, should within a centory and a half have aecepted $\mathfrak{a}$ new farth, assimilated its doctrines in tbe most scholastre form of Mahāyãna, and eoncurrently developed a enlture and a poltical organnsation, whieh made it a formidable rival to the older homes of eivilsation on 1ts north-westeris frontier. Probably tradition has exaggerated the faets, it may be that Buddhism was farrly well known in Tibet before the seventh centary, and Sron batsan sgampo was only its Constantine A. new page of history is opening beforo us"

The Trbetans themselves distingrush between two types of characters in their alphabet One type was taken durectly from the Induan alphabet, whalst the other was invented by Thonmi Sambhota, or has forernaners The first type $1 s$ ealled gSal byed (consonants), and the second.

[^207]Rins The order of the Thetan alphabet is of Indian origin But it is strange to obserre, that the group of gSal byed was divided into tro parts, by inserting the Rins between them. The Tibetan alphabet was arranged ae follows

| 1 | k |  | ¢ 9 | t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | bh |  | 10 | th |
| 3 | g |  | 11 | d |
| ${ }^{\square}{ }_{4}$ | - | 苟 | 12 | n |
|  | ch | 言 | 13 | p |
| 16 | ehh |  | 14 | ph |
| 7 | J |  | 15 | b |
| (8) | n |  | 16 | m |


'As we see, there are scren Rins, mastead of sis Regarding the gSal byed, Dr Vogel's note will saffice Let meadd a few words alout the Rinc The sibilants $t s, t s h$, and $d z$ aro distinguished from the signs for the ordinary palatals by an additional stioke-The Tibetan $w$ 15 a combination of $l$ and $b$ We may say it is a $b$ with a prefised $l$ Also $b$ with other prefives may hecome a $w$, than, the West Thetan pronuneintion of the rord dban, power, is wan-Tho Tibetan $z$ was derved dueetls from the most aneient form of the Tibetan $s, b_{j}$ omitung the stroke on the left sudo of the s-The letter $z$ in simply an inverted g-The Tibetann ebaraeter for 'a may have been developed fiom the Indian $g$ ' $A$ as inatial is in many dinlects pionounced liko gh But as a profic it geneially bas a nasal sonnd Beforo the noose of the Tiberna $g$ was properly developed, the ch uacters for $g$ and ' $a$ may have loohod mueli the bame To distingaish them, ' $a$ was fuinished for a time with an additional stioke Compare tho aceompany ing tables ${ }^{1}$

It is strange that $a$, the first letter of the Indian alphabet, should bo found at the end of the Trbetan alphabet I am a ather melined to veliere that it was a later development In many ancrent documents, the Tibetan a looks almost evactly hihe a ya, and there are a nomber of words in which an oniginal ya has been dropped, on been turned unto a vowel-bearer a Thas, yate beeomes 'an, yud becomes $2 d$ yon becomes 'on, etc Butin its later development the letter a became decidedly similai to the $a$ of the Takari seript, for which ieason it was derived from it by Geneial Cumningham As has been notieed, old forms of the $a \mathrm{~m}$ Ladakh are furnished with a hook at the lower left hand cornct

## Lañtsha and Vartula

Dr Togel has faroured me with the following note on Lañtsha "Ab regards the Lañthsa, it is based on the Indian scinpt of the tenth century $A$ eharacteristie of this serpt, to which Buhlet has drawn attention, ano the suall tails, slanting to the right fiom the ends of the verticals I hese are most piominent in tho Nigari of the l0th centary Notree also the long straight topstrokes fonid in Naigari Notrce espectally the letters gha, ja, ya (bipartite), ra (with loop) See plates in Saint Ch Das' 'Saered and Ornamental Characters of Trbet,' J A S B, Vol LVII, and Hodgson's plates in Asiat Bes, Vol XVI (1S28)

[^208]|  |  |  | $\sigma^{1000-1200 . D}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 15 \mathrm{TH} \text { 16TA CENRURX } \\ \text { AND AFTEY. } \end{gathered}$ | Modem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dbu clun | dim med |  |  | $\mathrm{dbu} \quad \text { clan }$ |  |
| ks | $\times$ | $n$ | $\pi$ | $\pi \quad \pi$ | $\pi$ | $\pi 9$ |
| ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | $\pi$ | n | $\pi$ | $\pi$ |  | 年 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| hu |  | 9 | $\pi$ | 19 |  | 刃 0 |
| ke |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| ko |  | 市 | 交 | ＊ |  | $\cdots \bar{\pi}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {kja }}$ | 入 | 2 | 2 | $\mathscr{y}$ |  | ת |
| krn | 7 |  |  |  | 7 | $\pm$ |
| ${ }^{\text {kla }}$ | $\pi$ | $\cdots$ | 젝 |  |  | 同 |
| ${ }^{\text {rks }}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  | 不 |
| ${ }_{\text {ska }}$ | 24，2x | 仿 4 | 武 | 圱 |  | 㭊 |
| ska ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 歩 | 为勿为 |  | 岁 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |  | 䢒 |
| ${ }^{\text {kba }}$ | תA刀 | 10 | $\triangle \square$ | 0 | ¢ |  |
| ${ }^{\text {kha }}$ | 有 |  |  | \％ |  | ® 0 |
| khu | 9 |  |  |  |  | RS |
| khe |  |  | 合 |  |  | ¢ |
| kho | 甬的 |  | $\square$ | $\cdots$ | 㡽 | 西匹 |
| a | 7） | 2 | （8） 8 | （\％）ra | 团 |  |
| kbra | 9 12 | 12 |  | M－arata |  | 园 |
| $\mathrm{ga}^{\text {a }}$ | 0 |  |  |  | 9 | 99 |
| $\mathrm{gr}^{2}$ | 可 की | 5 | बे | जे |  | iे मे |
| ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | ＊） | $J$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{go}^{\circ}$ | A |  | य） |  | d | ¢ 2 |
| go | 2f |  |  | or | यो नो | चन |
| $\mathrm{Eya}^{\text {a }}$ | 8 | 2） 27 | 2 | 2 | © | ，e2 |
| gru |  | os |  | － |  | 장 |
| gra | 8］ | －9 |  |  | 지 | ［］ |
| $\mathrm{gra}^{\text {ra }}$ | ， $3^{3}$ | 出 |  | 3 | g | 20 |
| ${ }^{\text {gla }}$ |  |  | 제 |  |  | A |
| $\mathrm{rga}^{\text {a }}$ | そ |  |  |  |  | ¢ |
| rgya | 氟 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3 | 亚 爫 | そ包 | 5 | 亚 |
| ${ }_{8 g}$ | \％ | ओ |  |  |  | 令 |
| ${ }_{\text {grru }}$ | 㠰 | 出 | － | 类 |  | 第 |
| na | こところ | $2 c$ | z 5 | く く | ᄃ 2 | － |



|  | Smux Coururuve tri，orn Crytum |  |  |  | mix |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dua cian | dba med |  |  | ${ }_{\text {dia }}$ | ${ }^{\text {zoctra }}$ |
| to | 方言交 |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |
| to | है |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}5 & 5\end{array}$ |  | 5 |
| rta | そう | 3 |  | 方 |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {1ta }}$ | \％ | 万 | 묵 |  |  |  |
| sta | यु सु | \％ 43 | 箷 | 3 H54．h | ＊ |  |
| ${ }_{\text {tra }}$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| tha | 98 | $8 \theta 8$ | 8 8 | 9 | 9 | 48 |
| thi |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll}2 & \\ 2 & a \\ 9 & 8 \\ 8 & 8\end{array}$ |
| thn | 3 | $\underbrace{9}_{8}$ |  | 1 |  | 年 8 |
| tho | ¢ 2 | $\theta$ | ¢ | 匆 | $\sigma^{\prime}$ | 漦 8 |
| da | 32 | $<$ | § | 5 | ₹ |  |
| d | \％ | F | रे | $T$ |  | र ${ }^{\text {\％}}$ |
| du | $\stackrel{3}{3}_{3}$ | 3 | ${ }_{3}$ | ¢ S | 3 | 可 5 |
| de do | $\left.\hat{z}_{3}\right\}$ | ， | ₹ | 3 |  | \％ |
| ${ }_{\text {do }}^{\text {dor }}$ |  |  |  | 5 |  | ＜ |
| ${ }_{\text {dra }}^{\text {dut }}$ | 323 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\leqslant$ |  | 3 6 |
| ${ }_{\text {dida }}^{\text {diu }}$ | 》 3 |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {edr }}$ | स ${ }^{2}$ |  | \％ | H |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {mi }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { के } \\ \hline 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha$ | क | ${\underset{\alpha}{\alpha}}_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$ | す | व ${ }^{2}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {nu }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| no | ふ |  | ふ｀ |  |  | 言 |
| a | \％ | る | ま | a |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {snn }}$ |  | 幺 | \％ |  |  |  |
| pr | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | u | 2 | 】 |
| p | U |  |  |  |  | 二 3 |
| ${ }^{\text {pu }}$ | $\theta<$ |  |  |  |  | － |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{p} 0}$ | $\square-\frac{1}{2}$ |  | E |  | 2r | a |
| pras | y |  | 3 |  |  |  |





Plate VII


A H Francke, firtt
Scale-Seven-tenths
S I O, Calcutta

Uai conclasion is, that the Lañtsha was introduced into Tibet about 1000 AD, and in thic iustance not from Northern, bat from Eastern India It should be remembered, that in the hill distrocts of Northern India, and probably in the plams of the Panjab also, Saarada was need, and not Nagari The Indian alpbabet was adoptrd wholesale and practically unchanged, probably because it was intended to bc uscd for Sanskiut exclusively

Thesexplans how the Tibetan tradition came to lereise the real facts The Lañtsha used excinenvely for the sacred language, was considered as the holy seript, and conscquently the hehef arose that it was the original character from which the oldanary Tibetan alphabet was dernved The Vartula is only a rariety of Laîtsha"

Although the Tibetan script has almost remaned stationary sinco the eighth century, it has undergone a fow slight ehanges, which help us roughly to date auelent doeuments and anseripthons The following are the chief characterstics
(1) The Stein IISS from Turkistan, and stone monuments from Thasa, of the 8th or gth century - The $g$ bos only two parallel homzontal stiokes to the raght of the vertieal stacke The $t$ in many cases looks aq if the left half of tho curve had not ret eatirely disappeared The shape of the thin many casce reminds as strongly of the old Brabmi or Gapta form The $b$ is almost iniamably of triangular shape In one case the rigit vertical stroke of the $b$ is prolonged below the line The letter'a many cases 15 furnished on the top with a short ndd tional stioke The $s$ in many enses shows the structure of the $z$ distinctly In $s$ the connecting line betwcen the two vertical hnos mostly procecds fiom the lower end or the midde of the left vertical line The $h$ mostly has a horizontal position like the $h$ of Central Asian Brāhmi

Vowel signs.- The 2 vorel sign is very often mverted, and, appirently in the older documenta, jomed to its consonant base All the other rowel signs are joned to their consonant bases. The o vowcl sign profers the left upper ond of the consouant, with the execpition of oh, tsh, $h h$, and $y$, where it is joined to the middle, and $l$, where it is jowned to the raght apper ond The $u$ rowel sign is joined to the right lower end of the consonant The a vowel sign is joined to the middle of the apper line of the consonaut, with the cxception of $\delta, m$, and $p$, where it 28 joined to the left upper end, and $l$, where $1 t$ is occasionally joined to the right upper cnd It 18 often of the shape of a whip

Other characteristic marks - (a) The suffixcd $d$ (called drag) is used rather frequently, (b) subjomed $y$ appears regulaly below $m$ when the latter is followed by 2 or $c$, (e) tho artieles pho and pha instead of po and pa arc found oeenamanlly, nnd also chhun mstead of chen an the supine, chhi instead of che as interrogative prououn, (d) the vowels $\theta$ and o are oceasionally followed by a lengthening ' $a$, (e) there mio a good number of cises, where the final consonant of a syllable is tritten below the first consonant, (f) the euphonie laws are somewhat different from those of tho present day, (g) aspirated consonants sufficr a $g$, $d$, or $b$ prefix to be placed before them (gchhtg, one, ete)
(2) Documents of the 11th and 12th centuries, from Lahoul and Spith.-The $b$ is of guadrangular form, and all the consonnnts have their presont beantifal shape

Vowel signs -The 2 vowel sign is no longer merted (with the exception of a few cases in dBu med), nor is it jomed to its eonsonant base The o vowel eagn showa a tendeney to be jomed to the rught npper end of ats consonant base, but oecasionally changes abont, especially in the case of $\varepsilon$ In $p$ and $m$ it is stall jomed to the left apper end The $e$ vowel sign is joined to the left apper end of the consonant base in the case of $\varepsilon, \pi$, and $p$ (probably). In most other oases ${ }^{2} t$ showe a tendency to being joined to the right upper énd The $u$ vowel sign 18 always joined to the right lower end of its consonant base In $d B u$ med, the $u$ vorel sign alone 28 joined to ats consomant base.

Other charactoristic marks.-(a) Tho drag is stall ocensionally fonad, (b) the 9 at mosily furnshed wath a subyoned $y$, when placed leforo $z$ and $c$, (o) the artales pho and pha, the supme an chhn, nad tho pronoun chhe Inve disnppeared, (d) the rowelf o and o are athll ocensoonally followed by a longthoning ' $a$, (e) the final consonant of a syllablo who longer vintten below the first consonant, (f) aspnated comsomints do not any move suffer $n g$, $d$, or $b$ peffix to be placed bofore them, (g) nbbrctiaticas of words appeat, (h) miverted dental leters are afed to express Sanskint cerobrals (A few havo alrendy been ured in tho eghth or math contory)

Sarat Ch Dssattributes the roik of moreasing tho Tibetm alphalet from 30 to 30 characters to Sánta Rakshata of the 8th ecatury, palcographacnl evidence may bo adduecd to prove this A for mperted dental letters oceus in the docaments of the Stem colleetion Dr Vogel makes the followng note on these new characters "Another point a notenorihy. Thero aro sevoral Iettors whech the Thbetno has not directly boriowed from India, but wheh are due to a secondary developmont in Thbet itsolf Thes nre tho following, (a) Imtals ri, ii, 7 , and $i$ derived from tho signs for $r$ and $l$ with rowel marhs Tho loug vowels have the ' $a$ attached beneath, (b) Medim aspratre gha, jha, dha and bhaformod of the signs for $f a, j a$, $d$, and $b$, With addution of subscribed ha, (c) cerebrals fa, tha, da, nod $r$ a formed by reversing tho angns for tho dentals $t a$, tha, $d a$, and na, (d) conobral sibilont sha formed by raveremg the sign for the palatal sibilant sa"
(3) Stone inseriptions of the 15th nnd 10th century from Spith, ote - All the characters have ther present form

Vowel signs - With the exception of the 2 rowel sign, the ronel agns are strll joued to their consonant bases. The o vowel sign pefers the rught npper end of the consonant basc This is particularly evident in the case $c f(p, m, l$, and $s$ The eame may be said of the $e$ rowel ergn

Other characteristic marks - The drag, the $y$ betseen $m$ and 2 or $e$, and all the other charactenstics of the ancrent othography have disnppiarcd I behove that mith Buston's editiou of the great encyclopedina ( $1310 \triangle D$ ) the last remanats of the ancient orthe graphy disappeared I do not boheve that there aie nay complete copies extant of the enceclopedins whath exhibit the ancient orthography. Accoldng to Sarat Ch Das, it was Tsonkhapa (c 1400 AD) who intioduced the new orthogiaphy
(4) Modern Tibetan Vowel shgns -In dBuchan, none of tho rowel signs is necessarily joned to ats consonant base In diumed, only the $u$ vowel ngn miy be joined to it But the vowel sigus aro often found jomed to then comonant bases, as was the case formerly

## No 26 -LIST OF MINISTERS' NAMIES FOUND IN THE TIBETAN INSCRIPTION IN FRONT OF THE TA-CHAO-SSU-TEMPLE (JO-KHANG) IN LHASA, 322 AD.

## Bra H Fraycer, Pad

On p 89 of Vol X of the Eprgraphia Indeca I pablished my readng of the text and a translation of the Trbetan part of the Tibeto-Chineso stono-inscription in front of the Ta chaoasu Temple (Jo-Khang) in Lhasa My reading of the Tibetan text was based on a rabbing of the inscription reproduced in Buabell's artacle "The Early History of Tibet"' My artacle treated only of the prancipal part of the macription ond did not take into account the list of names of Tibetan ministera which was mscribed on the same stone monament That list is fonud reproduced on a second plate appended to Bashell's article. This roproduction is also
based on a rnbbing stated to have been obtaned at Peking in 1869, which was said then, from the condition of tine paper, to date at least from the last centary

When I had just written my first artiole on the Ta-chao-ssu inscription, Professor Waddell's first article on "Ancient Historical Edicts" came into my hands 1 At first, I did not feel sure whether it would be right to publish my translation in these curcumstances, but as Profcssor Waddell did not announce a translation of the Ma -tsang inscription in his proface, but only expressed some doubts regarding $1 t$, which I did not share, I sent my translation to the press Since then, I have beon an the pleasant position of entalogner of Sir Aurel Stew's Tibetan mannscripts from Tarkistan, and my work on these doouments has suddenly given new naterest to the hist of ministers' namcs, found on the north side of the stone $I$ discovered that most of the names of muristers carved on the Lhass stone monument, oecar also in Sir Aurel Stcin's documents, and this remark does not only hold true of the Mr-tenng edict of $822 \triangle D$, but also of the cailher Potala mascription of $730 \triangle D$ The names of the ministers rue-blas and sTag-sgra, found in that cdict, also occar repatedly among Sar aurel Sten's excarated documents Thas, the namo bTag-sgra is found in Nos MT, xhy, 7, and x, 95, and the name rJe-blas in Nos MI, xiv, 108, and xir, 65, of the Stem collection The names Khri-bzer and sTag-bzer of the Potala inseription of $764 \triangle D$ are fond in MI I xvi, 3

The ministers' names of the Mu-tsung edict are found in twonty short inscriptions in varions states of preservation, which are separated from one another by Chinese anseriptions The following article does not deal with the latter

|  |  | No | 1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Text | Bod-chhen-por-blon-dam-gy1 thabs-dan-myin-rus | 'adzınbar-gtogs- | Translation Titles, names, and clan-names of the ministers of great Tibet who have seized the [agreement] and . others |

Notes Rus is probably the same se rus pa, bone, clan; dum I cannot explan, 'adzin ba anstead of 'adzenpa 25 often fond in ancient documents

No 2
Text Bod-chben-not-chhab smd-hy1-blon-po-chhen- Translation Titles, names, and claus of - la-gtoge pai-thabs dan-myin- the great ministers of the governrus ment of great Tibot, attached to and others

No. 3


No 4
Text: .. .. $g y(1)$-go-chhog.gn ...... Transiation $\quad . . .$. . of the lughest(P) ..
Nork chhog may stand for mehhog, beat
Text blon-ehhen-po-blon-1 .... . Translation The great minıster, minister

No. 0.
Text (blon-dmag) . . . .

Text. (blon rgyal) . . .

## NO 7

Tranalation ( (royal miniater ${ }^{7}$ )
(01 manter nad ling ?)
No. 8.
Indistinet

No 8
Texx chhab-smd . Translation gorernment . ..
Khod-ne-stan.
The name Khod ne stan has not $j$ et been found in the Stein collection
No 10
Toxt chhab-snd-kyi-blon-po-chhen-po-ian-hhrr-bzer-lha-mthon

Translation- The gricat minister of the gove erament, nucle Ehri-bzor-iha mthon
Fores The word zan 'unele,' in connect on "ith names of minnters in repeatedly found among the stein
 laer se probably the personal name, and $t \pi \alpha$ mithon (fod seer) may be a clan name

The name Khrt bece 26 found in the folloming documents of the Stem collection Si Tagh ay, oolb, aIV, $00122, \mathrm{bI}, 0032, \mathrm{MI}, 1,23, \times 51,1,3,2 \times \mathrm{FII}, 0016$, cte

The name lHa-mthon bas been found only onec, etz in M Tagh, al, 0012

## No 11

Text chhab amd-kyn-blon-po chhen-po-blon-rggal-bzan-

## 'adus-(r)kan

Translation The great minister of the government, minister rGyal-bzan-'adus-(r)ken
Nores Here agan, as in all tbe folloning cases, I take the first name ns the pereonal name, and the secoud as the clan name
 has not yet been found

## INO 12

Text: Bod-chhen-por-blon po . . l-gyı Translation Titles, names, and clan-thabs-dan-myin-rus. names of the . mimisters of great Tibet

## No 13

Text: Nan-blon-mchhims-zan-rgyal-bzer-khod-nebrtasan

Translation The perfect minuster of Inner Affars, nnele rGyal-bźer. khod-ne-brtsan.
Norbs mchhsms 28 probably connected with 'achhrms pa, to be full, perfect
The name rGyal-bzer oceori in the following documents of the Stein collection. M Tagh, aIV, 00122
 bरer-lega brtzan

The name Khod se has not yet been discovered un the Stem collection

## No 14

Text: Phyi-blon-bkā-la-gtogs-pa-chog-ro-blon-btsan-bżer-lha-gon-

Translation - The munister of Chog-ro, who is under the command of the minister of Onter Affarrs, bTsan-bźer-Ihagon
Notes Cheg ro the thell knomn name of a province
The name bTsan beer is found in the folloming of Sir Aorel Sten rehcs M Tagh, nil, 0057, MI, xavin, 19 The name lIIa gon occursin MI, xiv, 58 in tho following connections 'O nas lha gon and dBan a lhagon

No 15
Translation The perfoct.... .. ., ancle brTan-bźer-stag-(tsab).
Text . .. mehhıme-żau-brtan-bzer-stag-
$\operatorname{tsab}\left(\operatorname{tssan}^{2}\right)$
Fours The name brTan bier has not yet been found in tho Sten's relics

## No 18

Text mNan-pon(Kha-ba-801-slog-gr-bla 'abal)-blon-
Klu bzan-myes-rma
Translation The, loid of curses (magician) the superior of Kha-ظa-sol-(slog?), ministor Klu-bzan-myes-rma
Notes Pon, insteaì of dpor, master, screral syllables botmeen Khal and 'abal aro mdisbanct Kha ba so are mentioned as oficials in the account of $g$ Nya khre btant-po in the La deags rgyal-rabs
 Sten's relics, in the name Lan $\alpha$ hrug sme-rma Let me add that several ancient names contan the syllablo myes as well as tha as oue of ther parts in the Stem collection

No 17.
Text. bKar-phr(1)n-blon • . . . n-ka-
blon stag-bzer-(hab?). (nyon)

Transiation The minister who announces the orders, ... minister sTrag-bźer-(hab-nyen?)
Noxts Tho name sTag beer 18 fonnd in the folloming of tho Stend decuments MI xxpin, $19, x \times v i n, 5$,


No. 18
Text. rTess-ba-chhen-po-. . .. blon- Translation The great accountant, stag- .. ... minaster sTag-gaga
gzigs
Noxes rTats-ba, anstead of ordmary rTsis-pa Tho name a Tag.geigs has not yet becn found in the Steno relhes

Text. Phy1-blon . zan-klu-bzan Translation The minster of Onter Affairs,
Notes The name $K l u-b z a n$ is found in the followng of the Sten docaments Mragh, aII, 0078, MI, xxvi, 13

Text Z Źal-che-ba-chhen-po-zal-blon-rgyal

No 20

Notes che $b a$ instead of ordunry chice pa. Unfortanately, the namo of the minneter has not been preserved in inll, there are many asmes, beginning with rgyal, ocenring in the Stein rehcs

Gengeas Noxs Let me add that an tbo ancient docnments of Sur Anrol sten's collection, wo generally find only the personalnames of the ancient manaters, preceded by their titles It 25 only in rarer cases that wo find the personal names and clan names cofnbined My explanation of thas fact would be thatin all those cases, where the personal name alone 18 given, we may be sure tbat the docnment dcals with the 'famous' manster, whose porsonal name and clan-name are both fond on the Mu -tsung menument But therc were certan officials, whose personal names were in agrecment with those of one or other of the famons minasters, but whese clan name differed from thera It is only in such cases, as I boheve, that the doenments of the Stern collection give both names, so as, not to leave any donbt with regard to the adentity of tho persen in question

In conclasion let mesay that ap to this I have examined morathan aix hnndred of Sir Anrel Stem's relice,

## No 27 -TIWARKHED PLA'TES OF THE RASHTRAEUTA NANNARAJA, SAKA 553

By Rai Batador Hiralal, B A

Tiwarkhēd is a small village on the sonth bank of the Ambhơrā-nadi, in the Multär tahsil of the Bētūl Dratrict in the Central Provinces It is 14 miles south of the Muliāı town which 2829 mules south-east of Badnür, the head-quarters of Bētūl District The village has no ancient remains except a mud fort built as a protection from the Pindāri free-booters durng the dechnmg period of the Nâgpur Bhonslã rulers This garhz̃, as it is called, 18 now dug up in several places, and the site oconpied by the villagers. Within its premisos Nandgin Gosain, a resident of Trwarkhëd, built a new house abont 40 years ago While digging for the foundations he came upon a set of copper-platos, but not knowing what they weie, he stowed them away in his lumber room, where they continned to enjoy the same obscurnty as they had done underground, until Mr Balwant Sungh, Sub-Inspector of Police, Multāi Station house, visited the vallage in the begınnag of the year 1910, when Băpūji Gosan (son of Nandgirn now dead) observing that the plates contained same curnons wrining prcsented them to him for decipherment Mr Balwant Singh treated the plates with nitric acid to remove the accrotions of centnrics and then kundly forwarded them to me It is a somewhat corious conncidence that the Maltai plates referring to the same line of kngs were also fonnd in the custody of a Gosann ${ }^{1}$

Onr plates are troin number, each measuring $0 \hat{0}_{4}^{1 "} \times 3 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{}$ They are substantial, being $\frac{1_{8}^{7}}{}{ }^{7}$ thick Each plate 18 mscribed on one side only, the inscribed surface giving an appearance of a dopression owing to the edges having been made slaghtly thicher The writing is in an excellent state of preservation except that the left top corner of the first plate and the left bottom corner of the serond one are a little bit corroded, still the lettering ${ }_{18}$ protty clear The ring which was uncut whon it reached my hands has a soal on a flattened out surface. It is oval in shape and bears the stamp of a figure which is now indistingurshable, but the legend ${ }_{6 r i}-$ Yuddizisura underneath, 18 farly distinct The record eontans 16 lines, each plate bearing 8 lines Under the eighth line the word svahastēna 18 inscribed, bat this word occurs in its proper place in line 11 On the margin of the second plate there appear to be 3 figneres and 2 letters (probably after-additions) engraved one undcr the other, which I read as 553 shâra, ${ }^{2}$ meaning apparently 'the jear 553 '

The characters of the record belong to the northern class of alphabets. The engraving 18 well done throughout The average size of letters ss about $\frac{1}{4}^{\prime}$ The orthographical peculiarities worth notice are -(1) the doabling of the lettet with a reepha on, as in vistirnne ( 1 If), bat this is not anform as in - Durgaräjä (12) ga has not been donbled, (2) the two different ways in which the vowel sign of ē has been expressed as in Räshtrahūtãnvaye ( 11 f ) of which the $\bar{e}$ of $y \bar{e}$ is formed by attaohing the sign to the letter instcad of saperscriling it as in grāmé (19), (3) the letter $t$ has bcen donbled before $r$ in sagöttrãya (16) and puttrāya (17) and $d h$ before $y$ in Mãddhyandzna-, 17 , (4) the aign of $\bar{a}$ attached to the letters $j$ and $t_{18}$ represented by an upward stroke, mstead of a downward one, as in ja of -Durgarāja (1 2) and in $t \bar{a}$ of Mnnḑabhattāya (17), (5) $b$ is not distinguıshed from $v$ as in -rrahmanya (1 5) Final form of $t$ occars in line 2

The language is Sanskrit, bnt the record seoms to have been composed by a clerk who did not properly remember the slokas in praise of the dynasty mentioned in the plates Hetherefore introdnced prose, mandaning the sense, if not the original wording which, if correctly pat in, would have turued the first 6 hneg into verse. At present, they are a mixture of verse and prose

[^209]Commeneing with ustīrnne in line 1 to -Durgarāja $(j \overline{0}) n r \imath p a h$ in line 2 there is the first half of a $\dot{S} \bar{a}$ rdulauhridzta verse, the othes half of wheh may be completed from the Multāi plates, where it is giveu in full ${ }^{2}$ Again in line tif we find $n$ quarter of the same had of verse beginning with dānürdrîhta and ending with durpēndräyntam In lime 6 we agam find a quarter of a Vasantatilaka verse begnoung with sri Nannaraja and onding with -chand $\left[r^{*}\right] a h$ All the rest is prose exeept a benedictive quotation in hne 12 It is again the first balf of a verse meoriectly quoted, other words of similar meaning having been inserted for woids forgotten, theroby affecting the metre It appears to me that the record berng a busmess matter, no great attention was pard to formal portions whel were eopied from momory iu the office of the reeordheeper, "heremith synonymous woids wore sometimes substatnted for the ouginal ones without any considerntion for motre ?

The anseription refeis itself to the Rāshtrakūta dynasty of which four kings are mentroned It reeords a grant of land in the villages Trvērēkhēta and Ghürkhētă situated on the south bank of the Amvēvıaraka-nadi, to one Mundibhatta, son of Svämibhatia, a Mádhyandma Brāhmana of the Bhäradvīja gö̀tra, by king Nannarājas (Nandarīja?) The grant was made on two oceasious, $1:$ : on the Mohäleāttiki day and on a solar-echpse, the lattel at the Kapulā-itirtha Apparently tho Raja weni to bathe there and mode the gift, as did his two prinerpal offeers, Gövinda in chango of relggous affincs and Narasimgha (Narasimha) the minster for peace and war, who gavo away 13 monatanas (of land) of Karañjamalaya ou the enstcra bank of the Sārasavāhala and the harbhavāhala The charter was afterwards issued from Achalapura and is dated iu the Saka yoar 553 increased by eight monthe, 2 e. in' the month of Kärtuka as specified 1 th tho text Tre date corresponds to October e31 A 10 .

It does not, however, appear that there was a solar-eelipse in the month of Kärthka MI.
 eelpse on the expred Saka yeas 553 on the amãzāsuă of Mägha (27th January, 682 AU) 20 after our eharter was grion anay But the solar-celipse referred to must have ocoured prior to the date of the issue of the clarter In the Śnha year 553 eurrent, there were two eelipses, a total one in the month of Śarana on the 13th Augnet 630 AD and an annular ono in the month of Miggha on 7il February 631 A D Tho total celipse having occurred at aght was nut visible, but the annular one was, as it oecurred 17 minutes after sumise The formor took place on a Monday and the latter on a Thursday Thns whale a grant made on an amārācyā falling on Monday (somaratī) coupled with a total eclipse would be more mentorious than that made on a Thursday with an annular celipso, at 28 possible that tho visibility of the latter may havo eounterbalanced other considelations and invested it with greater importanee So the greator likelhood is that the giant was made on the 7th Febramiy 631 A.D. And thas date falls mueh nearer the date of the rssue of the eharter than the other

With regard to the histony of the Rishifrahūta dynnsty, this reeord does not throw any addıtronal hght on what is known from the Multai plates It gives the same information (in rather a vague form on aecount of its defeetive compocition) as the Mrultaii record, mentioning Durgarāja as the orıgınal aneostor, whose son was Cōvzndarāja, from whom was born Svāmıkarāje, whose con was Nannarāja (Nandalija), whose seeond namo Yuddhāsura oeours on the sealOar chartel is, however, vers important from one point of view. In the Mnltaij plates no less than five villages are mentioned, $2 \tau$ the village granted with four others which bounded it on its

[^210]foar sides None of these has been as yet identified Dr Fleet writing an 1889 remarked manr Ommanney reported that nerther bave the villages mentioned any resemblance in name to any in the Mnltāi District nor could he dıscover any at all like them at Hôshangābād or Jabalpar it is therefore not even certain that the grant really belongs to the locality in which the holders of it have resided for so long a time All that can be said on this point is that the characters show that it belongs to some part of Central India, or of the Central Provinces ${ }^{1}$ On 30th July 1909 Dr Fleet wrote to me, 'It would be a valuable bit of work if you can locate this record it is important to decide by identification of places, what locality it does belong to, because it has a bearing on three leading matters - (a) the origin of that branch of the Rāshtrakütas which bsd the Garuda crest, (b) the northern or southern use of the Saka era, (c) the origin of that particular type of Nägari It is almost mpossible that all these 5 villages should have ceased to exist But though some yeark ago I closely examined many sheets of the Induan Atlas I conld not locate them All the probabllities are that this record belongs to the south of the Narbada I have prachically satrafied myself that the places do notexistin Gajarāt and Khāndēsh' Although it has not been possible for me to locate with anything like certannty the villages of the Multā plates, our present charter has come to light as of to solve the difficulty which antiquarians have felt for the last tie enty years or for the matter of that for three quartors of a century calculating from the date of the first dıscovery of the Maltai plates The fact of the Rāshtrakūta dommation on the Multā platean is now once for all fully established masmuch as our plates were found in the very village where Nannarāja granted lands to Mundibhatta Tivērēkhēta of the inscmption is undeabtedly the present Thwarkhēd and the Amvēvarakz-nadi the Ambhōra nadi on whose south bank the present village is still situated $A_{6}$ noted above, the engraver has made no difference between $b$ and $v$, The real name of the river appears to have been Ambēvara, lea being a pedantic addition to make it sonnd like Sanskrit According to the record Ghūukhēta should also be somewhere near the Ambhorã-nadi, but it is untraceable now. There 1s one Ghūizhēd, about 40 mules south of Tiwarkhēd in the Chāndür tāluk of the Amraotı Distract of Berār, but I do not find the mver ranning to it About 55 miles from Tiwarkhed lies the town of Ellichpar (commonly pronounced Elachpar or Alachpur) which I take to be the corrupted form of Achalapura from whence our oharter purports to have been issned Ellichpur is a well known historical ${ }^{2}$ plaoe and was the headqnarters of a district untul 1905 The transposition of letters cha and la in Achalapura to Alachapura 15 of the same category which changed the old name of Benares from Vãnĩasiz to Vārānasis Even at the present day me often hear Lakhnau pronounced as Nakhlau and matlab as matbal In the Nirvāna-kānda of a Prakrit work of the Jains there is mention of Arhalapura as follows -

## Achchalapuravaranıyadē āєānanbhäya-Mēēlııgırısıharē āhutthayakōdīō nvvvänagayā namō tē̄rm

' On the summit of Mèdhyirn near the good town of Achchalapara towards north-east (of 1t) three and a half crores (of samts) obtamed salvation $I$ bow down to them,

Thas Mëdhigiri or Mendhagiri 18 now better known as Maktaggri, ${ }^{4}$ a hillock on which several Jain temples are constracted, which contain ddols dated in the l4th century AD It is only

[^211]Tiwarkhed Plates of the Rashtrakuta Nannaraja, Saka 553



6 mules north-east of Elhchpur and is classed as a Soddha-hshētra by the Jazuas it is visited by the pulgrims of that community from all parts of India In the Siēulk ā-Pnrina of the Janas the name of the town agan appears without transposition of lettens These references not only prove the sdentrty bat indicate that Ellichpar was an important plase in olden days and that the Rashtrakutas had made it the head-quarters of a province if not therr capital which ip behered to have been at Mälthèd further south The old name Achalapura was apparently given to Elhchpar with a certan meaning, as the latter 18 situated just at the foot of the Satpara Hulls (achala) With regard to other places mootioned in the mscription, the Sãrasavāhalā and Darbhavāhala appear to have becn streamlets which I have bcen unable to adontify It as not clear what Karañjamalaya was It may have been a village, in which case it may be identificd with ono of the numerous Kāranjns in Berār If it was a forest of harañja or lañjı trees (Pongamia giabra) ol a fiold having harañja trees, it would now be veig difficult to adentify with certamily eveu if we came upon a forest or field having these trees

TEXT.

## Ferst Plate

 Rēshtrakū-
 trsjavãta-3
 sampana ${ }^{5}$-pra -
4 kritu-mandala-sri-Svāmıkarāja[sata*]sya tanayo dänārdrikrıta-pāna(nı)nā pratıdı-
5 nam jina dvipēndrajitam parama-vra(bra)hmanya[h*] prāpta-pañcha-mhăร์a-
$6 \vee(b) d a\left[h^{*}\right]$ sri-Nannarāja itı sarvpa narēndra-chand[r*]ah Bhüradvãaa-sagotträ-
7 ya Māddhyundıa-Svāmıbhatâapattrása Mundıbhattàya Tıvērēkhēta
8 Amvēviaraka-[na]dı-dakshiua-taté pañchūśa Māhakārtıiki7 (svahastēna ${ }^{8}$ )

## Second Plate

9 śti-Śamraganēnā ${ }^{9}$ Mandıbhattasya Ghūıkъēta ${ }^{10}$-grāmē $A[m v e ̄]-~$
10 na[ra*]ka-nadı-dahshnnẽ tatè pañchāsa ādıtyōparāgē
11 Kapılā-tî[rthē] svahasta(stē)na pratıpādıtam [ $\left\|\|^{*}\right.$ ] Uktañ=cha Vēda-Vȳ̄-

13 Sārasavāhalā-pürvve tatē Karañjamalaya Darbhavā.
14 halā-pūrvva-tatè daต́a-ůivarttanānı ddharmmabasa ${ }^{18}$ Gō̄v-

[^212]


## TRANSTATION

Om' Hail! From Achalspura In the madely spresa (and) pleang glonous Rishtraknts lineage, which has acquired repatation bs the preservation of stability there was (bora) a king, the illostrions Durgaräje, jast as in the (broad and charming) ocean of milk (was produced the moon) His orn son ras the allnstrions Gönnderaja His son was the illustrions Svàmikaraja, possessed of a host of subjects cequared by self-centred prowess His son, who resembles the lord of elephants in baving has hand moistened (with the rater poured) at donations (dinna) whle the elephant has the trank wet rith the ratty juce (dinna), (as) called the allastrions Fannaraja, who 15 most hind to the Brabmanse, who has attained the fise grast zounds and who is the mon amonget cill the kings

To Mundibhatta son of Sxāmbhatta, of the Bhāradrijn götra (and) the Mädhyadina-
 raka neer (were given) through the illustrions Sam[ka]ragana on the Mabstenttiki (day)
 of laniz) in the Ghünkēta nillage on the eonth bant of the Amrenaraka nver, on (the occasion of) a so'ar eclipse

And it has been sadd by (Vyäsa) the arranger of the Vedas -The gree of land drelle in hespen for surty thonsand gears

Ten nuctanas (of land) on the east bank of Sārasarahala (and) on the cast bant of Darbharahala of Karsinjamalays (were given) (perhap to the came donec) jontls by the Superntendent of religions affairs (dharmalaśa) Gōminde and the Great Minister for pesce and war (mahasardhstegrahun) Nerasumba

In fire centumes of years increased by fifty-three years (and) also mereased by enght months of the Śaka era.

[^213]
# No 28-THE PARIKUD PLATES OF MIDDHYATIRAJADEVA, 



Tho plates bearing the equjoined inserphon were sent in 1006 to the late Dr Theolot Bloch, then Supenntendent, Arehmologeal Snmer, Enstern Circle, by Mi J R Blackwood, IOS, Mngistrate of the Puri $\mathrm{N}_{1 s t r i c t ~ o f ~ B e n g a l ~ T h e y ~ w e r o ~ b a n d e d ~ o v e r ~ t o ~ m o ~ b e ~}^{\mathrm{Nr}}$ Bloch in 1008 for decipherment I finched my attele early in 1909 and gave the paper to Dr Bloch, but he fell sll afier lookmg through the transeript of the first plate nud nevir recorered enficiently 60 as to be able to finssh it Tho plates were not presented to the Indinn Dfureum nad conequently there is no record of them in that offico I had only henrd from Dt Bloch that they had come from Parikud in the Purn District' When I was toung in that defrect for colleoting specimeng for tho Indian Musum, in September 1910, I learnt fiom Mr J Clarle, ICS., the thon Magastme, that the phates bolonged to the Raja of Pankud Who had no infention of presenting tiem to the Musenm The plates will, accordingls, be annt back to the Raja after pubheation I am obliged to Mr Clarke for the following information aboat the piates Tho name of the present orner 19 Sri-Gaur uhandm-Manasuhn Hin
 trace whech of his nnecetors first keenred the phtes and from whit sonree they were necercol,
 presered in his record room Mr Clinke hindly procured for ve the gens alogeal tree of tinn Parihad famis, but I find that none of tho kings mentioned in the snbjoinod inscripuon coukd be traced in the copy sent to me, though I heard from Mr Clarke that tho Rajas of Pantad clam to hare been descended from tho hings montioned in the copper-phate ioenord

The incemption is incifed on three thin plates of copper, measuring $7^{\frac{7}{8}} \times 4^{\frac{1}{8}}$ and hild together ba a circular ring of tho eame motal whoso dameter 19 roughly $3_{6}^{\prime \prime}$ To this amg sis afixed a acal of some other metal, presumably brass ( ${ }^{2}$ ), of wheh, bnerer, thero remann only a mutilated part and the solud baso in wheh the odges of the ring are ficed The last of the phates is broken in the mght apper comer, while the first shors a shit from the ring-hole to the edge, is in the case of the Buguda pintes of Madhavararman ${ }^{2}$ This record which has heen eugrared on the second side of the first plate, and on both sides of the other two plates hing blready appared in the Vargiya-Sāhetya-Parıshat-Patrin- ${ }^{3}$ It rofors atsolf to the rugn of Madhyamarājadēva of the Salodibhava family who uled in the Köngōda-mandala Tho languago of the grant is very incorrect Sanskrat and the record hag been very carelesaly meled Donbing of consonants lans beon nyonded, and nlmost meamibly letters are loft ont at the ende of words There is ono ineorrectly spelt word in the inseription, which is quito unntchligible to me It is rasrake in I 49

The object of the mscription is to record the grant of a vallage in the Kataknbiuktio

 svarm and Suhbasvãm,

[^214]The inscription supphes the new word tīmmura which is not found in published copperplates, in the phiase diādasa-tīmmıra-pramana, 144 Perhaps " tımıra" ${ }^{18}$ the correct spelling The new verses of the insciption, which are not found in the Bagada plates, contan nothing of importance I could only infer fiom them that the kingdom of Madhyamaraja contaned all sorts of hermits (vv 12 and 13) There is no mention of the doings of the king We may gather, however, that he was a man of great strength ( $r$ 17), a capable archer comparable to the son of Prithā (2 e Arjuna) ( $\vee$ 16) and an ardent devotee of Śva ( Fv 14 and 15) The inscription is dated twice $1 s t$, in the regnal year twenty-six: shad-vinsatime vijaya-vard-dhamāna-rājyē ( 145 ) and again at the end, in an era which has not boen specified ${ }^{1}$ The letters on the ${ }^{2}$ third plate have suffered rery much from corrosion and the numerals of the date have become very indistinct $I$ find on prolonged examination that the namerals are 80,8 , bat Messrs Venkayja and Krishna Sastri to whom the paper was first submitted are of opmon that nothing whatever can be discovered If my reading of the date be correct, I would refer it to the Harsha era, though no instance of the nse of this era has so far been found in Orissa ${ }^{2}$ If my views be correct, the date of the grant would be $88+606=694$ A D

Up to this date three inscriphons of the Sanlödbhava dynasty of the Kōngōda-mandala bave beon published -The Buguda plates of Mādhavararman, ${ }^{3}$ (2) the Ganjam plates of the tume of Śasāankarāja, ${ }^{4}$ and (3) the Khurda plates of Mādhavaràja ${ }^{5}$

The first part of the inscription on the Pāriknd plates is in verse, like that of the Bugada plates of $3\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { doh } \\ \text { avavarman, and the first mine verses of both are identical The tenth verse }\end{array}\right.$ of the Buguda grant is not to be found in this record and the eleventh verse of that record appears as the tenth of the Pārikud inscription The Buguda grant furmshes the genealogy of the family from Ranabhita to Sainyabhita, while the Pärikud grant carries the genealogy tro generations forther

The following tables show the genealogy accordung to the Buguda and Pānkud grants.-


| Pārebud plates Śaulodbhava |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Ranabh |
| Sanyabhita I(in hes famely) |  |
| Yasōbhita I |  |
| Sainyabhita II |  |
| Tostbat |  |
|  |  |
|  | hyamara |

[^215]The Kharda and Ganjam plates mention three generations only -

Ehurda plates.


Mãdhavarāja

Ganjam plates

(619-20 A.D )

Both of these plates, hake the Buguda and Pārikud grants, were assuod from the Köngōdamandala and tho similanty m names as woll as tho name Sanayabhita found on the senls of the Buguda, Ganjam and Kharda grants leads ono to beheve that Mãdhava was the surnamo of both Yaśbblita's father and son Bat the charnoters of the Ganjam and Kharda grants are mach older than those of the Bugada and Pāriknd plates It may be that the former were sristen in the current alphabot of North Eastern India whlo in the latter the alphabet prevalent in tho Northern Circars, was nsed ${ }^{1}$ If the four grants be held to belong to the samo conntry, then it may be affirmed with some degree of certannty that tho Sannyabhita of the Kharda grant
 factor, wo have to admat that Sainyabhita II 18 tho same person as Màdbavarāja of the Kharda and Grijam plates

It 18 not certan whether Yaśbbhita II and Madhyamarāja are dufforont porsons When tbe late Professor Kıolhorn pnblished the Baguda grant for the first time, he was of opinion that Mädhavavarman was a son of Sainyabhita II.a Dr. Haltzech, in his paper on the Ganjam grant of the tume of Śasadkadēva, ${ }^{8}$ pointed out that Sainyabhita was probably a surname of Mädhavayarman, and this view was sabsequently also adoptod by Professor Kielhora ${ }^{4}$ A similar case occurs in this inscraption also. In the motrical portion of this auscription, there is nothing to show that there was any rolatonship whatsoever between Yádobhita II and Madhyamarajadéva In ordinary ciroumstanees and on tho analogy of the Bugada mserption, it may be assumed that Madhyamaraja is a brruda of Yasobhita II, but there ls one small phrase, the amport of whooh, thongh very weak, yet may prove that king Madhyamaraja was a son of Yas̃obhita II This is the phrase 10 the 27th hne -Madhyamaräjadēva-guna-dhrud=rajyam pıtuh präptavän The word pıtuh may refer to Yaśbbita II.

The anseription records the grant of a village in the Katakabhuktianzahaya. As the king addresses the officers of the Kōngōdemandals, it is apparent that the village was sitanted in that dastriot Tho dentity of Köngōda with Kong-u-t'o 18 , perhaps, well known ${ }^{6}$ Very hittlo can be added to Dr Kielhorn's remarks abont the oharaoters of these insorptions in has note on the Bagada plates ${ }^{6}$ The kng Madhyamarāja had performed the văjapēya and asvamëdha sacnfices (ll 38.39) Ho was a devout worshipper of Suva and the faot that he performed the asvamedha sacrifico shows that he olamed the rank of a supreme monarch (chakravartsn).

[^216]
## TEXT ${ }^{1}$

Fims Plate






 [si]iriasya ch=c[ndos]=tri-
 Kilimald-hshā̃no Tini[dha]-










 Salatuldwasa har ?ion Rana-



## Second Plate, Furst Side

33 yē s*a-dhy=[71]ra särdham=āhampitō nayana-pa[r]shma-ja[l]ēsha chandra[h*] $\left[116^{\star}\right]$ Tasy=[a]blarad=r[1]ra(bu)dhapä[la]-samas[ya sū]-
 ka-sata-naga-ghatā-vigha $t t] a-l a d d b a(b d h a)-p r a s[\bar{a}] d a-$
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 tha tasja tanayn $\left[s^{*}\right]=$ bukrifi(ti) simasta-sumantifnul)
 momshēbha-kunbha-sta(stha)h-dzlana-d[u]-


 prabhratrohur=amara lambhtās=[tr]u(tu)ptım=n-
 Tasy=0thuat-ihhl-ari[r]=mmarad=1va a-










 viluya rasati du[z]aynti dryam prdam chitiaw



## Sccond Plate, Socond Sude


 $62[\mathrm{IH}]$ -







[^218]









 cambüchitam cha ripn-pankaja-rrındam=ārūāāt $k[0] p e ̄ n n$
38 [50] jayatı lavdhn(hdhn)-jayn-pratipa[h"]c 1 [18*] Katatit sri-Sbliōabhava* kula-tulalo mahü-makha-Vãjapéy- Íva-


40 kri-[ifa]dhyamarâjadēva[h"] kuca[ii] asnum Köngōda-mandelé tri-siman[ta]-mahī $\overline{\text { an }}\left[\mathrm{ma}^{*}\right]$ vta-mahiraja-rai-
 vaittamina-blavishyad-r [J]ara-
 mū[na]jats [bodha]sa[tin]-

## Therd Plate, First Sido

 samva(mba)ddha pursaa-[kha]nda . .
 kninchid=anapa[ragrā* $\left.{ }^{*}\right]-10$
 utmanas=cha pany-äbhi[ $\left.\mathrm{vr}{ }^{*}{ }^{*}\right]$ -
 nānā gōtra-pravara.

[^219] Närayana-
48 svāmı-Mīahavasvāmı-Bharanısvāmı-Durggasvāmı-Ādıtyasvāmı-Rndıasvāmı-Śvasvāmı-
 ирауujgato na $k[\bar{e}] n a \quad s c h ı(c h 1) d=[$ vighāta $]\left[h^{*}\right]$ kara-
 $\operatorname{bhn}(\mathrm{bhā}) \mathrm{ma}\left[\mathrm{s}^{*}\right]=$
51 tasya tasya tada(dā) phalam [11 19*] Mā bhu(bhā)d=aphala-sanka $\quad \mathrm{a} a\left[\mathrm{~h}^{*}\right]$
 para-dat $[t-\bar{x}]$ -


53 pachyatē [II 24*] Haratè hārayatê bhu(bhū)mı[m* manda vu(bu)ddhı[s*]=tama-
 yonasha(shu) jā-
 anuchintya manmshya-jıpitañ=cha [1*] вakalam=1da[m=n]-
sthitum yasa(sa)sı(si) sa(sa)kta-manobhir=u[ch*]char[b*] [l* mitya[m*] par*


Thurd Plate, Second Sudo


59 driddhãna il Sa[mvat] [88] Kārttika-śukla .

## No 29 -EIGHT GHOLA DA'TES

By The Hoxourable Diwan Bafadur L D Swamieama Pillat, Ma, BL, LLib.
In his paper on the dates of Chola kings (above, Volume X, op 121 ff ) Mr R Sewell has shown, by an elaborate calculation of a Tamil date from Naudalūi and of five Teluga dates from Bapatin (Nos ${ }^{-186}$ to 190) of king Kalōttunga-Choda. II, that the accession of Kulöt-tunga-Chöde III (Kulōttunga-Chōla II of Tamıl nascriptions) must be placed between Kiarch 28th and July 14th A.D. 1133. The subjoned eight dates, besides confinming the correctanss of Mr Sewell's results, enable ns to reduce the hemts of the accession of Kulottunga-Chסla IL. to the perrod May eth-July 14th A.D 1133 Also, in the date from the Nandalin Tamul unsorption (which I have meluded among the eight dates now calculated) tho dificulty folt by

[^220]M1 Sewall about the ralishatra Sravan is explawed by a ieforence to the custom asaally followed in bxing the day of the Siraitu m Southen India The Sivaitio begins at midnght, when the anashatra Sravana mast be curent, and is kcpt all the folloming day The inseription presumably refers to the nahshatra Sraina only in this seasc, as it was not cuirent at sunrise on the following day

## KUICTTUITSA-CHOIA (II)

## 244 -In the Vaidyanäthasvāmin temple at Tirumalavādı ${ }^{1}$

1 Smastı Śri [ $11^{*}$ ] Pā-maruriya parıgêlnm

## 33

Kor=Trânkicarı-
it phtwai=āna Trobovanachchzhbaravatigal u1-Kulottunga-Śsladōrarku

36 gat kilamaryum perra Attattinil
"In the 2nd year (of the rezgn) of king Rāakesariverman altas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kuisttunga-Chöladeava, - or the dyy of Hasta, which conesponded to a Monday and to the month tithr of the cecond fortnylut of the month of Dhanus "


 sunrse The solar mouth was Dhanus, as iecorded in the mocription

## 245 -In the Karavanciśvara temple at Ude九yarmōyl -


"In the 4th— fourth— year (of ther rilyn) of king Rāajāesarivarman aluct the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulöttunga-Chōladëra, - on the day of Rēvati, which corresponded to a Monday and to the fourth tethe of the second fortanght of the month of Simha"

The date corresponds to Nonday, the 17th August, AD 1138, on which day the fourth

 moment of the nahshatra in mean Lanha trinc was just betore snmise on the lith August, hat from the timo of the year and for any place in Sonthein Inda, it will be scan, from Table XIII of my Indzan Ohronology that the ending noment of the nakshatra in local time must bave been shortly after sunrise, so that the inseription is correctin giving the nahshatra as Reavati. The solar month was Sumba

[^221]246 min the Saumyanathasvāmin temple at Nandalūr ${ }^{1}$

```
l [Sva]str śr[i] [l|*] Pü-mẽva turnmagal
2
```



``` \(\mathrm{ga}[1]\) śri-Kulottunga-Ś́gladēvarku yāndu ctt-īvadu
```

Thuvē(vó)namum=āna Śnvarāttırı-nāl
"In tho eighth year (of the reign) of king Räjakensarivarman alzas the emperor the glorious Kulōttunga-Chōladēva,- on the day of Sivarātri, which was (a day of) Śravana, a Friday, and tho fourteenth $t z^{t h}$ of the sccond fortnight of the month of Kumbha in the elghth year (of the reign) of the glorious Kulōttunga-Chōladēva "

The date corresponds to Friday, the 7th February, AD 1141, on which dry the 14th trthr of tho scond fortnight of the lunar mouth Mägha ended at $41 \frac{1}{2}$ ghatiküs after mean Lankī suncree, while the nahshatra, Śrvana had ended at 8 ghatihās after midnight between tho 6th and 7th Fcbraniy Now, Śsarätri is celcbrated on the day followng tho mudnught (nearest to Māgha amĩ̄āsyā) at which the nahshatra Ślavans is current Thereforo Sivarātri in the year in question fell on a Friday, and it was the solar month of Kumbba, as stated in tho unscription

## 247 -In the Tyāgarājasvāmin temple at Tıruvārūr ${ }^{2}$

1 Srastı $\operatorname{siri}\left[\left\|\|^{*}\right]\right.$ Pū-mannu-padumam

"In the 10th year (of the reign) of king Räjakēsanvarman aluas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulōttunga-Chōladēva, - on the day of Aśvini, which correm sponded to a Monday and to the elcventh tith of the first fortnight of tho month of Dhanus"

The date corresponds to Monday, the 30th November, A D 1142, on which day the 11th tethi or èhīlasi of the first fortnght of the lunar month Margasiras ended at 59 ghathhäs after mean Lankā sunrise, whule the nakshatra Aśmi ended on the same day at 41 ghatilãs after mean Lankā sanrise

It may appear at first sight that a tethr which onded at 59 ghatila as after mean Lankā summe my possibly bave been carried forward to tho noxt day in local timo, but it will be scen from Table XIII of my "Indian Chronology" (p 157 of the Tables) that in the jear A D 1142, on the 25lst day of the solar year, which was our day, the differenco between menn Lanka time and Tanjore timo was only an addition of 4 palas to tho former, which would still hare placed tho ending moment of the teth within the civl day notod above, $\imath e$ the 30 th Novomber The solar month was Dhanus, as stated in the inscription

[^222]248. -In tho Karavandisivara templo at Udarvarkōyll. ${ }^{1}$

1 [Svastı $\left.\mathrm{Sr}_{1}\right]$ [ $\left.\|^{*}\right]$ [Pū-mannn-padumam]

Tribhumanchchahkararatifisal Ern-Kuluttu]nga-sóla[d]:-
 V[1]sala-hkilq[ma]sa(ya)[m perm] Aylar[ra]tin nil
"In the 14th year (nf the reign) of king Rajakensarivarman alags the omperor of the
 sponded to a Thursday and to the minth $t i t h z$ of the firot fortagit of the nonth of meesha "

The date corrcsponds to Tharsday, 10th $\Delta$ pril, $A D$ 1147, on which day the 9 th 117 s of the first fortught of the lann month Vasilha con'menced at 20 ghatitue after me.n Issha sunrise, whle the nuh hatra for the whole of that day and for 5 ghathais of the next, wing Āsleshă, the nahshatra Pushya havigg ended shuntly before sanrise ou the 101h Apnl. The solar month was Mesha

## 249.-In the Kripäpurisivara temple at Truvennamalliñ:

5

 shtyun ${ }^{3}=$ Dugal-kilamriyum perra Bara-
6 minnl
"In the 15th - fifteenth- year (of the re gn ) of the emperor of the three morids, who had this glonons pancggrical poom (in lis honoar) ${ }^{\text {s }}$, the glorious Kulōtunga-Chōledeva, - on the day of Bharani, whech corresponded to a Monday mod to the susth t:tht
"
Nexther the solar nor the lanar month is tracenble in the inseription which only refers to a sustin tithe, but it will be observed from Table XI of my "Indan Chronology" thata Gth tith can concur with the nahshatra Bharani only in the dark fortnght of lanas Srimant or of lunar Bhădrapada Wo work for the latter un the year A D 1147 and find that the date correspends to TMonday, 18th August, AD 1147, on which day the bith tith of the dark fortnght ended at $39 \frac{1}{2}$ ghatihas after mean sarice, while the nahshatra Bharani ended on the same day at 12!
 1137, wonld hase satisfied the iira, tith and nakshatra, bat we reject this date, as A D 1137 was obviously not the 15th year of our king
250.- In the Vaidyanāthasvāmun temple at Trumalavãdy ${ }^{6}$

1 Ill Svastı śri [ $\left.1 \|^{*}\right]$ Pū-mapua yānar
 varkko
29 fandu 15 áradn



[^223]"In the 15th year (of the reagn) of kang Rājaleesarivarman alaas the emperor of the three worlds, the glorious Kulōttanga-Chōladēpa, - on the day of Uttarāshādhā which corresponded to a Thursdey, and to the first tethr (pratıpad $\bar{a}$ ) of the first fortnight of the month of MaErra in this year"

The date comesponds to Thursday, the 25th December, $\Delta D$ 1147, on which day the first tithz of the first fortaght of the lunar month Pansha ended at $29 \frac{1}{2}$ ghatzhas after mean snnuse, while the nahshatra Uttarüshādhá ended at $20 \frac{1}{2}$ ghathhās after mean sunmse on the same day. The same day was also the first of the solar month Makara

## 251 -In the Kripāpurisvara temple at Tiruvennainalūr ${ }^{1}$

1
[P]ū-manna-padumam
2

 Nayarra-khlamai-nil
"In tne [1]5th year (of the reign) of tho emperor of the three worlds, who had (thes) panegyical porm (in his hononr), the glorious Kulōtunga-Chöladēva, -on a Sunday which was the sistenth solar day of the month of Rishabha"

The lenar $t u t h$ is not cited, bat the week day affords a elne to tho dite Daring the 15 sears AD 1135 to AD 1149 there were ouly two jears in which the 16th day of solar Vrishabhi was 3 Snaday, and they were A D. 1137 and $\Delta D 1148$ We reject the former date, as it obvionsly was not the 15th year of onr Cbola king, aud we eonclude that the date ot the inceription was Sunday, 9th May, A D. 1148, which was the 16th day of Vrishabha

## Sumnary

We can nor arrange the eight dates discussed above in chronolog.cal order and endeavour to discoves therefrom the commencement of the reign of Kulottanga-Chola II

|  | 2nd year | Donday, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (245) | 4th jear | Monday, 17th Angnst $\triangle$ D 1136 |
| (246) | Sth jear | Friday, 7th Febraary A D 1141 |
| (217) | 10th year | Monday, 30th November A D 1142 |
| (248) | 14th year | Thursday, 10th April A.D 1147 |
| (249) | 15th year | Monday, 18th August AD 1147. |
| (250) | 15 th year | Tharsday, 25th December A D 1147 |
| (251) | 10゙th ycar | Sunday, 9th May A D 1148 |

## Dates dusclosed by Inscraptions

We see that the 15th jear of the reign mast have eommenced between the 10th April, AD. 1147, which fell in the 14th jear and the 18th Aagust AD 1147 whuch fell in the 15th year At the same time the lastinscription shows that the regual yeal did not ehange till after 9th May Thcrefore regnal years of Kulvtunga-Chola II must have regularly commenced between 9th Kay and 18th August, rather ©th May and 17th August, if we bear in mind the result of inserption 245, that 18, the reign itself commenced between 9th May and 17th Augnst A D. 1133, the 2nd year of the reign in May, Jnne or Jaly, $\Delta D$ 1134, and so on with the 3rd and subsequent jears

# No 30 -MANNAREOFIL TNSCRIPTION OF JATSVARMAN SUNDARA-CHOLA-PANDYADEYA 

B. K T Surimasia Anar, BA, Ootscamud

The subjomet in crmption is engased on the bese of the north wall of the contral shrinc in the Gōpalakrishnasvämon templo at irionnärkōyil un the Ambisamadram tilala of the Tancrelly destryet With the kind parmbsion of the late fiat Brhadur V. Venlaya I edit it from nu inked implession prepared un 1905

The inseripton which in in toliably good presersation consusts of 8 hace of Tamil proze written in the Tamil alphabet of the perid to whel the record belongs The lettera are well engrared Thereate a largo number of Sansknt words and fyllables an Grantha characters : Two forms of ya occur, one with a closed loop to the left whel occurs only in the begnomg of line 1 , and the other, wmehis more common, without this loop The eciondaty $\bar{a}$-gymbol is, in a few easca, not separnted fiom the consonant to which it is added In the majonty of cases the eentral loops of the con-onants $n$ aud $n$ appear fally developed, thoagh there are inatances where they are mritten without the loops Another peculnonty is that the

 $m$ the 2 sign is sometracs added on to the top of the lettci and sometimes by its nght fede, accordug to the shape of the leter $n$ which, in thas iccond, is not unform The $s$ gos for : and $\bar{u}$ an the letters man and $i^{\prime}$ are not distingmehted Only mone ease a curve has been addad to the $u$ in mut in oder to denote the length Erecpt in the letter las and las where the first part of the rowcl $a$ is used to denote the ar-cymbol, the seeordary as is invarably marked by two eceondary $a$ signs witten sude by side as in Grantha The group nta is alraja employed whenever the tro lettcra come together The palatal is se so shoped un some cases that it may at fist eight bo misthicn for $n \bar{i}$. The cuttural $n$ oceurnag in purangaraz (1 3 ), $=$ Tirut aranga-(1) 6 ) ind Irungandi- ( 8 , and the syllable gu are peealiarly sbaped

The object of the ruscuption is to register a sale of hadd to the Tishinn temple colled Rājēndrasola-Vinnagar Lincs 2 aud 8 gise the buundaries aud extent of the ranons fields that weie sold, and live 4 describes the formal transfer of the land to the temple by the assembly of Rājarāja-chaturvedımangalam Lines 5 and 6 gre the names of eome of the members of the assembly at whose instance the lands appear to hare been sold The conreyanee was drawn up by the aceountant (laranattan ) of the rillage and mas attested by sereral of the persons who ondered the sale (11 7 and 8) It 15 interesting to note that most of the members of the assembly were learned men, and this 15 indiented by the tutles bhatta, somayann and 7 ramant affived to their names Farthei, it might also be pointed out that these members were not natires of the villago butimmagrants fiom other parts of Southern India who had settled in the sereral subabs (sérr) of the village $A$ hist of these subarbs and millages is given at the end of this paper

This inscription is a record of one of the Chōla-Pändya hings ${ }^{3}$ Sonth-Indian inseriptions point to the existence of at least three Chōla prinees who bore the tutle Chola-Pandya Rājen-dra-Chola I (AD 1011-44), after he had subjugated the Pāndya eountry, is said to hare appoutcd his son Chüla-Pundya to rale over it * Parakēsarıvarman Rājēndradēa éA D

[^224]1052-64) is icported to have conferred the title of Sollw-Pandiyan on his vornger brothel, the victorious Mummad solian? The Chöla hing Vìanājēndra (A D 1002-70) is also stated to have been pleased to gant the Pāndımandalam" whose enown of jewch is exulted in this world, to his iojal son Gangeikondasolan ${ }^{3}$ along with the titlo Sola-Pandirm, the leader of an army of veas tall clephants" 4 Inseniptions di-corcied so far ieven the numes of two ChoinPāndya prinees, tz Jatāvarman Sundara-Chōla-Pãndya aud IMāravarman Vikrame-ChōlaPändya The formar of theso is spoken of m 2 Mmanhōgll recotd is one of the sous of
 to the same hing that we mastattribute menciptinns dated durng the reign of Jatāvaman aluas Udayyā: ḡjla-Pāndyadēva found at Suchindram ${ }^{6}$ and S̄evihpērı, ${ }^{7}$ though the name Sundara does not oceur

The title Chola-Pindra giren to them seems to hare been mented $t$, indiente the doable
 The position held br them was appacetly that of wher of actug under the order, of tho Chola bing rahing at Taujure 7 he necessity for then iphomencat arose fiom the fret that the Pändyas could novir be completely subdued They contirucd in istate of ch oure icrolt against


The sabjomed recordis dated in the 13013 yoar of the reign of king Jalivarman Sundara-Chöla-Pāndyadēva As his aceesuon to the thane has bcer fixed it is l) 1020-1 fiom the


 yearll corresponding to A.D. 1013-4, which $v$ as the last yen of the rigno of hins $f$ ther Sundara. Chola-Pandya's juscriptions have so far bicn traced in the anerent Pady and Kin ila dommons, ie in the modern distriets of Madara and Timnerclly and portions of tho Pudukiottai and Tiarancore States ${ }^{12}$ His Cholapuram ${ }^{13}$ msen $1{ }^{\text {inion }}$ is dated in the llth year ouct registcis the gift of a lamp by a certan Sarvalō̌ā̄́ıaya Vıshnuvardhana-Ma

[^225]$\nabla_{1 j}$ ajādıttan- $\nabla_{1 k} k_{1}-a n n a n$. The terms Śalukki and Vishnuvardhana-Mahārāja, occurring in the name of the donor, suggest that he mast have been a member of the Eastern Chālukya famly. ${ }^{1}$

Aeeording to the Truvālangādu grant, the Pändyas were first attaeked by Rajēndra-Chola I, with the result that their king deserted his country from fear Estabishing his son CholaPändya as the protector of the Pāndya territoly, Rājēndra-Chola proceedcd westwards, conquared the forees of the Kerala ruler in a fearful battle and returned to his capital leaving that country also in eharge of tho same prince That the Chola-Pāudya heie referred to is none other than Jatāvarman Sundara-Chōla-Pāndya of our necord has aiready been noticed It may bo pointed out now that while the stone anserıptions of the sth and 6th years of Rajuēdra-Chöla I. mention his conquest of the Keralas, the invasion of the Paudya country is practically omitted in the historical introduction of all his records If, as stated in the Trravalangadu grant, the Chola king eonqucred the Kéralas immediatoly after he had overcome the Pändyas, the latter erent would have taken place before A D 1016-7, which corresponds to his 5th year ${ }^{2}$ It would thas appear that Sundara-Chola-Pandya was in charge of the tro conquered countries fiom or prior to $\triangle D$ 1016-7, $2 e$ four years before his aecession ${ }^{3}$ That he aetually ruled over the Kēralas is borne out by some of the inseniptions at Mannärbōyl, where mention is made of two of his Chēra feudatories named Rājasımia and Rajarāja ${ }^{4}$ In one of his inscriptions, ${ }^{5}$ it is stated that the Chēra king (Sēramānar) Rājasmba boult the Vishnu temple called Rājēndraśōla-Vinnagar, $2 e$ the modern Güpālakrıshaasvāmn temple at Mannarrkoyıl The name of the Chèra king eonqnered by Rājēndra-Chüla is not given. Nor do me know if it was Rajarija or Rūjasmma

Among the goographical names that occur in this inscription, Mannärkōyl does not find a place It seems to be a shortened form of Manuanarkōyll ${ }^{6}$ and probably denotes the modern Göpãlakrishnasvämin templc Thc village in wheh the temple was sıtuated, was called Rājarāja-chaturvēdımangalam 10 ancıent tımes It was a brahmadēye in İIullınădu, a district of Mudıgondaśolanvalanādu which was a subdivision of Rāja-räja-Pāndinādu A number of hamlets appear to have been attached to this village in early days The modern villages of Ambāsamudram ${ }^{7}$ and Kalladaikkurıchch1 ${ }^{5}$ were its
 1 2) sitanted at 2 distance of about 8 miles from Ambisamudram, formed its bamleta on

[^226]the north-western side Fonr other hamlets of Räjarāja-chatni vēdımangalam, vis Pāmbunārı, Kōnādu, Kadaysam and Pulakkulam alaas Vārımāylettı are also mentioned in the inscription Of these, Kadaynam may be identified with the village of the same name, stinated to the west of Ambasamudram The modern name of Palakkolann 15 Tnuakkulam ${ }^{1}$ and it is also in the vicimty of Ambisamudram I am not able to identify the two othors The position assigned to several of the bamlets would pont to the identification of the braimallöya of Rajarajachatarvedumangalam with the modern village of Brahmadēsimm in the same tiluka und it may be noted that the Śrra templo of Thruvaliśvaram and the Vishnu templo of Rājüdraśola-
 quite close to Brahmadésam Fiom the bonndanes described in the grant portion of the sabjomed record it appears that at Mannakeogil thoro was in ancent times another Vishna temple called Rājarāja-Vinnagar. This has now disappeared Tho district of Mrall-nadn mentooned above seems to be different from another of the samc name in which Shērmidēvi was situated, becanss while the first was in the snbdivision of Mndigoudastola-valanàdu the second was in Uttamasila ralanãan? Thongh the names Uttamassila and Mudigondas̄ōa after which the two snbdivisions are named my refer to tho same Chola kng (perbans Rajeadra-Chola I), jet, as these divisions oecur in tho moserptions of the same perod, there is not mach donbt as to their representing different local arcas Firther, it may be ponted ont that the two subdinisions named abovo comprised dufferent districts Thus, for 1nstanee, the dıstriets of Nechchura-nādu, ${ }^{3}$ Kilk kala-kūrram ${ }^{+}$and Killvēmba-nādu ${ }^{5}$ wero in Mudıgondaśzlavalanādn, whilc Purattāya-nādu, ${ }^{6}$ Nã $\bar{n} j 1-n \bar{a} d u{ }^{7}$ and Marugal-nēdu ${ }^{8}$ weie in the sabdivision of Uttamastla-valanūdn A rough idca of tho extent of Mudıgondaśla-valanãin might bo formed from the fact that Tinnevelly, Kalngamalai, Ambisamndam and the villages near them were orignally meluded in it In Uttamasola-valanīdu were siturted Cape Comonn, Shêrmüdēri, aud Chollapuram near Nigerkorl Rajuãa-Paudmãdn was tho namo apphed to tho Pindyr country after its couquest by the Chöla king Rajarija I, and it manaly consisted of the districts of Madara and Tinnevelly and a part of the Travancoie and Padukeattar States Though the Pändsas were defeated several times on previous occensions and their dominion acquired by the Cholas by oonquest, the namo of thoir country does not appear to have bsen altered pror to the tums of Rijarija I
 As one of the fields sold to the temple is said to have bren eitrated to the noilh of tho former eud south of the latter, the relative position of the two is established bayond question and affords facilities for the identifieation of both Porundam, the origimal beme of Madigondasodapperiuru 16 sgnonymous with the Tämraparni, which near Mannärköyl auns paiallel to its tributary, the Gatanã, and is to the sonth of it Rijurijappenirin is, thicicfore, identical with the Gatana In passing, it may also be ponted out that the tro rivers join mithin a milo's dıstance of MannārEoyıl at a place called Tunppudamaradū

TEXT




[^227]chcharuppēdıma[n]galattu śıī-Rājēntra(ndra)sōla-Vin[naga]r [pa]ramasvamıgalukku śri-Rāaarāja-chchatu[r]prèd[1]mangalattu mahāsabhargom virrau=kkndatta būmı̧āvadu [Il*] Engal padāgaı Pāmbunā[rı] Idaıkkn[n]ravettıkkn mērkum [1] śri-Rājarāja-Vınnagardēvar dēvadā[na]-
2 ttakku=kkılakkum [1] Tan-Porundamā̄na Mudigondasoolappērātrakku vadakkum [1] srī-Rājarājappērārru[k]ku=tterkkum [II] aga ivv=e[l*]layyul agappa[t]ta 1 ru-pū ${ }^{1}$ ārupāychchal nila[n $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ ãru-vēlıjum mērpadı malak-
 vêluyom engalūr=ppadāgar Kōnāttu ru-pū ārup[p]āychchal milan ourē̄yèlun māvum $\quad 1 \nabla v=\bar{r} r=p p a d a ̄ g a ı \quad$ Kadaıyattu-Padaıyāvlı Kādērrru $\quad$ ru-pū ārupāychchal nilan arayum $1 v \nabla=\bar{r} r=p p a d i g a ı ~ P u l a k k u l a-~$
3 m=āna Vārımāyılettı èrı-puran=garaı orn-pū milan arayum $1=$ kknlatt-agam

 malukkādu milan mū-vēlıyum ora-pū milan araifum kulattıl ni[ $\left.r^{*}\right]$-korar-
 kknduttóm śrī-Rājēndraśola-Vinnagar [parama]svīmıalukku srī-Rājarājachcharnppēdımangalattu mahāsabhaı-




 Rājarāja-chcharnppēdımangalnttu mahäsabhayōm [II*] I=pparı́su vırru = Lkndukka=ppanchchöm sri-Rājarāja-
5 chchēr=Kkottarsür-Chchangaran Śradēvabattachchōmāsísārum ${ }^{5}$ SriMummadıślachchēri Tiruppēr=Ddēradēvēsa-[Nā]rāyananum śrī Arumold $[\bar{c}]$ rachchēr $1=$ Kkırā̃̃chi Mrahéstarahıamanıttanum ${ }^{3}$ śri-
 Chölēntra(ndra)śngachchērı Karämbrrehēttu Śsídarabattanum śri-

 purattu=Pporkia-
6 mārahiramavittanum
Śappiramaniyabattaunm battanam
yana-hkuramavittannm
śrī-Śembiranmādēvichçērı=Kkīranūr Śrōlappırān-siri-Kundavachchērı Nimhai-I[lar] yanambi-śri-Pañchavanmādē [rı]chehēn $=$ Kkundūr=Ttruvarınga-Nārāśrī Olökamādēvıchchōrı Idaıyārrukkndı= Chchōmanakkanum āga 1=ppari[su] panchchu virran=kkuduttōm śrī-Rājēndraŝola-Vinnagar paramasvāmıkalukkull śrí-Rājarūja chatu[r]vētımankalattu ${ }^{13}$ mabāsabharyóm [ $\|^{*}$ ] Ippadı arıvèn Kot[tai]yūr=Chchangaran Ésvadēvabatta-

[^228]7 chchömā́syēn rai on olutta [ $\left.1^{*}\right]$ Ippadi arivēn Körovi. Kähdādachchomã́siyēn ivai en elutta [1*] Ippadi arıvēn Tirupper
 kkramarittan elattu [ $\left.\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ Ippadı arivēn Śnidanūr=Kkēśavan Śēnduppirān eluttu [ $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right]$ Ipprdi [ari]vēn Karimbıch[chēt]tu Śrïdarabattan elattu [|* $]$
 Nimbai-Ilaryanambibattan eluttu [ $\left.\left.\right|^{*}\right]$ Ippadı arivèn
 Yañ̃̃anº eluttu [1*] Itham Tirasya p[u]trēna Ŝāstanamka(ga)lavāsinā [ $\left[\left.\right|^{*}\right]$

 khaıanettān [Śsürrı-Śangaran=āna Śamañjaśappıņanēu eluttu llos

## TRANSLATION

Hall Prospenty ! In tho thirteenth year of (the reign of) king Jatāvarman alaas Udaıyār stī-Sundara-Chōla-Pāndyadēva, the great assembly of Rājarāja-chaturvēdımangalem sold the folloring land to the Supucme Lord (paramasoàmın) of sri-Rājēndrasodia-
 Mudıgondasöla-valanādu (Thich ras a sublırısion) of sri-Rājarāja-Pāndınādu (The land hes) to the rest of (tho path called) Idarkkunravetta (lendng to) our hamlet (padagaa) of Pāmbunārı, to the cast of tho dētadina of the god of $s / \bar{\imath}$-Rājarāja-Vinnagar, to the north of (the rivor) Tan-Porandam alızs Mudıgondaśolappērärus and to the south of (the river called) sfī-Rājarājappèrāru Tho great assembly of sī-Rajarija-chaturvidımangalam sold to the Supreme Lord of sri-Rijëndrasola-Vinangar, six vèh of 21 ver-fed double-crop land lying withon these boundaries together with thice vèl of maluksidu-land of the same (class) whinch was beng brought under cultivation, 6 three vēlz of dry malalkīdu-laud, ono (vēlu) and selcn $n \bar{z}$ of riser fed double crop land in Kōnādu, a hamlet of our village, half (a vḕ ) of double-crop river-fed land at Kädëru in Kadayattu-Padoayāvilı, a hamlet of this village, half ( a vèl) of singlo crop land close to the bank of the tank at Pulakkulam aluas Vārimāylettı, a hamlet of this vallage, haif (a vētr) of crop-yielding marshy land in the bed of
 land, three vēll of malnkhādu-land of the same (class), three vēl of dry malukkädu-land, half (a rèl ) of sungle crop land, balf (a vèlu) of masshy land in the tank, together malemg a total of fourteen and three fourths ( $2 \overline{\bar{\rho}} l \boldsymbol{l}$ ) and two $m \bar{a}$ For the land thas given away by sale, this shall be the sale deed and the dorument ovidencing the recaipt of the money for our having obtaned on hand the cntire sub amount agreed upon by ns Besides thas, no other deed evidencing the payment in full of the sale money (ulau-mãv-arudt-porul-sēlav-blat) shall be demanded We, the members of the great assembly of sri-Rajaraja chaturvedımangalam, gave the land with libation of water to the Supreme Lord of Rājendra-solan-Vumagar, having sold it completely and obtaned the entiro prico and having ezecuted the

[^229]sale deed We (the following persons) thus ordered the salc (of the above mentioned land) -

 śrī-Aramondē̄achchērı, Korrōvı Kālıdādabhatta-śōmāśȳār of śrī-Nîttarinōdachchēri, Karāmbichchētta-Śiìdarabhattan of śrī-Chōlēndraśngachchērí, Kiorō̄1 Madhava. śormāślyār of śri-Sundaras̃olachchērı, Kōttattu-Dēvadēvéśan-Chandran of śri-「ānavanmādēvichchēr , Karıppuratta-Porkamāra-kiramavittan of śri-WttamaśolachchēıI, Kiranūr Śolaıppırān-Subrahmanyabhattan of Śrī-Ścmbiyanmādēnchchērī, Nımbar Ilayanambibhattan of sti-Kundavachchērn, Kundūr Tiravaranga-Nārā̧ana-kramanittan of śrī-Pañchavanmādērıchchērı, Idayyārrakkudı Sōmanakkan of srī-Lōkamādēvıchchēri We, the members of the great assembly of śm-Rājarāja-chatorvédımangalam, thus ordered and sold (the lañ) to the Supreme Lord of sm-Rājēndaasōla- Vınnagar I, Kottaryùr Śsangaran-S̃ivadèra-bhatta-śómāsi know this, this is my signature I, Korovi Kālıdāda-śomaśs know this this is my signature I know this the sigature of Tıruppēı Dēvadēvésa-Nārāyanan I know this the sıgnature of Kıräñıı Mahḗs rara-kramavittan. I hoow thıs the sıgnature of Śrīdanūr Késavan Śēndupprrān I know this the signature of Karāmbichchêttr-Sridarabhattan I know this the signature of Körovi śli-Mādhava-śomāś I know thas the signature of Numbai Ilayenambrbhattan I know this the लignature of Irangandı-Déradēvésabhatian I know this the sggnatare of Rāsipurattu-Yajñan Thus known by Nārā̧ana, son of Vira, who was the devotee of the devotees of $\nabla_{1 s h n u}$ (and) resident of Sästamangala Thas known by Solanpp[i]rān Subrahmanya At the commana of the great assembly I, Surrı Śangaran alzas Samañjasapriyan, the accountant (haranattān) of this village assembly, wrote this document, (this is my) Elgnature

List of villages and chēris mentroned in the inseription,

| Serial Nunber | Names of rillages | Seribl Number. | Names of chērıs of Rājaiaja-chaturvêdımangalam ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hottarant | 1 | Rājarāja chèrı |
| 2 | Tx-appes | 2 | Mummadisōla-chērı |
| 3 | Kırā̃j | 3 | Aromohdëra $\cdot$ chêrı |
| 4 | Körōvi | 4 | Nittarinöda-chēı |
| 5 | Karambichchèda | 6 | Chōlēndrasıtga-chērı |
| 6 | Kōttam | 6 | Bondarafōlo cheêrı |
| 7 | Karipparam | 7 | Vūnavanmādēvı-chērı |
| 8 | Kiranuar | 8 | Utfamáōla-chērı |
| 9 | Numbar | 9 | Sembıyanmādēz1-chērı |
| 10 | Kuņdür | 10 | Tnodavar-chèrı |
| 11 | Idsiramukkudı | 11 | Pan̆jaranmā̃ēviochērı |
| 12 | Éridopūr | 12 | Lōkamedēvischērı |
| 18 | Irungandr |  |  |
| 15 | Sextamangalam |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Of thea ekère lias 1-5 are named nfter the gurnames of Rajarija I, No 6 after his father Parantaha II Sundars. Cbôla, No 7 after hs mother Yänavanomahãdēvi, who performed sałagamana on her busband’s death, No $0^{*} 8$ after the Chöls ling Tttama-Cbōta, son of Gendaráditja, No 9 after the mother of Uttama-Chöla, No 10
 Chō̃̄adralmbs tras a sarname of Bejarifa

# No 31 -DADHIMATI-RIATA INSCRIPTION OF THE TIME OF DHRUHLANA, [GUPTA-]SAMVAT 289 

Br Pamdit Ray Kapva, Jodipor

This inseription is the oldest of all so far diseovered in Märmür It was found in a very ancient temple, which is dedicated to the goddess Dadhmati, commonly called Dadhamatmātā̄̄̆, situated in a desert whero the boundaries of two villages named Göth and Mānglōd mect These vallages aio 24 mules north-east of Nägor, tho prinerpal tomn of the distriet of the same name, in the Jodbpar State Tho rims of the slab are rased one noch high on all sides, and it was discovered lying upside down in onc of the corners of the hall of the temple of the goddes.

When the nows of this find reached Jodhpur, the stone was brought to the Tamanikh Mêhkmã or Historieal Department of tho State, where its contents were first deciphered by me The stone had been kept thero for soveral sears when, on the request of tho pañohas of the Dühımā-Brāhmana commanity of Jodhpur, the Darbär handly ordered that it should be retarned to them mith instractions to take proper care of $1 t, 1 t$ beng the most valuable and oldest inserption in the State Tho reason why it was made over to them was that all the DihimãBrähmanas recognse Dadhmati as theur hula-dèzi or famuly goddess, and that they consequently nrged that the preseneo of sneh an inscription rendered the edifice more sacred and important in ther eyes and proved the antiquity of the temple of ther mataji Tho stone is, therefore, now lyng in its former position in the sabhä-mandapa of this temple ${ }^{1}$

The inseription corers a space of writing $1^{\prime}$ high by $1^{\prime} 7 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ broad The average size of the letters 15 abont $\frac{3}{15}$ ( Considerable skill seems to have been exerosed in engraving this inscripthon, and the rims are raned onc moch high, as noted above, presnmably with a view to protect the writing from the cffeets of weather and rain or from other, stones coming into contact with the written surface, bnt, in spite of this care and foresight, the right balf of the saxth line has been rabbed off, apparcntly with an instrument, by somebody Otherwise, the inscription is in an almost perfect state of preservation It contans 13 lincs of writing in Sanskrit, which is grammatically faulty and maecurate in some places, eg, Avighnanägahpramulta (1 2), datia dramma-pamchásah (1 7f), datah drammáatah and Bappahah Pūrnnamuliha putrēna (1 10), etc, ete Besides, there are several minor mistakes of engraving Tho text is in prose excepting lines 11 and 12 wherem a verse oecurs, which is a
 is given io the last line Tho characters belong to the northorn class of alphabets, and the letters $r, \xi, n, n, j h, h, d$, and $b$, are rdentical with those of the Mandasor inscription of Rājädrıăja Ya be noticed (1) that in all cascs whero the word Brühmana occurs (exeopt in 113 and 12), the sign for $v$ is used for $b$, though in tho case of other woids wheren the letter $b$ occars the sign for $b$ is invarably employed, ( $/$ ) the consonant following $r$ has been donbled only once in the word- $P_{\bar{u}} \mathrm{rnna}$ - in 110 , (3) the rales of parasararna have been thronghont observed with the exception of the word -samnd dhya- in 11

[^230]The inscription opens with oberqance to the goddess Sarosmati Then follows a request to dēri Dadhimati by the eommanity (gäshthqhä) of Dadhya-Brähmanas, headed by Avighnanāga Thesc Brāhmanas uscd to 1 eside, it appeas, in tho same temple and were tho worshippers of the dëvī A passing reference 15 also made here to one Srī-Dhrühlãna, who appears to have been then the ruling prince, bat who this chief was and where he 1 aled we are unable to ascertan Lones 3 to 10 contan the names and gotras of the donors with thenr fathers' names and the amount contributed by eaeh, the detarls whor cof are embodred in the subjomed table


Line 11 contans the veısé sarıa-mangala-mangalyē $\langle\imath e \bar{e}$ sarvärthasādhıhē | saranvē
 Then follow ( 1 12) the names of the Brahmanas pumbered 22-14 in the above table The reason why ther names are mentioned after this verse and not along with those of then caste fellows speexfied above, is not quite clear Probably they contributed their mite after the engraving of the inseription had commenced The last line, $2 e$, the l3th, gives the date wheh is the 13th of the dark half of Śsāvana of the year 288 (Gupta, equivalent to VikramaSampat 665 or $608 \Delta$ D)

It may be noted that the date of this uscription has been expressed in numerical symbols. The nameral 200 has been represented by a sign resembling fi which is just after the fashon

[^231]so often nbeorved in the Jann mannseriptal (tudo Bühler's Table IX) Agaid, 80 has been expreces by s symbol thele dificrs but hitle from that given in Bubles's Tablo Thon follows the sign for 9 , wheh 14 alco in harmony with the Valabhi system So that 200809 stand for the geir $28^{\circ}$, wheld has bepn given in the symblienl systom so often mot with in enrly ascriptions Then follow the words Srutana ba apprently fol Srätana badz ( 2 e the dorle hrlf of the month of Sravana), the lettel di after la having been omitted for mant of space The dnte 13 ih has, agnin, been expeessed by numerical symbols J 0 has been repecented by a sign wheh is junt like that met bith in tho Väbãtaha mecriptions (cido Table No IX of Buhler, seferred to abvere) and 3 is denoted by threo more or loss prablel hnes (三) wheh is also after tho Gubta fashon Siminrly, tho numeral 4 in tho lost hne bas also $b$ cn represented by tho agmbol ( $y$ ) , hachis after tho same Gupta or Niph system (ral; table quoted aliovo) There is a dot after then aymbol in the orginal stone, bat at appears to hose been madiertantiy engrared by the mason If the author had meant 10 , the figu for 40 and not that for 1 would have been used in its place Fiom the above, it is quite cleme that thit dite of the anseraption is of tho Gapta ert and not Vikrama or Harcha Scondis, the formation of the characters recembles that of the Mandasor pillar inscrption of hang Factharman, an alrendy noted, wheh fect also corroboratos the conclusion srrived ct ihare, pud thady, we know that tho Guptar rule, wheh hadextended far and wido orer the lani, camo to its oud it aboot the sumo period

 headed by A"ighnangy Had tins subscription bean ealled for oreching the shrino, somo such roond as lanta binaldinso beca ubed. The temple is therefore a vers old one Additions


In the begraniag of thas mencipion there is a montion of Dadhya-Brähmanns ${ }^{3}$ These aro nor-d-dnys knor $n$ ns Danama Binhmanas, nad are one of the chha-nyati (six castes ${ }^{4}$ ) of Brithmans of tis Pañebr-guadz fectoon "There 18 no donlbt that the Dadhyas of our mseription are the modern Dinhanais, because, firsh the whole of the comnunity of the DalumaBrinhmans recogniso the goddess (Dadhmatı) ns thor hulanderi or famuly goddess, secondly, the majorts of the population of the rillages, adjoming the temple withon the limit of 10 to 20 haje, consisth of these 13ñhmans, thardly, most of tho modern names of tho Khampas (elans) of thes communty are after the names of tho bllages satonted round about the temple, eg., Göthēciã aitor Gơth, Dtān
 Dahma-Buntumas assert that thoy are descended from the well-known Dadhich-Rusht and stylo themselves Dädlichas, whie in thas ansoription they are termed Dadhyas, certannly after the name of the goddess Dadhmati A refereneo to No 2 in tho abovo table wonld show that tho epithet Jisra, which as still aned by the Dëhmis, was oommon in thoso dags In tho thrd lue there oecurs a word $\bar{a} t \bar{d}$, bat thore $2 s$ no clan amongst the

[^232]Dāhimā-Brähmanas known by this name It therefore appears that it is perhaps a contracted form of the word $\bar{a} \imath \bar{\partial} t \bar{i}$, just as the word brähmana 1 s written m the abbreviated form brāhra in line 12 It is also possible that the letter $t \bar{i}$ of the word $\bar{a} v o t i \bar{i}$ has been carelessly omitted The mord āvōt $\bar{\imath}$ or $\bar{a} b \bar{o} t \bar{\imath}$ means a worshipper, and hence it may be inferied that the Dadhyas were worshippers of the goddess Dadhimati in those days The office of worshipping this goddess 18 now held by the Sēvagas (or Bhōjkas) It is jast possible that the Dadhya-Brähmaṇas, as they took up some other profession, later on employed a Sēraga for this purpose, whose descendants are up to this day dong the same work This supposition is further strengthened by tho fact that the Dāhmā-Brähmanas of the village Rōl, 10 miles from this temple, even now peisonally perform the worship of the deity aud offer oblations in her honour in the months of Chaitral and Áspina when fairs are held in and arond the temple In these fairs the inhabitants of tho adjoining villages meet together to worship the goddess and offer thenr cattle for sale

The most noteworthy information farnisled by this insciption $1 s$ the light it throws on the date of the Mārhandēya-Purāna In line 12 of our inscription 18 quoted the 9th verse of the 91st chapter of that Purāna or the loth chapter of the Deivi-MCāhātmya, as the latter begins from the 8lst chapter and ends with the 93 rd From this quotation we can conclusively and convincingly assert that the Deèz-Maluĩtmya was composed before $\nabla$ S 665 , $\imath e$ before the 7th century A D It would, therefore, be futile if any one were to assign a date,
 Dēvē-Māhätmya is regarded by some scholars as an interpolation, but what can with certainty be contended 1 s that the Devi- M有hatmya is not a production lator than the 7 th centary or thereafter Rev K M Banerjea writes in the introdaction to bis edition of the MärhandeyaPurāna as follows ${ }^{2}$ -
"We cannot help noticing in this place the dignity imparted to the work nudor review It 18 classed in the same category with the Vedas, and described as an immediate product from Brahmā̀s month. Although a Parāna, it is not artiributed to $\bar{\nabla}$ yāsa, whom other Śāstras consider as the anthor of all works bearing that title The Märkandēya Puräna, however, does not acknowledge him as its composer, editor or compiler. ${ }^{3}$ It clams equal honour in this respect with the Vedas themselves"

And he says in the beginning of his preface that "the section called Dévi-Afäanatntya was probably an materpolation" A reference to chapter 94, verse 1,5 would show that when recapitalating the past, the speaker ( 2 e Markandera- $\mathrm{R}_{18 h}$ ) says that he has caused him (the
 is sufficient to prove that the Dēvi-MLāhätmya is not an interpolation but forms a part and parcel


[^233]total number of verses attribated to this Parana in the commsnoement would fall short by the number contanned in the Mahatmya ${ }^{1}$

But to retarn to our incerption It does not give the names of the clans wherewith the Dadhya-Brāhmanas were then known, and the two names āvō and mahattara, which may be presumed to be clan-names, are not identical with the modern ones it is therefore evident that the present Khämpas, such as Göthēchā, etc., must have been motroduced only after V. S 665. There is one gotta mentioned in the inscription, viz. Vachchne (Vatsa) which is even now found among the Dāhmā-Brähmanas Had their present clans been well known, they would certanly have beeu mentioned as the epithet "Misra" has been in 14

The record does not contan the name of the person who composed it, nor of the mason who engraved it.

TEXT²
 द्वाएपादान[पा]-

 बाह्म्पर्[लं]ग-
 पूफकपुन्न ${ }^{18}$ त[था]

 7 व्राह्मयैर्द्त्त ${ }^{25}$ द्रम्मशतं विशोतर ${ }^{25}$ । तथा ${ }^{27}$ व्वाह्मयविष्युदेव: ढूलगयुनेया द[त्ता $]^{28}$ 8 दस्मपचाश: ${ }^{29}$ [1*] तथा ${ }^{30}$ वाद्य लनिम्नगया (1) शूस्कपुन्नेया दत्त ${ }^{31}$ द्रम्मशतं [1*] [त]या व्राह्म ${ }^{32}$

[^234]

No 32 -SEVADI COPPER-PLATES OF THE CHAHAMANA RATNAPALA, VIKRAMA-SAMVAT 1176

By Pandit Ray Karaa, Jodepge

These copper-plates were found at Sēpādi, a nillage in Bīli district, Gödman prornce, Jodhpar State They were brought to light in a house in tlus vallage in oonsequence of a heary don apour of ran The nems of the find reached the Police Sub-Inspector who forwarded the plates to the Mâhkmã Kbās, Rāj Märmär They mere thence sent to the Historic department (Tarixilh Mēhkmă) of the State for beng deeuphened and for mpressons beng prepared therefrom One set of mpressions has been furnished to the Ajmer Masenm at the request of the Carator ${ }^{19}$ The origmal plates are now in change of the Superintendent of the Tamárilh Mēhlma

The plates are three in number, of wheh the first is inscribed on one side only The second is written on both sides, and the thurd bears bat three lines of wring on ats inner side The inseription 15 perhaps incomplete as shown by the ssolated and unconneeted letter ka at the end The arerage breadth of the plates is $9^{\prime \prime}$ while the arerage length is $11_{3}^{17}$ The edges hare Loen slightly rased into rims, and the plates are strang on two almost circular rings, the ends of which are open and bear no sign of any seal The ends of the rings are so wide apart as to peimit the plates passing out of them without any diffeulty Therr dameter Fartes from $2^{\prime \prime}$ to $2 \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$, and their thekness is slightly over $\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{4}$

The mscription concrsts of sixty lines of writng and is in an almost perfect state of preservation The eharaeters belong to the northern class of alphabets Attention may be drawn to the somewhat rare forms of the letters $\imath$ and $b h$, while the arehac formation of

|  | ${ }^{2}$ Read , द्ता: | ${ }^{8}$ Read द्रम्मतनसती |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 Kend दп. | - Read ${ }^{\text {rya. }}$ | ${ }^{6}$ Read ट्त. |
| - Read नार्डन् | ${ }^{3}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{zf}$ | - Read शरखे |
|  | ${ }^{11} I e$ ज्ञाइए | 12 Read चोमानकी |
|  | ${ }^{15}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सुवदी |  |
| Rean दन्मा | 1) Read चवासरश ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{19}$ Rend यटि |



Dadhimati-mata inscription of the tume of Dhruh[la]na, [Gupta-]Samvat 289

the labial $p h$ is rather peculiar to this inscription The langnage is Sanskrit, and the text is in prose with the exception of thirteen lines at the beginning and seventeen at the end contaning 11 and 15 verses (numbered), respectively, althongh the nnmbers of the last two are omitted In rcspect of orthography the following may be noted (l) the sygn for $\imath$ is also used for $b$, (2) there is an indifferenec abont the donbing of the eonsonants after $r$, $e g$-larmünau in 152 , (3) rules of parasazarna have in 'some places been volated, (4) $s$ and $s$ have been confounded, e g. -yasasharānz (153), -sahasränt (l 58)

The object of the mscription is to reeond a grant of a village named Gumdakūrchchā (the modern Gūndoch as we sball sec later on) to Brähmanas residing in the same village, by a Chāhamāna king named Ratnapãladēva, - the son of Prithvipāla, on $\nabla$ S 1176

The inseription opens with obersanee to the god Brahman The introdnctory rerse extols the word stasti A person camc ont of the cse of Indra, lord of the cast, while looking, and from this person sprang the Chähamāna dynasty ( $\vee$ 2), which is extolled in the sncceeding vorec ( $\vee$ 3) The next eight verses unfold the following Chähamāna genealogy There lived a king named Lakshmana, whose son was Śshita, who 15 here oalled lord (pati) of Dhārā Hıs other nmme is Śabhita ${ }^{1}$ Fiom Inm spiang Balırāja, who wns sncceeded by his paterual unelc ${ }^{1}$ Vagrahapāla Vigralapila's son was Mahēndra whose othcr name is Manındu to whom the Kıchtraninta kng Dhavala lent a helping liand agunst Muñunaja ${ }^{2}$ Mahc̄adra's son was Anahilledēva, who was blessed with two sons named Bālaprasāda and Jēsaladēva, whose (latter's) other name is Jēndrarāıal or Jındarā̄a Jísala's son was Prithripala Then comes the prose portion of the insenption Prithvipala's son was
 ning calind together fll his ministens, cisil and military officers, the headmen as well as the leading persons among his snbjects and all the resident Rrähmanas of the Saptasata-rushaya (of 700 villiges) -
"Be it known to jou that, the seat of our Government being in Nadula (Nādōl) and the hend quarters of oul armies in tho village Nahura, after having bathed and put on tro cleaned elothes, settung aside snch faults as vchoment desnc, laited, jealonsy, moral dechne, and others of hise natare, being in the state of absolute goodness, realising the instability of the world, holding itla (sesamum), unhnshed grain (banley), lusa (grass) and $\pi$ ater in our mght hand, having satisfied gods and pitris with water, remannog trac to onr daty, comprehending (the fret that) life 18 more unsteady than the drop of water on the petal of the day-lotns, haring worshipped the consort of Parrati ( 2 e the god Mahideiva), who is the rnler of both the moreable and the immoveable, having adoved Vishnu (who 2s) the greatest in the world and is skifnl in destroyng heaps of sins and is able to sare from (the pans of) birth, old age and death, having restrauned the totality of the senses for a moment, having reahsed (tho faet that) wealth (wonld) collapse in a moment and snch other incoustaneres (of the world), having satısfied Brähmanas and other venerable persons by gifts of gold, food, and clothes, farouring ( $2 e$ following) the right path, recalling (mentally) the fear of tho next world, aeecrtang the frnit of (aetions in both) this and the next world, having seen that the lettering of the oh a mind to renew the sarie in its former state, for the mereasc of onr rehgions merit and fame, and for our well-beng in the next world, with a pure mind mepired by great devotion, onr sins vamshug fas (fiom us) and we being conselons of seff ( $2 e$ being in our right senses), we havc, with libations of w ater, granted this eharter necording to the former state of things on Thursday, the 8th of the dark half of the month of Jyēshtha, when 1176 years, reckoned (in the

[^235]name of) Vikramãditya had elapsed, to all the resident Brahmanns of the great Brähman village named Gumdakurchehñ, that has sumved su all the four yigas who dclight in the far acts of sacrificing, cte, prayer, study of the Velas, medutation and ielig.ons practices, tho are well-verscd in the sacred lore of Ithāsas (epıc poems), Ptränas (legends), Rämäyana, Bharata, in words and sentences, and in (the Sinritis of) Yüñaralksa, Kätyayana, Bhrıgn, Anguras, and Măkanda, in the sux darsanas ${ }^{2}$ begnning with the Bhatta darsana (Púrvammansi) and other scleuces, who are performers of sacrifional ntes presenbed in the Yaur-itila, suchas Ararathya (sacred domestic fro), Agnhötra (daly offcing to fire), Agmshitona (litargical rate min the Sōma sacruice), Sautrūmanz (ute zacred to Suträman, 2 e Indıa), Pas ubatulha (aumal sacrifice lit binding of victim to sacufienal post), Chäturnāsya (sacrifiec to be offered every founth month) and others, who are competent to break the hand knot of ans, who are well-vered in the Vèdas ${ }^{3}$ aud the Vedingas ${ }^{1}$, and whose famc 15 as bminant, cxtenane and prasewol thy as that of the full moon In the begioming of the Kili are a pronc Maharaia (named) sri-Jajuka who was rulng Ranyakubja, rodo a mave, and the land that ho could trascrse in 4 paharas (or 12 houss), was gianted by him, with the pouring out of vater, for his welfare us the nect world, to a Brahmana, named Gōvnda, by a sīsana, the glor ons Gumdaturchehä, a bush (hüqcial fol manfesting tho picture of good conduct, curcumerobed by the fur boundanes, ( 11 16-36) From that time the narnc Guindahinelachan (of the villnge so) named aftcr the Brammans Gönda became fanous on (this) cath (l 37) In the Irrtarage it was knuwn by the nama of Nıshadhā, in Treatiz as Triyambaki, in Diāpara as Khanitriand in Kals as Gumdakūrchehā (138) Its (four) bonndaries (are deseribed ds follows) -
"To the east (hes) the was (leading) to tho village (named) Verà and passing by (he villige) Ghôdıyā, the 1 estrvor (ruduha), (locally ealled Nädī), (the villagc) Töusāla, and the Śra temple of the village Ārāchandra
To the boath (are cituated) the pond (named) Rajyi near the village of Khavada, and the villages Chāmgaubā, Krikudapadra, Gugi and the proximity of the pratoli (main gate) of the villnge Chadarapadra
To the west (are to be found) the villages (named) Mrilua, Akandhāpadra and Godāni, and thice sescrons of water (oadylia-tiaya)
To the rorth (passcs) the way which leads to Lavana-kheda, gong from the thre reserrors of water (radulha-t,aya) to (the nllage mamed) Sumnēvi, thence gomg round the monntain named Sēhatunga and (finally) reaching the village Vēra" (ll 39-43)
"These are the four boundarics marbed out Within these limits, futare kings, whether of our own family or others, should not demand even a sugle flower from the sifitolas (ie Brähmanas) residing in Gumdakürchchä (ie. should levy no tax, flower being mentioned as an insignificant article of no practical valne) ( 144 )"

Then follow 15 umprecatory velses, after which there stand the first balf of a verse and the letter kawhich perhaps was the begionng of the second half left ont for some reason unknown to as

We thas see that the anscr phou refers itself to tho Chähamãa family of Lakshmana, whose progenitor 1 s , in this inscription, said to have been born out of the eye of the god Indra, Whle, in other wuscriptions of the family it 28 reconded that, "when the solar and lunar races had come to an end, the holy Vachechha ( $2 e$ Vatsa) bronght abont the crcation of a new race

[^236]of warriors, the Chāhumana race "1 This fact is fuither corroborated by an inscription where we read" that "thece was the hero Chāhamãua, a sonice of joy to the great Reshr Vatsa, Professni Kielhorn also points outz that " recoiding to veise 12 of the Bijolis rock inscription of Sōmésvara (No 154 of lis Nuithern $L_{2 s}{ }^{\prime}$ ) Simmanta, the fint Chohumãa chef, was born in the Fatsq-gätza at Ahsehchhatrapaia" The eurent behéf that the four races of Paramãras, Chälukyas, Chāhamānas and Piatihāras sprang fiom the agnl-hunda recerves no support from thes anscription

The gencalogy unfolded by our inscription, 15 the same as that given by Prot Kelhorn un his paper on the Chahaminns of Naddūlı, referved to above, as far as Prithvipãla, and the name of Ratnapāladēva, the hero of onl inscription, is an addition to it But an mseription recently discovered at Mandör, when archmological eveavations were being earried out ander the supervision of Dr Maishill, reveals the name of two funther generations, uz, Rāyapāla, sou of Ratnapāla, and Sabajapāla, son of Rayapula Before the discovery of the inseription at Mandor, it wis not hnown whose son Rayapila was, though he was known to be a Chähamāna from lus eight mscriptions found in the Godwar District From these latter we further learn that Rärapila had besides Sihijupāıs fwo other nona, taz, Rudrapāla and Amritapāla; while in another inserıption of Ratnapala (pnblıshed in the Bhäranagar Prālunt and Sanshrtt Ineci rptoons), the name of Ritnapili's con is given as Pūnapāksha, which I am nelined to read as Pürpapala So the dynastie hist would now stand as follows -


[^237]In our inscription, Śzhita is styled lord of Dhārā Śobuta's time is after $V$ S 10391 when Muñja was ruling over Dhārā It $1 s$ possible that he defeated Mruña and becsmc, for some time, lord of that city or country This snpposition is confirmed by the fact that Éohita's son Balurāja, ronted the army of Dluñjarīja ${ }^{2}$ The Nalvirája Jindarāja mentioned in linc 26 is the same as Jésaledēva of verse 10 above We may also note that the grant, the rcnewal of which 18 recorded in this insoription, is stated to hare becn originally made by Maharaja eri-Jajuka in the beginning of the Kali age

Of the localitres mentioned in our inscription, ali of them except 5 have been adentifed -
Gumdakürchchā-1s the modern Gündōch, 5 hös soath of Puli (callcd Märwàr-Pãli), the proncipal town of the district of the eame name and a ralmay station on the Jōdhpar-Bikaner Ralway. lisa Jägir village held by Thäkur Gơpāl Singh, son of Ranjit Singh, of the Udāvat clan of Räthore. ${ }^{3}$
Vērā-Bēd (now desolate) 1 lōe east of Gūndöch.
Khavada-Khōd, 4 hös sonth of Gūndöch.
Gugi-Gōgāväs (now deserted)
Chadarapadra-Chāñchōdi, 7 lös sonth
Milua-Mīā̄la (now nninhabited), $17 \bar{o} s$ sontiz
Āhandhāpadra-Kändā, 1 häs wcst of Gūndöch.
Gödāıni-Gurdā̃, 3 l.os west.
raduha-traya-are the 3 Nādis (or reservoirs of water) called Dūli, Bā̄ndlē̄, and Ghānēvara, $17 \bar{s} s$ (west)
Sëbatanga-Külyjotūmka and Badā Bhākara are the names of 2 small hills and a may leading to Bid passes between thic two
Ghödrgā-Bachūjī-rō-Gurhō, 1 kōs east of Gündoch
gaduha-1s the Nàdi called Sänvaliyo, 3 hōs east
Ārāchandra-now desolate, but there 15 still a temple of Śss in the desert, $\frac{a}{4}$ hös south of Gūndöch
Naharä-now called Bämanān-rō-Nōrō It hes in the Jãlor Distriqt and as situated at a distance of $11 \bar{W}_{0}$ to the rest of Gündoch

TEXT
First Plate
 मान् ["ं] सर्वाशिप्यमयां स्ति खस्तीति परमं
2 पदं \| $\left[q^{*}\right]{ }^{7}$ पपात पुरुषे ${ }^{9}$ तिन्नादोक्तत: पूर्वादकाते; ${ }^{10}$ । ${ }^{11}$ चाहमानात्व यस्तस्माहभूव ${ }^{12}$ गुरिना मिय: \|[२*] ${ }^{13}$ वश: सता $य^{14}$

[^238]3 ＇सफल：सपूस्लो लोके प्रसिद：सगुणो वभूवं। सारोत्रारण्छेदविभेदहीन ${ }^{3}$ आभारववर्मानुरतोर्भरपेक्ते ${ }^{3}$ ॥₹
 राण＂पह्सप दूशीवा－12
 नरंन्द्रदृ्ट．${ }^{16}$
 राजो महोपति．［1＂］वल्लिममा ${ }^{-21}$
5 नढानो यो जितनि：मेपसगर： $1 €$＂संस्खाजिर ${ }^{23}$ विव्यहपालस्तुत्र：प्रतापनि：शे－ पितर्वरशिजा［त：$\left.{ }^{*}\right]$
 ग्रहिसदेव： 7 सु－




 काति । ज्ञात मता－
 प्यथ्योपाल＂

| The letter 358 probahly a subsequent addition |  | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Rend}$ अभूव， |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | －Read ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ र्मानु${ }^{\circ}$ | 5 Vetre Upajătı |
|  | 7 Read लनधवरपदानसती | ${ }^{8}$ Read वभू का ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| －Read ${ }^{\circ}$ राजपून्य |  |  |
| ${ }^{10}$ Read $\overline{5} 1^{\circ}$［The first alshara appears to be चू Perhaps the reading antended 35 चूड ${ }^{0}$ —V，$V$ ${ }^{11}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ उरेग ${ }^{12}$ Read पृह्षप मभावात् |  |  |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{Lead}{ }^{\circ}$ सन्विकाया ． | ${ }^{11}$ Metre Upajāts |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{17}$ Read बभूव | ${ }^{18}$ Metre Anushtabh |
| ${ }^{19}$ Read बभ्नेव ${ }^{20}$ Read 习बि |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ${ }^{22}$ Ictre Upajātı | ${ }^{n}$ Read Fजर | ${ }^{4}$ Read सक्त⿸丆口⺕ |
| 2．Retd जल $^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\text {os }}$ gretre Upajuts | ${ }^{27}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ 为 $^{\circ}$ |
| ${ }^{24}$ Read trfrginde ${ }^{\circ}$ | 29 Rend ${ }^{\circ}$ gोर्व | ${ }^{\text {zo }}$ Metre Upajâtu． |
| ${ }^{7}$ Pead वाल ${ }^{\circ}$ |  | ${ }^{33}$ Rend ${ }^{\circ}$ पar |
|  |  |  |
| Read निबापे | ${ }^{3}$ Mretre Upajätz | 87 Read वभूव |
| ${ }^{58} \mathrm{Read}$ पुगन | ${ }^{88}$ Read सिस $^{\circ}$ ， | So Metre Anubhtabb， |
|  |  | 42 Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ पाबो． |

13 महावल: ${ }^{1}\left[1^{*}\right]$ शूरो इली छती [त्या]गी कचार्शोचे सदा रत: 112 तत्पुनो [नि]जकुलाभोजमिनो स-
14. हाराजfिरान न्रोरजपालदेवो महों पालयन ${ }^{2}$ खीयमध़ामावन्हसाहिनियोगिनो ${ }^{3}$ महा सामतन ${ }^{4}$
 विपयात:स्थित- ${ }^{\circ}$
16 महास्वानत्राह्मयाग्न ${ }^{10}$ वोधयत्वस्तु ${ }^{11}$ व: सविदित ${ }^{1-1}$ यघा । दहु चोनदूल निजराच्चे प्रवर्त्तमा-


 सपच्चपूर्व्वायां तिधो

Secand Plate; Fust Sude
20 सान विधाय धौतपोतिके परिश्राय रागह्देषमत्तरकपायादिदोषात् हिता सात्विकभावे ${ }^{17}$ स्थि-
21 ल्वा ससारस्यासारता विदित्वा तिलाच्तनकुशवुप्रयिनं ${ }^{18}$ दच्चिग्गकरं क्ता देवपि.
22 तॄनुदक्षेन संतप्ध्य धर्म्गननुष्ठानमुपसर्ष्य नलिनीदलगतजललवतरलतर
23 जीवितमाकलय्य चराचरगुरु पर्व्वतीपतिं सपून्य जगन्महीयास पापपटल-पाटनपटो-
24 यास ${ }^{20}$ (1) जन्मजरामरएरच्तएपर्भविप्यु विप्यु पूजयित्वा न्त्यमिकमिदियग्राम जित्वा चलिकां लन्द्मी-
 सन्मागं सं-
 जिद्राज-

| ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\text {व] }}$ | ${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ यन् | 8 Bead ${ }^{\circ}$ रघह स्त्याटिनियी ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ हानM ${ }^{\circ}$. | - Read ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$, ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{6}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ द्लाधिप ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Head}{ }^{\circ}$ दोन् | ${ }^{8}$ Mead संर्वान्. | - Read कुमशबविपयनन ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| ${ }^{10}$ Read ${ }^{\text {arpmer }}{ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{11} \mathrm{Rcad}$ बोध ${ }^{\circ}$ | 12 Read $\begin{aligned} & \text { ®विदित }\end{aligned}$ |
| 18 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ विक ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | ${ }^{4}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ aga ${ }^{\circ}$ | 15 Pead गुाबेन |
| ${ }^{15}$ Read Cकानेडरग | ${ }_{17}$ Read नाष्तिक ${ }^{\circ}$. | 18 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ frरा1 |
|  | ${ }^{20}$ Reatl $\begin{aligned} & \text { चाम }\end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{21}$ Rcad नलेत्या. |
|  | ${ }^{23}$ Read मत्पषण10 | 24 Read चदीच |
| Read दित्येहिद्वा. | ${ }^{25}$ Read माड ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
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27 प्रदत्तशासनाच्तरायि भष्टान्चवलोक्य तथा स्थित्या जीएर्लीद्वाशवुद्या ${ }^{1}$ सपुस्ययभोमिद्वडथे चातु-
28 "युगिकमहाव्वह्मस्थानग्रीगुदकूर्चीचिधाननिवासिने य यनादिषट्रूर्मजपस्वाध्यायध्या-नानुषान-
29 विधायिने इतिहासपुरा परामायग्भारतपद्ववक्ययान्त्वलक्यकात्यायनभग्वगिरोमार्क-डंय-4

31 चातुर्म्मस्स्यादियजुक्रियानिरतायं निविडतरकष्मषग्र(ग) थिभिदे [बे]दवेदांगविदे (1) राकाए-
 निजमन:गुद्या भ-
33 तिभईमेरितमनोमिर्द्रग्रण्श्बदेनोभिरात्मसवित्या पूर्व्वस्यित्या शासनमिदमुदकपूर्व्वमि-
34 त्यस्मासि• प्रदत्त ॥ कलियुगादौ तुर्याफ़नेन दिवसचतु:पस्रहमध्ये यावती भ(भू) मि: परिम्बाता ताव-
35 ल्या क्षताघाटनैरुप़चचिता ${ }^{11}$ सराचारचिन्नोन्मीलनकूर्चा ग्रीगुदक्रूर्ची त[स्मे] गोविदनास्न ${ }^{12}$ दिजन्मनो(ने 1) श्रीकच्च-
36 कु[ब]पातना ${ }^{13}$ सुक्रतिना महाराजग्रीजाजुक(क) परन म्रेयसे स्बग्येयसे शासनेनोदक्षपूर्व्व ${ }^{18}$ प्रदत्ता
37 तदाप्रभ्यृति • तस्य गोविद्दविप्रस्य नामरकित गुंदकूर्च्तति ${ }^{15}$ नाम वसुधायां विस्यातं वमृवृ ${ }^{16} \|^{17}$ दृते तु
38 निपढा प्रोत्ता नैताया च नियवको 1 द्वापरे च खनिन्रीति गुंदकूर्च्र कलो स्मुता ।(II) ग्यस्या धगवाटनानि । पूर्वंस्यां
39 दूू $^{19}$ वेराग्रामवात्तैनी ${ }^{20}$ घोडिया रदुछ तोउसाल आराचद्रग्रामशिवभवनसमीपगसिनी ॥

Second Plate, Second Sude
40 दच्चिएत: खवडग्रामसमीपे शज्जीतडाग चागौहाग्रामः । द्धकुडपद्रं च। गुगीग्राग्म: । चदर्
41 पद्रग्रायप्रतोलोलमीप च 1 पर्चिमती मीतुन्मप्रामं च 1 ज्याकंधापद्ध 1 गोडादुयी रटुहन-


42 घ $^{1}$ च 1 उत्तरतो लवएलेउवर्नंनी । रदुप्चयाव् मुंनेवो्रामगामिनो ततो से सहतुंगपर्व-
43 तं मध्षे छला वेराग्रामयामिनी" ॥ दूने चल्लार घावाटना: फ्रमालं अ्यमोपा ${ }^{5}$ ग्राष्हाटनाना सझ्थे घां(ग)-
 न याचनीयं। ग्रन्नतर्ये च-
 "वहुभिर्बंसुषा भुर्त्ता ${ }^{11}$ गजनि स-
 गाव पृँ्वो मरस्तती [1*]
 फल ${ }^{18}$ । हाटर्काच्त*
 नरस्तार्जति व[पातिए स-
 सूमिहत्त्ता न ग्रुर्थति पह


51 ता भूमों ग्रनघिनोपहारिता । हरतो हारतधारीप "हनल्यात्वपमं कुलं 115 भूलीं य. पतिगझ्डाति य-
52 नु भूमीज प्रयन्चति। उभो तो पुखकर्मायो नियतो सर्गोगामिनो $n c$ यानीह द्त्तार्ति पुरा नरेन्द्र शै
 पुनरादन्तु ${ }^{35}$ "1२०

[^239]${ }^{1} \operatorname{sen} 1^{\circ}=2$

+ Read दले.
7 Reax =acisi
${ }^{10} \operatorname{Reg}=\mathrm{J}^{\circ}$

19 Read टानानानि.
${ }^{15}$ Ped " =न्नानग
$=$ Resti मीनाना

2. Read मूनिर्दनंना

* Rean $\begin{gathered}\text { Fin }\end{gathered}$

If Resi न्दित
: $\operatorname{Rcad}{ }^{\circ}$ वान्व
$=\mathrm{P}$ max तर.

- Read इृसीगान ${ }^{\circ}$

${ }^{11}$ Ped Fन्ना
1 Pru पन्डत्रेते
$\therefore$ Rear $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}$.
9 Resi'न

${ }^{25}$ Pead हारननोडणि
$\Rightarrow$ Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ चहांत
$=$ Readनरन्ये दी
: Rexd शदटोच.

54 पूर्वर्त्ता नरेन्द्रेग्य यत्नाद्रच्त [ग]तकतु; ${ }^{1}\left[1^{*}\right]{ }^{3}$ सहीन्महिभुता ग्रेष्ठ $1^{4}$ दानाम्येयानुपालन ${ }^{5}$ l1११ विंध्याट-
 56 १२ न विषं विष[मि]त्याहु; ${ }^{10}$ व्रह्मस्त बिष ${ }^{11}$ उचाते । विषमेकाकिनं हंति व्रह्म ${ }^{19}-$ 57 स पुन्दपौन्रिकम् ॥? ३

## Therd Plate

58 पष्टिव्वर्पसहग्नारा ${ }^{13}$ स्वर्गो वसति भूमिद: । हरलिनानुमंताश्च ${ }^{24}$ तस्येव ${ }^{15}$ नरकं वसेत् 110 रिएन्ह- ${ }^{16}$

60 य $11011{ }^{20}$ रिएहर्त्ता भूमिहर्त्त दावेतो तुलया धृतौ $\left[1^{*}\right]$ का ${ }^{21}$


#### Abstract

No 33 -THREE INSCRIP'IIONS OF THE REDDIS OF KONDAVIDU


By $J$ Ramarta, BA, BL

## A

This inscription is engrared on three sides of a stone pillar planted in front of the templd of Virabhadra-svāmin in the vill ge of Phirangipuram ${ }^{22}$ in the Sattruapallı triluha of the Guntar District I first saw and copied it in April 1897 Subsequently, my ficed Rao Sabib Erishna Sasin visited the place and took an estampage of the mscription, from which I edit at The letters ano cat deep and the inscription is in an excellont state of preser cation

The Telugu characters and orthography of this inscription are similar to those of the Tottaramūdı plates of Kâtajn-Vēına ${ }^{23}$ In the present mscription, howeveı, the asprrated forms of $b, d$ and $p$ are distmguished fiom the unaspirated ones by a stroke resembling the Telugu figarel affixed at the nght-hand corner of the bottom of the letter This sign is not found in the case of $b h a, b h \bar{a}$ and $b h u$, the first and last of whech are, however, distinguished from the corresponding maspirated letters by the piesence of the talakatin or top-strohe Sometimes a consouant is unnecessarily doubled after an anusvära as in थ2nödunddu-(l 103)

A remarkable featrie in the orthography of the Telugu portion of the mscription is the


| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Read}{ }^{\circ}$ दूप | ${ }^{2}$ Read मातमरती. | ${ }^{3}$ Read मही \%हौभुत |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | - Omit the first dी |  |
| 7 Read fer | ${ }^{8}$ Read Fहr ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{8}$ Revd ${ }^{\text {O }}$ ¢ारका |
|  | ${ }^{11}$ Read विजमुच्चते | ${ }^{12}$ Read व¢ $^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 12 Read पहि वर्प सहसारिय | "Read छर्ता चेंघानुमन्ता च | ${ }^{15}$ Pead तान्येव नरकी |
| ${ }^{16}$ Read छटणहार्ता. | ${ }^{17}$ Read तथा हारधिता | ${ }^{18}$ Rend Fच |
| 19 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ दिन्ट्राश्न ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{20}$ Read Firt ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{22}$ The anscription abraptly stops here: |
| ${ }_{22}$ A statan on the Bezmada Guntakal braneb of the Madras and Sonthora Mabrata Mailway |  |  |
| ${ }^{21}$ Above, Yol IV, pp 318 |  |  |

2:
yuın Guıshna－（102 f），＝anumgum－butıュ（ $10 \pm \mathrm{f}$ ），－nārāyanumdu－（105 f），－putambutlam barı－ （123），and $\imath \bar{e} m d / m$ ēva（l2うf）In all these places，metie requires the ardhānustära only， and this was，no duubt，what mas intended to be read The use of the complete crrcle which 18 the sugn of the full arusia $\bar{a}_{1} a$ to denote the half－anustāra，is common in inscriptions and in old manuscripts and show that the modern sigu of the ardhänustãra－々e the left lialf of a circle，－ had not jet come moto inguc at the beginming of the 15 th century $A D$ It shons also that＇ardhānussārcu was pürnänustāra incompletely pronounced，＇as the author of the Ändhrabhāshāthūshanam puts 1 r ，and di－pioves the view of some of the later grammarians who seem to think that ardhänuslăıa was the eanher and pürnänuscära the later form of the sound It also falsfies the much bolder theory of the late Piof MI．Seshagirs Sastra who held that an dhanaustāa simply denotes the elision of a consonant 1 In moden Telugu the wolds pūcht，dīchu，nnd nōch are prononnced only with an ardhānustāra after the
 and nönchu and made them rhymo with eñchr，and cren now we hear，in the Guntur，Nellore，
 （above），pēntro for pēta（minket town）and pēnda for pēda（dang）In the Roman text of the Telugu prition of the insciptions，the amust $\bar{x}_{2} a$ to be elided is pinted in italics

The langunge of the michiption 15 partly Sanskrit and partly Telugu and it is throaghout in veise The sixth and seventh verses are also found in the Sringāıadīphä，a commentary on the Amaiusatalia by Kömatr－Vema²，and the thirteenth verse 15 taken，with a slight modification，fiom the Madis Muscam plates of Vèma ${ }^{3}$ The first veise is deroted to the piaso of the bear－mearmation of Vishnu and the second and thind to the prase of the Sun and Moon，and Vināyaka In the nest velse，the poet appropriately enlogizes the feet of $\nabla_{1 s h n u}$ Whach gave birth to the（Sindry）caste，which is a veritable ocean of good qualities In that caste was born king Vēma who was＇a seventh emperor，＇as it were，and an eleventh mearna－ tion of $\nabla_{1 s h n u ' ~(v ~ 5) ~ V e ̄ n a i u l e d ~ f o r ~ a ~ l o n g ~ t i m e, ~ e n j o y i n g ~ w h a t ~ w a s ~ l e f t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ k i n g d o m ~}^{\text {a }}$ after enjoy ment by Biāhmans，and constiacted the flight of steps from Pātālagānga to the summit of the Śssaila as of to climb up to the abode of Siva（ $\vee$ 6）He had au elder brother named Mācha，who had three sons，ur，king Reddıvōta，sili－Kōmatindra，and hing Nāga， who were like dluarma，artha，ind hãma personified（v 7）Ped［d＊］a－Kōmatı begot a son named Vēma－as grent as Karua in chanties－even as the ocean begot the moon（v S）Verses 9 and 11 describe the mulitars greatness of Vëma，while vv 10,12 and 13 prase his charities which extended from Śsīsalla to Kīsī（Beyares），touchng on the way Kumãrāchàa， Pañchārāma，Simhāchala，S̉rikūrma and Purushōttama The queen of this king was Sūramāmbikă，who，on the second day of the dark fortnight of the month of Phālguna in tho year Virōdhu corresponding to the Śaka jear counted by the moon，Rāmas，Rāmas and the earth（1331），performed the ceremony of pratishth $\bar{\alpha}$（completion and dedication to pablic use）of the tank called Santānapayōndhi（vr 14 and 15 ）Tho next three verses contan a hyperbolical description of the tank，and then follow three verses fiom the Mahäbharata on the merit of digging tanks The last Sanshrit verso tells ns that this pure dhainasāsana mas composed by Srīnātha who was the Vidyādhıkāızn of Vēma and a storehoust of lear aing

The three Telugu rerses that are engrared on the east face of the pillar，are on the Sisa metre，each vorse consisting of four long lines in the proper Sisa metre followed by four

[^240]short lines in the Téta-gita motre The first rerse announeos the fact of the completion of the tank and gives us the additional mformation that the day of the ceremony of pratesthin mas a Friday and that Sūramāmbă was the daughter of king Ganna of Dhānyavati (Dharanibota) The next two verses contanin a hypeibolieal deseription of the tank of wheh it is stated that its wators were as purifyng as those of Gagā, Yamunax, and all other sacred rivers, that it was full of water-lhhes wheh blossomed at the toueh of the ays of the moon wheh was the crest of (the idol of) Siva established on its bank, that the deafening roar of ats waters filled all spaee, that it was the sportugg grond for fish, earbz, and other water animals, and that its waves hissed the sky (1 24) "How, to sueh a tank as this, can be likened the oeean wheh was trodden to dnst by the hoofs of tho fadse Boar that was Vishnu, whieh beeame dig by the tonch of tho firo of the ariows of Rama, that was dranh up by sage Agastya, and that was dammed with stones by hosts of monkess and bears ${ }^{p}$ " (v 25)

In regard to the date of the inscription, the Hononrable Dewan Bahadur L D Swamikanna Pillar the learned author of the "Indan Chronologs," hindly informs me that "the tethe ended at $23{ }_{2}^{1}$ ghathās after mean sanrise on Friday, 21st February, A D 1410"

The mscuption confirms, but adds little to, what we know alicady of the history of the Reddis of Kondavidu, wheh I dsenssed at length in my paper on the Madras Museum plates of Vèma ${ }^{1}$

Speeral interest attaches to this inseription as well as to the tro followng ones from the faet that they were composed by the great Telugu poet Srinatha

Vèma, son of Pedda Kömats, boro the titles Samgrama-Dhanamjaya (rr 9 and 11) and Titanärayana ( $\vee$ 23) He seems to have been both a seholar and a patron of seholars Tho S'ringāradipl $\bar{x}$, of wheh he is tho repated author, says that he was well versed in all the branehes of knowledge and that has eourt was the resort of poets among whom was the great Sanskrit poet Vāmanabhatta Bāna, the author of the dima Pávatiparzaya and of the poem Vêmabhãpālīyam of wheh Vêma was humself the hero Śsinütha held the position of Vıdyädhhürm (Poet Laureate ${ }^{\circ}$ ) under Pedda-Komath. Vèma and he seems to have held the same position under his son Rācha-Vēma, as will appear from tho nest inserption ${ }^{3}$ After the death of Racha-Vima he seems to hare gone to Rajahmondry whero he wás patronsed by Vēma-Reddı and Virabhadra-Reddy He was a prolific writer and his poetry is eharaeterised by orggmality and vigour of expression Ho is the author of the following works -

1 Marudrätchartramu
2 Śăhvāhana-SaptaSatı
3 Śringăranaıshadhamu
4 Bhimalhandamu
5 Kāślkhandama
6 Haravilāsamu
7 Pandıtārādhyachantramu
8. Vithr-Nātakamu

Nos 3 to 6 have been published A Vithu-Nãlahamu parporting to be the work of one Vinukonda Vallabhämãtya has been reeently edited by Mr Mänavallı Rāmakmehna Kari, ir A,

[^241]and I agice with the cditor in considering that Srinatha, who was Vallabha's contemporary, was the real author of this little pocm Tho poetry is cortanly that of Śrinatha ${ }^{1}$

In the introdaction to his Bhīmahhandamu, the poet tclls us that he was a Biähmana of the Pākanātı sect The Pākanātis ano found mostly in the Ncllore District and there is a
 usually call then sect Pıānnãtı to distinguısh it fiom that of Pākanãtı Kāpus or Reddıs and interpret that term as meaming tho "Eistern Countiy" This etymology is obviously incorrect ${ }^{2}$ The Tclugu teım Pākanāan $1 s$ evideutly derıved from Tamıl Pākinanāān, which is found in several Tamil inseciptions in the Nellore District ${ }^{3}$ and the woid pähan is the Tamil rendering of the "Sanshrit pūuga, meming areca-nut Püngi, ct rariation of Pügn, appears in mscriptions as the name of the country " which extended from the eastern slopes of Srigur to the eastern sea on both sides of the irecr Kundi" ${ }^{4}$ In my paper on the Madras Mifusenm plates of Vēma, I was unable to erplain the signification of this teim, bat theie is no doubt about
 Cudappah District call themsclics Pōkanātı and not Päkanätı Reddıs

Śiñ̄tha was a much trivelled man for his times He tells us, in a stray verse attributed to him, that be rwited the courts of the Vinayanagara ling Harihara II and Rāva SarvajñaSingamanidu of the Velugōif finly and that he was patromeed by Saluva Telungu Rāya He spent, howerci, the beet part of his life at the coants of the Reddr chefs of Kondavidu and Rajahman diy and dediented most of his moths either to those elucfs or to them officers The tiaditionnl histors of the Veluga'i famly tells ns that Lingamanarudu of that family having hilled Tina-Redde of limmundry in battle and taken his sword called the
 srocid and that the poct succeedcd in dung so by eulogizing Lingamanipadu in three verses ${ }^{0}$

## 10

Thus inseription also liclonges to the time of Pedda-Kōmatı-Vēma and 15 engraved ou both sades of fire coppe, plates About tin jcais ago, the plates weie sent by the Tahsildar of Guntin to the Coliector of the Kistn 1 District in mhich Guntūr was then meluded, and I edit the insciption fion impnessions taken by me at that time The mscription consists of two

[^242]parts, the first part records the grant by Pedda-Kömatı-Vema of the nllage of Älapãdu to a Biähman named Viśvēsvarabhatta, and the second pait recolds the di,tulmtion of the village by the donee, among a number of other Brāhmans The first pait consists of twenty Sanskrit verses ratercepted, after verse 18 , by a long Tolugu pioso phssage whel deseribes the boundames of the village The fist, fourth, fifth and erghth vel-es rre found also in insenption $A$ We learn from this part of the recold that Pedda-Komati-Vema had a youngel bothen named Nācha who 15 hnown to have been billed mbattle by Kumära-Vedagiri-Nayudu of the Velugōtı famuly

The object of the inseription is to record the faet that on the Sivarätri day in the month of Thagha in the jear Nandana corresponding to the Saka year counted by the oceans, Rāmas, and the moon (1334), Pedda-Kömati-Yimz ganited the tullage of Ālupãlu, antuated on the eastern bank of the river Tungabhadra, in the bautiful Volanandu distict of the Trilinga-tisishaya to Tispispan r-blatta who was the groat-grandson of Midhara, giondson
 gātra The enelobing bonndary line of the vallinge is speeffied in great detul in Tclagn prosel
 rerse tells us that the ansenption was compoced by Srinātha the sigmintuc at the end concists of the plrase sri-Tiranärayanasya, ze of the glorions Vinañāyana

The second part of the inseription, wheh is ergiaver on hotl - he-r the fouth and fifth
 pravaras) Āngirasa, Bārhaspatfa and Bhäradıāja, to heve bcen the soc of Mādhavichārya, 'who was eapable (equally) of eursing or eonferrng bonns,' and to hise heen boin as a gift of the glonous (God) Nrisumha, divided the village Ālapātr-Vēmavnra (ie Ãlapādu reramed Vimarař afteı the donor) given to lum by hing Peddn Komain. Vêmi, wio 60 slanes out of which he reserved apparently 15 shares for himself ind distributed the 1 emunang 45 shines as shown in the list appended at the end of thes artielo

Alapädu 18 ddentical mith the rallzge of that name in the Tcnall tiluka of the Guntar D'strict Tungabhadra was a branch of the Krichni neet and brancled of fion the mann river near Bezmada It has ceased to evist as a miver after the eonsturchon of the Krishnan ancent and ats course 15 malked by the man ond the Kommamur cannls of the Gnntur tiluka and the drann which is in continuation of the latter

The Honourable Smamkannu Pillaz bas findlv fumshed the followisg note about the date of the inseription -"Śnka 1334, Nandona, Śsiatiat ve Mrgliz bathile 13 or 14 I understand
 be the 13 th or the 14 th Now the nalobiatia Śavnan was cunent at midngith between Monday, 30th, and Tuesday, 31st January, 1413 A $D$ mhuh was Nandana, Sah 1334 The lith tithe ended at $15 \frac{1}{2}$ ghatinis on Tuesday, 31st January 1413 The mahshatra Sravana ended at 121 ghatthäs on Tuesday, 31st Jannary 1413 " ${ }^{3}$

[^243]Other Bianhman donees and theqr shales

| Siml number | Names of Douees | Gütra | Ṥıhis | Shares. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | K[ā]ndrürı Śngūja Śshts | Hartz | Iajus | 2 |
| 2 | Lahslmi-Narasımhabhatta, son of Majdharisya | Bhăradıãja | Do | 2 |
| 3 | His younger brother, Ananta-Narasimlnblatta | Do | Do | 2 |
| 4 | dddanhi Jailublatts | Śrīatsa | Do | 2 |
| 5 | Ielmaja Sushta of Yclanändu | Harita | Do | 2 |
| 8 | Fittangürı Gangädharablatta |  | Do | 2 |
| 7 | Parami Pedd -Śsshta | Harita | Do | 1 소 |
| 8 | Jampani Anuama-Sishta | Śāndılya | Rig | 1 |
| 9 | Rudrya blatts | Tau-1ha | lajrs | 1 |
| 10 | Kãmaya Śshtı | Hurita | Do | 1 |
| 11 | Tiondürı Tıpprsa Sishitn | Sindily | Do | 1 |
| 12 | Ãtuhūrı Peḋdı Śıshta . | Kaustha | $\mathrm{Rug}^{\text {gr }}$ | 1 |
| 13 | His son, Kinuasa-Śslita | Do | Do | 1 |
| 14 | His younger brother, Prunaya-Śshta | Do | Do | 1 |
| 15 | Draza Śshta | Do | Iajus | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 16 |  | Kís apa | Do | 1 |
| 15 | Guduamala Kirshmaya Sishta . | Sinats? | Do | 2 |
| 18 | Kämaya Śshta, son of Pötry? | Do | Do | 1 |
| 13 | Erishnava Sulita, son of Pötaja | Śinilya |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 20 | Chittiblatta, son of $\lambda^{\text {nnay }}$ | Súndilsa | Tajus | 1 |
| 21 | Śngèa Śsshta, son of Naraharı | Do | Do | 1 |
| 22 | Nutta[un] Sıshta, son of Mãray | Bhīraďāja | Do | 1 |
| 23 | Eíara Śsshta, son of Naraharı | Andhula | $\mathrm{Rrg}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | 1 |
| 24 | His yonnger brother, Dērarè bhatta | Do | Do | $\pm$ |
| 25 |  | Taundinya | Do | 1 |
| 20 | Marru-blattr, son of Tallaya | Do | Do | 1 |
| 27 | Yallabha-Śshts, son of Tísira | Do | Do | 1 |
| 28 | Lalshmana blatta, son of Vistisrara-biatta | Harita | Yajus | 1 |
| 29 | His jounger brotner, 入ägaya-bhatta | Do | Do. | 1 |
| 50 | Kittangūrı Sunganăry | Bhāradrāja | Do | 1 |
| 31 | Gundays-bhatts |  | Do | 1 |
| 32 | Poudi blatta, son of Pötaya | Famadiaya | Do | 1 |


| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Serisl number | 1 Names of Donees | Götra | Śȧkhà | Sharea |
| 33 34,35 |  <br>  The village gods, Hari qud Hara | Kaisjapa | Yajus | 4 2 (one each) |
| 36 | Tlppaga Sislita, son of Śridhara³ ${ }^{3}$. . | Sündilya | Do | 1 |
|  | Total |  |  | 45 |

## C

This insciption consists of a Telugu rerse in the Sisa metre followed by another in the Téta-gita metic and is engraved on the westere side of a hillock called pullartbödu northeast of Aminäbād near Fhuaugipuram Luko tho last two inscriptions, this was also composed by the poet Srinãtha It records that, on the full moon day of the month of Mãgha in the year Manmatha corresponding to the Saka year 1337 (crpressed in wolds), hang Veemaya-
 Jaganobbaganda-kāluva' dug as a feedor to the tunk Santāna-vārdhı which his mother Sürāmbă had excarated This tank is, no donbt, the same as that referred to in the Phirangipuiam inscription A As pointed ontin my paper on the Madras Mruseum plates of Vêma, ${ }^{5}$ this mscriptıon shows that Rächavèmana was Pedda-Kömati-Vèma's son and not his brother

The Honourable Swamikann Pillai informs me that the $p \bar{u} r n i m a \bar{a}-t z t h r$ referred to in the inscription ended at 43 ghathlũs after sunrise on Tuesday, 14th January, A D, 1416

Inscription $A$
TEXT ${ }^{6}$
West Face,

## 1 कल्यायं जगतां तनोतु

2 स विभु: कादबिनीमेचक: कीडा-
3 [क्रो]डतनु: पयोधिपयसी विम्व- ${ }^{-1}$

[^244]4．अरासुदह्हन्， 1 भारापेतफ्फा－
5 निवर्तिनवशान्धोदाय यक्या－
6 सवर्निर्यना भुजग̈द्रसौक्टमीग－
7 ईसर्नीराजनपक्रिया ।［1］तमो हरे－
8 ता तव पुप्यवत्तो साकासु
9 पूवर्वरपद习习习भाज़ । रश्यागली－
10 लासम दर्शयतो पुरा पुरा－

12 वस्त्वेंसमव्युर्मंह： 1 जन्निद्रय－

14 दर्बोको निनित चिदाय निगसैर्षे－
15 व च चद्योगिसिर्थन्नच्होमृदुपा－
16 रिमास्सुणनोनवाहन्लोर्लीत［1－］
17 जाता यन चियन्नदी चनजसहोमता－
18 पन्न्नापयोग ${ }^{1}$ तस्सात्कसमिद．पदाटु－

20 अवत्यममनシनवतो वेमचितीशो जग－
21 इ्तापल 1 एकादरीति पर्तभानत शंका
22 जनाइलारा，पर्मस्य पुम ।［5＊$\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ त－
23 ज्य वेन．स चिए्सकरोल्माज्यदानैक－
21 तालो संमीदेचे
$2 \bar{\jmath}$ हत्तरपासभुड्न ${ }^{2} 1$ चीगेल्लाग्राव्मभ－
20）वलि पशि मारपाताछगयो सोपानानि

29 लाचद्नोगिपतिर्से हें सहिमा
29 देनच्चतोशान्रनो रामाद्धै．सटृशो
30 वम्ध जुनसंन्त्स चयो नद－

32 कोनहीद्रसतो नागच्लार्पतित्युपा－


|  | $=$ Tman ${ }^{\circ}$ समु ${ }^{\text {en }}$ | ＂Read मनघं |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | －Read घrifeco． |

34 पुन्नं पेदकोमटींद्रो विग्राएने कर्णमम
35 स्तपुनं 1 वेमाभिधानं सगु-
36 चैकधानं ${ }^{1}$ पाधोनिधिन्नधमिवौ[ष]-
37 धीनां । [8*] ${ }^{2}$ धाटिद्टुसिभांद्धतिं
38 कलयतो निर्घोतरावं रिपू-
39 न् नामानि दुतमर्जुनस्य जपतो
40 यस्याजिगाडीविन: । संग्रामोपप-
41 दानि तानि भवतां रच्चाकते सवंदा वरये-क
42 तामिति बोधयंल्यधिपतोन् धीयं- ${ }^{-}$
43 चियो मंत्रिए: 1 [9*] शीचैले सिरमूल-
44 तामुपगता दृध्षं कुमाराचले पं- ${ }^{3}$
45 चारामतले पतानुुषमा सिंछा-
South Face.
46 चलेंद्रे तत: 1 श्रोकूमें पुरषोत्त*
47 मे कुसुमिता ${ }^{6} य ध ्$ मैं $^{2}$ कीतोंस्लंता
48 काश्यां विखपतेः पुर: फलवतो निल्यो-
49 पह्वारोचितं । [10*] उभिम्न्युकरग्राह्या-
50 सुत्तरां खदुपुनिकां । नर्तथय-
51 त्याह्हे रंगे य: संग्रामधनं-
52 जब: 1 [11*] ग्यम्यांत गटहराजसौष-
53 निवसनच्च्मीकराभ्यंतरकीडांभो-
54 गुहताळहंतनटनम्पस्तावणिस्ता-
55 रित: 1 नायु; कोसरवासनासुर-
56 बिवनो यस्यार्धिंचिंतासरेर्रो-
57 गाचारति दानकेलिजनित सेदों-7
58 भसा नालकं । [12*] सप्ततानव*
59 ल्यासोधेन सवृसहेत्यल । स्पर्धेये-
60 व घृता: कोत्यर्य गर्मे लीकासतुर्देश । [13*]

[^245]01 राज्त्त⿰्य मह्टादेवो पजसीकेशि-
6.2 घर्षिका । वर्तासहासमस्यार्ध गज-


65 च वर्ष फाल्बुननांि मासि बह(हु)के
C6 पच्चे द्वितीयारित्बाँ 1 देवी सा पेदकोम-

68 तानपयोनिधे छातवती सम्यक् प्रति-

70 रावारिधौ रकुखा हैरतेगा
71 मदोसशातिविध्धब्ब हेलावगा-
72 द मुन्दु 1 रालीवागनरघ्यह. ${ }^{3}$


75 दोब पयः 1 [16*] घोटाकढकाटोरग-

77 उसटोकमानसधुलिड्ष़कार को-
78 लाहलै: । वाचलानि वनाजि यस्य
\%0 सििषे सोय सुधनिर्सेलन्रोषंत्त[T]-
80 नसमुद्र एष पयसां राशिजैय-
81 त्यहम: । [17*] वैडूर्यंर्नग कलामल-
82 वारिपूरे मड़ु निमत्न सगवान्सध. ${ }^{7}$
83 चेटभारि । ग्यगोचकार जगतामवन-

85 दतारान्। [18 $]$ धक्ञी श्रीसहासारते।।
86 देका सनुध्या: पितरो गधर्वी-
87 चवराचसा। । साकराशि च स्यूता-
Q3 नि सग्रर्यति जलासय। [19"] तटादी य-

| Read वfतeो | 2 The anussära stands at the begunang of the next have |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| - Lread 0 वस्सी ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | ${ }^{2}$ Read $0^{\text {cfazt }}$ |

89 स गावस्तु पिबति त्रषिता जलं। [म्ट]-
90 गर्पच्चिमनुष्याश्र सोश्वमेधफल
91 लभित् । $\left[20^{+}\right]$ग्रास्फोटर्यति पितर: प्रनृत्यं- ${ }^{1}$
92 ति पितामहा: । अ्रपि न: स कुले जातो
93 चस्तटाक करिष्यकि । [21*] विद्याधिकारी ग्री-
94 नाथो वीरग्रीवेमभूपते:। [ग्र]करोदा-
95 करो वाचा निमैक धमंभासन । [22t ] स्री [ $11^{ \pm}$]

East Face.


[^246] like $d a, d u$ or $l a$


126 mdinn jéva darage-|m Gumbla-sambhara-

128 sanamb=ayı hräsam=0mde-| $\dot{m}$ bishā-
129 namplan=xchh hhabhallq.2gölameñla-ka
130 p - - ūdhamulachëtam gatturadıge 1
131 ranadhı jé bhamg sarı-pachohnn=anamgavachcha-[|* $]$
132 n=ā-rasătala-gambhira-vàny=agachn-[1*]n-apa-
133 gat-āpāyam=aguchu sabh-ūdhyar=3agn-
134 chu- $\left[1^{*}\right]$ n=anupamamb=ana Samtäna-ranadhatoda $\left[1^{*}\right] \quad\left[25^{*}\right]$

## Inseription B.

TEXT.
First Plato, First Side
1 वा्बाए़ जगतां तनोतु स विभु: कादंबिनोमिचक: कोडाक्रो-
2 डतनु. पयोधिपयसो विग्वंभरामुहह्त् 1 भारापेतफ-
3 खावितर्तनवशान्मोदाय यस्याभवन्निर्यंजा भुजगैद्रमोळम-
4. एिसिनीरजजन्रक्रिया $\left[1^{\text {sin }}\right]$ लोलादूतजितां कळाधरकका मो-

5 कौ दुढ कीलितामाहतु युगमुन्नमय्य भुजयो-
6 वैन्नेषयत्या सिथ: 1 पावत्वा: कुचक्रभपार्म्व-
7 युगके सप्रेमलोलेच्त्या: कालतेपमांमदुमोचन-
8 विधो कांच्न् शिव: पातु वं [ [2*] भवतु भवतां फलाघे कल्यलता
9 जापि कारटिशजमुखो। मधुरनुधारसधारा मधुलव-
10 ललितेदुमंजरीमंजु । [3ं] तमो हरेतां तं पुष्पव-
11 तो राकासु पूर्वरपरशेलमाजो। रथांगलोलगिन दर्श-

First Plate, Second Srde.
12 यतो पुरा पुरारे: पृधिवीरवस्य । [4*] घन्मौको निएितं चिराय
निगझे-

13 घैखं च यद्योगिभर्यंन्म्मोम्टटुपाशिपद्मयुगलीसवाहनेबा-5
14 लित 1 जाता यन्न वियद्नटी त्रिजगतीसतापनिर्वापयी तष्मात् कस-


[^247]16 मनामा ग्रोशेलसीपार्नविदानशाली ${ }^{1}$ । हैमाद्रिकलोदितदानदन्तो
17 निस्सीमभूटाननिरुढकीति: । [6*] वेमर्चितोशो द्वमेकपाद ख-
18 जपचार कलिकालदोषात् । दत्ताप्यहारहिजवेदश्शत्या पथि क-
19 मैरख़्वलित चकार । [7*] माचन्तोपिपतिर्मंहेद्रमहिमा वे-
20 मच्चितीशाग्रजो रामाधै: सटृशो वभूव सगग刃ैस्तस च[यो]
21 नंदना: । कीर्ल्वा जार्गति रेडिबोतनृपति[:'] ग्रोकोमटोक्रस्ततो
22 नागन्मापतिरिल्युपात्तवपपो धर्माध्यंकासां हुव । $\left[5^{*}\right]$ वे*
23 भाधिपो माचववभुय नंदनो गीवोमटीद्रश्यं गुशे-
Socond Plate, Turst Sude
24 कसंग्ययो । भूनोकमेकोहरजन्मवाछया भूयोवतीगाविव

26 कि: । सर्वंज्ञचक्रवर्ती पेदकोमटिवेमभूप्पतिर्जयति । [10"] सीयं वेस-
27 [म"]हीपाली भूपालपरमेग्र् । भूटानवोग्नूर्बंन्चो [हो]]ो-
28 दान्तगुषोतर:; $\left[11^{*}\right]$ चोमाकाद्दे पयोरासिगामराब̈टु-
29 सf्मिते । नंदने मासि माधाख्ये fिवराच्चा रविगने ${ }^{7}$ [ $\left.12^{\pi}\right]$
30 पितु: पितामहो यस मह[नी]ययशोनि[धि]: । ाा-
31 धवो नाम मेधावी विर्यविद्याविहारभू[] [ [13*] पितामहो सहा-
32 विद्दान् यस्स ग्रोगुडयाभिध: । वेदादीना विग्दाना वि-
33 घाना नन्ममंधिरं । [14* ${ }^{2}$ सापानुग्रहदन्चो लन्द्मोनर्रसिंहम-
34 नसंगिस: [1] सकलकविसार्वेभोमो साधवभह: पिता यह्य[1] [15*]
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35 सीविश्वेश्वरविद्रुपे भारहाजान्वयावतसाव । समयविरहि-
36 ताय तस्मी विद्याविनयान्विताय पुखगय । [166] पदान्निलिंग- ${ }^{8}$
37 विपये वेलनाडो मनोरमे । तुंगभद्रातर गिखा: पा-
38 कीरे पर्यंवस्थित । [17*] कालपाडुरिति ए्यात ग्राममाच्द्रता-
39 रक । साहैग्यूं घ्घट्भोग धारापूवू धराधिप [1] [18^]
40 अ्यस्ब ग्रामस सीमाचिध्रानि देशभापया लिख्यत [il] ${ }^{\circ}$

[^248]
## Inscription C

## TEXT.

1 Śãk-ib[d]amuln sahasrambunu mun[n]ūmta-muppadı[y-ēdunu]
$2{ }^{1}$ jopp[u] mgu'a 1 m hanyarrana Manmatha-tatarambuna Ma[kh]a-" misa*


5 syă-bhujamgumddu Vëmaya-pāchavēmana-hshmiraumddn \| dalh-
6 Sürāmbachē samutpunuam-agrachum [1] baragu Samtãna-vã-

8 luva ghatmelen=i-t'ahambu gimgn il
|i Srinātha-kritu ||


## By Vitea Anal, B1

The sal joincd grant 1 published from tro sets of Sn Walter Elhot's ink-mpiessione wheh the late Govenment Eprgiaphist for Inda was kind enongh to place at my disposal It 1, dated in Saka-Samvat 14a7, the cycle year probably beng Yuva, and belongs to the regn of Sri-Rangarāa II, of the thand Vijaganagana dynasty Mr Sewcll as of copmon that this datc' marks the rommencument of Six-Rangaraya's anle

Although a number of meciptans belonging to thas dynasty has aherdy apparal in the pages of the In luan Imtzotary and the Dipyriphaa Intira, jet not a single Sanskrit mseniption of this particali kiag has been pubished in extensio And what is more, twen the chnomelens of these tames do not ceem to buvc thought it either necessary or proper to make more than a passing mention of the succesoors of the renowned Ramanaya on the Vijuranagan thone This, porinps, as partly occountad for hy the fict that the battlo of Tankenta of A D ljty 'u wach hing Riauty full sounded, as it were the death-hnell of the dyn'sty and cuppled it pownind resouccs ance and for evor Nevertheless, it can hardly be doubtul that such mformation as can be gleaned from the grants and other documents of tho rulens of thas

[^249]dynasty who hived dung the days of its dechne, wall be usefnl in so fai at least as they will enable us to determine with tolorable certanty the ovent of then dinmmons and the sents of then government, if not also their relations with then quondom vieuoys in distant prownees

Tarning to the giant itself, it may be observed that the tops of the first, second, forrth, and suxth pages of the mpressions are marked by the Telnga numen 1 l 12,3 and $t$ There ulso appear fand traces of the nameral 5 at the top of the erghth page This seems to mdicate that the grant was origraally inersed on five plates of whech the first and the last were ongraved only on the inner, and tho interveming thice on both sides Jadging from the impressions, the plates can be sald to hnvo bean in goed preservation They measure $9 \frac{37}{8} \times 7 \frac{10}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ and seem to have had naised rims and a ring passing through the centro of therr top

The alphabet 15 Nandināgari The folloming aro some of the orthographical peenharities and enors -
(1) the doabling of $y$, $t$, and $d$ after $r$ in -turyyō (ll 9 and 13), -śauryyēna (l 25), $\cdot m \bar{u} r t t \uparrow h$ (1 46), -łtrttı- (1 61), bharttun (187), and -särddülamarddanah (1.117),
(2) the donbling of $n$ when followod by $r$ in nevasanirāajati (181),
(3) tho dropping of the visarga before sibinntsin-saptamusrizati- (lll) and svahlämzni-svatanu-(l 18),
(4) tho use of the anustära instead of class nasals in narēmdrah (lll), Tātapımnama(1 13), haram (1 14), nıramjanãn (1 34), bhātāmchıtah (l 65), and -mamdalizha- (ll 102 and 105),
(5) the nse of $n$ instead of $n$ in dunnāgāt ( 158 ),
(6) the use of $l$ mastend of $l$ due, perhaps to vernaenlar whiture in latutain (1) 5 ), -rhalzhshmā-(1 10), surabhul̄̄sugam (1 21) and -rnē (mab)rāla- (1 55), and lastly,
(7) tho nse of the symbol for $d h$ to donoto the (ll 57 and 58) The words chanräs (1 10), sāmula (194), dhatta (1107) and dwāpatta, which ocear in the Viläpāka grantl, are alse feand here

The inseription opens with obeisance to the god Ganidhipati and eonsists of elghty-three
 three verses invoke respeetively tho gods Siva, $\nabla_{1 s h n a}$ and Ganēsa in the manner of the Brtush Maseam Plates of Sadãsrarāya ${ }^{3}$ Tho following eaghteen versus earry the genealogy of the dynastr, partly mytheal and partly historical, down to Trumalanăya's reign and have their exact parallel in verses 320 of the Kondyäta grint of Venkata II ${ }^{3}$ Verscs $22-29$ deseribe king Trumalarāya bat record no historical facts* With voise 30 bogins the description of the donor Śri-Rangarāya II, son of king Thamala and Vengalāmbá In veres 42 ho 18 said to have belonged to the A trêya-götra

[^250]His ancestry 18 given as follows -
Tātapınnama of the lunar race of kinge (1 13)
Somidèva (l15) I
Rāghavadêvarāt (116)
Srí-Pinnama, the lord of the town of Aravida (l 16)
Bukka $\}$ who placed on a firm footıng the knugdom of Salura( $m$ Ballāmbikā) $\} \quad$ Ņ̧ısımba (1l 17-1̨̨)

Rāmarāja I Who captured the forts of Aranggirı and Kandanavola ( $m$ Lakkāmbibā) $\}$ (Karuool) and put to flight Kàsappadaya (ll 26-27)

Śri-Rangarāya (I) ( $\mathrm{V}^{15 \text { ) }}$
(m. Tıramalāmbikā)


Most of these detals are found in the Kūniyür plates of Venkata II ${ }^{1}$ Sri-Rangaraja II is reported to have captared several fortresses including the naccessible Kondavidu and Vinikondapura from his camp at Uddagari (Udayagiri in Nellore) and to havo been residng at Penukonda ( 7.31 ) ${ }^{2}$ He married two wives, viz, Trrumaladēvi and Krishnāmbā ( $\vee$ 32) He reduced the Chauraśidurga (ie eighty-four hill forts, perhaps, in Mahārāshtra) He bore in hus heart Śäragadhara (2e, the god $\nabla_{1 s h n u}$ ) ( $\nabla$ 35) Some of his burudas wero Mannyyãn Sämula (v 36), Gandaragūlı and Manyapulı (v 38) He was highly extolled as the vanquisher of Avahaluraya and the king of Utkala (vr 37-8) He was the lord of Āravidu and adopted tho sarnames Véngyatrıbhuvanīmalla (1 102), Urıgōlasuratrāna (\& \&. Sultān of Orangal) (1 103), Ranamukharāmabhadra (1.104), Kalyänapurădhupa and Ohalikkachahravartin (1l 108-9) As suggested by Dr Hultzsoh the first and the fourth of these brrudas were perhaps remmiscences of the Western and Eastern Chalnkyas ${ }^{3}$ He calls himself the sazeran of the Rattas and Magadhas (1 107) Konarānī-hotala-honga, and Oddıyarāya-duśāpatta (v 45) With verse 53 commences the grant proper

The dato 18 expressed as the twelfth tathi (prathamadvädasitt than) of the bright fortnight of the month of Āshādha in the Saka year reckoned by the horses (7), the treasures (9), the Vèdas (4), and the moon (1) ( $2 e$ 1487), the cychc year being Yuva. This date falls in A D. 1574 expued ${ }^{4}$

Tho grant was made before the god Rãmachandra ${ }^{5}$ The donee was Aubhalabhatta who belonged to the Käsfapa-gдtra and was a follower of the Kātyāyana-sütra and the

[^251] 55-7)

The village granted was Mārēdapallz alzas Krishnāpuram belonging to the Rāyadurgarājya girt ronnd by Hastmāvatī ( 2 e the modern Hampi in the Bellary District), to the Pennavēntha (? $-n \bar{a} d u$ ) and to the Bhūdigumma-simal ( $\sigma$ 58-9) It was to the east of the river Penna (? Northern Pennär) and south of the hill bounding the village of Chōlasamudra and near the chemada-bnsh lying east of Nilādrl ( $l$ lit blue hill) situated on the outskirts of the village of Korakodru and close to the river Penna It was to the west of the blne elevated ground bordering on the Gsadamàlzgānz-hshētra and lyıng to the west of Kummarllapall, whinh again was the western boundary of the village of Palukür, west of the tamarind tree adjoining the sandy tract boundung the village of Velēth. It was to the north of the stone pular set up near the sami tree growing on the outskirts of Thmmāpura Of these places, Krishnāparam may have taken its name from Virakrishnama of the Käsyapa-götra, at whose instance the grant was made He was the son of Varabaygappa and lord of Mannagapura and is saad to have borne the biruda Sitakaragandanha (vo 70-3) and to have been the terror of Dhavalānka(дйa) ${ }^{2}$

The titles Sındhu-Gövında, Sitakaraganḍa, Davalanku-Bhrma and Manınāgapuravarādhīszara are apphed to Tirumalaräja of Udayagirn in an mscription of Saka-Samvat 1457, tho ofcle year Manmatha, corresponding to A D 11535-36.3 In a copper-plate record of Śaka-Sampat 1484, the cyche jear Rudhrrodgārın, corresponding to $\triangle D \quad 1563.644^{4}$ the same epthets are given to Krishnapa-Nāyaka, son of Bayappa-Nāyaka, of Balam (Bēlür) Of KrishnapaNãyaka (also called Efa-Krıshnapa-Nãyaka) Mr Rıe remarks, that he " as represented in most of the inscriptions as the head of the family, who was enfeoffed by Krishnarāya of Vijayanagara He was the hadapada, or bearer of the king's betel-bag " ${ }^{5}$ Accordıng to Mr Rıce, Venkatädrı-Nâyaka, son of Krıshnาpa-Nãyaka, was the head of the Balam family in SakaSamvat 1498, the cychic jear Dhātrı, correspondıng to A D 15076-76 About Mannāgapura Mr Ruce adds, "I have been unable to identıfy Manınãgapara It appears to be a place an the Central Piovinces."

The composer of the mscription was the son of Sabhäpati and the engraver Ganapayachärya, the son of Virana These two persons also figure in the same oapacities in the Tumkur Plates of Tirumalarãya 7

The grant conclades with the usual imprecatory verses and Srīi- Virūpāksha in old Kanarese characters at the end

## TEXT.

## First Plate ${ }^{\text {- }}$

## 1 ग्रीगयाधिपतये नस: । ${ }^{5}$ स्तुगशिर्युंबिचंद्रचाम-

2 रचावें । नेनोक्कनगरारंभमूलस्तंभाय सभवे $1(11)$ [ $\left.\Omega^{*}\right]{ }^{10}$ हरेलीलावन

4. य द्धौ 1 (II) [ $\left.२^{*}\right]{ }^{10}$ कल्यागायास्तु तड्डाम प्रत्यूह्हतिमिरापह्ह 1 यहनो-

5 प्यगजोद्यूत पंचास्येनापि लाकित ${ }^{11}$ ( (II) [₹*] ${ }^{10}$ जयति चीरजलचिर्जात(त)

[^252]
7 स्तस्य पुरुर्वा वुधमुत्त्तस्यायुर्स(स्या)लज: मणने नह्छुपो यथा-
8 तिरमवत्तस्माच पूरुस्तन: 1 तहरी भरतो घभूव क(ने)पतिम्तवतन
9 तो शंतनुस्तत्तुर्यो विजयोभिमन्चुखटभूत्त्मस्त्परि(रो) चित्तत्त[.*॥*]
10 'नदस्तस्याषमोमूत्वमर्जनन नव[म]स्तर्य बाचचकिच्मापल्म-*
11 सस्तम["] म्रीपतिरुचिर्भवद्राजपूर्वी नरेंद्र: 1 तम्यारीकिज्ञारे]-
12 द्रो दशम इह नृपी वोरहेम्माकिरायक्तानोयोको" मुगर्रो कु-
13 तनतिकदमूत्तस्य मायापरोष.? (III) [द$\left.{ }^{*}\right]{ }^{2}$ तत्तुर्योंजनि तार्वपनम-
14 महीपालो निजालोकननस्तामिनगणग्ततोजनि हशं टुर्गा-
15 गिए सप्ताहितात् 1 ग्रेशेन म सोझिदेवन्ृपतिम्तम्ज्येव नज(जें) हु-

$1.7{ }^{10}$ ननरीविभोरभूदस्य ब(नु) कधरगणीपतिस्मुत 1 चेन माझ्डुवन्ट-
18 सिह्राज्यमप्येधमानमहसा स्पिरीक्धत (II) [ $\left.5^{\circ}\right]$ "स्स:कामिनी स्वत*
19 नुकіंतिभिर[ $\left.\tau^{*}\right]$ चिपती वुद्धावनोपतिलको वुषकल्पभाख्बो 1 क-
20 ब्याणिनीं कमलनाभ दर्वाइकन्या" वन्नाविभामुदवहहन्डु-

22 मारमिव शंकरात्लूलमहीतत ${ }^{15}$ धनाका ${ }^{41} 1$ जयतसजरमभो-
Sccom Platu, First Suat
23 रपि शचीव बुक्षाधियाइच्छर्रत" जगति बक्नमालभत रा-

25 सपादस्यानीक सर्मित भुजशोर्थ्येग महता । विजित्यादत्ते-
26 स्मादर्वनिगिरिटुर्भी विभुतया विधूतँद्र: कासप्युडयम पि

28 लेन यो बहुतरेश विजित्य हरं 1 सन्निहितस्य तन चरााभु(नु)-
29 पु मक्ततया घ्रातिभिरषित ${ }^{20}$ सुषयति स्म निश्श्य्य विषं (II) [.१२*] "ः्यो-

|  | ${ }^{2}$ Metre-Śärdülankridıta | 15. Read नहुषी |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Mretre: Sragdharà |  | - Bead ${ }^{\circ}$ सार्शोयीकी |
| ${ }^{7}$ Read ${ }^{\text {cy }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | ${ }^{8}$ Read हरन् | - Metre Bathöddhatis |
| ${ }^{20}$ Read ${ }^{\text {भगरीविभf0. }}$ | 4 Metre Vasantataska u |  |
| 1. Metre: Pfothri. |  | 18 Rend कन्पका |
| ${ }^{77}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पा प्डूत. | ${ }^{19}$ Metre Śkhama | ${ }^{3}$ Metre Saulasikhe |
| ${ }^{20}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ र新 | ${ }^{1}$ Read fिषेव्य. | ${ }^{2}$ Metre Indrarajra |




31 द्मी[ति]वाभीरहहहलोचनस्य [ल]]काविकामुष मन्हिघ्यलासी-
32 त् ।(॥) [\{₹*] 'तस्याधिकेस्समभवत्तनयस्तपोलिश्नीरगराजनृ-
33 पतिश्श़िवंश्रंद्री[प] । अासन् समुक्तसति धासति यस्य चि-
34. चं नेच्रायि वैरिसदृशां च [नि]रजनानि ।(n) [ $\left.98^{*}\right]{ }^{3} स त ी ं ~ त ि र ु म ु ल ा-~$ (मला) $\mathrm{fि}-$
35 कां चरितलीलयारधतोपयामपि तितिच्च्या बतुमतो-


38 र(₹) रामराज च धीर वर्ततिकमलराय वैकटाf्दिचितीशं [1*]
39 श्रजनयत स एतानानुपूर्या कुमारानिह तिरमलदेख्या[मे]-

4] हल्य सा रामराजवीर: । भरतमनु भगोरसार्दिगजपथित-


44 कलमयमावालाब (g) $f\left[\sigma^{*}\right]$ दापदेशादमरनगरशाखी लज्ज-
45 या मजनीष ।(1) [ $\left\{5^{*}\right]$ 'व्यराजतं नीवरवेकटाद्रिराज' च्चितो लन्म-
46 एचारमूर्त्ति, । च्याघोषदूरोक्षतमेघनादः कुर्व्त् सुमिना-
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48 रखधिरण विजिल्यारिन्मापास्तिफमलमह[ [₹ ${ }^{*}$ ]ायन्न-
49 [ँ]]ति: । महा(हौ) जास्तामाज्चे सुमतिरभिधित्ता(तो) निरपपमे पशाष्यु-
 सरस य-

52 माना देवीपदें भूमिरिय दधाति ((II) [२२*] "यस्सातिमीढतिजस्मवि-


| ${ }^{1}$ Metre Wasantatilakà | ${ }^{2}$ Read धामनि | ${ }^{3}$ Metre Prithvi. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 Metre Mälıni | ${ }^{2}$ Metre Pushpitāgrā | - Metro Mähai |
| 7 Metre, Upajāt | ${ }^{6}$ Metre Ślubinini | 2 Read पार्धिवेंदो |
|  | ${ }^{12}$ Metre: Sragdharā, | 13 Read विमतध्वान्त |


55 का भासते कर्णिकाभा तस्योपांत ${ }^{3}$ मेराकदयमिव विच्चलच्ना-
56 मरंदंदमास्ते ।(॥) [२२*] "भोगिले विदितेपि चिद्वग" इति व्याकाधिरा-
57 जं लसदत्तल्ले ${ }^{6}$ नळसंग्ययोति ${ }^{7}$ कमढं ${ }^{3}$ दानेपि संदा द्वति । दि-
58 ना(ड्ना) गान् भृशमुन्नतो च कढिनाँ इल्योव ${ }^{10}$ हिला गिरोंस्त्त्त्रत

60 [घ] सुद्धतोल्कृष विधायार्खिलं ब्माकेदारमुदारदानस्सिला-
61 सारेस्समापूर्य च । संवर्गर्य(र्था)नवकीर्त्तिसर्यनिवहं तत्पालिकां
62 "विक्रम: ग्रीकातां भुजकायमानगिखरे घत्ते हि यस्सेनसा ((11) [28*] ${ }^{10}$ नि-
63 प्यात्वं गुचिता पजासु समतावर्त्तिव्वमप्याच्चितो वत्ति(न्ति) पुसज-
61 नम्रियामधिगत. ख्यात: पचेता हूति । प्राप्तस्पर्शनवि(fव) भ्चमाय- ${ }^{13}$
65 [न]पतिस्तर्व[ ${ }^{*}$ *]भावांचित: पायो य: पकटीकरोति भुवने
66 तर्तद्धिगोशाशता ।(11) [२५*] "हु्वा मंनपर.सर रिपययोलाजान्
67 प्रतापानले (1) सपापय्य ${ }^{15}$ पदान ${ }^{15}$ सत भुवनेप्वारोप्ब मेरुप-
68 ल । पीतः कीर्तिममयों वर्षूं परिणयन् अल्ला(लो)तुकोलानिनीं य-
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70 तविग्राएनकीर्तिसाम्य सुरद्रुमा यस्य तु लब्यु(च्वु)कामा: ।
 ${ }^{19}[$ कit $]-$
72 चिन्र्रोरगश्शिषाचलकनकसभाहोबळाट्रीशमुख्येप्वाल्या-
73 वृत्य सर्वेप्वतनुत विधिवभु(झू)यदे ज्येयत्त य: । देबस्सनेपु [तो]-
74 यैंप्वपि कनकतुलापूषषादोनि नानादानाच्चेवोपदानेर-
75 पि सममखिले(ले) रागमी(मो) तार्ान तारनि $1(1)$ [२₹*] "यस्मिन् घासलेकवोरे
76 धरिन्रीमेखाचीयामेष कार्यं विलग्ने । टिल्यंय तल्लुंतळे कर्क[घ]-
77 ब्व तदन्तोज्ञ(ज) चापलं तल्कटाचे ।(II) [२c* $]^{18}$ अभनंतरं तक्षनय: पतोतग्यक[ [T]-

|  | 2 Bead नष्ये | * Resd ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{प}$ ते मराल ${ }^{\circ}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Metre. Śardülsvikridita, | - Read जिक्षत | - Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ हले |
| 1 Hesd $\mathrm{F}^{\circ}$ | - Read कम्नठ | - Resd कडिना |
| ${ }^{3}$ Read हत्य ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11 Resd विश्रुखो ${ }^{\circ}$. | ${ }^{2}$ Natre Śárdülavitriditen |
| * Fesd ${ }^{\circ}$ विसमी व7 $^{\circ}$, | 13 Resd संप्रापय्य. | 3 Read पदानि |
|  | 28 Metre Upsjäth, | 15 Metre. Sraqdiazf. |
|  | 50 Rread कौटिस्य; | , |

78 स्ति हस्तापजितद्युतशाखी ${ }^{1} 1$ ग्रीवेंगकांबाचिखपुखराशि[:"] श्रो-

80 दुर्गज(च)यान् टुर्गमकोंडवीड़विनिकोड़ुरपमुख्वन् । भूव-
81 लवैकरनपेनुगोंडपुरे निवसन्नाजति य: समग्रमकरा-

 [ ² $^{*}$ ] 5य-
84. याविधि महीसरोत्तमकताभिषेकोत्तवे यदीयकरवारि-

85 [रे] कनकहष्टिदे सवृत: । यशोमयतरगिएी दश्शदिगंतरे
86 जुंभते सतां मशमितोभषत्क्षपयतोरदावानल: ।(1) [₹₹*] 'धानीभा-
87 रमशेषमपा(प्य)विकलं भर्तुं भुज ब्यातनोदाश्गादंतिक्त(क) लाच-
88 लैंद्रफलियाउस्रेप्वरोजासन: । वीरग्रीरमषस्य यस्य प-
39 ₹[या] विक्षातिमानेष किं दानाभ: कटकं च नमयं घत्ते सदा

91 भाळवर्य्य: । पराषदिगायमन:पकामभयंकर: शार्ज(気)धरां-
92 तरंग: ( (1) [₹थ*] ${ }^{10}$ हतरिपररनिमेषानोककहो" याचकानां होसबि-
93 [耳]दरगगडो रायराहत्तमिंड: 1 । महितचर्चरिधन्धो मन्निया-

> Thrrd Plate, Second Srde.

94 न्नामुलादिप्रकटितबिद्रु(रु)दम्री: पाटिताराति-
95 लोक: ।(1) [₹६*] ${ }^{13}$ उसयदळपितामहो नतानामभयपदार्पाए-
96 तत्परी रिपूषां । खयमवहकुरायमानमद्दी(सी)ल्यसि ${ }^{-14}$
97 लजनैरसमिभीयमानधामा (॥) [₹०*] 15तांडवितोदयो विरदम-
98 न्ररगंडतयोछछबलोल्कलंद्रजययंडितबीरयु-
99 त: । चडिमझाबिबाहुवलटंडितनेरिगं(ग) गो गंडरगू-
100 किमन्याुलिमान्यमहाविकेंः ।(II) [₹₹*] ${ }^{13}$ सारचीररमया ${ }^{17}$ समु-


| 1 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ eुqाखी | 2 Metre Salafikhss | 3 Metre: Gitı. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Read ग्रीषरण द्रव. | - Metre Prithvi. | - Metre Éädülavintofte. |
| 7 Read का | ${ }^{8}$ Metre Dpajäta, | - Read वaर्य्य. |
| ${ }^{31}$ Metro Mälnia | ${ }^{11}$ Resa ${ }^{\circ}$ नीक ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| ax Metro Pushpitägrå |  |  |
| 4 Metre: Rathödhato | If Read mixatx |  |

102 स्यन्मडलीकधरणीवराहता (II) [₹⿺*] 1वेग्यन्निभुवनीमल[:*]

113 यितगड्त्तोषएपू (रु) पजितासमकाड: । भाषरीतप्प(पु) क-
114. रायरग्ड: पोषएनिर्भर्भूनवनस्ध:' ( (11) [8६*] 'राजाधिरा-

115 जस्तेजबी ग्चीराजपरमेखर: । मूरूर्रायरगंडांकी मेक-
116 लfिययोभर: ( (1) [80*] "परदारे विमुख: पररायभयंकर. ।
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118 [चा] एस्सिंदराजगभीरधध ${ }^{10}$ । अरीभगड्रमेकडो
119 हर्भितिसुधानिधि. ।(11) [8e*] 'द्दूल्यादिविरदैवैंदितत्या नि-
120 ल्यमभिष्टत:11 । जय नीवेति वादिन्य[ $\left.T^{*}\right]$ गनिताजलिबं-


123 राव ${ }^{14}$ चितिपतितिलको रन्नसिंहासनस्य: कीर्ल्या नीत्या
124 निरस्यनृगनळनहुषानप्यचन्धामयान्यान्" 1 बा हितो-

[^253]


125 चा सुलिवोरवनिसुरनुत: सेरमा चोदयादेशा पाग्वा-
126 त्याचलांतादखिलहृद्यमावर्ज्य राज्च पर्शस्ति (॥) [य२*] 'ग्रीश-
127 कान्दे हयनिधिनेदेंदुगणिते क्रसातीं च्रोमसुवाह्वये
128 वर्ष सासि चाषाढनामनि 1 (II) [ ² $^{*}$ ] पचे वळने पुखाया प्रथम-
129 दादशीतियो। सन्निधी रामचद्रस्य सर्वसपदिधायिनि (III) [48*]
130 ग्रेमल्काश्यपगोनाय ग्रीकाव्यायनस्स्रनित । ख्यातशुक्नयजु-


133 ग्रीमहर्दलद्धाब्विचितारनायितात्मने। प्रस्यातौभळ-
134 भहाय पुरोगाय विपचिता ( (11) [\%०*] वकिते हस्तिनावत्या वि
135 ख्यातिमधिकामित(ते) 1 ग्रीरायटुर्गरान्ये च 'पैन्नवेढ च वि-


138 मांतरचितस्थिते. । पैंननद्याग्र(च) सविधान्नीलाटें पा(मा)चता-
139 मिताव् (II) [है०*] स्यातचोकसमुद्राए्यग्राससीमांचल़्थिताव् । ग्रो-
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140 मच्चेमडगुब्मस्य समीपष्वितिमे(मी)युप: (॥) [द? $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ सुस्थिराटुपळा(ला)दा-
111 या दच्चिया समुपार्गित । वेलेटिगा(ग्रा) मसीमांतसेफताम्मी ${ }^{11}$


144 सीमातात्पथिमाया दिशि स्थितात् । ${ }^{13}$ पस्यातम्रीगिड्डमाकि-
145 गानिचेनाचलस्थितात् $1(11)$ [ $\left.8^{*}\right]$ नोलोन्नतस्यलाद्रम्यादायात पथि-
146 मा दिशं । गीतिम्मापुरसीमांतयमीचृच्नातिकस्थले ।(॥) [द्ध $y^{*}$ ] स्सापि-
147 "ताटुपन्लस्यभाटुत्तरत्वमुपर्ग्रितं । ग्रीद्धप्यापुरमिल्येष प्र-
148 तिनामसमास्यित ।(॥) [ $\left.\xi \xi^{*}\right]$ [मा]र्र्पर्पनामांख्य(क) ग्राममारामशोभि149 त । ${ }^{15}$ सवमान्य चतुम्सीमासंयुत च समतत: ।(॥) [ई० $\left.{ }^{*}\right]$ निधिनित्रेपपाषाए150 सिद्इसाद्यर(घ्य) नलानित ${ }^{16}$ । अ्रचिखागामिसयुक्तमेकभोग्यं सभूरु-

 152 ग्यं क्रमादाचंद्रतारकं ।(II) [दृंट*] दाना[ध]मनविक्रीतियोग्यं विनिमयो153 चितं । काश्सपान्वयदीपस्य काश्रपोकल्यमासि(खि)न: $f(11)$ [00*] सिंडु $(\underset{\text { g }) ~ र ा ज ग-~}{\text { I }}$
154 भीरस्य सिधुगोविंदतिजस: । ग्रीसितकरणंडांकमहाविरुदगो-
 156 स्य मघितारातिभूभुज: (।1) [०२*] 'वरबय्यावभूपालचिरपुखफलात्मन:। 'नी-
 158 हितपुरोगमै: । विविद्धेविंनुद्धेग्गौतपधिकेरधिकेर्गेरा ।(II) [98*] योरगरा159 च[भू]पालो माननीयो मनस्बिनां। सहिरख्ययोधारापूर्वंकं दत्तवा[न्गु]दा | (11) [OY*]

## Frfth Plate

उतदिदं नयधुर्य्यस्य प्रथितग्रीरंगरायवर्यंस्य[1*]
1.61 "शासनमतिवलगासनतरककरदानस्य गुएनिदा(धा)न[स][ [1७ $\left.\epsilon^{*}\right]$


164 पालझासनद्दीरणात्सजः । [न्री]मदफपयानार्यो वर्यनिग्बत्ता-
165 मशासनं । (II) $\left[0 \%\right.$ *] दानपालनयोर्मंड $7^{7}$ दानाच्फ्फेयोनुरा(पा)लनं। दानातस

167 त्तानुपालन । परदत्तापहारेश स्वदत्तं निफ्फल भवेत् 1 ( (1) [ $\mathrm{c}_{0} *$ ]]खद-
168 त्ता परदत्तां वा यो हरत वसुंधरां । ${ }^{\imath}$ पष्टिवर्वेंसहसासित वि-
169 घ्रायां जायते क्रिमि: 10 [ $\left[?^{*}\right]$ ]एकेव भगिनी लोके सवैंषामेव भूभुजां ।
170 न भोज्या न करणाह्या विप्रदत्ता वसुधरा। (॥) [ [२२*] "समान्चोयं धर्मंख"
171 तुर्हृपाएां काले काले पालनीयो भवड्डिस्सर्वनेतान्त् ${ }^{13}$ भावि-
 सीविर्रुपा्च ${ }^{13}$

[^254]
# No 35-MALEPADU PLATES OF PUNYAKOMARA, THE FIFTH YEAR OF HIS REIGN 

By H Kriseva Sastri, B A

Mr J Ramayra Pantalu, BA, BL, while bo was Depsty Collector an charge of the Jammalamadugu Division of the Cuddapah district, in 1904, bronght to the notice of the Madras Epigraphical Department a set of copper plates and a number of stone anscriptions relating to a famuly of kings whose exnstence was not known tall then These copper plates and stone recordsl weie secured by Rax Bahadur $V$ Venkayja, through the hand offices of Mr Kamaysa Pantulu, and a bref account of therr contents was grven in his Annual Report on Epgraphy for $10045, \mathrm{p} 48$, paragraphs 5 and 61 publish below, with the permission of the Editor, the mscription on the copper plates and also append in a postscript, as a specimen, the text and translation of one of the well-preserved stone eprgraphs whose ampoitance will be recognised when it is stated that they are the earlest Trelngu records from the Cuddapah distract and belong to a dransty of kings who, though claiming Chola descent, had, nevertheless, their dommion in the Pallava territoly.

Regarding the discovery of the plates, Mr Ramaysa Pantulu writes -they were green to me by a man of the Sälh caste named Varadappa, who told me that he fund the plates while digging foundations for a mutt at Mätēpādu He preserved the plates in the temple of Emberumãn at Velpucharla whther he had removed himself and gave them to me when I risited that tomple" The plates aro three in number, and measure, roughly, $7 \frac{1}{4}$ " by $2 \frac{7^{\prime \prime}}{}$ "They are held together by a ming whoso ends are secured in an oval shaped seal which measures abont $1 \frac{1}{2}$ " by $1 \frac{7^{\prime \prime}}{8}$, and which bears in high rchef, a thger wheh stands to the proper left, raises the left fore-paw, opens the moath and has a twisted tanl" The first and last of the plates are written only on then muer sides and show traces of having had rased rims, which were apparently meant to protect the wrining on these plates from coming into contact with the written sides of the maddle plate The circnlar copper ring which bolds the plates together, measures $3 \frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{2}$ in dameter and is about $\frac{5_{8}^{\prime \prime}}{}$ theck The plates with seal aud ring weigh 93 tolas They have been presented to the Madras Mnseam- agann through the kind intervention of Mr Ramayyu Pantulu-and will be deposited there after the final proof of the subjomed artucle has been passed for printing

The seal with the emblem cat on it in bold rehef-evidently the crost of the kings to whom the record belongs- deserves special consideration The posture of the animal, ${ }^{3}$ the sharp twist of ats tall above the back, and the profnse mane round its neck- all considered together - make the figare look more like a lion than a tiger, although the possibulity of the latter was suggested by Mr Venkayga when he first diseassed the question, from the snpposed connection the kngs mentioned in the Mãlēpädu record bore to the Cholus of Tanjore whose crest was the tager (véngą) Sumlar figures are engraved on the top of a broken slab at

[^255]Peddamndryam ${ }^{1}$ nad on nothor at Mndlanūn,s both of which bear record" "f about the same ago as tho subjowod msenpition and bolmag, ovidontly, filqo to mombers of tho mano
 unotpooted sourco. In a recond of tho lith continy A.D. fiom tho Bavfar Shato, it in mitatod
 Mahmana Dhantivaska, was a descondunt of Kaihain-Chola of tho bolur raco, helangel to

 in tho Mâlöpidn platos will also bo seon from the sequol to havo jootsomed nimost tho mamo

 family, it may bo assumod for tho prosont, that tho croul figued on the nema of the Mridepidur


 thom to the Pallavn langs of Vöngi tho monohtho shano at Sisamungulum whoh wan


 ing plato), Tho scals of Indruvanama and Vahaméndinvan man 117 of tho Vimhuntundan famly and trat of tho Uravapalh phatos of tho amly Palhivn bumg Yumanturatia Vashnugopavamana ben amim figures. A sumall siguot of lame lanula disourored anoug tho Buddhast ames of Amarivati has on at tho ropasontation of a hon with the opon month mat the
 Bid contury BC" Ancient coms roconily fonnd at Bomanhonda in tho Viampatam deatriol by the Suporintendont, Archroulogroal Survey, Madins, Jom aimost tho bamo onst an ther obverso sides 20

The writing on the platos belongs to tho Sorthom olnss of uphnleta mud closely rosembles



 stom whoh projeching fiom tho top of the nght ande of the hathor, suppois overy the teluhther

[^256]Lion seal and sculptures of about the Seventh Century A D


A -Seal of Punyahumara plates


B-Sculpture on a broken slab at Peddamudiem


C-Sculpture on a slab at Muddanur


D-Sculpture on the rock-cut temple, Siyamangalam
or head-line This stem of $\lambda$ is found to be in continuation of the right side of the letter $r$ in the Edirn plates, wheneas heic tho stem begins a hittic removed from the aght top of $r$ The use of the Dravidan la (19), ? ( 120 ) and $\underline{l a}$ ( 118,18 and 19) is also worth recording As iegards orthography it may be remaiked that $m$ and $v$ coming after a répha are donbled (ll 5,6 , 7, 11, 16, 19, 21, 22 and 24), e ceept in Pörmuhharäma ( 12 f). The consonants $d$ and $t$ are libewise donbled after $r$ in 11 17, 22 and 24, and before $r$ in 123 , tho only execptions being Purushasärdūla and Märdarachitta, in 113 The language of tho insenption as Sanskrit prose An insocatory rerso at the begrming and two inprecatory veises at the end are, however, witten in the Ārya-Giti and Anushtubh motres, respoctively

After an mrocation to Śiva, the record introduces as to a king Nandivarman of the Kásapa-gotra He was born m tho famly of Karikāla who was "the (colestial) tiee mandara on tho monatan Mandura ${ }^{1}$ - the race of tho Sun, tho doci of many emnent deeds such as stoping the overflow over its lanks of the (vators of the) daughter of Kavera (2e the rivel Kāverri), who made his own the dignity of tho three kings (of the South) Nandraiman had thice sons, Simhavishnu, Sundaranando and Dhanemjayavarman The youngest Dhimamajavarman, on whom des ohed the sot creignty in iegular succession, had a son " who acquired the tutle Chōla-Mahär̄̄̄a, was well reised in grammar and other sciences and waq the lord of the Pāndya, Chöla nad Kērala (countıes)" Thıs was Mahēndravikrama. varman, "Who was equal m prowees to (god) Mihēdra and possensed many surames such as the glonous Muditaślankshara, Navarüma, ctc" His son was king Gunamudita and the latter's brother was the prosperous knig Punyakumära Thes Punyakumãra, otherwise known as Pōrmukharāma, ${ }^{4}$ Purushaśārdūla, ${ }^{5}$ Mārdavachıtta, ${ }^{6}$ Madanavilāsa, ${ }^{7}$ otc thus oidered the 2nlabitauts of his own dominion as well 95 those of Erranya-rāshtra "Bo it known to you that we, in the current fifth year, of our incicasing nad vietorious reign on the full-moon day of (the month of ) Kürtilika, hare granted freo from all molestations ind taxes twiee trents-five (te fifty) nuartances of land (measured) by tho rognl unit, in the southeast quarter of the villige wamed Brapäru (situated) in Hiranya-rāshtra, on the southern bank of the uver Supravöga, to (a crrtarn) Chiruvanahala-Kēs̃avaśarman of the Ātrēya-götra, at the request of (the charf) Kottikuldarāja" The inseription ends with two imprecatory verses (ll 23 to 27) and a short Sanskut clauso involung feliety on cows and Brähmanas (127)

Of the foregong hangs, Kanhala who held sway over the threo kingdoms of the south and stopped the overflow of freshes in tho Cauvery, is identical with the quasi-historical Karıkāla-Chōla of Tamil literature, of whom many stornes aro related in the pooms Puranänüru,
 Chöla knings of Tanjore to hare been one of then famous ancestors, and to him is attribnted the characteristic feat of constructing banks on eithor side of tho river Cauvery and of renewng the town of Känchī $n$ ith gold ${ }^{10}$ The osact time when this king flouribhed has not boen properly made out Inseriptions of a family of Telnga chiefs giouped by Mr Venkayya under the name

[^257]Telugu-Chōdas, ${ }^{1}$ state that an ancestor of these was a certan Karikāla "who caused the banks of (îhe rever) Kāvēri to be bullt by Trilōchana and other hings who fired their glances on (lns) lotus fect ( 2 e were subordinate to him)" Apparcntly here also, the referenco is to tho king Kankāla-Chola mentioned in the Mälëpādu plates Karikäla's subordinate Trilochana was, in all probability, a Toluga contemporary whose approximato date is possible to deduce from unscriptions Trifchana, Trınētra, Trinayana and Mrukkantı aro synonymous terms and occur frequently in Telugn epigrapbs with tho suffix Pallava, as the name of a mythical ruler of Pallava origno who held sway over the Telugn country at somo period of its carly history ${ }^{3}$ The Pauränlk genealogy of tho Eastern Chālukya hings invented as early as tho timo of Vimaliditya, refers to a Trilochana-Pallava ${ }^{5}$ as the raler of Dakshnnapatha and a porvorful opponent of the Chalukya adventurer Vijayãditya of Ayōdhyā. This Trilochana-Pallava 15, perhaps, identical with the Trilochana of the Telugu-Choda anserptions and with Tmnayana-Pallava and Mukkantn of other Telaga epigraphs It may therefore be presamed that the three kngs Karikàla, Vijayàdıtya and Trilochana-Pallava more almost contemporaneons From the account giren in the Eastern Chälakga copper plates-whatorcr its hastoric valno may be-it appears as of five generations had intervened between the mythical king Vijayaditya and KubjaVıshnuvardhana before the latter came to rule over the Vèngi désa and founded tho Enstern Chālakya dynasty Dr Flect has proved that this Knbja-Vishnurardhana was the younger brother of Palakēśn II - the Satyāśraya-Vallabhēndra of Enstern Chālukya records - and that he succeeded to the throne as yuvarāaj, in or aboat A D $615^{\circ}$ Calculating backwards for fire generations, we arrive at the conclusion that Vijayāditya of Ayodhyã and, therefore, also Trilo-chana-Pallava and Karikala, must have flourished about the end of the fifth contury AD7 The history of the Pallavas at this period is obscnee, and it 18 not unlukely that Karunala-Chola was sapreme at the time and held the Pallava dominions under his sway The three kinge of

[^258]the Sorth whom Earihala is stated to haro subdued rone, evidentlr, the Pāndra, Chola and
 member of thes samo family The melusion of the Chola among the kings conquered, although it 18 mong is, nerertheles, memit to show that Farihiln mitnalls ruled oves the wholo of Soathera Indin The Taml poem Silappadigaram says of him that he was an ally of Avanti and the overlord of Vajra mid Magadha -

Of Naudimarnana and hathee cons Smharishnu, Sundinennenda and Dhanamayavarman we do not 1 nom mach at present Tho first two umes bear resemblance to Pallava names Sundmananda figures pano of the ancestors of an undentificd Telngu-Choda chief Srihautha whose Tadms Gfuscum phates havo been noticed by Piofessor Fichom in one of the prevous volumes of thiq joarmas " A certion Dhanamjaya Enga referred to in some epigrapheal records from the Vaddagiri tiluhn of the Tumhut astnet calls humself a Chöla'ruling the Ālvāde six hundrod distret 4 Mr Recenseigns theco records, on palmographeal evidonee, to about tho midale of the Eth century A D. Tho Cholss of the Tumhar district (ronnd Nulugal and Hemarati) may haso beon of a common stock with the Chings of Cuddapal, and Dhanamjay Eriga was ctthor durectly or indueclly commued with oDhanamjayavarman of the Mälopada plates Agma, a stone record nt Kalamallad in the Cuddapuh distriet makes mention of a certan D[h]anamjoyundu who was ralug Rēnãadu Tho recold is not dated, but to judgo from tho chrieters, it may roughly be roferred to the same period as tho Malipindu plates It 29, therefom not undikely that wo have here a dreet reference to Dhannmjagavaman, the last son of Naudsamın Mahëndmınkramavarmin $v$ ho nssumed the tutles Chōla-Mahäräja, MuditaGabkbara and Navarãma, appeniq to have been so named nfter Mahendravikama, a name or sarname of Mahindmarana $I$, the Pallars contemporary of the Western Chalukya king Pulatiom II, in the 7th centrisy $A D^{i}$ After Gunamudita, of whon nothung is stated in tho mscmption, camo Pangakumira Pormmharima to whoso aelgn our grant belongs Two of tho
 to Mathariliss and Vichitachith, tho recognised berudas of Minhemdravarman $I^{8}$ Thes resemblance in the $b$ rutas suggeste, as will bs pointed out below, the possibihty of somo undofined relationslup, pohtical or otherisse, that may havo existed between the Choins of the Cuddapah distract and tho Pallaras of Kiñochi

Pungahumarn, we aro told, nddressed his order to the mhabinnts of his own dommon and to theso of Hirnnyarishtra The litter territorial division, thongh not actually inoludod in the province governed by Punyakumära, mast havo been hordering on it and perhaps also subordinate to him It is not impossiblo that thas was aetanlly the kingdom raled by Kottikuldarãa,

[^259]at whose request tho snbjoned grant was made The niver Suprayôga, on whose porthern bank the village Buraparu was situated, is mentioned again in the Ururapalli plates of the Pallava king Yuvamahāajya Vishnogopararman ${ }^{2}$ It is thero stated to haso formed one of the boundanes of the village of Urivapallisituated in the distact of Munda-rāshtia Kendakinia or Kandnhūra, another boandary of Uravapalli, mentioned in the same plates, in suggested by Mr Venkayya to be identical with the modern Kanduhinr,${ }^{2}$ the headquaters of a tillukat of that name in the Nelloie distact And as Mranda-räshtra is probably the same as Mandar-nädn mentioned in some of the Nelloic Tamil inscriptions, ${ }^{3}$ the river Supragoga has to be looked for somewhere in the Nellore district Punjaknmara's sway thas appeas to have extended beyond Cuddapah, even noto Nellore An inscription at Kalujarvalapãdn in tho Podili division of the Nellore district refers to a chuef of tho solar race (to which also Pungakumira belongod) and is worded almost in tho same stgle as the stone cpigraphs of the family under reference ${ }^{4}$ The existence of this record may be taken to be a furthel proof of the influence of the Chola kangs, in the Nellore district, alieady at this early period I am unable to identify the fillage of Birapary which was situated on the bank of the mecr Supiayoga

Before fixing the probable period to which the Maicipadu plates belong, it may be useful to examine some of the shied lithe records referred to at the beginning of this papor Onofrom Rāmésivaram near Proddutūra ${ }^{5}$ and anothes from Chippilı near Madanapalle, ${ }^{6}$ have to bo assigned on palrogıapheal evidence to Punjakumara of the Mälepadu plates, though nether of them which mentions him refers to the family to which he belonged on the gotra iu which ho was born The latter is a memorial tablet in the Eanarese language and regristers that "while tho glorions Punjakomarān (2 e Punjakumãaa) mas ruling Chirpalı (Chippılı), Indarāla rose (in rebelion ${ }^{\text {º }}$ ), and (in the conflict that ensued, a certam) Parasuraman prerced and fell" Tho formen tells us. 'In the victorious and mereasing years of the reign of the lond of the earth (prithuvirallabha), the Chōla-Mahārāja Pōrmulkharāma Punyakumära, in (his) fifth year, queen Vasantapöri-Chōla-MIahādēvi presented three handied (measures) of land in the ficlds of Vimparitı to the temple of Vasantiśrara at Tarumunrı- the anatı ( $\bar{n}$ ) $n a p t r$ ) of the grant being a certan Marpidugu Rattagudlu" Although one may feel doubtful about the identity of Pangakomaran of the Chippilı tecord, there is little donbt that Pormakharama Prnyakumara of the Rāmésvaiam pillar inseription is identical mith the donor of the Mâlēpidu copper plates The mention in this record of (Punjakumãa's?) queen Vasantapūrı-Chōla Mahādēvi, of (hs) officer Mârpidngu Rattagndlu and of the villages Viriparitı and Tanamaṇ̂ı is apparently of much historical interest Mäpiduga is a name or sarname quite familar to the period to which the inseription belonge and was in use among the rulng Pallava sovereigns and therr subordinates ${ }^{7}$ Rattagudin is an honorific tatle langely used in the epigraphs under refercnce. Its significince, as indicated by the context, appears to justify our connecting it with the later Sansknt woid rāshtrakūta which has been cxplaned in the sense "the headman of a

[^260]village" The following other forms of rattagedlu are also found in the Chola records of the Cuddapah district (1) rattedlu, (2) rattagtttu (perhaps the singular form of rattagudlu) and (3) rattädlu Further, in some mscriptions, rattagudu is found compounded with the name of a rosal family, as Salkı-Rattakudı, Chalkı-Rattogudi, Gagga-Rattagadi, Vallava-Rattagud, ChöhgaRattagutta, and Chola-Rattodi In these forms apparently the first part denotes the dynasty Salkı oı Chalk (2 o Chaluhya), Gagga (Ganga), Vallava (Pallava? or Valıabla Rāshtrahūta) and Cholya or Chola, under whose patronage the dign.ty (pattam) of rattagudr was held by the individual oi indıvduals who bore them The famılıar phrase räshtrahüta-pramulhān= hutumbrnah, which ocenrs in copper plate inscriptions, shows also that the râshtrahütas were hutumbins 'cnltitators' (hudi in Tamil) who enjoyed a higher socinl status than others of their commumty The Redds of the Tclugu countiy according to therr own account beling to the Pantahula' the cultivating caste ' and command mach respect A olass of Ruddrs in the Nizam's Domimions, is strll hnown by the name Radiadds which appears to me to be 11 em mascence of the older hononfic rattōdu Thns the Sanskrıt rāshtrahūta and the modern reddr have to be traced to the form oattagude and its vaimants whinch were current in the Cudapah distict in the penod of the hithe records ander discussion ${ }^{1}$

Sir other stone opigi iphs, ${ }^{9}$ from the Cuddaph distuct, begin with a short eulogy of the Chola hings, whinch is idenucally the same in all ${ }^{3}$ The iuling chief is intioduced by the general appoliation Chōla Mahârājı and not by his proper name Foaı allied recods refer to au unnamed eldest son (praihama-prıya-putra) of Vikramāditya Bempanādhırāja, ${ }^{4}$ a Vikramādıtya-Chōla-Mahãrāja and queen Elañchōla-Mahādēvı ${ }^{5}$ and prınce Śatyadıtunru, son of Śaktikomara Vikramādıtya (and grandson of) Chōla-Mahārājā̃hurāja Vikramāditya 6 These are nanies not mentioned in the genealogical poition of the Mālépādn plates and conscquently their relationship to Punyakumana, to whoso family they must belong, is not cortan The general appellation Chöla-Mabăraja, however, was, accordıng to text line 8, first acquised by Mraicidi avikramavarman, the father of Punjakumāra It is not improbable that the Chola-Mabanaja mentioned in the sux lithic recoids quoted above, is identical with Mahēndra. nikmmararman The province over which these Chola hings apparently ruled is stated in forr records to have been the Rēnãndu seven thousand One stone epıgraph from Mälēpādu (see Postscript, below) adds the district Siddhi one thousand, perhaps the same as the Siddhant country A later misciption of the 12 th centary $A D$ fron Peddamudayam, ${ }^{7}$ mentions the Rēnādu seventy, which must have formed a sub-division of the Rēnāndu seven thousand district Mr Ramayya Pautulu identifies Rōnādu with "the blacis-5oll country whel roughly maclndes large portions of the modern districts of Cuddapah and Kurnool, along the valley of the Kunderu river "

The peniod of the record could only be approximately fixed The lion crest adopted by the Chola kings was, as we have seen, already familar in the 3rd century BC It was a Buddhist symbol used also by the Pallavas of the 3id and 4th centuries of the Christran era and

[^261]by the $\nabla_{\text {ishnukkundin famuly of about the 7th century A D Again tho adoption by Punyakamarra }}$ and his ancestors of titles and names which were cument among the Pallava kings of the Simhavishnu linc, makes it probable that they werc orther the sabordinates of those Pullavas or succeeded them politically m , at least, a portion of their extonsive ten ratory Besides, the existence of a hingdom called Cha-h-je in the time of the Chinese traveller Hinen Jsiang ( 640 AD$)^{1}$ somewhere aboat tho tract of conntiy in which we find these Telaga-Chola yecords, is conclusive proof "that this hingdom existed in tho 7th century $A D$ " Allowing thas a little more than a centory to the five rnlers from Nandivarman to Panyaknmara, the date of the Mālēpādu plates may roughly be referrod to about the ond of the 8th century $A D$ which is also the period determined by palmographical evidonce

The connection that existed batween these Tclaga-Cholas of the Cnddapah district and the Tamil Cholas of Tanjnre, both of whom clam Karkāla as ther ancestor, 18 not clear After a more or less mdependent rale in Rēninda for about a century or troo, the Cholas of Cuddapah appear to have dispersed Some of them became subordınate to the Western Chinlnkyas who conqnered and occapied the northern portion of tho Pallava country a collateral branch appears to have adventared further north to soek servec in Chakrakotn under the Nāgavamśi kng [Dhārāvarsha] Jagadēkabhūshana-Mahārāja (A D 1060-61) ${ }^{3}$ In the 12th and 13th centurics there flourished in the Guntar, Nollore, North Alcot, Caddapah and Chingleput districts inflnential kngs of the Telnga-Chōla (now changed into Teluga-Choda) family who owed allegıance to the Käkatiyas of Warangal ${ }^{4}$ The Käkatijas themselves trace then descent from the snn and connt among their mythical ancestors the ancient king Karihala-Cubla ${ }^{5}$ In the Anantapur distract aud the bordenng Kanarese country there floumshed also a branch of these Cholas Even as late as the 16 th centary A D, Chola chnefs with the traditionary legend of descent from Karkāala and losdship over the ancient town of Uraryür ${ }^{6}$ are found servisg as viceroys under Vijayanagard rulers

## TEXT. 7

## First Plate

1 8Jayatı dhrita-chandra rêkh[ö] vi[pal-ī]mala-täraka[h] subh-āloka[h] [i*] gagana-
2 m=iva snprasanna[h Tri]pnra-pratima[l*]la-kamta-hă[gam]rah ${ }^{9}$ || Dıakara-kula-Manda-
${ }^{1}$ Madras Epugraphical Report for 1905, p 49
${ }^{2}$ A Kanarese record (No 350 of 1905) of the Western Chiluhy a hing Tribhavanamnild Tikramäditya VI dated in Saka 1046 ( $=$ A D 1124-25) mentıons the Jfahämandalēfara Atyana Chölamahiriaja whose enlogy begins with the very same passage as in the earher Chöla records of the Cuddapah distriet ander rcfercnce Pedda mndıyam, the village from wheh it eomes, is described as "the jewel of villages, the great agrahãra Mrndırèma, where resided one hnndred and fifty pions (Brähmanas) who were well versed an Vōlas and Purinas, m penance and in devotion to Närayana and who were the lotus tanh (ns it werc), in wheh the Mahärijañhrija Vishnuvardhana and others had their birth" The last adjanct 15 explaned by Mr Ramay a Pantnla to be a elear reference to the birth of Vishnnvardhana at Mindivèmu mentioned in the my thological aecount of the later Eastcrn Challukya eopper platis

3 Mfadras Epigraphzcal Report for 1909, p 112 * Mfadras Epıgraphical Report for 1900, p 17 f
${ }^{3}$ 2bid, p 106, paragraph 44
6 The title 'lord of Unary ūr (Oreyūr)' nssnmed by the Telugn Cheda ehnefs occurs for the first time in the Bastar reeord of Chandrädıtyadêva of the Ilth century AD Earlier Chōla anscriptıons from Cnddapalh do ant apeak of Orey ūr

7 From the original plates ${ }^{9} \Delta$ fnint syinbol for $O_{m}$ is visible at tine beginung of the line.

- Metre Äryā Gitı Read -kenthagō hārah The nujectives which quahfy hārah 'the nechlaee' (of Śva), are appheable also to gagana 'the sky' to wheh the ncehlaee is compared In the first caso the nechlaee 18 made up of beads resembling the digit of tive moon and of pearls big and bright, it is also auspicionsly briliant and flanless The shy is the seat of the digit of the moon, full of big and brigbt stars, full also of good lustre shed by the stars and clear It may be noted that Chandrahāra in Kanaresc means 'a nechlacc of flat moon like (circular) gold beads?

Malepadu plates of Punyakumard, the fifth year


N
$22 \pi$

$\infty$


| 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

4 dy-anēh-ätáaya-kārnah traırajya-sthitım=ātmabāt=kritavatah Ka-
5 rıkălasy=ănvayo Kasyapa-gotrah Nandivarmmā nāma nrıpatıra ablavat [1*]
6 Tasya traja[s*]=[sn̄]narah [Sımha]vishnu[s*]-Sundarana[ndo] Dhanamjayavarmmoēti [ ${ }^{*}$ ] Tē [pu]-
 Sccond Plate, Fierst Side
nah putrah parpripta-Chōla-Maharāja-sabdah [Śabda-śāgtr-ā]-dy-anēka-päragah Pāndya-Chōla-Kēralānたmaadhıatıh [1"] Trsya śr-Manditas̃lăkshara-Navarām-ūdj-anika-nāmadhēja-
Mahēndra-sama-vikimmasa Mahēndravikramavarmmanah patrah Ganamuditō nāma nrıpatir=a[bha]vn[t] [1*] Tasya priyo bhrātā Pö" rmakharama-Purashaśãrdūla-Mrardavachitta-Madanavilas-ādy-anē-
ka-nãmad̉hēyah śrimã[n=Pu]nyakumãrō nãma nçpatıran-
Sccond Plate, Second Sude
[bha]rn[t] II Assa Hiranya-rāshtra-[6a]hita[nosva]-räshtra-nivāsinas=bs-
 na-vijaya-rãjya-6amvatearē pañchame vartiomãne Kartil-
 Ātrēya-[gð]trajya [Chz]ruvana[hala]-Kēs̄avasarmmanē Eıra-пуa-rīshtrē Supra[yō]ga-nndyā dakchıñ-tırō Bırapāru-nāma.


Therd Plate
mänōna dvà-pañchavimśati-nvarttanol kshētro sarvpo-
 sadbhıś=cha paripāhtam [1*] ētím na nivarttantē pürva-raja-hritanı cha || Sva-dattim para-dattam vã yo havēta vasurdharim [1*] shashti-varsha-sahasrīpl v1shthāyām [jāyatē] krımıh || Svastz go-brāhmaṇōbhyah |||

POSTSCRIPT
TEXT.4
Trast IFace
1 (2) Svastr Sri-Chōla-Ma-
2 h[ā]rajãhiraja pa-
3 ramēSvara Vıkramãđi-
4 tya Saktikomars Vi-
5 kramādıt[ $\boldsymbol{J}^{*}$ ]ula kodak[a-
6 1] Eãsyapa-g[0]tru-
7 [nra] Satyaditunru Siddio
8 [vey]u Rēnenda-ēlu[vē-

[^262]```
1a] Eluchnnr1 \({ }^{1}\) Fo[ma-
пn]para-Rēvaśa-
[rmma] Kīşapn.go-
\([t] r 1(\mathrm{tra}) \mathrm{m}(\mathrm{ni}) k i\) ichchina.
        Chirumbūrı utta-
        4s \(\operatorname{tu}(t \pi) r p a n n ~ d i s a\)
        [d]n Juggi-poln-ga[ru].
su da[ksh1]na-[1h́n \(]\).
            paita [ma]
                Sccond Faco
can marntru-gã-
nu tagırı [ \(\left|\left.\right|^{*}\right] \quad \operatorname{De}\left(d_{1}\right)_{1}\)
salpınavämka
\(\nabla C-g n[1] \operatorname{lnva} v e-s c-\)
```



```
rin milpinam" panzam-
bu [1*]diuski vahrambu
vachchuva(चā)nrn purra-va-
dyct \((\mathrm{dh} a)-\mathrm{sin} 1-\mathrm{vad} \mathrm{ga}(\mathrm{dh} \Omega)-[\mathrm{go}-\mathrm{va}]\) -
dya(dha)-pañcha-man [ha-
pu]talañ \(=\operatorname{ch} \bar{c} s[n a]\).
ván loha[m][bn.
\(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{a}] \mathrm{n}\left[\mathrm{d} \mathbf{a}^{*}\right]\) ชūnra
```

TRANSLATION.

Hall! Satyadıtunru (Satyāditya) of the Kasyapa gotra, son of Saktikomara Vikramaditya (and grandson of) the great lord, the glomons Chōla-Mahārājādhurāja Vikramāditya, while raling the Sidah one thousand and the Rēnanda soven thousand (dtsiricts), gave to Rēvaśarmma of the Käsyapa-gztra, (a restdent)' of Komaripara, five marutru (of land) at Chirumbüra . on the north side . east side . the boundary of the fields of Juggi ; (on) the south side To him that maintans this (charity), (shall accrue) the ment of establishing a thonsand temples, a thonsand tanks (and) a thonsand villages' He that obstructs this, shall hive in the world of him who commits the five great sins (such as) the marder of a son, the marder of a woman (and) the murder of a cow!

[^263]
## Malepadu stone inscription of Satyaditya



## INDEX․
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|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Edenād, $d_{\imath}$, • • • - 5 | Ghöri, Muhammad, Ghör l, . . . 72 |
| En-ool-Moolk Mooltany, Muhammedan ch, . 77 | Ghösã hi, vr., . . . . . 22,25 |
| Ékolha, 02. | -Ghösha, names endzng 2n, . . . 104 |
| Elañchōlamahādēvı, Chöla qucen, . . 343 | Ghülkhëta, vz . . . . 277, 278, 280 |
|  |  |
| Era-Kırshnapa Nayaha, ch, - . 329 | Giddamaligtni, fietd, - 329, 335 |
| Erayabishta, brāhınar, . . 318 | Giñjaka, brähman, . . . . 175, 180 |
| Erıga, Dhanañjaya, Chōla chı, . . 813 | Gırijüdevì quecn of Pünapuhsha, . . 44 |
|  | Gödãinì, vz . . 306, 308, 311 |
| F | gôdhūmapakra, . . . . . 56 |
| flesh eating in Marwar, - . . 44 | Goggrha, brākman, . . . 175, 180 |
| fractions, how denoted, . . . 55 | Goharwa, vi, . - 139, 141 |
|  | Göhsha, m, . . . 8 I |
|  | Gönuryabhatta, $m$, - . 197 |
| G | Gonna, m, . . . 185, 197 |
| gàda, | Gool Behısht, Mruharmedan slave girl, - 77 |
| Gädhpura, $\delta$ a. Kapyaku | Gōpr, brähman, . . . 175, 179, 180 |
| Gähadavãla, dy, | Göpādhyaha, do, . . . . 179 |
| gajapah, bıruda, • - . 25,141 | Görasatta, dtı, . . . 148, 163 |
| Gajasiha, $m$, - . . - | gōtras,- |
| Gajastmha, $m$, . ${ }^{\text {a }}$, ${ }^{66}$ | Ātıȩ̄a, . . . 334, 339,346 |
| Gajasımha, Chāhamäna prınce, 44, 71, table opp 78 | Andhol $Q_{1}$ $\cdot$ <br> $\quad$. 354,359 |
| Galapalyädyŭ, $n$, • ${ }^{\text {a }}$, 32 | Aupasvasti, . <br> 112,114  |
| gämaüd, $\quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad 343 n 1$ | Bhäradvāja, $\quad 96,155,157,158,222,277,318$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Ganabhata, bräkman, } \\ \text { Ganapayazobarra, } m \text {, } & \text { - }\end{array}$ | Herito |
| Ganapayãohârya, $m$, | Jūvāh, - 109, 111 |
|  | Кӑ¢̆јара, 98, 153, 318, 319, 329, 335, 398, |
| Gandatrınētra, Vaıdumba $k$, . . 235 | 339, 343 n 3, 345, 348 |
| Ganga, Esatern, $d y$. | Kaundinya, . . . 94, 141, 818 |
| Gaiga, Western $d y$, . ${ }^{\text {a }}$, 238, 238, 239, 343 | Kauǵka, . . . . 81, 318 |
| Gangā, $r$. |  |
| Gangaihondaśobaņ, Chotla prince, , . . 293 | Eandilya, . . . 106, 108, 318,319 |
| Gangakondaśôlan, sur of Rājēndra Chōla $1,203{ }^{2} 3$ | Erivatea, . . . . 318 |
| Ganga-Pallava, $d y, \quad 157,222,229 \mathrm{f}, 234,237$ | Vachchba, Vatsa, . . 300, 303, 307 |
| Gängēyadōrs, Falachurı k, . . 141, 146 | Vasshtbs, 181, 183 |
| Ganna, Dhänyavąit $k$, . . 315, 323 | Gōvardhanasvāmin, brāhman, . . . 281 |
| Ganudapata, Ganntapata, $d_{2 .}$. - . 96 | GōvaEarman, do, e e 175, 180 |
| Gararàta, m, . . . 32 | Görands, do, - . 306, 311 |
| Garulaka, $d y$, . . . 17,19 | Gövinda, minzster, . . . . 277, 280 |
| Gatar Dēr, 8 a Kannhdadêra, Châhamãna | Gövinda, Rāshtrakū̄a chn. . . $71 n$ |
| prance . . . . . 77 | Gōvindachendra, Gähadaväla k, . $21,23,25$ |
| gatha, . . . . . $343 \pi 1$ | Gōvınđarāja, Räshtrakūta ch, . . 277, 280 |
| Gauda kayastha, . . . . 39 | grȧmakaţa, . . . . $343 \mathrm{nt.1}$ |
| Gandasmmanlh, v2, . . . . . 2001 | gräsa, . . . . 32,64 |
| Gayakarna, Kalachurik, . . . 146 | gaal-byed, Trbetan letters, . . 267, 269, 270 |




| Pagb |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Kamban Màrambāval, Adggal, queen of | Katuka, brālman, |
| allavatzlaka Nandıarman, • . 157 | Katababhultivshaya, $d_{z}$, 281, 283 |
| Kanakūchala, s a Küñchanagru, mo, - 74 | Kattangūn Gangādharabhatta, brähmtn, 318 |
| Kañohanagirl, mo - . - 54,74 | Kattangūrı Sınganārya, do, |
| Kañŏhaǵlă, vt . . 148, 1 ̄3 | Katodèra, s a. Katakaràja, Chähamanat zo, 34, |
| Kandanarōln, for̀t, . . 328,330 | Katuha, $m$, |
| Kïndrürı Sıngaya-Eishta, brîhman, . 318 |  |
| Kandakūra, vz . . . 342 |  |
| Kãnhadadè, sa Kānhadadēra, son of Sāmantasimha, | Kangámbamandalh, $d_{2}$, . . . I4I <br> Knusümbapattalā, $d_{2}$, . . . 141 |
| Kandadadēra, son of Sāmantasımba, Chāha- | Kanśämbl, $v$, . . . 92 |
| dprince, . . 6, 77, 78 10th table | Kavadısvàmın, brāhmar, . . . 281 |
| Kīnhaḍadèrs, son of Tejjasımha, don table opp 78 |  |
| Kı̄nhăha, $n$, - • - . . 65 | Kūvōri, 7 , . . . 338ff |
| Kanur Dov, $s a$ Künharadēra, son of Sāmantasimhs, |  |
| Kanyar Agnıáarman, m, . 224 | Kēdara, $m$, . . . . 197 |
| Kanyakibja, vi, . . . 26, 306, 311 | Kēdãru, m, . . . 39 |
| Krpardopū̃hyāya, brähman, . 197 | Këlnna, son of Ranavira, Chähamäna prınce, . 79 |
| Kaplatirtha, placc, . . 277, 280 | Këlhapa, son of Ālhana, Chähdmãna.k, .44, |
| karada, . . . 109,111 | 51, 67, 71, $71 n 8,72,73$, |
| Karahḕz̄, vi , - . . . 77 | table opp, 78 |
| Karam, m, - 92 | Këlhans, Rāshtrakǜta, . . . 47 |
|  | Kêrala, co, - 294, $339,841,345$ |
| Karan, Rāi, s a Kēlhana, son of Ālbṇ̣a, Chähamãna $k$, | lere, $\operatorname{tank}$, kēn, strecta |
|  | kenl, strect, - - 2 ml |
| karanattūn, . . . . 292 | Kēsann, $d y$, - . . . 103 |
| Karañjamalayn, $v_{1}$, - 277, 279, 280 | Kếava, brähman, . . . . 1 ¢̧ 9 |
| Karapadra, vr, . . - 188 | TKésara, do, . . . . 318 |
| Karr, ru, . . . . . $233 n 3$ | Kèsavan Sēudapprižn, m, . . . 29 |
| Kerrkela, Chblat $k, \quad 233 \mathrm{nc} 3,239,3394$ | Kéaravaśshṭa, brāhman, |
| Kürikala, Āditga II, Chōla k, - . $293 n 8$ | Kha-ba so, Trbetan officals, . . . 275 |
| Karippuram, $v_{2}$, . . . 298 | Khādısira, field, |
| Karka, minster, . . . 222 | Khaıkhannalavūdī, vard, - 38 |
| Karpadēra, Kalachurı $k, \quad 140,141,146,188$ | Khanda, brā̆hman, . . . 175,179 |
| Karnatirtha, place, . . . 141 | Khandsbhēdaka, tank, . . . . 81 |
| Karna Traiohyamalla, Ohaulutya $k_{\text {, }}$, | Khandıkshêtra, vı , . . . 198 |
|  | Khandalsbstras, Malupadi, vz, . . 2014 |
| karpathha, prlgrm, - . . 38 | Khantri, 014 . . . . 300, 311 |
| Kıarpüradhërà, vm - . - 65 | khattaka, giche, . . . 30 |
| Karivar, v̌., . . . . . 293, 245 | Khavadi, for, . . . 306, 308, 311 |
| Kusabrada, vi, . . . 74,78 | Khavada, vı, . . . . 210 |
| Kasalodadurhaya, $d_{2}$, . . . $103 \mathrm{nc} 1,200^{\text {a }}$ | Khavato, 8 a Khavada, . . . 210, 212 |
| Kësappudaya, ch, - . 389, 380 | Khēda, $z_{1}$, . . . 74, 73 |
| Kinafichaydama, woork by Śrimputha, , . 315 | Khelãartya, minıster, - . . . 44 |
|  | Khêmakrapan, $m$, - - 98 |
| Kौ大ámīra, con, - . . . 140 | Khod-oo-stan, minister, . . . 274 |
| kassapa, Sin, Buddhist mank, . 118,420 | Khoksskn, brähman, - . 175, 179 |
|  | ELtrobzer-ha-mithon, mmister, . . . 274 |


|  | Kōsala, s, $a$ Kōsala, P Pann |
| :---: | :---: |
| Kıkkaha, $m$, . .106, 109, 110, 112, 114 | Kotaladéáa, s a Kösala • . 199,290 |
| Kilhalakūrram, dı, . . 295 | Kōsalasākhangadyanhãvshaya, di, 200 |
| Kıllı-Valavan, Chōla h, . . 239 n 3 | Kōsambapattalü, s. a Kauśmbapattalã, di, . 141 |
| Kilvembanādu, $d_{2}$, . . . 295 | Kottabhañja, Orussa eh, |
| Kira, con, . . . . 141 | Kottaisūr, vz , . . . . 298 |
| kırāduañ, . 42 | Kottam, vr , . . . 298 |
| Kiranūr, vi., . . . 298 | Kuttikuldarāja, ch, - . 339,341,345 |
| Kırātakūpa, vı, 43, 44, 70, 72,73 | Kôtyãérama, placc, |
| Kirtpā̄la, son of Älhana, Chāhamāna $h, \quad 40$, 53, 71, 72, 73, talle opp 78, 79 |  |
| Kirtıpila, son of Māladēva, Châhamāna prınce, 78, tablc opp 78 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Köyalur, s a Kōyāttūr, . . . . } \\ \text { brama, foot, } & 235 \\ 53\end{array}$ |
| Kitapāla, s a Kirtıpala, son of Mīladēva, . T8 | $\text { Krãñjı }, 22 \text {, . . } 298$ |
| 8 Kirtıpāla, son of Ālhana, tabic opp 78,70 | Krihudapadra, vz , - 306, 311 |
| Kitaka, s. a Kïrtıpala, son of Māladēra, 78 | Krishna, $m$, |
| Klu-bzan, menister, - . 275 | Krishna II, Räshtrahûta h, • 233, 235 |
| Klu-bzan-myes-rma, do , - . . 275 | Krıshnadeva, Krıshnarāja, Paramārach, |
| Ködhaka, $m$, <br> . <br> - . 81 <br> Kṑ Ghōsha, | Krıshnāmbā, queen of Rangaràya II, . 328, 333 |
|  | Krisliņpa Nāyaka, eh, - 329 |
| $\text { burık, . . . } 141$ | Krıshnāpuram, 2z, . . . 329, 335 |
| Komaripāra, vi,. . . . 346 | Krishnayasıshta, brahman - 318 |
| Kömatindra, Reddr ch, - 314, 320, 325 | Kubjavishnuvardhana, E Chälukya k, - 340 |
| Kōmatıpèma, s a Pedda Kōmatı Vēma, Rcddz ch, author of a commcntary on Amaru, . 314 | Ludh, - - <br> Kulachandra, m.,   |
| Kommäpıra, vr, . . . 200 | Kulauppalūı, v2., • . . . . 224 |
| Könādu, vz, . 295, 297 | Kulamarame vi, . , - 233 n 3 |
| Konarāni-lrōtala-konga, Z $\quad$ rıuda of Rangarāya II, . . 328, 334, | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { KulaśéLhara, Pāndya prince, } & 240 \\ \text { KulaÉbhara, Jntāvarman, Pānḍa k, . } & 261\end{array}$ |
| Kondahūra, vz , . . . 341 | Kulaéêkhara Mahēbalı Vānarāyar, Pāndyd |
| Kondavidu, vt , . . 315, 328, 333 | prnnce . . . 240 n.5 |
| Kondurı Trppayaśshta, לrähman, . 318 | Kulaśēhars, Mãravarmen, Pāndyak, . 262, 263 |
| Könërımaihondān, Tribhuvanaohabravartın, Pāndyak, | Kulaśelhara, Maravarman I, do , . . 136, 263 |
| Kōnērımēlhondàn Jatavarman Sundara- | Kulasēlshara, Tribhuvanachakravartın, do, 133, 137 |
| Pēntya, do, - . 13 | Kulöttunga Chṑa I, Chola k, 241ff, 293 n 8 |
| Konganivarman, progenttor of TV Ganga dy, 233 | Kulottunga Chōa II, do , . . 244, 287ff. |
| Köngödamandala, co, . 281ff | Kulōttunga Chöla 1II, do . . . 123, 124, 24,4fr |
| Kongonvarman, Nitımārga, W, Gangari, 233, | Kumal ood-deen, Muhammedan general 7 \% |
| 236, 237 | Kumārabhadra, brähvian . 180 |
| Kongunivarman Permanadı Rajjamalla, do, 235, 236 | Kumaradēvī, queen of Gōvindachandra . 22 |
| Konganavarman Rājamalla, Satyavākya, do, 237 | kumārāmãtya, . . 176 |
| Kong-u-t'o, とo, - 283 | Kumãrapāla, Chāhamāna k, • 43 |
| Konhaledda, vz , . 198 | Kumérapala, Chaulukya $\lambda$, . . 48, 54, 70, 71 |
| Konlana, co, . . 181, 182 | Kumāraśarman, brāhman . . 106, 108 |
| Forakodru, vi, - 329, 335 | KamāraEarman, do, . . 179 |
| Fōrôvı, 2 , . . 298 | Eumārasımha, Guhila ch, , 74 |
| Kōsala, co , . . 96, 102, 103, 185 | Kumera Vēdagirı Nāynda, Telugotır ch, . 317 |


| Kummarllapalh, vr . Prer PıGE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| nayaíıshṭa, brāhman, . 318 | Läpùdavishaya, $d_{2}$, . . 201 |
| Kundavachchērı, suburb, . . . 298 | Lavanakhēda, $v 2$. . . 306, 312 |
| Kundavralyăr, queen of Baxnavidyãdhara 234, 237 | Lavanaprasüda, Väghēla k, - 75, 76 |
| Kundi, 8 a Gundlakamma . 316,316 22 | Lavanyakarna, Chähamãna pronce, . table opp 78 |
| Kundür, vz | Lêlérıngā, $v_{2}$, . . 101, 198 |
| Kuntala, co, . . . 141 | Letyāmengaan, Burmese $\mathfrak{Z}$, . 118, 119 |
| Kurapadra, vt . . . 185, 196 | Lėnapura, ${ }^{2}$, . . . 201 |
| Eutila, alphabet . . . . 268 | $\mathrm{L}_{1}-$ by $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{L} 1 \times \mathrm{j} 1 \mathrm{n}$, Indzan teacher, - 266, 267, 269 |
| kutumbin . . 343 | Līl̄̄dēvī, quecn of E Chälukya Bhimadèva II, |
| Kuraravibara, tc, | 74, table opp 78 |
| Kyanzitha, Pagan , - . . 118 | Lingamanāyodu, Vclugoty ch, . 316 |
| Kуamzma, do, . . . 118 | lon crest, . . . 337 |
|  | Iupatungā, vr, . . . . 198 |
| I | Ti-jul, co, E Turkestan, $\quad 269$ |
| ligh, cess, . . 42, 59 | Lơisarä, vi, . . 201 |
| Lagha, m, . - 92 |  |
| Lakhamana, Förrpadyaka ch, 48 | Łökamüdêychchērı, suburb, . . 298 |
| Lakhamidhara, $m$, 61 <br> Läkhans, s a Lakshmana, Chähamäna 67,79 | Lumbha, s - Lainavarmon, Chähamãa ch, |
| akhanspalha, son of Eirtip | Lumbhā, $n$, . 62 |
| ch, . 49, 50, 74, table opp 78 | Lūnavarmsn, Chähamāna ch, table opp 78 |
| Lahhmanu, $m$, . . . . . 92 | Lundha, s a Luntıga, do, . . table opp 78 |
| Lrakhmidãs, $m$, - . . 92 | Lūnign, s a Lävanyaprasâda, do, . table opp 78 |
| Lakhāmbikā, queen of Rāmarüja I of $\nabla_{1 j a y a-~}^{\text {a }}$ ragara, . . . 328, 331 | Luntga, do, . . . table opp 78 Lupattarïkhanda, $d_{l}$, . . |
| Lahshmana, m, . . 35 | Luttarumā, vi , - . 201 |
| Lakshmana, Chāhamāna $h, 67$, table opp 78, 305, 306, 309 | M |
| Lakshmana, son of Vijada Dasasyandana, |  |
| Luakshmanabhatta, לrāhrman, . . 318 | Mãchdḕva, Mäohıya, Mächyarasa, Mätüra |
| Lakshmaņarājadēva, Kalachuri k, . . 140 | ch, . . . . 5 |
| Inkshmaṇasımba, s a Jakshmana, Chähamänd | Madansbrahmadēra, Chaulukya feudatory, 72, 73 |
| $k, . \quad$ - . 65,79 | Mıdanapūla, Gāhadavăla $k$, . .23, 25 |
| Lrakshmapasvāmin, god, . 27 | Madanavlāsa, sur of Pupyakumãra, 339, 341, 345 |
| Lakshmi, figured on seal, . . . . 140 | Maddadi, vz , . - 29 |
| Lakbhmì, queen of Nāgarāja, . $68 n 3$ | Medêrı Adıgal, $s$ a Mahadevn Adıgal, queen of |
| Lakshmidēvì, queen of Chāhamüna Chāchıga, | Bāna Vūnavidyadhars, 223, 228 |
| 77, table opp 78 | Mādhava, $m$, - . . 65 |
| Imkshminarasmbabhatta, brāhmañ, . . 318 | MEdhava, brãhman . . 317, 325 |
| Inaltēnkura, s. a Mahëndravarman I., | Madharabhatta, do, - . . 317, 325 |
| Pallava k, 338 | Mièdhavāchūrya, s a Mexdhavabhatta, do, . 317 |
| Langaka, do . . . . . 300,303 | Mädhava Mattarasa, W Garga k, . . 233 |
| Lantsha, alphabet, . . 266, 267, 268, 270 f | Mádbavarija, Śazlōdbhavg ch, - . 283 |
| Mpaniya, . . . . 48 | Mādhavārya, brälman - . . . 318 |
| Lata, co, . . . . 140 | Mêdhara Sōmếlyãr, m, . . . . 298 |
| Latahrads, a Ratazhrada, vi . . 44 | Madhavaspamin, brähman . . 281 |
| Lataragade, s. a Latalirada, vi., . . 43, 4470 | Madhavavarman Sardyabhita, Sarlodbhava ch, 282 |


| Page | Page |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mādhavèndra, legendary ŚaslôdUhava ch, . 284 | Mühärūshţri, Prährzt . . . . 105 |
| Madhuhāmūrn@ทa, E Ganga $\lambda$, 162 | Mahāsanghıa, Mahüsaughyos, Buddhzst |
| Madhupqpaih, vi, . . . 149, 163 | sect, . . . 210,211 |
| Mrdhurāntaha, sur of Ottamachōla, - 293 \% 8 | Mahasima, Tiramdera, Trakalinga $\chi$, 187, 187n 3 |
| Madharūntohachaturvēdımangala, vz , - 165 | Maluisivagapta, do . . . 94, 187 |
| Madhusü iana, brāhman . . . 107 | Mahăśragapta Dalarjana, do, . 185, 186, 187 |
| Madhnvèlha, vz , . . . 185, 188, 196 | 188, 195 |
| Madhyadėśa, co, . . . 109 | Mahasivaguptarājadèra II, do, . . . 96 |
| Madbyamarāja, Śazlōduhava ch , 281, 282, 283, 285 |  |
| Madhsnā, vi, . . 106, 108 | Mnhūsndēva, Sarabhapura ch, . . 186n. |
| Madarai, vz, - 245,240 | Mahattara, - . . . 176,300 |
| Magadamaṇiala, $d_{z}$, . . . 240 | Mahévah, s a. Mrahabah, sur, of Bāna kinge |
| Magadapperumāl, Viramāgadan Rājarujadêvan | Mahāralı Binamas, Bāna $\lambda, \quad 233,234$ n, 1 |
| Ponparappıñ̄n, Bāna ch, . . . 238 | Mahāvalt Bapamsa Vihramatity Banakan- |
| Magadēzan Ponparappuarãna-hōvadaraiyar, do, 239 | darpa Jayamèra, do, . . 235 |
| Magadésan Vānahulöttaman, do, . . 239 | Mahūvalı Vannarasa Bünatidydhara, do, . 235 |
| Magadka, co , . 185, 188, 195, 328, 341 | Mahavalı Vequmsa Vijajüditya Vimohalamani |
| Magadha, Western, dy, . . 185 | Prabhuméra, do, . . $230{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Magam, co, - 239,240 | Maberalı Vānaraja, do, - . 235 |
| Mahäbalı, legendary Bāna ancesior, . 227 ff | Maherrali Vãdarija, Vijayiditya, do, . 225 |
| Mahöbah Vänüdarā̧a, Sundarattoludaya, | Nahävil Vünuinrāya, Muttarasa Tirnmalai, do, 240 |
| Bānach, | Mabāpul Pãndurãja, Sundaratō, do, . 240 |
| Mahabalı Vărädharāya Näyaka, do., . . 210 | Mahāpira templo at Satyapum, polluicd by |
| Mahäbalı Vānarūyar, Alagandär, do, . 240 n 5 | Alio-ud-din, . . . . . 78 |
| Mahz̈balı Vanarŭya, Kulaséthara, Pāndya <br> prince, • • $240 n 6$ |  |
| Mahäblı Vānaraya, Vūnardyādhara, Bäna k, 226 |  |
| Mahābalı Vānarūsa, Vıjayūditya, do, . 227 | Mahēndravarmın, Mahēndravikrama, do , 338, 341 |
| Mshäbhavagapta, Trukalıṅga $h$, . 188 | Mahēndravikramavarman, Chōla ch, 339, 341,345 |
| Mahäbhavagapta I Janamėjaga, do, . 93, 96, | Mahēadss, m, . . . . 92 |
| 103, 187, 188 | Mahésfara Kramavittags $\mathrm{m}_{\text {, . . . . . } 298}$ |
| Mahübharagapta II Bhimaratha, do, 186 n 3,187 | Mahëfvarasiu, $m$, . . . . 38 |
| Mahābödh, te, . . . . . 118 | Mshüthh, ví, see Sahêth Mrahêth, |
| Mahñdēta, mznister, . . . . . 43 | Mahibalsdèn, qucen of Chāhamana Kölbana, |
| Mahādēvı Adıgał, queen of Büra Băquqıdjādbara, . . . . . . 227 | Mahichandra, Gähadavāla th, 49, 73, table opp 78 |
| Mahadū, brāhman, . . . . . 38 | Mahidara, m, . . . . . 48 |
| Mahada $\overline{\text { a }, ~ m, ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~} 48$ | Mahında, $s$ a, Mahēndra, Chähamara ג, 68, 305 |
| M\&h屯̄ıcha, brāhman . . . . 38 | Mahipāla, s a. Dēraıajn, Paramāra k, . 68 |
| Mahāharttiki, - . . 227, 280 | Mrahiradōra, לrähman . . . 106 |
| Mahakō̃ala, co . . . . 184, 186 | mahisa (read mahiga), . . . . 210 |
| Mrahenadi, 77 , . . 96,189, 199 | Mrhiya, veell . . . . . . 33 |
| Mahansiha, $m$, . . . . . 5G | Mohōdadhı, m., . . . 98 |
| Ifshanasiha, $m$, - . . . . 61 | Matraka, dy, 17, $80,108,110,113,115,117,178$ |
| Mahanssumha, s a Menarasimha, Chanamāna ch, . . table opg 78,79 |  <br>  |
| Mahands Rāra, s a Mąhendra, Chähamăna ch., 78 | Mgkara, co, . . . . . 299,240 |
| Mabara, co, . . . . . 238,290 | Mraladēva, Chähamão prywce, . 78 and tablo |
|  |  |




| Pas | Pabs |
| :---: | :---: |
| Natrōtaha, et , . . . . 81 | $\mathrm{O}_{\text {sxll }}$ Banza class, . . . . 61 |
| Navagramakabhakts, $d_{t}$, - 85 | Oodya-Sz, s a Udayasmha, Chähamāna te, 75 |
| Navaräms, sur of Mahēndraviltamnvarman, 339, 341, 345 | P |
| navarātra, festıval, . . $302 n$ | Padnma, m, . . . 68 |
| Nururakr, brāhman, - . 175, 180 | Padamz Si, s a Padmasımha, Chähamäna k, 79 |
| Nüryakadērio $f$, . . 60,61 | pā̃, woard, . . . . 38 |
| Nechchoranäda, $d_{z}$, | Padmalếram, god, . . . . 39 |
| Nedumndikkill, Chōla h, . . 233 | Psdmanăbha, brähman, - 318 |
| Nehr Dew, s a Kūnhadudèra, Chähamãna $\lambda, 77$ | Padmasımba, Chähamãna k, $73 n 6$, table opp 78 |
| Nēmiśrara, Taduch, . . 69 | Padràda, vi, . - 29 |
| Nènsi, Mūtü, chron:clcr, - 74, 77, 78 | Pahini, $m$, . . 48 |
| Nêtrrbhnñja Kalyãnakalasa, Orrssa ch, . 99 | pasia, teetght, - . . 41, 59,64 |
|  | pasécha, drum, . . . 232 |
|  | Pahnnăan, $d_{t}$, - . . $316 n 2$ |
| Nildintmo - . . 329, 336 | Pakansty, brāhman section, - 316,316n2 |
| Nimba, pond, . . . . 81 | Paknntit, Reddt section, - . 316 |
| Nımbădıṫa, brähman . . . 300, 304 | Păhavishaya, $d_{2}$, . . $316 n 2$ |
| Nimbagana, do, . - 300, 303 | Enâkambina, do . - . 316 |
| Nimbsi, 01, . . . . . 298 | paln. measure . . . 30 |
| Nimbakāps, $d_{z}$, . . . . 81 | Palaiñ, vt, . . . . 2, 4,6 |
| Nimpar, $n$, . . . . 63 | Pnlavir, do,. . . . . . . 2 |
| nirväpa, dole, | Pälht m, . . . . . 32,51 |
| Nushadhă, vi, - . . - 306, 311 | Pali, v2, - . . . 73 |
| nıstranulkheppa hattas . . . . 60 | palhã, measure . . . . . 35 |
| Nitumstra, Tf Ganga prace, - . 237 | Palttana, vz, - . - . 16 |
| Nitımarga Kongonivarman, sur of W Ganga | Pallava, $d y$, . 222, 229, 233, 284, 236, 338ff. |
| Ranarikrama . . . 233, 236,237 | Pallavadhirãja, Pallava $k$, . . . . 237 |
| Nittavinodachohêri, suburb, . . 298 | Pallavakulatalaka, bıruda . . - . $15 \%^{\circ}$ |
| nivartana, land measure, . . . 280 n .4 | Pallavamalla, sur of Pallava Nandivarman, |
| Nolamba, $d y$, 235, 236, 340 n 5 | 166, 157, 229, 234 |
| Nolamba, Irima, $h$, - 238 | Psllaratilaka, famsly . 155 (-tzlata), 167. 158 |
| Nolamba, Mahēndradhırāja, Pallava h, . 236, 237 | Paluhūr, $v_{t}$, . . 329, 335 |
| Nolanmbīdhıйja, do, 293, 298, 237 | Pamarūja, Nägdä ch., . - . $73 n 6$ |
| Nolambzıtaka Märnsimha, TF Ganga pronce 4 | Pümbunărı, vt, - 295, 297 |
| Nupatanga Vilramavarman, Ganqa-Pallava | Pampssarsī, vi, - . 198 |
| $k$, 157, $222226,227,229,230,234,295,237$ | Pämsila, $m$, . . . 36 |
| Nrismha, Säluva ch, - . 328, 330 | Panäka, $m_{\text {, }}$, - 96 |
| nś, vortten instead of mŝ, . . 17, 174, 219 | pañohamíbal, . . . . . 60 |
| ns, voritten instead of mas, . . 115, 140, 174 | Pandimanisla, $d_{t}$, - 293 |
|  | Panditarüdhynchantramu, voork by Grinäths 315 |
| 0 | Pandya, $d y, 132,140,233 n 3,237,210,245$ |
| Odayaspriga, vz ,. . . . . 101 | 246, 253ff , 299, 294, 399, 341, 345 |
| Odayaŕrngă, do, - 198 | Paüjavanmïdêviohchêrı, suburb, . 298 |
| Oddıyarā̧a, $k$, - \% . 828,39s | Päpargalam ur, - . . 284 |
| Orira, co, . . . . 103 | Parahessarivarman, Chöla k, - $154 n 3$ \& 4 |
| Odradéśn, do, - . . . . . 199 | Parakeesurvarman Kulôturiga Chōla III, |
| Onga rrי, . . . 101, 198 | do, . . . . 244, 245 |
| Ohgtatavishaya, di, . . . . . 198 | Parukèrarivarman Rājaríja II, do, - .122f, |



Parakēsarıvarman Vikrama-Chöla, do, 12lf, 244
Parakēgarıvarman Virarăjē̄dradēva, s. a Ku-
löttanga Chöla III, do , . . . 184
Paräkramapānḑa, Jaṭāvarman, Pāndya $k$,, 264
Paramãra, $d y$, . 65, 68,74, $76 n 1,181,307$
Paramı Ped̉'Ǵsishta, brīhman, . 318
Parantaha I, Chōla h, $154 n 2,3,157,222$.
$238,293 n 8,294 n 2$
Parasurāman, ch, . . . . 342
Parásurämígrara, god, 223, 224, 228
Paralur, s a Palarūr, vz,
Parıgıpura, 8 a Parivpura, vi, . $231 n^{2} 7$
Panglpura, do, . . . . 231
Pariksbit, legendary ancestor of $\nabla_{讠}$ aya-
nayara dy,
-
parisara, area, - • • $81 n 3$
Patrivas $_{1} d_{0}$, , • • • • - 231
Parimpara, vz, - . . . $23 G, 231,232$
Parıvipari, do, 239
Pafratiparnpaya, play by Banna, . . 315
Parquara, $s$ a, Parimpura, vz, 231 withn 7
Pastalt, or, • • • • 200

Patz, z a Pratāpasımha, Chähamãna k, . 65
Patala, $m$, . . . . 58

pratariba, 8 a paxdshăh, king, . . . 78
Pâto, \&. a Pratăpasımha, Chăhamäna $k_{r}$, 79
psttan pontıfical sea, . . . . . 63
pattam, . . . . . . . 843
Patfapan 07 , . . . . 21, 25
Pâtū, Rāshịrahūţa, . . . . 47
Patuk, probablys a Pratāpa, Chāhamãna
pryalī, pāyali, measure, . . . 41, 56
Раў̃a, oz , - . 82, 25
Pä̈da-Kömatı-Vēma, Redḑız $k$, 314f
Peddamudıyam, $\mathfrak{v z}$, :- . . $344 n 2$
Peddibhatfa, brā̆hmar, . . 918
Penua, 73. - . . 329, 335
Pennsvēnṭhañ̉du, vz, . . . 329,335
Yonukonia, ě., . . . . . 829,331

Prmansḍ, $W$ Gangak, . 235, 230
Perambinapprdt, di, 222, 230 207th $n$ in 231,
236, 238

Perambidugu, sur in Muttarazyan family, . 156
Peruñjıngadēva, Pallava $k$,
Perañjıngadēva, Sdkalabhuvanachakravaitın, do, • . . . . . 289, 240
pētam datáayıtum, . . . . . 38
Pēthada, $m$, . . . . 39
Pettaballu, $v t$, . . . . . 153
Phanhaprastavana, $v z$. . 17,19
Pilıvalai, queen of Chōla Nedumudikall, $233 n 3$
Pîlvāhukā, vz,
Pınlē, $v_{z}$, 63

Pinnama, Karnāta ch of Aravīdu, 118
Pinnayaśshta, brälman, . . . 318
Piathagugy, Buddhist Thëra, . 118, 119
Pipalavādả, zvard. 38
Pırudı Gangaraiyar, W Ganga k, . 234
Piṭhī, $v t$, . . . . 23
plī, probably urrtten for palıkā, measure, . 41
Pōkanãti, Reddz section, . 316
Ponparappinān Mngadnıpperamanl, Vīramāgadãn
Rājarājadēvañ, Bāna ch,
339
Ponparappina Vānahōradaraiyar, Magalḗáa, do,
Ponpurappına Vãnakōvaraıyar, do, . . 239
Porhumâra kramanttan, $n$, . . . 298
Pörmakharāma, sur of Punyakumãra, 339, 341, 342, 345
Porundsm, rr., 295, 297
Pörvàd, caste, ,
Pösarı, $n$, 95
Potã, $d_{2}$, . . . . . . . 200
Pötaya, brähman, . . . 318
Pöţhīvära, $d_{2}$, . . . . . 82, 25
Pōvāııshaya, di, . . . 199
Poygal-nĭdu, $d_{2}$, • . . . 155
Prabhã, $m$, . . . . . 58
Prabhãbara, brähman, . . . 98
Prabhumēra, general, . . : 235
Prabhumēra, aur of Bāna Vijayādutya
II (P), • . . 235
Prabhumēradēra, Bānak, . . 232
Prahlĩdanadēvi, qucen of Chāhamina Udaya-
eimha, . . .76, table opp 78
pramadīkula, host of courtezans, . . 27
Praunădu, $d_{2}$, • . 316 z 2
Prāuntitı, brähman scctıon. . . 316
prāpiya, präpya, prāpya . . 81 n 1, 109, 110
Prapari, s a Parılipura, vz, $\quad 231 n 7$
Pratāps, Chähamäna pronce, .70, table opp 78,

| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {AGE }}$ | Page |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pratāpasımha, Chähamäna 2, 64, 65, table opp 78, 79 | Purūravas, legendary ancestor of Kalachurts, do of Tijayanagara kings, . P |
| Pratıhāra, $d y$, - <br> pratıshthã, ceremony, . <br> pratyaya, holdeng, | Parushamapdapa, $v t$, |
|  | Purushaśãrdāla, sur of Chōla Puṇyaknmãr |
|  | 389, 345 |
|  | $1{ }^{\text {n }}$ |
| Ambarisha, . . . . 141 | mese $k$, . . . 118 |
| 96, 141, 317 | Pītıga, |
| B̄̄ŗospatya, . . 96,317 | Pyu-ta-thein-min, Burmese k, . 118, 119, 120 |
| Bhãradvãja, . . 96, 317, 325 |  |
|  |  |
| Vãsıshțha, . . . . . 94 | Qutub-ud-din, Slave $k$ of Delhr, |
| antanãśva • 141 | R |
| prâeôja, - | ra, zoritten zustegd of rı, . . 140 |
| prıshţm darsayıtam, - 38 | Rachamalla, perhaps $s$ a $W$ Ganga Satja- |
|  | vākja Kongnaıvarman Permanadi Rājamalla, . . 235ff |
|  | Rāchavēma, Redḑı clı, . 315 |
|  | Rāchavēmana, Vēmaja, s a Raxchavēma, 319, 326 |
|  |  |
| Prıthvipāles̃vara, god, • 39,69 | Radraddı, sept of Reddiz, - 343 |
| Prōlajavēma, Reḍdz ch, - 324 | Raghavadevaraț, Karnäla ch, - 328,330 |
| Pūavi, $n$, 29 | $\text { Răjabhañja, Ortssa ch, } \quad \text {. } 99$ |
| Pugalpıppavarganda, sur of Bāná Vıjayàa ditya II, - $\quad . \quad 232$ vetth $n 6$ | $\text { Räjadēva, chrefs, } \quad . \quad 36,41,42,70$ |
|  | Rajadhara, Chähamz̃na pronce, . . . 79 |
|  | Rājādhiraja I, Chola k, - $293 n 8$ |
| Pulakến II, Chalukya $⿸ 丆$. |  |
|  |  |
| Puhndasena, Kalinga ch, 284 | Rajakēsarivarman, do, . $154 n$ 2, 3, 4, 224 |
| Pulivatty, di, • | Rajakēsarıvarman, sur of Kulôtunga Chōla- |
| Pūnapak | dêrs II, $242,244,288 \mathrm{ff}$ |
| Pandarihaśa | Rājakèsarivarman, sur of Rajuaraja I, 241 |
| Pūhgi, $d z$, | Rajakēsarnvarman Virarājèdra Chōladēva, sur |
| punyãhavãch | of Knlöttnnga Chöla III, 125 |
|  | Rājnmahãdēvi, sur of Chōla queen Sirtt, 233 |
| Punyabomarãn, s a Punyakumãra, Chöla ch, $342,344$ | Rājamalla, Satyavakya Konganivarman Perma- |
| Punyakumāra, Chota ch, . . 336, 339, 342ff | Rājanärayana Śambuvarāya, or, Éamburãya, |
| Pīphaha, brähman, . . 300,30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { jeanarayana sambuvaraya, or, Bamburăya, } \\ & \text { ch, } \\ & \text { - } 250,251 \end{aligned}$ |
| Purattãyanidu, $d_{\text {a }}$, | Brıjarāja, Chēra feudatory, - . 291 |
| Pūrnabhadrasūn, Ja:na, | Rajaraja II , E Chalukya k, - . - 238 |
| Pürnadērāobārya, do, | Rajarıja I., Chōla k, $154 n 4,239,241$, |
| Pūrnamakha, bräkman, | \% 8, 291 n 2 |
| Pürnapăla, Chāhanāna k, | Rajarāja II, do, . . . . 122 f |
| Pūrnapala, Paramära ch, | Råjarāja III, do, 126ff , 222, 239, 240, 248, 249 |
| Parōhtapallikä, 01 , 220, 222 | Rējarājachatorvēdımaugaln, vı , 292, 294, 285 , |
|  | 297,298 |
| Porn, legendary ancestor of Vijayanagara dy, 330 | Rājarujachchërr, suburb, . . . 298 |


| Pas | Pagr |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rājarāadāāvan Poaparappın̄̄n Magadaupperumāl, Viramägadān, Bāna h, . . . 239 |  |
| Rājarāja-Pāndınädu, $d_{2}$, . 294, 295, 297 | Ratnapū̀̄, Chähamāna k, 69 n |
| Rā̧arējappērāru, $r 7$, . . . 295, 297 | le opp 78, 305, 307, 310 |
| Rā̄aräja-Vānakōvarayyar, s a Maŗavan Narasımhavarman, , Bāna $h$, $\text { . } 239$ | Rntnapara, $d z, ~ . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad 44$ Ratuapara, $v z, \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad 75$ |
| Rājarāja- ${ }^{\text {innngar, }}$ te, . . 295, 297 | Ratnasimha, Guhtla oh, . . . 78 |
| Rājasımba, Chêra feudatory, | Ratta, pcoplc, - . 328 |
|  | rattādla, raṭtagudi, raṭtagudla, raţtagattu, rattedlu, rattōdı, s a rāshṭrakūta, $t_{\imath}$ tle, $\quad$ e42f |
| Rājēndra-Cholla I, Parahēsarivarman, Chōlak, 120, 154 $n$ 2, 299ff |  |
| Rājēndra-Chōla ILI, do, . . 331 ff |  |
| Rājēndradéva, Parahēsarivarman, do, . . 292 |  |
| Rājēndrasoola-Vınnagar, te, 292, 294, 295, | regnal names, . . . . $187 n, 1$ |
| 297, 298 | Reddi trrbe, . . . 343 |
| RâjJu, pond, . . . . . 306,311 | Reddi, dy of Fiondavidu, - . . 313ff |
| rājyachnntaba, offical, . . . . 53 | Reddvōta, Red di ch, . . 314, 320, 325 |
| Rālha, Rālhăka, m, . 61 | Rēnāndu, $d_{2}$, . . 341, 343, 345, 346 |
| 65 | Rêva arman, $n$, . . . 346 |
| Rāmachandrāchãrya, Jaınd, . 65 | rGyal ., minester, . 275 |
| Råmaräja I, Vijayanagara h, . 328,331 | r Gyal-bzan:'adns rkan, do, . 274 |
| Rāmarâja II, do, . . 326, 328,331 | r Gral beer-hhod-ne-brtsan, do, . 274 |
| Rīmasannya, vi, . . 74,75 | ri, vorutten instead of n , - 17, 80, 93, 115, 174 |
| Rămdäs, $m$, . . . . 92 | r1, coritten znstead of n , - . $93,115,140,174$ |
| Ranabhañjudē̃a, Orissa ch, . . 98,99 | n , written instead of hri, . . . 93 |
| Raynbhita, Sazlödbhava ch, - . 282 | Rinmul, s a Ranamalla, ch, - . 79 |
| Ranadhira, s a Ranavira, Chähamãna k, 79 | rma, Tibetan letters, - . . 267, 270 |
| Rapahësamn, Gupta ch, - . 185, 187, 195 | rJo-blas, mznister, - . . - . 273 |
| Ranahēsarın, Bhavadēra, do, . . 187 | Rōgha, brähman, . . . . 81 |
| Ranamalla, ch, . . 79 | Rölapa, $m$, . . . 210 |
| Ranamukharümabhadra, sur of Rangarüja II, | Rôyurăvishaya, $d_{z}$, |
| 328, 334 | ra , vortten instead of n , - 80 |
| Rapankrrama, Nitımürga Konganıarman, $W$ | Rūdaladēvi, Chāhamäna proncess, 74, table opp 78 |
| Gangak, . - 236, 237 | Rudrablatta, brāhman, - . 319 |
| Ranavira Chähamãna $k, 63$, .table opp 78,79 | Rudradhara, $m$, . . - 112, 114 |
| Randī, vz, - . 102, 199 | Rudrapāla, Chähamāna k, 35, 70, table opp 78, 307 |
| Rangaraya I, Pivayanagara $k, 328$, | Radrastāmın, brähman, - 281 |
| 331 (-räja), 332 | Radrayabhatta, do, . . . 318 |
| Rangarāja II, do, 326, 327, 328, 333, 334, 336 | Rüpadèvì, $f$, • • - 148, 153 |
|  | Rūpadēvì, Chähamāna proneess, . 77, table opp 78 |
| Råshțrakūţa, $d y, 47,71 n 2,68,233,234,238$, 276, 278, 305, 343 | S |
| Rāshtraida, s a Rāsntrahūta, $d y, \quad 47,71$ | s, omitted before sth, - . . . 17 |
|  | Sabara, $d y$, - 103, 104 |
| Retahradan s a Lantahrada, vt , . . 44, 74,75 | Sabhappat, $n$, - 329,335 |
| Ratanasiba, $n$, . . . 61 | sadasãparādha, . . . . . 177 |
| Ratanpur, \& a Rataapura, $v_{1}$, . 75,103 | ssahānyahurapyådēys . . . s . 177 |




| Pags | Page |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sridhara, m. . . . . 197 | Sundara-Pāndya, Kōnêrımelkondān Jaţarar- |
| Sridhara, brähman, | man, Pandya $k$, - . - 136 |
| fridhara, vi, . . . 329 | Sundara-Pändya, Mâravarman, do, $240 n 5,251$ |
| Erigri, mo, | Sundara Pandya, Meravarman I, do, - 133, 134 |
| Sriknutha, Chōda ch, - . 341 crith nn 3 | Sundara-Pı̈ndya, Tribhuranachakravartın, do, |
| érikaraps, offictal, 58 | 33, 134 |
| Srimala, vr, - . $56,65,74,75$ | Sundaraşônchchêr, suburb, - 298 |
| Srimila, family, - . . 53 | Sundara-Tol Mahāvil-Vannidrãyar, Sundarat- |
| Erinstha, poet, 314, 315, 316, 317, 319, 323, 326 | tōl Mahăbah (Mãvalı) Vānädırăyar, Bäna |
| Srrngaradipika, commentary on Amara by | ch, - . 210 |
| Kōmaṭ1-Vēms, - 314, 315 | Suprayōga, re , - 339, 342, 345 |
| Sritgaranashadhamu, poem by frinatha, 315 | surabhi-stone, - . . 33 |
| Sripatirachı, bıruda of Rājanarēndra . 970 | Sarachandh, vi, . . 74,75 |
| Eripura, or, . . . 184 | Surādtya, $n$, . . . 26 |
| Griporasha Mattarass, W. Ganga h, 237 | Şürnks, לrähtman . . . 300,303 |
| Srírangariaya, see Rahgaräya | Süramümbur, queen of Reddıı ch Pedda Kömatr |
| Grisala, mo, 314,316n2,320 | Vēma, . $315,319,323,326$ |
| Srivachechha, $n$, . . 94 | Saramãmblka, do, - . 314, 322 |
| Srivachha, $m$, • 39 | Strapala, $m$, . . . 47 |
| Erivallabha, Pändya prrnce, . . 240 | Surashtra, co, . . . . 71 |
| Srivallagrama, or , . . . 199 | Ṡ̇rayabishta, brāhman . . 318 |
| Ston-btsan-sgam-po, Tibetank, $\quad 206,267,269$ | Sürpãraka, øt , . . 220 |
| sTag-bzer-ha.ngen, minıster, - 275 | Surcri Sankaran, m, . . . 298 |
| \&Tag.gzige do , - . . 275 | Suryadınıa, brähmaņ, . . . 779 |
| BTag-sgra, do, - . . 279 | Saryvarman, Mragadha k, . . 185, 195 |
| sthali, distruct - - . 81 | Suvakr, trähman, . . . 300,304 |
| Sthali, $d_{t}$, - . - 181, 182 | Suvarnagın, s a Kū̃̃chanagur, mo , 60,61 |
| sthitaka, perpetual grant. - . 58 | Suvarnapura, vr, . . 93, 101, 201 |
| Sthurōpadhyaya, brähman . . 197 | Srāmıbhatta, brāhman, . . . 277, 280 |
| Subhamkara, $m$, . . 44, 51 | Svāmıarà̉a, Räshtranüta, . - 2\%7, 280 |
| 8ubhata, z a Suhsdáalya, Paramära ch, - 64 | sratala, . . . . 81 $n 6$ |
| Sudraga, Rashtrakūta, - . . . 47 | Ȩèn, brähmar, . . . . . 81 |
| Suhadaptla, m, . . . . 61 |  |
| Suhadasala, Suhadasalya, Paramăra ch,. 65, | T |
| table opp 78 | $\mathrm{Ta}_{\mathrm{a}}$ chao-ssur, te , . . . . .272ff. |
| Sulkhasvåmın, brāhmañ, . . . 281 | Takări, Takkȧra, vi, . . . 199 |
| 6alapala, - . . . . 27 | TalahajJa, vr , . . . 200 |
| Sumpevi, vz - - , - 306,312 | Tălañjarasula, vi or mo, . . . 149, 153 |
| Sandara-Chola, Chbla k, - . 293 n. 8 | talarabhavya, - . . . 46 |
| Sundara-Chölac-Păndisaram-Udayyar, te, $293 n 10$ | Tălı, m, . . . . . . 228 |
| Sandara-Chöla P-Pãndya, Jaţavarman, Cholla- | Tallihota, br , . . . . . 326 |
| Pandya prrnce, - - 293, 294, 297 | Tallaya, brähmay, . . . . 318 |
| Sundaranande, Chbla ch, - 338, 341, 345 | Tamparã, vi, . . . 148, 149, 163 |
| Sundarạ Păndyan Jaţararman, Pāndya $k$, | Tandavars, $d_{l, \text {, }}$. . . . 5 |
| Sundara.Pand 134, 254, 255, 256 | Taukahhattarika, goddess, - . . 148,153 |
| Sundara-Pandya, Jaţãarman I, do, 194, | Tan-Porundam, $r 7$, . . 297 |
| 240n 5, 256,257 | Tăpasiya, vı, . . . . . 100, 108 |
| Sundara.Rupdya, Jatagrarman II., dop . 135f, | Tăramaņı, en, . . . . . 342 |
| 257, 258, 259 | TĖtapıngama, Karnafa ch, . . . 328, 330 |


| Tathäha, m, - . - 60 | Trikundnpara, vz, . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ PAGE |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tatpurusha, $m$, - . . 39 | Triluuta, mo . . . . . 220 |
| Tējapāla, ch, , - . . 22 | Trilıngarishaya, $d_{t}$, . . . . 317, 325 |
| Tējasımha, Chäamanak, table opp 78 | Triōchana, Pallava $Z$. 340 vith notes |
| Telātata, co, . . . . . . 201 | Triloclanapara, vı, , . . $340 n 4$ |
| Telanga Rēya, Sãluva ch . . . . . 316 | Trinayana, Trinetra, s. a Trılōchana, Pallava |
| Tennur, $d t$, . . . . . 158 | $k$, . . . . . 340 vorth $n 5$ |
| Thadomm, m, . . . 118,119 | Tripălakēśvarb, god, - . 39 |
| Thallaka, Jaina, - . . 31 | Trpurasho, do . . . . . . 39 |
| Thāmbhula, vı, - . . . 32 | Trivıkrama, brāhman, . . . 196 |
| Thāmthā, m, - . . 51 | Triyambaki, $v 2$, . . 306, 311 |
| Thon-mı Sambhota, TiUetan teacher, 266, 267, 269 | Trülēndâ, vz . . . . 199 |
| Tibetan alphabet, . .266ff | Tson hha-pa, reformer of Tibetan ortho- |
| tiger crest, of Chölas, . . 337 | graphy, . . . . 272 |
| Tihunā, $f$, • - 61 | Tthindaha m, . . . 108 |
| Tihunaha, sri, Chāhamāna quecn, . 32 | Ttımata, ch . . . 35 |
| Tıhunāha, Chähamāna k. table opp 78 | Tūlēndā, s a Trūlēndà, vı, . 102, 199 |
| Thmmāpura, vı , . 329,335 | Talumpahhanda, $d_{t}$, . . . 199 |
| timmira, . 282 | Tümbanēı, tank, . . 225 |
| Timshaka, er . . . . 106, 108 | Tummāna, vi, . . . . 186 |
| Tirpayasishta, brähman . . . . 310 | Tungabhadra, rt, - 317, 325 |
| Tırumaladēvi, queen of Rangaràya I, 328, 331, 333 | Turkuston, supposed home of Tıbetan alphabet, 269 |
| Trumalar Mahãpılı Vānädırāyar, Muttarasa, Bänach <br> - . . 240 | Turushha, \& a Miuhammedan, 65, 68, 71, 72, $73,75,76$ |
| Tıramalāmbıkī, 8 a Tirumaladêvi, . 328,331 | tarashhadanda, . . . 21,26 |
| Tırumalarāa, Tırumalarāya, Kāın̄̄tach, 327if | Turruna, vi, . . . . 199 |
| Thrumangai Āvur, Tasshnava saznt, . 156 |  |
| Trrappěr, vi, . . . . 298 |  |
| Turupparambigam, vz, . . . 237 | U |
| Trupāliśrara, te, . . . . 295 | a, added afler final consonant, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42 |
| Mruvaranga Nārayaņ Kramavittsn, m, 298 | u , umtten znstead of lı, - 140 |
| Thravellarai, vi, . . 155, 158 | Ubhayahhñ̉alimandala, $d_{2}$. . . . 98 |
| Tıruvēogadakōttam, $d_{2}$, . . 227, 228 | Uchchhavanāga, m, . 96,98, 104 |
| Tirnvippirambēdn, Turavirperambētu, Tiravirpurambēdu, $v_{z}, \quad . \quad 222,223,224,227$, | uchyamāna, about to be mentıoned, - 85 |
| Tivara, Kösala ch, - . 103, 185, 186 n 4, 187 | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Udayagırı, vt, } \\ \text { Udayakumara, Chöla pronde, } & \text { • } & 329 \\ \end{array}$ |
| Tivērêkhēta, vı, . 277, 278, 280 | Udayana, general, . - . . 71 |
| Tödankana, vr, - 185, 188, 190 | Udayana, Śabara ch , - . 103, 104, 187 |
| Tondaımandalam, Tondın込的, $d_{z}$, - . 231 | Udayār Śóla-Pāndyadēva, Jatāvarman, Chōla- |
| Tönsüla, vz,   <br> Traikutaka, $d y$, • 306,311 | Pändya prunce, <br> - 293 |
| Tralôkyahamsa, brähmo | Ddaryint Sundara Chōla-Pāndyadēva, do, . 297 |
| Tralōkjamalla, Karna, Chauluhya $k$, ${ }^{\text {, }} 69$ |  |
| Trarajjya, co, . $341 n 1,345$ | table opp 78 |
| tri, abbrewation for triveds, 175 n 5 | Uddagirn, vz , . . . . . 328,332 |
| Trbbhuanachakravartın Konērımahoñdãn, Pāndyack, | Ūdharana Guhzla ch, - - 36,70 |
| Tribhuvanamalla, Vikramãdtya, VI, W Chalukyak, | Udnyasilı, thakura, Udi Sah, s a Udayasimha, Chāhamāna k, 75 • 75 |
| Tricalitiga, to, 93, 96, 103, 141, 148, 152, 153 , ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | Uhlāsasarman, m, • - 96 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 153, \\ & \text { 188, } 198 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \text { UjJhakra, lrāhman, } & \bullet & \bullet & \cdot & 179 \\ \text { Umata, famıly, } & \bullet & \cdot & \bullet & \\ \text { Un } \end{array}$ |


| $\mathrm{Pa}_{\text {age }}$ | ab |
| :---: | :---: |
| unluoky days - | Vahratentaliz, vr , . . . . 94,201 |
| Bhädrapada 10th subla, . . 248 | vala, - 41 |
| Mārgasirshs 10th óukla . . 253 | Valabhī, vi, 80, 81, 106, 103, 103, 110, 112, |
| Upalaundĭ, vi , . . 22, 25 | 113, 115, 117, 178 |
| Uppalarāh, $m$, - . . 29 | Välahì, $v_{\tau}$, . 32, 33 |
| Oxahărı, weell, - 43 | Välhana, m., - 32 |
| Ungölasuratrūna, sur of Rangarāya II, 328, 334 | Vallabhaghōsha, $m$, . . . 103 |
| Urija alphabet, evolved, - 104 | Vallabhaśshta, brāhman, 318 |
| Ururupalh, $v 2$, • 342 | Vallaghŏsha, m, . . 95 |
| Utkala, $d_{\nu}$, . . 22, 26, 328 | Vallagrāma, sī̀, vz, 199 |
| Uttama-Chōla, Chōla k, . . $293 n 8$ | Valari, $d y$, . . 343 |
| Uttamasiha, Rāshtrakūta, 47 | Vāmsdềra, Kalachurı ch, . . 141 |
| Uttamaśllachchēr, suburb, - 298 | Vāmana, brāhman, . 181,183 |
| Uttamasoilava ${ }^{\dagger}$ anãdu, $d_{2}$, . . . 295 | Vāmana, $m$, . . 197 |
| Uttarapalin, Uttarapallikā, $d_{2}, \quad 96$ | Vāmanabhatta, Bāna, poet, 315 |
| $\overline{\text { Ėtumarãja, } m \text {, }{ }^{\text {a }} \text {, } 29}$ | V̄̄̄manḑ̧̄̄̄tì, vi, . . . 189, 201 |
| Uttranmē̃ūr, vi, . - 224 | Vamśadhärā, 27 , . 148,149, 153 |
|  | Vānādarāyaņ, Vikrama-Pūndya, Pāndya officer, |
| $\nabla$ | 240 n 5 |
| Vachchba, $s$ a Vatsa, legendary Chähamāna $k, 306$ |  |
| vādü, weard, . 38 | Vāntỏharăya, Mabūbah, Bāna ch, 240 |
| Vàdza $d_{2}$, . . 21, 25 | Vānādharayan, destgnation of Pānḍya throne, |
| vadubarala, . . . 27 | $240 n 5$ |
| vãdau, sa vūtà, voard, . 38 | Vānagappàdu, $d_{l}$, - 238, 239 |
| Vadavalın̄̀du, $d_{\imath}, \quad . \quad$. 155 | vanajginka, ramjãmba, trıbe, . 39,42 |
| Vīdayarāja, Chotta, m, . 148,153 | Vānaköppàdl, $d_{\imath}$, . . . . . 238 |
| Vädıga, $n$, • . 39 | Vãnakōvadarayar, s a Bīna ls . . 239 |
| Vadveerhill, Chōlak, - $233 n 3$ | Vānabōvaralyar, $d_{0}$, . . . 238 |
| Vadradã, Paramāra, . . 65 | Vānapadra, vı , . . 185, 188, 196 |
| Vadugavalı, Vadugavalı Mêrlka, Vadugavalıyın | Vīnaparam, $v$, 232 |
| Mërku, di, . 224, 226, 227, 229, 230,231 |  |
| Vägbhatamêra, vz, . . 74 | Vānarāya, do . $22 \pm$ fi |
| Väghêlin, $d y$, - . 75,76 | Vānarâaar, Kulaseakhara Mabãbalh, Pāndya |
| Vägiǵvararakshta, Buddhst ascetic, $\quad 22,25$ | pronce, - - 240 5 |
| Vagramarēga, Vagramarēgre, Vagramarıga, | Vānavanmādềrıhohērı, suburb, . 298 |
| Vagramarıgra, $n 2, \quad$ 209, 210, 211 | Vanavūbl, $s$ a Banavāsl, $d_{2}, \quad$. |
| Vâbadasiha, Chähamäna k, 76, 77, table opp 78 | Vūnavidyàdbara Mabãbalı Vōparāya, sa |
| Vaidumba, famly, 148, 153, 235, 239 | Bānurldyādharb, Bāna kl - 226ff |
| Vaıjă, Vaıjăka, Vayalladēra, general, - 70 | Vanavira, Chăhamãna k, 62, 63, 78, |
| Varkuntha, festival, . . 253 | table opp 78, 79 |
| Vainsalya, Paramära ch, - 65 | Vandhasvāmın, brāhman, . . . 281 |
| Vartarani, $r_{2}$, . . . 189 | Vangäla, co, - . 140 |
| Fajahasta I, E Gangate. . . 152 | vaņjuaraka, $s$ a vapajāraka, tribe, . . 39 |
| Vajrabasta II, do, . . 152 | Vanthali, $d_{2}$, . . . . 76 |
| Vajrahasta III, do, - 147, 148, 163 | Varabayappa, m, . . 329,336 |
| Vakaveduf, vi . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$, 101, 198 | Varadabhatta, brähman, . . 328, 334 |
| Vâkpatıriza, Chähamãna k, 67, table opp 78 | Varaguna, Pāndya l , - 237 |
| Vakpatriaju, Paramāıak, . 67, 181, 182 | Varāhadāsa I, Gärulaka ch, . . 17,19 |
| Falra, s $a$, Vagran Vagramarèga, . . 210 | Varāhadēsa II, $\mathrm{COn}_{\mathrm{n}}$. . . . 17,18 |


Venřmankonda S̄amburarāya, ch, ..... 251
Venvērkhlh, s a. Chōla h. Nedumudabhlll,
233n 3
Vēppambolappāl, field, ..... 224
Vêr $\overline{1}, v t$, . . 306, 308, 311,312
Vidabhi, vi, ..... 141
Vidè̀vidaga, Mruttarazyan ch, ..... 156
Vıièlviduguchaturrēdımingalam, vr, ..... $156 n 2$
Vıdgādharabhañjadēra Dharmahalaśa, Orıssa
ch, ..... 98, 99
vidyādhkā̀rn, officıal, ..... 315
Fidyananda, $m$, ..... 141
Vighnamardans Kshētrapāla, god, ..... 59
Vıgıahapāla, Chähamäna $h, 68$,
table opp 78, 305, 307, 309
Vigraharāja, $d o,$. ..... $67,68,71$
Viliãa, ve, ..... 31, 25
Vijada Dasvsyandana, Chähamāna 2 ..... - 78
Vijaisī, s a Vijayasimha, do, ..... 78
Vijaya, lcgendary ancestor of Vijayanagara dy,330
Vijagabāhu Vikramâdrtya II, Bānak,. ..... 232
Vijajadantivihrmmavarman, s a Ganga Pallava Dantivaman ..... 222
 ch, ..... 294
Vizayฐ̄atıtya, legenday y Chaluhya h, 340 urth $n_{5}$Vulyãàtya I, Bäna h,232
Vijayindita II, do, 。 ..... 222
Vija̧ūdtya Mūbalı Vānarāya, s a Bānā $k$
Vijayüditya II, ..... 229
Vıásūdıtya Mahãbalı Vünarāya, do ..... 237
Vijayühtifa Mahūralı Vūnarūya, s a Bāna h
Vijayūdıtsa I, ..... 225, 229, 231, 235
Vijayãditys Mūralı Vünarūya, s a Bāna 2235, 237
Vijayūditya Pugalıippavargandz, do, ..... 232, 237
Vijayãditya Vānuiāya, do, ..... 228
Vijayàdtya Vírachūlümanı Prabhamēra,
Mahivalı Vūnaraea, do, (?) ..... 235
Vijayr-Iśvarıvarman, Ganga-Pallava eh, ..... 235
Wı] yanagara, $d y$, . ..... 316, 3264
Vijayanandivikramavarman, s a Ganga-
Pallava Nandinhremararman, ..... 235
Vijayanarnamhararman sa Ganya-Pallava Narasimha Vileramavarman ..... 235
Vıjayapūla, $m$, ..... 61
Fuяyarımh\}, Chähamäna $\lambda$, ..... table opp. 78
Vijuvaskandasishya Vibramavarman, Ganqa-Pallaza b .234, 235

| Page | Page |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vilàmasī, s a Chāhamăna, Viltramasunha 79 | Virachūl̄̀man, sur of Bàna Vijayādeltyr II, 235 |
| Vihrama Clöla, Chöla k, 121, 122, 154n 3, | Viradhavala, Väghēlã k . . . 75,76 |
| 222, 243, 244 | Virahēmmālrā̀ă, Karnâta ch, 330 |
| Vikrama.Chōla-Pāndya, Mâravarman, Chôla- | Virahrshnama, ch, 329,336 |
| Pāndya ch, . . 293 | Virama, Väghêlà ch., . 7 S |
| Vilkramãditya I, Bäna k, 262 | Viramı, Viramadëra, Chāhamãna le, 78 moth table |
| Vihramēditya Būnakaudarpa Jayamēu, Mahāralı Bānarasa, s a Bāna h Vibiamā- | Vīramāgadan Rājaräjadēvan Pouparappıān Singadarpperumāl, Bāna $k$, |
| ditya 1 , | Viramangalam, vi, . . 223, 228 |
| Vıkramāditya-Bempanādhırāja, Chōlak, 313 | Virana, m, 329, 336 |
| Vıkranādtya Chöla-Mahārâja, do, - 343 | Virauārāana, sur of Vēma II, . 315, 323 |
| Vihramaditya Chöla-Mahŭrā̄ădhurāja do . 343, 345, 346 | Fī̀ Pūndya, Pāndyak, . $293 n 8$ Vīra•Pāndya, Jatāvarman, do, 133, 137fi, |
| Vikramēdtya Mūvalı Vānarāya, Bāma $\swarrow$ 224, | $240 n 5,266$ |
|  | Vìa-Pāndya, Māravarman do, . . 266 |
| kramãditya Mayal | Vìraparumar, Vànakōvaralyaı, Bâna h, 239 |
| Vihramüditya I , | Vìrarājēndra, Chôla k, . 293 |
| Vikramäditya, Sahtikomara, Chōla R, 343, 345, 346 | Vırarājêndra Chöladèva, sur of Kulōttunga |
| $V_{1}$ rramãdıtya II, Vıgaydbāhn, Bāna h, 232, 235, 236, 237 | III, . . $121,125,244,245$ |
| Vilkramādityz VI Tribharanamalla, $W$ | Virarāmanāthadêra, Hoysalak, <br> Virasēua, Šūmın, $\lambda, \quad . \quad$. 66,87 |
| keya, $\lambda, \quad 344 n 2$ |  |
| Vılrama-Pāndya, Jatã parman, Pāndya k, . 139 | Virngu, brähman, - 38 |
| Vikrama Pūnlya, Māravarman, do, - 265 | Virıparitı, vt , . 342 |
| Vikrama-Pandya-Vān̄̈daràyan, Pändya officer | Visıdhavalndēra, Chähumäna ch, |
| $240 n 5$ |  |
| Vilramasımba, Châhamäna k, 65, tablc opp 78, 79 | Visăhba, $m$, , 109, Ill |
|  | Visqla, Väghēlà ch, - 76 |
| Vikramavarman, Narasımha, Ganga-Pallavah, 234 | Visalapriya-dımma, coın . 58 |
| Vikramararman, Nripatungi, do, 229 | $\nabla_{1 s h}$ |
| Vikramavarman, Vijayashandassshya, Ganga | V1shnnkuadra, dy, 344 |
| - 234 | Vıshuuśarman, brähman, - 109, 111 |
| vimĒ̄paha, corn, | Vishnurardhana, E Chalukyak, $\quad 344 n 2$ |
| Vinajachandrasaüri, Jaina, |  |
| Vinayüdtrya, E Ganga k, . - . 152 |  |
|  | $V_{3 \text { ¢́véesrarabhatta, brāhman, } \quad 317,318,319,325}^{5}$ |
| Viuăyakavata, | Vîthuâtahama, play by Erinãtha, . 315 |
| Vurayamahādêvì, queen of $E$ Ganga Kūnnà | Vornpadyaka, $v 2$, . . 48 |
| nava, | Vyüghrasēna, Traikūfaka h, 218, 220, 221 |
| Vinihondapura, or, | vyāsa, reciter, - - 56,65 |
| Vinïtapura, $v z, \quad 96102,186,188,189,199,200$ | vgavaharans, admı |
| Finuhonda Vallabbāmātya, perhaps s a poet Srīūtha, | T ${ }^{\mathbf{X}}$ |
| Vira, $m$, | $Y_{\text {adu, race, }}$ |
| Virabhadra, Bhaña ch, |  |
| Virabhadra, Reddı ck, . . . 316 | Yahshadınna, brähman, - . 300,303 |
| Vira-Bhürierava, $m$, . . 148, 163 | Yaß́hbarna, Kalachurı $k$, |


|  | Page | Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yasspatı, brākmar, | 300, 303 | Yayatı, sur bf Trukalınga 2 Mahāśvagupta, |
| Yasöbhits, Sazlodibhava ch, | 282, 283, 284, 285 | 36, 102, 103, 104, 189 |
| Yakōēers, general, | 30 | Yayātı, sur of Trukalznga k, Mahähhavagapta, 187 |
|  | 63 | Yayātinagara, vi, . 186, 188, 189, 200, 201 |
| Yasoraja, m., | 53 | Yellayaśshta, brähman, . . 318 |
| Yasorira, $m$, | 53 | Yōgēsvara, m, 73 |
| Yaঠovira, $m$, | 54 | Yojaka, Chähamāna $\lambda$, . 69 |
| Yasongraha, Gahadavala $k$, | 22 | Yuddhäsura, sur of Rāshtrahūta Nannarāja, |
| Sstra, | - 27 | 276, 277 |
| Tayath legendary ancestor of | Vıaynagara | yugandharì, - . . 46 |
| $d y, ~ . ~ . ~$ | - 330 | Yavarüjadȩ̄a, Kalachurı $k$, . . 140 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ind Ant, vol T, p 33 and I heve need the form 'Dẽogary' in vol 5 above, p 172
    ${ }^{3}$ For instance, in my Dynastzes of the Kanarese Districtr, in the Gazetteer of the Bombay Prendency vol 1, part 2, p 285 fi

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Regarding the confusion vhich has arigen in the official spelling of varnaus place names in consequence of mistakes that have been made between Kere, Xere, 'a tank', and lièm, 'B street', see my note on the name Anagere a vol 6 above, $p$ 100, note 3
    ${ }^{2}$ Conpare the metathesis in maral and malar, aral and alar, and eral and elar see Śabdamandarpana, verse 29

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ This is tho record $\boldsymbol{r}$ hieh I mentioned, from this point of view, in the discussion on "Sanskrit as a spoken language", see Jour II At Soc, 1904, 486
    ${ }^{2}$ Reeve and Sanderson's Dictionary adds the form Zudugula The most familar term is Kudugol, in its later form kudugolu
    ${ }^{2}$ Accordıng, indced, to KēGırāa's Śahdemamdarpana, verso 134, and Bhattākalanka's Karnātaháahdānnsāsana, sütre 231, we might regard some of these ns genitives ased in the sense of accusatives But adia at any rate is nat a genitive And there can be littlo douht that Dr Kittel's opinion as correct, namely, that the suppogition of a nse of the genitive for the aeensative is unnecessary, and that tho aecusative in $a$, freqnent onough in the modiaval and modern dialects, existed in also the more ancient collognial dialect, though it had not heen generally aecepted by classical writers seo his Kannada Grammar, $\S \S 117,122,352$ Many instances of the acensative in $a$ can be fonnd in my Kanarese hallads thus, mäta for mätannu, Ind Ant, vol 14, p 300, hne 3 from the bottom, siţa for fittannu = stţannu, p 301, lıne 1, Karava for Karavannu, lıne 8, yē̃a for yënannu Inne 11 It Fonld, in fact, ho pedantie to nse the acensative termination in ordinary speech, except perhaps with pronouns

    * Eacept, of course, for Sanskrit words being aimed at here, there would he no objection to trina

[^3]:    "The Udaciulimm plate of Pallavamalla-Nandivarmsia allota "inarror banner made of (? put together With) a peacock's tsil" to the Śahars b ng Udayana souts Ind frecrs, vol 2, p 372

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ This name, nuch drmaged here, $1 s$ complited from No 4 belor
    ${ }^{2}$ An inscription at Kelagina Kirugnaise in the same taluks, $2 \boldsymbol{b}$,, Sb 501 whinh refers iteelf to the same reign, and 28 dated (without frill detals) in the Kälaynhta sumerasara, Sahn samvat 881 (current) $=$ A.D 958 959, tells un that a certann Mächiga was then gor ernung the Edenäd seseuty He mav have been some jnuor member of the family, perhaps holding ofice under Ŝantivarman But there 15 nothug un the record to mark him as such

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the inh mpressions Ordinary brachets aro used for such corrections and doubtful pointe as cary bo convemently noted in this manner Square brachots are used to mark ayliables, or parts of them, which in the originsl are illegible or broten away and lost $\Delta n$ ssterish, attached to letters or marha of panctation in equare brachets, indicates that those lotters or marbs of panctantion do not stand 20 rhe origiual text
    ${ }^{2}$ There are vanous marks before this word But they scem to be due only to injaries to the stone, snd not to includo any symbol for the word om
    : Read saka, for $s a k a$
    4 Tho first ayllable of this name is greatir damaged, and cannot be recognazed distinctly even on the back of the impressions There can be no doubt, however, that it was sä, for fä
    ${ }^{3}$ That ia, "fa the Mātūra race which is of mixed Bräbmsn and Kabstryya origin" On the term Brahmon-
    

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Literally, "amongst us who are so many as these, marry all whom thou art pleased with 1 "
    ${ }^{2}$ German origanal in the Grandrass der Indo Armchen Philologie und Altertunahunde, vol 1, part 11 (1896) English version in Ind Ant, vol 33 (1904), appendux

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this record the rowel is found in also ndars (for idam), line 1213 bat it does not present tho ame pecalitaty there
    ${ }^{2}$ The Eanarese and Telagu initial ai is of somerhat rare occarrence, and las not been illastrated by Professor Bühler, who, in fact, gaseozly one southera at, pletr VII, 6, VII, from atsuaryya, line 61, No 7, in tho Alinä Valabhi plates of A D 766, my Gupta Inscriptions, Corpus Inacriptionum Indicaram, vol 3, p 179, plate Other insiances from that part of Sonthern Indis may be scen in aihih, liae 23, No 37, of plato u, ia the Faiabin record of A.D 760, Ind Ant, vol 6, $p$ 21, piate, and in the same word, line 21, No 11, in the Räbhtrahüta record of A $D 813$ fram Törkhêdé, ante, rol $3, p \mathrm{ES}$, plate these tro forms are moro liko thuso which we have tram the Eanareso conatry
    A. Eanaresc inital ai of the eleventh centary may be scen in at golagam, line 14 , No 4 from the cnd, in the Mindigal Chôs anscription nf A D 104749 from Mysore, ante, vol E, p 207, piste An carhicr furm may be seen in aigala, lıno 13, the last akshara bnt onc, in a Bana inscrıption, sirn fram Mysore, Ind $A n t$, vol $10, p$ 39, plate, Epr Carn rol 10 (Kūler), Sp 6, with plate (a better oae) at transiations, p 272

    A Telagn intial ai of abont A D 900 may be seon 10 ciriviya, line 32, No 9, in the Eastern Chainkya grant of the period $\triangle$ D. 888 918, ante, rol 5, p 129, plate.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have abstaned fram qnotung a few records which are of doubtfnl anthenticity and it has of conrse been useless to quate records which efer themselvea to (for matante) the reagn of a Fannaradēra or Eannararallabha, withont anything to indicate thach hing Kisbbas is intended But I bave not fonnd in any of them anything that conficts in sny way whatsoerer with the facts and reanlte which I bring forward.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ For similar instances from the eastern parts of Southern India, see pp 15, 16, below

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ A plate ss given op cit, transiations, $p$ 92, but it shows ouly the first seventeen lines of the record In hine 14 we have, not indrō as giren in the pubhshed teat in Roman characters, but indrö, with the long $\bar{i}$ by mastahe for the short wowl, as given in the text in Fianrese characters
    ${ }^{2}$ In hno 25 of the north face, at the bermans of verse 6 , the ormanal srems digtinctly to have dharu, as given an tho text in Fanarese characters At any rate, the word is not iru, as given in the romanized text

[^11]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{1 s}$ limiting instances of the tro ty pes were taken from this same series of records Eis latest instance of
     (ade in $l$, last line), in the 'Pagauavaram' plates or Diggubarru grant of Chalukya Bhima II, of the period AD 934-45, Ind $4 n t$, vol 13, p 213, mith plates this record presents the vowel again in iva, line 15, No 14 ,
     VIII, line 3, col VI, from the Eoramelli grant, Ind Ant, vol 14, p 50, with plates, which gives the date of the anountment of Rājarāja I an A D 1022, and may be of any date frou that time np to abont $A D$ D 1083 this record presents the vonel six times, in lines 11, 47, 50, 56, 63, 103 Professor Bühler's illastration seems to bo from at 2 , line 11, NO 5
    ${ }^{2}$ For the slightly earlier instances from Bombay and My sore, see pp 11, 12, above

    * Compare similar instanees in the Kaluchumbarru grant see p 16 below
    - Tro of them do not present the vowel at all the Padamkalura grant, on plates now in the British Museum, Ind Ant, vol 7, p 15, and the Tinderu grant on the Vandram plates, ante, vol 9, p 138
    - Professor Exelborn was in donbt as to the ty pe of the letter here seo loc out, p 138, note 4 But I am able to say, from clearer impresesons than those which he lad, that it $2 s$ certanly of the earlier type, with damage of the right component of the lower part

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compire a similar instance in the Kedhatallı grant on the Kolavennu plates see $p 15$ above For instances frow the west, see pp 11, 12, 13, above

[^13]:    ${ }^{2}$ The ungrammatical form ${ }^{\circ}$ pitryon (1 19) aleo presupposes an crroneous base pifin instead of puifi
    = From ink impressions supplied by Mr Venkayya
    Expresped by a symbol

    - Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ विस्तरष्तर".

[^14]:    ${ }^{2}$ The ablatise has to be construed with the verb samãjäpayats, 'he commands, an l 19
    ${ }^{2}$ Ie glory in this life and fawe after death See Bühler, $Z D$ MI $G$ Vol XXXVII $p 575$.

    * Compare sabhā prapā karēna in the Nāsik mscription No 10, 12 f , above, Vol VIII p 78

    T The words àrama and avasathe occur in the same nnecription, 12
    *This use of anéza as very melegant

    - Compare Dr Stem's Translation of the Rā1ataranginī, Vol I 9 316, note on verse 601
    * See Dr Flect's Gupta Inser p 169, note 6
    ${ }^{8}$ [For a different rendering of the word chata, ste nbose, Vol X p 75-Ed]
    - Badhra means "deaf' With bhöndake compare Eundı b̧öndä̀, "ugly"

[^15]:    1 For 1009, pp $1000 \times 0$
    ${ }^{2}$ Finde a $A$ S. B. For 1802, Part 1, extra uumber

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ [It is worthy of note that the slab uas found " in a stratum which indicated that at had been placed in a restored bualhing," see also the following note -Ed]
    ${ }^{2}$ [The abscnco of the ring and eeal would show that the plate mast have bad a history of its own bcfore at came to be deposited at the spot where it has now been discorered -Ed]
    : For an explanation of turushkadarda, nee above, Yol 1X, 2321
    above, Vol 1X, p 305, text linc 15
    ${ }^{3}$ Ind Ant Vol XVILI, p 17, text line 21 Above, Fol IV, p 120, text lines 22-23
    ${ }^{7}$ [It 18 not unlikely that all the six valiages granted by the hing were aituated in the district of Vada chaturaSitı - Ed ]

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ [See the Annual Report of the Abastant Archaological Snpernatendent, Southern Carcle, for 1907-08, paragraphs 58 if -Fd$]$
    ${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol IX. p 322
    ${ }^{2}$ Expressed by a symbol

[^18]:    1 The akghara gya looks like dya; one of the strokes necessary to make it gya has been omitted
    ${ }^{2}$ Read $t u r i g^{\circ}$

    - Thas sign of punctuation and all the others in lines 12 to 21 are superinous

[^19]:    ${ }^{3}$ [Either the readıng 18 Pattanyä, or there are two vertical strokes after Pattanā-Ed]
    ${ }^{3}$ Read apz cha
    ${ }^{3}$ [I think the reading 28 sa parnnakaräh, mee above Fol IV p 101, text-line 17 and plate -Ed.]

    - Read ashams
    ${ }^{-}$Besd dattá itz

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ [The angn of avagraha is inserted in the origral between the aksharas $y \bar{a}$ and $l_{\imath}$-Ea.]
    ${ }^{2}$ [Each of these vertical strohes 18 preceded by a symbol which looks like the letter 日 funad in this anscription. -Ed J
    ${ }^{2}$ See Ind Ant Vol XV p 10, note 55
    "I do not anderstand the force of the word "agan" (punzrapt) here This would seem to signify that the king was only renomang an older grantiof his

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Ind $A n t$ Vol XV p 10, note 57
    ${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol IX p 70
    ? [For short notices of these two records see abore, Vol IX p 158f—Ed]

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Thus word has become gätra, jätre or sätivrâ in the Dtavidian languages of the South -EX ] ${ }^{-}$
    ${ }^{2}$ From the original stone

    - Expresed by a bymbol.
    - Read उ\% ${ }^{\circ}$

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Restore वडहरकी. ${ }^{2}$ Supply राना
    3 The akshara न 13 entered below the hne and 18 dufferent from the other $n$ 's in the anscription

    - From the onginal stone. ${ }^{3}$ Expressed by asymbol Bead $\mathrm{Jq}^{\circ}$

    T There 18 a break in the middle of the vertical line which denotes the $\bar{a}$ of tra
    ${ }^{8}$ Read निग्ष

[^24]:    ${ }^{2}$ Abore, Vol I p 277, note 18
    ${ }^{2}$ From the original stone
    S Expressed by a symbol
    4 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ यौवराइच्ये
    ${ }^{5}$ Read जगत्य $f$
    ${ }^{8}$ [On the impressions $v$ bieh Mr Bhandarkar has kindly sent me for referenee, theroading intended as ${ }^{\circ}$ द्वस्य, thongh the subseript $y$ is not properly formed Other instances of such careless engraving are notieed by Mr Bhandarkar lumself There 19 a dot which may be taken for an anustära, but it 18 not quite prononnced and may bo dne to accident -Ed]
     grammatically correct Zanshrit text, the places, where no nttempt at observing the rules of samdhz as made, wall be passed orer, and only violations thereof $w i l l$ be noticed
    ${ }^{3}$ [These two strokes look like aा in the impression -Ed]

[^25]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read कुटुवं
    ${ }^{2} \operatorname{Rend}{ }^{\circ}$ हाहमय ${ }^{\circ}$
     —Ed]

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ From an estampage 3 Expressed also by a symborl fon the ampresions the readiog seems to be यष्कन्मनि instend of 子ननन्मनि —Ed]
    

    - Read पुख ${ }^{\circ}$ [On the ampressions the first akskara of the word fवष्मित looks more liko fि than fि - Ed]

    6 Bead तद्युती 7 [On the ampression tho first akshara of this word seems to be .ग-Ed]

[^27]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read छत्पन्न स्यद्वकी $\quad{ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ द्वाधिए ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{2}$ [On the ampressions tne reading is तस्यादान ${ }^{\circ}$ —Ed] *Read =uबक ${ }^{\circ}$

    - Read वितीषणं -[The akshara in brackets looks like हT -Ed.]
    * Read ตक्ष ${ }^{\circ}$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ सहचेच.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the orignal stone ${ }^{2}$ Head ${ }^{\sigma}$ सीहदैवं
    
    
    

    Eead वान्नौं प्रत, as the text was never intended to be grammatically correct, such inaccuracies nill not be corrected unless it is absolutely neccssary to do so

    - Bead भूमिस्तस
    - Read एतद्योप ${ }^{\circ}$

    5 Read जह्म, the metre of these lines is violated

    - [On the estampage the reading ceoms to be \| E 11 कुष", —Ed]

[^29]:    1 From the onginal stone
    2 Read भाद्रपद
    ${ }^{3}$ Read महा ${ }^{\circ}$

    - Restore तर्सुत ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{5}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पाट्यो
    - Read पर्पिययन, further grammatical inaccuracies ars better left uncorrected.

[^30]:    
    4 The whol suse as conupt It also ours at the end of some of the following macriptions Perbaps it mis be restored as follows - गीहलाना उहसेग नहत्यत्यातेन म। लोपकाम्य तु यत्पाप तेन पापेन लिप्यते ॥

    * Bharnafar Prahut and Sanskrit Inscr ptions, pp 1589
    e Gazetteer of the Bombay Presidency, Vol I pt I pp 480 and 485
    "From the org gual stouc E Expressed by a symbol. ${ }^{2}$ Read बासीज ${ }^{\circ}$

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ind Ant Voi VI p 210
    ${ }^{2}$ From the original stonc
    ${ }^{2}$ Expressed by a aymbol

    - The loop of the letter से x not enture
    - Read "दैवकल्याप"
    

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ भ्योटो ${ }^{\circ}$
    2 Rend ${ }^{\circ}$ गुरीय ${ }^{\circ}$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ चघीटो ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{8}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ स्यनीट ${ }^{\circ}$

    - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ जन
    ${ }^{5}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ गौडान्वर्य.
    ${ }^{6}$ Read मत $\quad$ [The number of lines in tho impression 186 -Ed]
    ${ }^{8}$ From the original sto se [For a Nädol mascrip on of the sume king, dated in the same year, see above, Vol IX. p 159 - Ed ]
    - Expressed by a symbol
    ${ }^{10}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ ज्ये ${ }^{\circ}$

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read समये ${ }^{2}$ I am not qnite certain of this reading
    Read ${ }^{\circ}$ विशीपकों ${ }^{\circ}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ नेलपल. ${ }^{\circ}$ Read माव".

    - head ${ }^{\circ}$ यामौष ${ }^{\circ} 7$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ समन्च. ${ }^{\circ}$ Read धर्म्सस्स.
    - Read विशीपक्' [The space between ${ }^{\circ}$ की and पलिका ${ }^{\circ}$ is too big for the numeral \{ alene -Ed]
    ${ }^{10}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ हित्यासहसेप 11 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पूतेन 12 Probably ${ }^{\circ}$ हत्याभ्या मas intended
    ${ }^{13}$ Read यत्पाप 14 The letters पापेन and $\#$ are not nceded for the metre
    ${ }^{15}$ [There are two moro aksharas in this line and another lino below the bth which may be transeribed as follow


    ## (1) 5 र्राध-

     -Ed]

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the original stone ${ }^{2}$ Expressed by a symbol

    - From here onwards many grammatical inaccuracies occur, which nced not all bo corrected

    4 Read घनत्येयु B देग्या निन्धितेप nould lare been expected.

    - Read यत्

    1 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ जागमान.
    8 Read ${ }^{\circ} \varepsilon^{\circ}$.

    - Mesd ${ }^{\circ}$ नारकेंध${ }^{\circ} 10$ Read यत्.
    
    12 The verse obpiounly violates the metre

[^35]:    ${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ भर्मीर्दख ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{2}$ This as followed by the mark of a spear

    | ${ }^{3}$ Eead ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ (1गवाट ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - Read चितितश, |
    | :---: | :---: |
    | - Read विशाप्य | 1 Read कारित ${ }^{\circ}$ |

    - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ घासन ${ }^{\circ}$ Bead विद्घाप्य 1 Read कारित ${ }^{\circ}$
    [There are some symbols engraved betreen the two double strokes, but they are not quate clear -Ed]
    - above, Vol VIII p. 206

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Fol IX p 68 if aud Ind dat Vol XL p 144
    2 From the original atone
    2 Expressed by a bymbol
    ${ }^{4}$ The letter la of ${ }^{\circ}$ Inala ${ }^{\circ} 15$ engraved above the line
    5 Supply ${ }^{\circ}$ दँ

[^37]:    ( [On p 42 above, Bōrli has been sdentified with Badãrı - Ed ]
    2 From the original stone $\quad$ Expressed by a symbol

    * As the inscription does not am st giving a grammatieally correct Sanshrit text, it is not desirable to correct all innccuracies
    - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ केलट पराब्ये
    - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ राज्ये.
    7 Read कारणीय
    - Probably 4 국 was antended

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Perhaps the field or fields arrigated by the machane-rell had to supply the barley corn required for the festral-Ed.]

    2 From inl ed ampression:

    - Read वर्षमाने.
    
    - Expressed by a symbol
    ${ }^{5}$ Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ पुने.
    2 Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ पाले

[^39]:    ${ }^{2}$ From the original stone
    2 Read सभक 3 Read मदत्त

    * Read ${ }^{\circ}$ भुनी. The abshara को may also be read कर्ये Between the aksharas सु and की tnere 18 a small carcle
    © [The resding may also be प पेक -Ed.]
    - These six letters are doubtful [Perhaps परायणार्थं 18 mennt -Ed]

    7 Read मदत्र ${ }^{8}$ Read सरकानानुदे. $\quad$ Read पर्द्या.
    10 Read गीfिक 11 Read $\overline{\text { ä }}{ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{12}$ [The reading hero may be सीढामातृ -Ed]
    ${ }^{23}$ Some anch word as श्रेयीर्थ has probably to be supplied after ${ }^{\circ}$ \&ारमति ${ }^{\circ}$
    2 Bead संभक उत्र्टर

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some such rord as दोर्द्स ${ }^{\circ}$ " might be sapphed bere
    ${ }^{2}$ Restore ${ }^{\circ}$ सात" ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{2}$ Read 文㞓 ${ }^{\circ}$
    4Restore विलारण

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the original stone
    ${ }^{-}$Read ${ }^{\circ}$ जातानि
    
    
    : Read 'रास?

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the original stone
    ${ }^{1}$ Bead काश्विन

[^43]:    1 Read ग्यान्यिने
    2 Read yष्प ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{3}$ Read कान्योघ

    - Read कातमन
    (Reod シेचीर्य
    - Read चेपिता

    7 Read उनमा
    ${ }^{8}$ [What 15 presersed of the first letter of this line looks hime च -Ed]
    Bead दिवस्तरि [I think the reading is दिनस्व -Ed ]
    ${ }^{11}$ Resd नरेदथे 12 liend कारण्रोtय
    ${ }^{14}$ Read वर्त्रनीय [The reading may also be च चापनीय -Ed]
    10 Read कार्णीय
    
    ${ }^{15}$ Supply धुव

[^44]:    ${ }^{3}$ Above Vol I p 280 If
    : History of Gugarat in the Gazelleer of the Bombny Presidency, Vol I pt I, pp 450 and 455
    : For the tribe Mehars or Mör, see Ind Ant Yol XV p 360
    ${ }^{4}$ From the oraginal stone $\quad{ }^{5}$ Expressed by a symbol

    - The meanug of this is not npparent to me

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the original atone
    " Expressed by a symbol
    The samditis here omitted, such omisarons need not be further noticed
    

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read "र्वी
    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ निमित्र
    7 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ गो度示 and ${ }^{\circ}$ वर्ष
    ${ }^{10}$ Read दार्य

[^47]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ Above the commencement of this line, thic first ctrhe whime is: if ofrg"n
    
    

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read घचत्य ${ }^{\circ}$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ प्रोमहृ ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{3}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ म्रोमचुणाㅇ. [The neecssity for this correction as not apparent -Ed]
    ${ }^{3}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ ग्यवषोत्पलाय". 4 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ रनलप ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{3}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ नगदिषाद

    - Read पासाद ${ }^{2}$ Read समुद्धघ $\quad{ }^{8}$ Read नदतात्
    - [There seem to be two more andistinct ahsharas at the end of the hne -Ed]

[^49]:    1 Read जातवासद्दिवस्व
    2 1estore तस्यात्मजन
    ${ }^{6}$ Read $\overline{\text { an }}{ }^{\circ}$

[^50]:    ${ }^{2}$ From here the rules of samaht hayc been neglected
    2 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ कर्तो
    
    1 Dsrector General's Annual for 1907 8, Part II p 225.

    - Vol I p 232, note ( 3 R. Lahiri \& Co's edutiom, 1894).

    2 Abowe, Vol IX p 7.

[^51]:    ${ }^{2}$ four $B e n g$ As Soc, Vol LXIT pt I 1311 ff, nleo see above, Vol X p 18
    
     Raacsthan, Fol I p 745

    8 The author of the Diyäsrayakofa says that, Jlong with his brother Nēcaraja, he attended the svayamara
     gave has younger aister Lal chmi to Durinbin's brother, Nagarija (Ind Ant Vol iv p 113) The hosthitues
    
     minnas-not Chaulul ns $^{\text {-ED }}$ ]
    

    - The Sun temple of Anditi bata is ind (No IN above) seems to have been bult during his reign and called niter larg
    
    
    - [For this dato of Purmpul: ace the Dirfetor Gereral's Annuai for 19078, rart II p 220 - Ed]
     Jeadrajaja and called after hm
     tules rhatever are quded to the nares of hadrapila and inabuls The probablities, however, are that these rames are of the Chatharrong $h_{\text {anes referred to } n \text { the tat }}$

[^52]:    1 During the excavations at Mandör, 6 miles north of Jödhpur, $s$ few fragments of an inacription atone were found, whech ohow that Prithvipala, the eldest brother of Asvaräja, had z bon anmed Matnepäle Ratnapēla'a son
     the ingeription roferred itself There can hardly bo a doubs as to the layapala of Nos VII-XI beang that Rāyapāla, graudson of Prithvipāla

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol I p 295
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibld $\forall$ Ol II p 422
    \$ No 133 of Professor Krelhorn's Appendix to Vol V, above

    * [The mahämandalēfvara Vaịathanāa of the Chähuy Samvat 1231, corresponding to $A D$ i175, in a copper plate grant of the Chaulakya king Ajayapala (Ind. Ant Vol XVIII $p$ 81) -Ed]

[^54]:    1 No 1 ant of Professor Kielborn's Appendix to Vol Y, above
    ${ }^{2}$ Räshtranda, it can searcely be serionsly doubted, is the same as Rashtrahūtn There were two Rüshţakuta
     Hājutinna" tells us that at Dhanōpa, 16 males north of Shähpuri, two mscription stones had heen fonad as carly as AD 1ST3, which gavo an account of a Räshtranta family ruling there four names lad theresn beon traced, tez those of Bhalila, has son Dantis armä, and has sons Buddharīysand Gösada Ono of the anscriptions was dated Samrat 1063 Fozāga sudz 5 Saurẽ (Ind Ant Vol XI $p$ 175) Adotber anscrıpt on was fonnd at Hāthundi near Bujapar at the Bāli District wheh describes a new Räshtrahūts fam ly This has heen referred to above A part of thes mecription was pnblushed hy Professor Kuelhorn in the Jour Beng As Soc Vol LXVII, part I, pp 309314 , and the complete teat has recently been edited by Pandit Ram Iinarra of Jodhpur (above, Vol X pp If fif) This contams three dates, the last of which is V E 1053 ( 1 D 991 ), and pertains to the reign of the Páentrahūta Dharala of Hastihandı (Häthundı) It is reasonable to suppose that the Rūstrakūta family, to which Annalladeni belonged, was the one reigung at Haflundin in Gatrid, and not the other one reagoing so fan north as
     Lelped no less than two prinees of the Marwar Chahanana dynisty The fwo femiles were thus reigning elose to, and were also alhed to, each other But thes matter is placed bey oud all doubt hy No XIII, which records a grant
     This insenption also records a benefaction by certan Rāshtrahüts prinees The mention of Räshitahuita in the same eprgraph with that of Analadevi or Annallidevi, who was of the Räshtrauda dynasty shows that Bāshtrauda 15 the aane as Rāshtrahūta and that these Rāshtrakūtns were not far from Sànderā wnere No XIII was fonnd It 15 , thercfore, perfectly reasonable to anppose that these Rashtrandas or Reshtrahutas, of whom Sahula, father
    

    * Abore, Vol IX p 69

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ Betgga' Firtstataz, Vol I p 170
    2 Ellot's History of Indra. Vol II p 230

[^56]:    
    "Thus merription is in the temple of Jigi aima, Disuri District, Jollhpur State It is engraved on one of its pullars, whelh, no doubt, ompanally brliged to nome femple at Nandel

    - Brims' Jirishtat, Vol Ip 100 Fhot's History of Indag, Vol II pp 22930
    * Bharnagar Prahrit and Sanslrit Inscriptiont, p 93, Jour Dent As Soc Vol IV Pt I $p 49$
     a* Nigda, the ancient eapital of the Guhatiots, nas drstroved by a Muhammadan army, when Pamaraje son of
     lizpenmi in the reign of Jutrasimin, for we are dintinctiv told in thr IIammira-mada mardana that the Turushis
    
     ariny by Jatrasmuais also mentioned. It was at this time perhaps that Nadol was seized by him

    T Tro inscriptions of this prince have been found, one nt Nānā and the other at Belâr, both an tbe Bäli Distrıct, Jodipur atste (I'rog Rep Archaol Surv Ind, West Circle, for 1008, pp 49 50)

[^57]:     be pubished br me
    : These shos have now been deposited m the Vacton Hall, L dinpur
    ${ }^{3}$ Ind ant Vol V1 p 195, pl II, 11

    * Above, fol id p 80

[^58]:    ${ }^{1}$ [On page 59 above, Bihadamēru is identified nith thas Bädinir -Td]
    2 Seo page 44 ubove
    3 Bragge' Firathtäh, vol 1 p $20 \%$
    4 Elhot's Mistory of India, Vol II p 238

[^59]:    ${ }^{2}$ Prof S R Bhandarhare Search for Sanshrat Alamuscripts for 100105 and $190506, \mathrm{p}$ I6 ff, Dhäı ivarsha and Sönasımha were, of eourse, Piramarn hagy and were father and son Sōmasmha was, in all hhehhoot, the yucaräja at that time and land some share in the sdministration

    2 Dr Peterson's Frrst Report (1882 83), App p 81
    : Dr Bhandarhar's Search for Sanshrut Mantscrepts for 1883 84, p 150
    4 History of Gugarat in the Gazetteer of the Bombay Presidency, Vol I Pt Ip 482, here Chāmunda rajas is also referred to
    ${ }^{3}$ Ind Ant Vol VI p 190, Dr Thandarhar's Search for Sabshrut Manuscrepts for 1883 84, p 156

    - Abure Yol I, page 23

    1 History of Gujarat in the Gazetteer of the Bombay Presidency, Vol I, Pt I p 200

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brıggs' Firıshtāh, Vol II p 363

    - Annals and Antrquttes of Rajasthan, Vol I p 248 I do not kuow what anthority is forthcomme in support of Tod's statement that Maldeo (Mäladeva) was the Chef of Jhalore (Jālor), though this is by no means impossible
    * Bhavnagar Prahin and Sanskrit Inscriptions, p 114

[^61]:    ${ }^{2}$ Annals and Antiquities of Rajasthan, Vol II p 15

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Mr Jachann's remarhs, loc ett, bottom of page
    ${ }^{2}$ Nob 469472 of Prof Kielhorn's List of Northern Inscraptions
    ${ }^{3}$ Gupta Insct platexvir 1 10, Ind Ant Vol Vil p 72 , plate, 111

    - On the term bee Ind ant Vol YIII p 305, note 21, and above, Vol III p 323, note 3

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ The gerundire präpya (spelt präpıya or präpiyfe in thus grant) is bynonymous with antargata, it oceurs again in 1126 and 28 Compare above, Vol IIl p 323, note 2
    ${ }^{2}$ The same term is found 10 other Valabhi grauts See Ind Ant Vol $\nabla \mathrm{p} 212$, text line 23, Vol. VI p 12, textl 5, Vol XIV p 330, textl 23 , Vol XV p 187 f , Bharnagar Inscr p 37, textl 6

    * For parisara, ' extent or area of $n$ pond,' see Gupta Inscr p 160, text 1 25, nbove, Vol IV p 80, text 155, Ind Ant Vol IX p 239, testi 6, Vienna Orie,stal Journal, Vol VII p 299, text 120 f
    'For pratyaya, 'holding,' see Gupta Inscr p 170, note 5, above, Vol IV p 30, test 1155 and 61 , Ind Ant Vol V p 205, textl 16, and Vol XIV p 330, text 112325 , Vienna Orzental Journal, Vol VII p 299, text ll 17 and 19
    : Compare Pıñchhabūpıä vaba, above, Vol IV p 80, text Il 55 and 59
    *For suatala ece Ind Ant Vol VI p 15, textl 11, Vol IX p 239, text 1 4, Vol XIV p 330, textl 25; Vol XF p 18T, note 9
    ${ }^{7}$ Gupta Inscr p 167, Ind $4 n t$ Vol VIl p 70, Vol VIII p 303, Vol XV p 188, Bhatnagar Inscr P 37
    ${ }^{5}$ Gupta Inscr p 167, Ind Ant Vol VI p 12, Yol VII pp 70 and 73 , Vol VIII $p$ 303, Vol YV p 188, Bhavnagar Inscr p 37
    : Ind dnt Vol IV p 175, and Vol V p 207
    10 From ink impressions supplied by Jir Venhaysa
    ${ }^{11}$ Expressed by a symbol
    12 Rean स्डनि
    ${ }^{13} \mathrm{Bead}$ मैन्चकाएाम ${ }^{\circ}$
    14 Bead वन्मेय

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rean ${ }^{\circ}$ सलीपाप्ये
    ${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ कर

    - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ शजकीया ${ }^{\circ}$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ पन्चेपयीय
    - Rexd भूमिच्छिद्र
    ${ }^{6}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सरिनिच्चित ${ }^{\circ}$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ कालीन $\cdot$ Read निसृष्ट
    ${ }^{2}$ Read स्र्जित क्रपत कर्षयत
    
    
    ${ }^{10}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पालयित ${ }^{\circ}$
    
    
    ${ }^{13}$ Read सयुक्त. $\quad{ }^{16}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ क
    1s Read $\overline{\text { cै }}{ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{15}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ वर्ष $^{\circ} \quad{ }^{17} \mathrm{Bead}$ भूमि ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{28}$ Resd भाध्छेत्ता ${ }^{21}$ Head नरदे. ${ }^{20}$ Resd मूलिसर्य,
    ${ }^{21}$ Iresd ${ }^{\circ}$ विद्धत"
    ${ }^{22}$ This is an abbreviation of दूतक Compare Gupta Inser p 167, note 8, Ind Ant. Vol. VII, p 70, text line 18, and YoL YIII, p 303, note 12, Bharnagar Inser p 37, text 118

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ I hase to thank ham for varions corrections and emendations

[^66]:    1 Mr Burn read the year rightly, as 18, in has account mentioncd above $\mathrm{Mr} \nabla$ Smith read at as 113, but the character before that for 10 is clearly $r \bar{e}$, the last gyllable of samvatrare
    ${ }^{2}$ [This principle, however, must be applied with caution any particulsr record may easily give the first avanlable instances of types found in it, and so may carry them back to earlice times than had been previously establushed for them -J F F]

    - Read scāmisa There is a dot above the sva, which looks hike an anusvara, bat may be only a fiam in the stone
    - Read pakshé

    5 There is a dot above the sé, which looks like an anuzāra, bat may be only a flaw in the atone

[^67]:    ${ }^{2}$ This akshara, judging from what remains of it and from the space which it occupies, would secm to be a double consonant, of which $k h$, ch or 0 is tho second member It may perhaps be sva or sva
    ${ }^{2}$ The fourth fortaight of the hof season would he the last fortnight of it, if we should reckon six seasons in the year, and would be (for the trimo of our record) about the first half of Jane with tho year of three seagons thas fortuight would be about the firat half of Aprl.

[^68]:    ${ }^{1 /}$ A S I Vol. I pp 309-10 ${ }^{2}$ Early Hastory of Indaa, $p 291$.

    * Expressed by a symbol
    -These tro words and the following na are so mach blurred that they are far from clear, but what remame of the letters viewed according to the requirements of the metre suggests the reading given
    - Read dhiryam
    - The first of these two $p$ 's differs considerably from the normal thape, but there can be no doubt that it is a $p$ badly formed

[^69]:    ${ }^{2}$ This as a possible Sanskrit expression, though certamly pecular It is not, howevor, stranger than various compound words found in the Kâvya literature, and the anthor was limited in has choice of words by the metre
    ${ }^{2}$ A. S. I Vol I pp 31011

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Compare Mr Bhandarhar's remarhs on pp 50, 52 and 60 ahove -Ed ]
    2 It cannot be the character for $h$ sh, because Sanshrit $h s h$ as modified into $k h$ unformly in this anscription
    : According to the method of tranaliteration generally adopted in Hindi grammare

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Thas practice seems to have been prevalent in Rajpatana already in the 12th centary AD, see Mr. Bhandarkar's remarks on p 38 above - Wd.]

[^72]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read probably darsãn (for darfān), see remarks on the grammar
    ${ }^{2}$ Read samayē probably :That ${ }_{18,}$ Sanshrit radz Read pame\%ami
    5 Or perbaps Lakhimnu Read Barsnav 7 Read Ananda ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{8}$ That 28, kripan Or Nanigu or Naliyu or Naniyu
    ${ }^{10}$ Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ dās
    ${ }^{11}$ Read sōni
    ${ }^{22}$ The last letter looks somethug like minem, but the extra marhs may be due to monary
    ${ }^{12}$ Dr Fleet has drawn my aftention to the constant occurrence of the expression "Samvat - varshe" in nuscmptions, which is calculsted to buggest that samaar is a sinonym for earshe and shonld bo appleal to the precedıng "Sambat 1621" Such as rendering however presents two daficulties to my mad, first, it would entanl tresting nam as a mere expletive, whereas the ivsertson of a mere expletive an this lacoaic inscription (for tho preceding words, bānān to bharrat, were put in afterwards) would be strango, and secoudly samaya is not ordınarily an equivalent of varsia, vernscular birat, (wheh might well bavo been used here), but woold apply quite correctly to a day, the pamchami
    ${ }^{14}$ Kawsambr purī 18 an adjective formed from Kausambr pur
    15 Tathä seems to refer to tho adjective Kausambi purī, otherwise there appears to be no reason for its insera tıon $2 n 17$ It applies obviously to both Khémaknpan and Laghu, and so the word $b h a_{z} 18$ prohably plaral agreen fug with both, thongh the meaning would he the same if we tranalate "Khëmahripan (and) Laghu (his) brother ${ }^{2 \pi}$

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ These have been published by Prof. Hultzsch, above, Vol VIII, pp 18848
    ${ }^{2}$ Above, Yol III, pp 32359 sabove, Vol VIII, p. 139. Above, Vol IV, p. 256

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ The akshara pha 15 almost the same as $y \bar{a}$ an 1118,30 and 33
    ${ }^{2}$ See above, Vol IV, p $256 \quad{ }^{\text {s }}$ above, Vol III, p 344

    - From the original plates 8 Expressed by a sy mbol.

    A Above, Vol VIII, p 143
    ${ }^{8}$ Read $\varepsilon$ hashtum

    7 The alshara talooks like tia

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ The ahshara pha 15 almest the same as $y \bar{a}$ in 11 18, 30 aud 33
    ${ }^{2}$ See above, Vol IV, p 256 sabove, Vol III, p 344

    - Abeve, Vol VIII, p 143
    - From the onginal plates ${ }^{6}$ Expressed by a symbol

    7 The alsbara tu loohs like tia
    ${ }^{6}$ Read shashtim

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read harēta
    ${ }^{2}$ Is it by mistahe that bhagaväh has been engrared for bhagavān? May it be that the form thagavä carrent in Pälı and Prähpit was then used in the vernacular ton?
    ${ }^{2}$ Resd-mäsē Read Fallabha- (?) ${ }^{4}$ Read Sangrämōna
    ${ }^{6}$ In the Ragpar Museum plates he is called Rayanáa Ojhä

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol. III] p 351
    2 From the origraal plates
    : Expressed by a symbol.

    - Read Parama
    ${ }^{5}$ This is what I read It occurs also in line 16 No such name is retamed for any village or pargana in the Sonpnr State Ghantāpãra in the neaghbourhood is however snggested.
    - Where this Bhataparöli is conld not be ascertaned

    TThe prefix mara perhaps dustingushes the village from other places bearing the name kiend
    ${ }^{\text {B }}$ Read Pundarika-sarmaṇe.

    - In line 5 the name in spelt Nzbindă.
    ${ }^{20}$ Cancel thes word

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} p a ̈ l a n i ̄ y a \bar{a} \quad{ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} b h u \bar{u} \bar{a}=a p h a l a \operatorname{sank} \bar{a}$

    - The akshara $a$ is different from the other intual as which occur in this inscription It resembles the letter $\boldsymbol{d} a$
    - Read =ä bhüta samplavam * Read harêta vasundharām
    - After the mark of punctuation, there is a symbol which may bo the vowel a erased by the engraver hamself, 2g it is written at the beginning of the next lune

    7 Read =udàhrıtañocha buddhrā

[^79]:    ${ }^{2}$ [The reading intended 15 probsbly. Dharadafta nad what looks like the $u$ of $r u$ may be due to a mistake of the engraver - Ed ]
    ? Siläblañjapatitis the name of e village in the Odra country, see above Vol IIl p 354
    ${ }^{3}$ Abose, Vci IX ${ }^{2} 274$

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 655 of the late Prof Krelhorn's Northern list
    2 The queer wording of the inscription makes it doubtfnl if Daghanija was a mere surname of Kottabhaña or if Digblañja denoted a distinct king In any case the $\begin{aligned} & \text { dentification of Śatrubhaña and Digbhañja with }\end{aligned}$ Kottabhañja 18 purely tentative
    ${ }^{2}$ No 656 of the same list
    4The Bhanjas of Manrbhanja as well as of Ganjam havo the peacoeh (mayüra) as something like a totem The Bhanjarrajas all say that they had their origin in a peacock
    ${ }^{3}$ From the original plates The text is hopelessly corrapt and $I$ have made no attempt to correct the numerous mutahes

    - Expressed also by a symbol at the beginming of tho line
    i Ślăbbañjadēva was apparently tho father of the donor
    ${ }^{9}$ From la of sakala up to $p \bar{a}$ of ${ }^{\circ} p \bar{a} l o$, there 18 an erasure in the origimal

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ The syllahles prith ${ }^{2}$ yāa are eograved over an erasnre
    2 This cross is incised helow the hine between the aksharas la and sa
    a Tne ahahari ga seems to have been ernsed hy the engraver hamself

    - There is a visarga after the akshara nam ${ }^{\text {a }}$ This letter lools also lihe rdhrt
    - Andara means ' born of an eng (anda)' of a peacoet
     eragure Tl a alshara srī at the leguming of the next line has perhaps to be caneelled
    - It 18 not easy to ascertan to whom the epithet mahäsämata applies, as the eonstruction is extremely faulty
     mesn ' on a Friday on which the Kuliyaga commenced' [See also Ind Ant Vol XXVI p 179, noder Vaigikhasullapahsha -Ed]
    ${ }^{10}$ By Gangäsandhir 18 perhaps meant the confluence of the Mahannadi and the Tel
    3 The vallsge Atāpa conld not be identafied
    
    1: The ahbbara dā of $k$ rdāchtt is engraved over an erasure
    ${ }^{14}$ Land enjojed by a hrühmena as rent free is nor called brahmöttara, not brahmusra as it is here

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ The ahsharas $n z$ and heta are engraved over an erasure
    2 The form sasye sasye, etc indicating abnndance of crops, shows that the dasloct tnen in use was smilar to Bengali, for the so now used only in Beagal as an darmatic form
    *Read Śvanägëna The ahehara na of näga is corrected apparently from ga

    - The word need here for ' engraving' must be eome Dōsı term then current
    [What is mtended is prohably Śvanāgēna Pändz sutēna lāñchirtam, and mahärājakı mudrētıh seems to mean mahārājasya mudrayêtr On the word läñchhıta sec the late Professor Kıelhcrn's remarks, above, Vol IX p 274-E1]
    

[^83]:    ${ }^{2}$ Abase, Vol III p. 841.

[^84]:    
    ${ }^{2}$ [In F1, $A$ and $S$, there 18 nothiug to conncet the donora with Kösala, but in $F 2$ to $\Gamma 6$ and $B$ the donative villages are sad to be in the Kösala country., Ed I
    ${ }^{2}$ [See the preceding note - Ed ]

    - Above, Vol III p 333
    ${ }^{5}$ [The text of thas mserıption has कायस्य काद्धवेन के(fa)लास
    6 Kōı Ghösha seems to be a contraction of Kallasa Ghōsha

[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ [There is no evidence in the anscriptions to show that this man was a kayastha On the other hand, be as colled a rānaka in F 2 and S ,-Ed.]
    ${ }^{2}$ [See note 1 on page 88 above - Ed ]
    : [Chärn Datta 1 s called a rānaka, while the other two are not spohen of as käyasthas -Ed.]

    - [There is nothing in the inscription to show that Suga Datta, who held the office of sandhivigrahen, was a kuyartha-Ed]

    S Vol XXXIX pp 129 f See above, pp 16 and 80

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Kalpasiltra of Bhadrabähu, Jeepzig, 1879, pp 1518
    ${ }^{2}$ Pischel, Grammatik der Prakrif-Sprachen, para 20
    ${ }^{2}$ See Dr Grierson, Lingustsc Survey, Vol IX Part II pp. 326 f.

[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare the (sparious?) plate of Drōnasımbe of Samıat 183 (Journ Bom Asiat Soc Vol XX. pp 1ff), the Ganēśgad plates of Dhruvasena I of Samrat 207 (above, Vol III pp 318 ff ), the Blā vnagar plates of Dhruvasona I of Samvat 207 (Ind Ant 1 ol $V \mathrm{pp} 204 \mathrm{ff}$ ), the Waile plates of Dharasena II of Samvat 269 (Ind Ant Vol VI pp 10 fil), and the Bhávnagar plate of Dharasëna IV of Samrat 326 (Ind Ant Vol I p 45), see Ind Ant Vol V p 314, Vol VII p 53 f , Vol VIII $p$ 141, Vol XIII p 358, Colonel Watson's Sta. tistical Account of Bhävnagar, $p$ 106, and above, Vol IIL p 319
    ${ }^{2}$ Ind Ant Vol VII $p 54$
    3 Ind Ant Vol $V$ p 206 and above, Vol III p 393
    ${ }^{4}$ See Ind Ant Vol IV p 105, Vol. V p 206, Fresna Orental Journal, Vol VII p 300, above, Vol, III $p$ 323, and below Nos II and III

    Expressed by a symbol 6 Read perhaps, with the published plates of Dhrurasēna, räyyasräh

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read $\varepsilon$ \&hēka
    ${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ mānakān=anudarsayaty"
    ${ }^{2}$ Read chatcāremsad

    - Read shödata- ${ }^{-1}$ Perhaps tath=Atenıshaka-
    - Read -bhögyam
    ${ }^{-}$Read ${ }^{\circ}$ sarppan
    - Read tamfa. $\quad 10$ Resd ayam=
    ${ }^{8}$ Rend $=\bar{a} b a ̄ d h a ̄$
    ${ }^{11}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ mantavyah
    ${ }^{12}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ mōdēta sa
    ${ }^{33}$ Read mmahãpätakaıh
    ${ }^{11}$ Read slokkau bhavatah.

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ Abore, Vol IIJ p 323

[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ind Ant Vol V p 206 f (No 467 of the Iste Prof Kielhorn's Northern List)
    ${ }^{2}$ Sec above, Vol IX. p 172, text hne 4 : [See abore, p 81 and note 1 -Ed] Expressed by a symbol.
    

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read $=$ yyam $=a s m a d$ anumatir=anumantaty $\bar{a}$
    ${ }^{2}$ Karada perhaps means 'tribute paying' It is of course passible to read prabhukta kakarada

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ Expressed by a symbol
    ${ }^{2}$ Pcrbaps rãjyasrih
    ${ }^{3}$ The engraver has misread bis draft and massunped the visarga
    
    ${ }^{5}$ The engraver has miseend his draft and masshaped the ofsarga.

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ Expressed by a symbol

[^94]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ird, $4 n t$ Vol I p 46
    ${ }^{2}$ Expressed by a symbol

[^95]:    $12 \mathrm{~m}=$ =nnupālayıtā dharmmadāyānām= apākarttā pray-õpaghāta-kārınām=upaplavānām darsayită śri-sarasvatyor=ëk-à-

    14 śvarah Śrī-Dharasānas=tasya satas=tat-pād-ānuddhyātas=sakala-jagad-ānandan-ätyadbhnta-gnna-samudafa-sthagita-samagra-dinma-
    15 ndalas=samara śrta-vılaya-śobhā-sanātha-mandalāgra-dyutı-bhāsuratar-ānsa-pith-ōdūdha-gura-manōratha-mahābhārah
     sukh-брара̄дnniya-parı-
    17 tossha[h* ${ }^{*}$ ] samagra-lok-āgãdha-gāmbhirgya-hrıdayō=pı sucharit-ātiśaya-suryakta-parama-kalyãna-svabhāvah khnlī-bhū-
    18 ta-krıtaynga-mrıpatı-pē(pa)tha-vıśddhan-ādhıgat-ōdagra-kīrttrr=ddharmm-ānupaıödh $-\delta\left[j^{*}\right]$ -jvala-tari-krit-ärtha-

[^96]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 292 of the Xadras Eprgraphical collection for 1908

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 170 of the Madras Epigraphical colleetion for 1908
    2 The space between 5 ri and $p \bar{i}$ was perhaps intended for a panctuation

    - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ chakrararttigal

    4 No 104 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908
    ${ }^{3}$ No 171 of the same collection for 1908
    ${ }^{6}$ This inscription is engraved in eontinuation of No 270 of 1908 (from the midde of hae 17 of the latier)

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 167 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908
    3 The letter sci of késarz as written on an eracure.
    ${ }^{2}$ The sy llable $r$ at the begmnigg of the hane as corrected from $r a$
    4 The five syllables mesôtadētc are writtca on an erasure

    - Cancel the first two letters Exla
    * No 165 of the ${ }^{2}$ radras Epreraphacal colloction for 1008

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 597 of the Madras Epigraplical collection for 1908
    ${ }^{2}$ No 172 of tac same collection for 1908
    ${ }^{2}$ The sylable la scens to be entered below the line

    - No 166 of the Madras Epreraphical collection for 1908

    5 Between ndit and the figure 2 there is some space in which traces of a letter or a flgure are seen

    - The flourish sdded to the figure 2 may also be taken to represeat the syllables vadu

    T The $t_{i} t h_{s}$ seems to hase been reqeated by mintake

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 175 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908
    ${ }^{2}$ Tho floursh added to the figure 2 may also bo tahen to represent tho eyllables vadu
    ${ }^{3}$ No 176 of tho Mndras Epigraphical collection for 1908

    - Rend Sri ${ }^{\text {S Read }-p a h s h a t t u ~}$
    - No 178 of the Madras Lpigrapheal collectıon for 1903, 7 Read Jıāsakisario,

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kulöttunga III bears tho suruamo Parahésarivarman in his other inscriptions
    ${ }^{2}$ No 386 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908
    ${ }^{3}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ chahravarttıgal

    - No 418 of the Madras Eprgraphical collection for 1908
    - Between ga and tri there as some apaco which may have contaned a sign of punctuation

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 416 of thr Madras 5 pueriphe al collection for 1903
    ${ }^{2}$ It is not mpassible that the readina is fardultyum. $i e$ the fourth $t_{i}$ the

    * An 595 of the Madras fungraphical collection for 1908
    *The $\bar{c}$ symbol attached to $d \bar{c}$ is at the end of 11
    - Xo 596 of the Vadras Eprbraplacal collection for 1503.

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 215 of the Madras Lpigrapheal collection for 1008
    ${ }^{2}$ The name Raja"äjn in denoted by twa nbbrevations
    F No 400 of the Mrul a Eugraphical collection fur 1908
    4 The dato majalso be read as 21

[^104]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 198 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1008
    2 Two unintelligible sy mbols are engraved at the beginang of the lino before the al ghara sva
    *Tho figures in brachets may also be read as 21 "Read Rtshabha

    * No 409 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908
    - No 195 of the same collection for 1908
    ${ }^{1}$ Read $y a ̄ n d u \quad{ }^{\text {B K Kead perra }}$

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ No. 407 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908
    2 The space betweon $m$ and $k k_{i}$ is jast enough for velli and not for fevvāy (Taesday).

    * No 410 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908
    *Read ${ }^{\circ}$ chakravartı ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ Resd - Räjaräja ${ }^{\circ}$
    T The syllable mi is written below the liae Tho tithi intended may have been cuther äkädafz or dasams But No. 209 above, which is sdentically the same dater, gres ēkädncı.

[^106]:    ${ }^{2}$ No 182 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908,
    ${ }^{2}$ The reading may also be panijamıyum
    ${ }^{2}$ No 454 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908
    ${ }^{5}$ The word Rajaraja $1 s$ expressed by two abbravianions
    ${ }^{5}$ Nin 199 of the Madras Epigraplucal collection for 1908

    - In the origual it cannot be made out whether apara* or pürca paksia was engrared

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 208 of the Madras Fpigrapheal collection for 1908
    ${ }^{2}$ No 213 of the same collection for 1908
    ${ }^{3}$ Cancel the letter:

    - Rend -pakshattu
    ${ }^{5}$ Tho $m$ of $\lambda_{i}$ lamaz 18 entered below tho line
    - No 223 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908

[^108]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 412 of tho Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908.
    2 The syllable $r a \bar{a}$ is denoted by an abbreviation
    ${ }^{2}$ The word vadu 18 expressed by a fourish added to the figure 8

    - Detneeu the letters ba and nā there is a symbol which may rapresent either $n$ or tho $\bar{a}$ biga The name of the month is not quite clear It may also bo resd [Tulà]
    s No 216 of the Mradras Epigraphical collection for 1008
    - Thero is some unaccountable space between the aksharas ku and $m$ of Kumba.

    T The length of na is inserted below the hne.

[^109]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 129 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908

[^110]:    ${ }^{2}$ No 395 of the Madras Epigrapheal collection for 1009
    ${ }^{2}$ Read Trabhucanachakrazartfoga!
    : A sy mbol resembling the figure 4 is engraicd before $f u$ Perheps at atands for fri
    
    ${ }^{7}$ No 411 of the Madras Epigraphenl collection for 1008

[^111]:    ${ }^{2}$ No 130 ef the Madras Epigrepheal collection for 1908
    ${ }^{2}$ No 414 of the same collection for 1908
    sthe punctuation after srī is not diatinct, the existing traces acen to point to the readiug $O_{m}$

[^112]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 214 of tho Madras Epagraphical collection for 1008
    ${ }^{2}$ The punctuation after tho sjllablo $f r i$ as indistinct
    ${ }^{2}$ Read tritīyai ${ }^{\circ}$
    4 No 69 of the Kadras Epigraphical collection for 1908
    \& No. 21 of the bame collection for 1909 - Read Tingal

[^113]:    1 No 101 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1808
    ${ }^{2}$ No 401 of the ame collection
    

    - Vadu as expressed by a flournsh added to the figare 6
    - No 128 of the Medras Epigraphical colleotion for 1008
    - Vade in aluo expressed by a symbol.

    The word tedi is exproued by a dymbol.

[^114]:    - Fo 124 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1908
    ${ }^{2}$ The syllables rad $_{2}$ are expressed by a floursh added to the fignare 8
    8 Resd Śubhakrid
    - The word tēat $3 s$ expressed by a bymbol

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Captan Wilford's deacnptron of the seal of the Bearares plates of Karnadêra, the godess as erroneonsly called Picrati ; woo sbove, Vol II p 297

    - Compare Tamfl firmas and férvas for simà and aésa
    : Abote, Yol. II. p 305 fit verre 1, 16, 26.
    - Ind Ant Vol XVII p 228

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Professor Kıelborn's remarks above, Vol II p 298 f
    ${ }^{2}$ Io, apparently, 'dnring the reign of king Karga' $\quad$ Ind dut. Vol, XVILI p 137,

    - Colebrooke's Mrso Essays, Vol II p 246.
    s J $\mathcal{H}$ \& $S$ 1898, p 511 - Id 1904, p. 262

[^117]:    3．Read कौशाम्नपत्तलाया．
    －Read साम०
    $0^{-}$Read ${ }^{\circ}$ घौवनाश्व${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{12}$ Read पव
    ${ }^{25}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ वेन्द्रान्
    ${ }^{19}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ गटन्नाति
    ${ }^{21}$ Read वामिगौ． 24 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ वेत्

[^118]:    

    - Albērūnī tells us thıs India, trans Sachau, Vol I p 202
    - Kielhorn'a List of Northern Inscriptions, sbove, Fol V Appendux, No 406
    - 1bid., No 407 edited abore, Vol II p 305

    I Or on 23 December, A D 1040, a Mägha vads 2, if this tithe 18 preferred in consequence of what the editor pointed out in conuesion with the weekday being not correct for Phālgana sadi 2 in A D 1042, and belig correct for Mägha vadi $2,=12$ December, A D. 1041. Bat at seems probable that the mastake hes in the rreekdsy (or else in the taths), rather than in the month

[^119]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bhümıchhīdr-āpzāna- and bhumıchehhutr-äpıdhäna occur in the two grants of Dandimshädeari pnblished by the late Prof Kiehorn (above, Vol VI , p. 139, text line 31 and p 142, teat line 29), who has corrected them into bhūmacächhtdr-äbhtdhäna-

[^120]:    ${ }^{1}$ Journ Beng As Soo, Vol LXIV, Part I, pp $136 \mathfrak{f}, 151 \mathrm{ff}$
    ${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol III, p 127, note 5, Vol IV, p 185, note 5, Vol IX, p. 95 a Expressed by a symbol
    ${ }^{4}$ Read prakshàlata
    ${ }^{5}$ This line, with the exception of the syllables rmena, nai, and sasamka, 28 written in Tolagu characters
    ${ }^{6}$ Read prasādät=sam 7 Read-chchhattra ${ }^{8}$ Rend lāmchhana samulว々ala

    - The ta of samasta has been cngraved over tho pa of samtpalavdha, 112
    ${ }^{10}$ Read ottunga ${ }^{11}$ Read Gāngã̀ ām=
    
    ${ }^{14}$ Read mıahäñ=
    ${ }^{15}$ Read rà $\overline{0}$
    ${ }^{15}$ Close to the upper circle of tho visarga there as another carclo whoso signifance is not clear

[^121]:    1 Resã =abdän
    ${ }^{2}$ Read, with the Nadagàm and Madras Musenm platea, tasy=änugö
    ${ }^{2}$ Metre ślöks, read -cäj=弓ätō
    The Nadiggăm and Madras Museum plates read atenipatth.
    ${ }^{5}$ Metre Sārdūlavikridıta, read praschyōtan-
    
    ${ }^{8}$ Read prithrim, to suit the motre

    - Metre Vemsastha
    ${ }^{11}$ Read samujృealah
    ${ }^{13}$ Mretre Ślöka 14 Read éköma- cimatan
    "Read -pragiyamäs- 27 Netro Gitz
    ${ }^{19}$ Metre Mäluni $\quad{ }^{20}$ Bead -krttr
    ${ }^{2 s}$ Read -samwabha. ${ }^{19}$ Metre Māluñ
    -1 Metre Vasantatilaka, read nyäyyēna
    ${ }^{23}$ Metre Śärdülavikrị̣̀ta, read Gänga kul ötta

    22 Read =äckaritum

[^122]:    
    ${ }^{4}$ Read mātcēscara- ${ }^{5}$ The nksharss ttārakō mahārājāare in Telogu characters.
    8 It loohs as if the engraver has placed tro dots under häa in mahä-, three under ssa in purassaraina, one before and one after sā in sāmanta and three under in -jirinah The ma of mahäa seems to have been cancelled. I take the three dots nuder prrabsarāna and rājapädōpajiennaz to signufy that theso words shonld be cancelled. The two dots nnder hä perhaps correspond with the two dots, before and ofter sä, and indicate that -hä- shonld he, replaced by sämanta We wonld then arrive at the reading sämantämātyapramikhajanapadān=samāhūva The Nadagäm and Madras Mnsenm Plates read samastämätyapramulchajanapadän= samāhūya, wheh may also be the reading intended

    7 Read -bährra panicha trimeatā. E Read prasıddhas=chatuk sinme.

    - Read achātabhafapravḗsō ${ }^{10}$ Read -kālam yāvan=
    ${ }^{11}$ Read yasó
    ${ }^{12}$ The $\bar{e}$ of $m \bar{e}$, the $\bar{e}$ of pravēsō in hne 55 and the $\bar{e}$ of chakravale in lino 44 look like $\imath$, also the $\bar{e}$ of Méntōu in line 73 f
    
    ${ }^{15}$ In spite of sri Mänādutyachottaya, (1.60) which beging a verse in the slōks metre, we shonld perhaps read $\operatorname{sr} \bar{z} m a ̈ n=\bar{A} d \imath t y a C h o t t a n ̆ ~$
    ${ }^{10}$ Read sīnava ${ }^{17}$ Read sudūrāga ${ }^{28}$ Read tämrafāsa-
     was meant, seq above Vol IX p 173, text $110 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{H}$ K S ]
    ${ }^{20}$ Read bhārıbhır=bhūpatıbhıra. ${ }^{21}$ Read -gaurarätmparıpālaniyam-ıts
    
    ${ }^{25}$ Read -sìlā

[^123]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read arsānyē
    3 Read -stā.
    ${ }^{2}$ Read -dattām $2 \bar{a}$

    - Read -sāsanzka

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ [It 15 difficalt to reconcile the fact that Rupaderi, a lady, is called the "Sun' of the Vaudanua famen Perhape Vasiambaditya il to be taken an a proper asme -H K. 8.]

[^125]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 541 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1905
    ${ }^{2}$ The inscriptions on the onter walls of this temple which maj be consuldered not so cld as the care itself, belong to the time of Rājal esarivarman, Parantaha I and Rajēndra Chola I (Nos 612 to 514 of 1905) The cave cousiste of a cell cat anto the roch with tro wiches on eather atide Of the two to the right, the first contung an
     mages of a later date The fiout part of the cave was be described as a verandah with fonr pillars cat ont of the same roct
    $s$ As many as 16 macriptions hate been copied from this bonlder (Nos 515 to 530 of 1905) They range in date from the time of a Pallaia hing whose inscription 15 partially bult in by a modera platform rased an front of the slirme of the goddess, to those of the carly Chöla sovereigns Rājahēsarivarman, Parahēsanvarman, Parantaha I and Shrama Chōla The terts of 13 of these records bave been printed by the late Pandit Natesa Sastri (Ind Ant Tol XXXIV, pp 265 ff )
    'Ten inscriptions of the Epigraphical collection for 1905 (Nos 681 to 540) are from this care, the kings
     tash the head of the Pandya, One of the inscriptinns (No 534 of 1005) dated in the Sth year of a Parakersara varmin is interestug as it refers to anfts mule b) the queen of Uday ar Ānameerrnīinar (z $e$ the hing who died
     prasent there is no shrme in the Pundaril in alar Perumãl temple dedicated to Sri Krishna but there as an mage of thit god found on tbe onter gopu a, right of entrance We cannot be certan if this is the image referred to
    s Nos 541 and 542 of the Mrdras Epignapheal colleotion for 1905 are engraped on stones forming the margin of thes well

[^126]:    ${ }^{1}$ From each of the entrances, a fught of steps leads to the interior of the well Midway between the entrance and the bottom of the nell, on what may be deseribed as the portal, is a prece of sculptare whel nas, in all pro bability, carved at the time when the well was constructed and hence synchronous with it There are thus four groups of scnlptares in all, on the fonr sides The principal figures on the northern ade are siva and Pariati seated on a pedestal with attendant deites, flanked on enther sule by a nandi A number of female figures, probably the saptamâtris, are found on tbo sonthern side With an attendant decty on the right sude, the god Yöga Narasimha 15 Ggured on the eastern side in a sitting posture with legs folded and crossed and with something like a cloth passing round them This gronp is flanhed on the left by a hon whilo to the right is a yaf I am not able to ulentafy the images on the western sido Here are the figaros of a warnor armed with a sword, a horsh und a fen whor mafas
    $=$ No 542 of the Epigrapheal collection for 1905 and Annual Report on Epigraphy for $190500, y$ Gs, pus graph 4
    ${ }^{8}$ In spite of this behef it 18 not 1 mpossible that the buider Kamban Arayan was a ehief who belonged to the Mnttarayan family
    ${ }^{4}$ Anrual Roport on Epigraphy for 1909-10, Part II , paragrephs 14 and 25
    © South Ind Insers Vol LI Part LII. p 338,

[^127]:    1 $162 d \mathrm{pp} 326$ and 338
    2 Inscriptions of this family have been fonnd at Śendalai and Truchchattarain the Tanjore District whero
     state also contan their records. The cave at Nãrttamalai ras excapated by the con of Vidēriduga Mataraijan A meight called Vidēterdugu kal was in usc at Uyahhondān. Tirumalaı near Trichinopoly

    8 From some of these atanzas, it apuears that the goil in the Vashnu templo wis in a standing posture in ancient times also
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Above, Vol VIII, pp 293-4

    - One other king iefcired to by thas sumt as the ancient Chöla Eö=Chchengnnaan who 18 mentioned both in the large Leyden and tho Tiruralangadu pintes Tamil liternturo speahs of this sovereign as hanang fought with the Cbêra Kanalkāal Irumboral whom ho eventunlly took prıoner and eonfined an a place called Kudavayıl. hōttam (Puram 74) The poet Poygayèr celebrates the valour of thas hing in his Kalavalı-nārpadu Puram 48 and 49 contan stanzas composed by the same nathor in lronour of another Chēra bing Kō=Kbōdaı märban who, if not adentical with Kanaikhäl Irumbora, nust have been a near relation of his and a contemporary of tho Chōla Kō Cbchengannän It $1 s$ worthy of note that the Pertyaparānam refers to the cxtensuvc bnilding operations of thas ancient Cböla kıg The Saiva sant Tirnüanasambaudar alludes to the construction of the templo at Turaragapmandukioyl and other places by the earne king

[^128]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol VIll p 292
    2 Nos 16 of 1899,300 and 303 of 1901 and 597 and 541 of 1905 of the Xadras Epigrapbral collection
    ${ }^{3}$ Annual Report of Epigraphy for $190506, p$ 66, paragraph 10 * Ibed for 18989 p 7, paragraph 18
    
    In these foar mustances the final $y$ seems to have been maserted to represent tho foll sound produced in pron ponucing the nords

    B Tandre is a varnaut of the Sanskrit danda
    9 The doubling of the consonant is a matake The first of them has to be deleted

[^129]:    ${ }^{1}$ The southern part of Trusellirai was probibly called Tennuir mancrent tuncs
    ${ }^{2}$ The sagnatory in ofie of the inscreptins of Rajurija I (A D 9S5 1013) found at Mamallapuram in the Chingleput District 13 a ceitan Tiruradigal Mimihantiana, a native of Tira vel[*]arai He calls himself as one of the 3,700 of that village (South Ind Insers Vol I, $p$ 65) This seems to mndicate that at an early period there nas a looly of 3,700 persons at Tirucellarai to whom later members traced ther deseent Reference to another such body of men is foumd in the expression Tillaz mivoúynrarar

[^130]:    ' Ind Ant, Fol IT p 237, et seq

[^131]:    ${ }^{2}$ [The text follows moro clognly the Walä plates of Silidity? I dited an Guptr Samvat 286 —Ed]
    2 The fact that the grant of 290 reeords the mame of seversl blages onding in anaha, gives greater probabinty to the sccond alternatue The fame, howcher, of Vatnagir as an earl residence of Braihmanas as jet presered in the name of the locsl caste of Bralititas, and hate one feels inched to the first supposition I chnot decide the matter for : at of more acturate infonintion
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare the name of eopgdia, the grammurnan

    - It is not unikely that thes are derned from the nemes of the willages to wheh ther famalies origually belonged The name Guhtabhatfi (i) 20) mas Le tracd to Gohmad yranta of Kathanad
    ${ }^{5}$ Thas leads to the mifrence that these may be family names It is worthy of remarh that among these names we recognase two of the modern ones of the höhnastla familes, vie Bipat and Bhinu Thest are now met with only amonsst the so called Dapelim Bribmanas and I behcre gre not to be found mongst the origmal residents
    
    

[^132]:    
    
    2 यहिकनिदपि वर्षस दाण्यैख्वरस्बचितम्:
    व्यदलःषष नीवन्त राजा राहे घघननमस् ॥

[^133]:    2 ध्वनिनी मत्सिनी चैव नेषानो भयर्वर्जतत।
    रानगासननीता च सीमा पर्धविषा क्मता।।
    2 कारकान् श्रिस्विनन्येव ख्याशरनीपजीचिन ।
    एकैंक कारयोर्कर्मं माषि माषि नह्रोपति ।

    - See Anandarāmäyana, Sérakànda III, 264

    यहूमा वर्तीत विष्ष तनृपस्य न सभाय।

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ Abbugàmzkāh qunäh, 'inviting virtuous qualities', see Gupta Inser p 169, note 2
    2 Resd सहतारानिं ${ }^{\circ} \quad$ Read $^{\circ}$ पार्धिदन्री Read ${ }^{\circ}$ भासुरतरासपीडों
    5 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ बज्नस $^{\circ} \quad{ }^{\circ}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ F्साध्यायोपेत ${ }^{\circ} \quad 1$ Rend हून्द्रवसु (?)
    ${ }^{\text {n }}$ I am not able to make ont the name It may be Garaka, or Ganarkha (a mistahe for Ganarl ka) but the letter after na $1 s$ more probably $t n a$, in which case it may be Ganatna (a mistahe for Ganaratna)

    - i am not sure Thether I havoread the name correctly, nor can I mako out any thing of it
    ${ }^{20}$ Read मातघर्भा

[^135]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sce Bühler'e remarke in Vol I pp 230 233, above
    ${ }^{2}$ Pablished, with Euglish translation, by N J Kirtane, Ind Ant Vol VI p 53 fif Tho date was calculated by Kechorn, ed Vol XIX p 361, No 169
    ${ }^{8}$ This fact $s$ very suggestive If the copper smith had not died, and if Mr Ojha had not rescued the copper plates from hie widow, they would have ehared the fato of many of ther fellowe, viz the conversion into copper vessels
     may have to read विनिर्गात (II) मूसुर्व

    S See note 3 on p 183
    ${ }^{6}$ Seo notes 6 and 9 on p 182, and 2 and 5 on p 183
    See notes 6 and 11 on p 183
    ${ }^{8}$ Cf Chimcha kshētra, sbove, Vol IV p 207, toxt line 29.

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the jah-3mpression
    ${ }^{2}$ Expresed by a symbol.

    - Rear farfor
    *Rend नगट्वोना ${ }^{\circ}$
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ त्यमु
    - Read समुर्प
    - Read "कुपान्रा"
    
    ${ }^{11}$ This line as continned on the next plate (1 16)
    ${ }^{12}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ यामाद

[^137]:    ${ }^{1}$ [This paper was partially prepared for the Press by $D_{r}$ Sten Konow when he was Gorernment Epigraphast in 1908 snd by Mr H Krishna Sastri when he oficiatod in that post for tro months, from 17th July to 17th September 1911 - Ed ]
    ${ }^{2}$ [On thas name and its denotation, see Cannugham's Reports, Vol XVII p $68 \mathrm{f}-\mathrm{Ed}$ ]
    ${ }^{2}$ Ind Ant, Vol XVIII $p$ 179, and Conningham's Reports, Vol XVII p 25 fi

    - Heet's Gupta Inscriptions, p $291 \quad{ }^{3}$ Above, Vol VII. p 102
    - Progress Report of the Archaological Sarve y of Western Inda for the year 1904, p 20

    7That 18 calcalaing from the date thas article Fas given for publication in 1903
    ${ }^{8}$ [Final $n$ occurs in line 6 also -Ed.]

[^138]:    ${ }^{2}$ [The name intended seems to be Isãar alacs Chuntalura - H K S ]
    2 [That these three parts were to be so duposed of, is mplice. It in not clearly expressed in the text of the inscription - Ed ]
    sProgress Report of the $\Delta$ rchæologieal Sarvey of Western Tndis, 1904, $p$ ह0
    ${ }^{4}$ Ind $4 n t$ Vol. XVIII p $179{ }^{5}$ Elect's Gupta Inscriptions, p 296 " Above, Vol. IV. p 257.

[^139]:    ${ }^{2}$ These wero probably offiedl names, assumed on installation as king, as as still done in somo of tho statco towards Orisš, for instnuce, the Räja of Bumrī 10 cithor a Südbaladöra or a Tribhuvanadöra The present chact whose namo was Satchidänanda when ho was yurarāja, is Trıbhnvanadō va, and so was has grandfather, and his grandson will bear the bame name
    ${ }^{2}$ See above, Vol VII p 103, and Gupta Inscriptions, p 296
    ${ }^{2}$ For zonrces of information for this gencalogy, 800 Ind $\Delta x t$, Vol XVIIl $p$ 179, J $R A S$ 1905, $p$ 621, Consen's Progress Report of the Arehmologieal Snrvey of Western Inda for 1904, p 54, and ahove, Vol III p 327 Noto that Mabásiva Tivaradēva's regal nuclo and his possible predecessor at least an one part of Mahā Eörala Wes a Bhavadēva, Chantädurga or Ranabesarin Whother the name Bhavadöra was mercly fortuitous or otherwise, I leavo tho reader to consider

    4 [From the oxtracts givon by Prof Kielhorn (above Vol IV p 257) at appears that Bhavadēva way the fonrth son of Udajana and not tho fonrth grandson ws represented m tho genealogical table But on furthen examinntion of the meraptıon, Prof Kiolhorn has stated that "Blinvadēra Ranahēarın was the fourth son of Indrabala's younger brother whose namo has not been preserved," (J R A S for 1907, p 621 f -Ed]
    s Abore, Vol VIII p 140

[^140]:    ${ }^{1}$ Another reason why his name is omitted may be found in the fact that all the records of thas dyasty giso onls the name of the donor and lis father in the ordmary business-lhe way, and sure $\mathrm{h}_{4} \mathrm{~s}$ son Sivagupta for reasons stated further on in-the text probably never made a grant, it seems very doubtfol whether we would ever get a direct proof of his existence
    ${ }^{2}$ Abore. Vol II p 298
    3 These plates were found some 13 years ago at Sonpur, the capital of the state of the sane name They were shown to me when I risited that place about 12 years ago (ie 1896) and I tooh a copy of tho inseription [They hare been pablished by Mr Mazumdar (above, pp 93 iif) -Ed]

    4 There is only one exception fonad in tbe Patna plates marked H in which Vanitapura and Kataha are both mentioned, but Jir Laskar has noted that this record is full of mistahes and gross maccuracies See $J$ A. S. $B$ 1903, Foll 1 p 6

[^141]:    'See nborc, Vol VIII p 139 (whero Professor Hultzach prefers to taho kataha m the cense of 'a eamp') and $J A S B, 1905$, Fol $I$, pp 2 and 3

    2 Above, Vol ILI p 355
    3 My lden is that when Bāarjuna's suceessor tras driven ont from Surpur bo fied stright off to tho east and setticd at a spot where he first met the asered Mahanadi whose waters had sanctified generntions of his ancestors To this obsenre place he in has dejcetion gave the appropriato name of Vinitapura or the "town of tne hnmbled" I feel thas is rather fanerful, as at the distance of time it is diffienlt to read the motives whach actuated tho founder to name the town in that way, and all that can be eard as, that the explanation is plausible.

    - It may be noted that Bámrã is still called Bamandă by the Orıyä peoplo of Sambalpur
    - It 18 remarhable that there aro amongat the donees Brahmanu immigrants from Madhyadésa and even distant Śravasti in Ondh Therr advent to this remoto placo may casily be explaned by the fact that Binka lay, as it does now, on the high road to Jaganath Pnri, one of the four dhamas or the most saered plaees of India, which Hindus from all corners of the country visited as they still eontinuo to do Some of these learned Pandits of tho celebrated distrut of Sravastı might havo been indneed to settle there, eithor by solintations of the hing or by neccesity oniag to the diffealty of erossing long distanees for vant of good communications, acecntuated by tho dangers of the road which mas infested by robbers of all deseription.

[^142]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the original stone
    ${ }^{2}$ Expressed by a symbol

    - This strohe $1 s$ corrected from a visarga
    ${ }^{-}$Metre Sragaharia
    
    0 Read भीघा ${ }^{\circ} \quad 7$ Resd ${ }^{\circ}$ fिन्ह Metre Sragdharā
    6 [The reading bere intended appesrs to be वेषोय -Ed]
    - Mretre Harni.

    10 The ahshara त of भुत looks as if it bod been corrected from fo
    12 Metre Vasantatılala ${ }^{13}$ Metre Élhbarıni
     tranalation
    24 Merte: Vasantatilaha
    
    " Metre Sindulanktidita
    ${ }^{17}$ Metre Praharshani

[^143]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mretre Vasantatalaka
    2 Read 将 ${ }^{\circ}$ : Metre Śärdūlnulhridıla

    - Metre Śärdūlarıkridıta

    7 Metre Bärdūlavihriàıta

    - Metre Vasantatilaka

    12 Metre. Śsädūlarihridata
    
    
    10 Mretre Särdulavikrìits il Metre Upajat

[^144]:    ${ }^{1}$ [On the ampression the reading seeme to bo प्री口 - IF K S ]
    2 Metre Anubhtubh ${ }^{3}$ Metro VamSasthanala
    6 [On the impression the reading seems to be sanstend of g-H K S]

    - Metre Śärdūlarihriadita O Mretre Drutaitama
    ${ }^{6}$ Metre Āryā $\quad{ }^{\circ}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पद्र $\quad{ }^{30}$ Metre Āryã
    ${ }^{11}$ Metre Anushtubh ${ }^{12}$ Metro Aryā ${ }^{18}$ Metre Anusituhh

[^145]:    ${ }^{1}$ Metro Anushtubh. [Tho letter, read Jु, resembles a floral device which was perhaps inserted to indicate that the main part of the grant ended here -H K $\$$ ]
    ${ }^{2}$ [On the impression thus word looks hiko पुसक ${ }^{\circ}$ - Ed ].
    2 [The metre requires thas syllable to be long, perhaps we havo to read लेखाहचञान -H K S] [On the impresnon the reading appearn to bo सेखक्याच - Ed ]

    - Ean 18 repeatod a second time by mistake It mast bo cancelled Metro Aryā
    - Motre: Aryä

    6 से loobs like से
    T Metre - Drataviambita
    ${ }^{8}$ [On the ampression the reading is पु स्योत्याम - H K S]

    - [On the ampression the readang beems te be ${ }^{\circ}$ हुम्यन्नारी ${ }^{\circ}$ - H K S $]$
    
    ${ }^{11}$ Metre Sikharini ${ }^{12}$ Metre Upajätı ${ }^{2}$ Metro Aryà [On the mpreseron the reading हeems to be मादागोलाय्यभहाय - Ed ]
    " [On the mpression the reading eeems to be स्याने.-Ed]
    ${ }^{15}$ [Read गुस्पद्धिज.-मा K S]
    ${ }^{20}$ [On the mpression the letter looks Lake सा- E E.]
    ${ }^{1 \%}$ Metre Aryā.

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ [There seems to be a reference here not to Ninsmbs bat to the Trivikrama-avatar of the god Vishnu H K. 8 ]
    ${ }^{2}$ The poet here seems to show that the terrific fsce of Nisimbs bore the symbols of Vishan as also of Yama. The jawa being white bones were like the conch, the tongue like the sword which $2 s$ also one of the reapons of Vishop, the round face, the dascns and eye brows the mace Yama's attribntes are projecting jaws, jong tongue, red bot face and a clob
    [Perhaps Adhikāradharala was a name or surasme of his elder brother - H K S]

    - [As the reading in the text is clearly ${ }^{\circ}$ därnnëshu (not däranéshu as Mr Hirsial seems tn tabe 1t) the compound endıng wath that word is evidently an stiribute of the word ranëshu -Ed]
     one applicable to the subdned hinga and the other to elephtnta -H K. S]

[^147]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Dharmāratara may have been a beruda of the king -H K S ]
    2 [Perhaps the king had the surname Atyantadharala - H K S]
    ' [It 13 worthy of note that the Sarabhapura binge Mabajagaraje and Mahasudēra granted villagea in the Eastern country (Fürva räshtra), Gapta Inacripfıons, pp 192, 195, 197 and 190 -Ed.].

[^148]:    ${ }^{1}$ 'Ihe Süam cannot study the Telas and have no äframas.
    ${ }^{2}$ Demenanti pretended that sle nould remirty, thanhig that hearing thes news, her hushand Nalh nould come foretril ard dis lo.e lumetf, and althongh lier intention was most noble, she ne-crtheless commited a on by pectomeng an unboly wash, and Kah thus secured a vactory over thas exemplary chaste lady, who was free from sin by act, thought or speceh The 10th verse allodes to this occurrence, and I am mdobtcd to Pandit Hirananda, M : Offinting lemenpal of the Orienal College, Lahore, for ponting at out to me and for his kinduces in going orer the mannseript

    I I am ndebted to Mr Krıshns Śaistri for poñing out that उस्gुटित has a techncal sense here. पादमूल he thinke meang 'the sanctary', both of which I have sdopted in the tranalation

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Punyīha tāchaka (as Mr Miralal has rend) is a priest who oficiates in all auspicious cerenionics and proclams by certan mantrae a happy day to tho ceremony and ats performor -II K S]
    ${ }^{2}$ [Tala éra may be more approprantoly tranalated 'close at hand' - H K S ]
     lovely as milk, unsullıed' - H E S ]

[^150]:    ${ }^{1}$ See for instance $M$ Senart's rondering of part, Jonrnal Asast, Bér 8, vol XV (1890), p 121, and oér 9, vol VII (1898), p 8 and Prof Luders', J R A S, 1909, p 661
    ${ }^{2}$ I hare to thank Dr F W Thomas for valuable suggostions and criticiams on my resnlta; and for the cenronience of scholars I have mentioned many of thom, at his desure, when they differ from my results

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$ Characters should primanly be read as what they strictly appear to be, and the resultant rords accopted and scrutinized This $1 s$ essentisl in dealing with the endless diversities in the different kinds of Prärit To rend charncters no as to agree with the commoner forms of the Priarit words intended eeems to bo simont certan to obscore Prikpit modufications that may be mportant critically and haguatically See p 208, note 8

[^152]:    ${ }^{2}$ The letter has a tail or strole to the right at the bottom, in the first tro places the stroke is a straight line and in the third an upward curro Tho difference scems to be intentinnal. In discnesing the $r$ strohe infra ( p 206) I bavo ponted out the difference made in it in the tro poitions into which the inscription must he dirided, numely, the straight $r$ stroko does not denote a real $f$ in the first portion but docs 50 in the second The first two instanecs of the letter ocear in the first portion, and its tail being mado straight there the the unreal $r$ could not create a misunderstanding, bnt in the third instance, which ocenrs in the second portion, that straght stroke might hare ruggested a real stroke, and misnnderstanding has been asoided by tnining the tailinto a carve, which has no specisl significance in the second portion (thongh it does respresent a real $r$ in tho first portion)

[^153]:    
     t, benides whelt the argumenit mentioned fin note 3 on p 208 militate againet its being read an $f$, and thas charac. ter, If tskea at $t$, wenddadd a fourth form to the threc noticed there Dr Thoman however nould read it as $t$
    ${ }^{2}$ Sourn Ariat, ti- D, yel VII, pp. 8 10. J If A $5,1909, \mathrm{p} 061$

    - Eee plate in Journ Aasat, ex 0, rol VII, 1 , 8
    - Eec Pachel'a Prakret Grammar, 55 349, 319

[^154]:    ${ }^{1}$ See p 208, note 3
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare bhakravatō (A II, hne 12, in E I $1 x, 141$ ) where Dr Thomas styles the ratroke otiose (p 142)

[^155]:    ${ }^{2}$ Prschel's Prakrit Grammar, § 102.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibıd § 202

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pischel's Prakrit Grammar, § 108
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibrd $\S \S 192,200$
    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{E}$ I ix, plato IV at p 146 Haring regard to the forms used there, Dr Thomas thinhe that the letter dr is $t$, bnt there appesr to me to he grave objections tbercto Firet, as handmintings varsed, eseh mriting must primarily be scratinized by itself as urged in $p$ 203, note 1 , here the writing 18 minute neat and well formed, so that tbe preanmption ss that the differences between characters were made delhberately and hare their specsal sagnif. ance Secondly, $t$ proper appears in the words paryata, amtara, and arupyata (ll. 3 A and B), as well as an pardhabētı (11), rayatıbaga (12) and saphatıga (1.31), and if this character dris really $t$ also, then $t$ is made in two ways markediv different, and moreover mithont any reason, as we ses especially in the eompound words $n a t$ gra modra sambhatigrana, where there was no reason to writo the $d r$ in madra different from the $t$ in the two other words, if they are all aliso $t$ Thurdly, if thas $d r$ is $t$, all distinction tetween $t$, $t r$ and $d r$ would be ohhterated, a eovelnsion that seems to me higbly mprobablo, consideriag hon important and bigaifieant these three letters $t$, $a$ and $r$ are in tho dufferent knds of Präkrit Fourthly, Sanshrit $t$ medial aud uncompounded is certannly changed to $\mathbb{A}$ un this Prährit as abown nader Rule 1, and tho same change would be natural when $t$ is compoanded with $r$ which 18 only a liqnad, so that we sionld by annlogy expect the $t a n$ Sanshrit putra and mitra to appear here as $d$ My readings of the tbree characters as $t$ (as in tbo words eited above), tr (as in arashatry, 13 C) and dr (as in pudra, mira and adra) gire each characteraconsistent value tbrongbont, a valno which aceords folly with definste phonctic changes, whereas, if wo read all these chnmeters as $t$, wo should havo three different forms for $t$ (witb none apparently for $t r$ or $d r$ ) and thas Prähyt would become chnotic in its modifications The confusion would be still fartber nereased, if tbe letter which I read as $d$ (see $p$ 201) he treated as a fonrth form of $t$

[^157]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prakrit Grammar, 5536376
     citing Oldenberg, $\mathrm{K} 7 \mathrm{Z} \times \mathrm{x}, 318$
    ${ }^{3}$ Noticel in Pischel's Prahrit Grammar, \& 426

    - Thes is found an other inscriptions

    S Sce note on yya Khavadamr (p 212)
    "Pischel's Pral rit Grammar, §§ 450, 461

[^158]:    ${ }^{2}$ Thus is clagr, whether we read paradhabētı or patiṭthabetts an 1 I See notes, $p 214$
     denration of Baga (or Vagra), and compares Habhtuna with Hestanes and Busthanes, and Marega with the termination bara in Sanabares, etc (J RA S, 1906, p 214) The in Fagra is real

    * Eee Notes, p 218
    - Dr. Thomar mould add to this hist the mords bhradaba, socha, nabagra, paryata, faphatiga and arkpyata.

[^159]:    ${ }^{1}$ The da as a mastake for ra

    * to * ancinding the next letter $g a \sin$ line 3 A
    $\dagger$ to $t$ excluding the first letter $a_{m}$ and including tho lettor $a$ of avashatrigana ss hne 3 B
    $\ddagger$ to $\ddagger$ excluding the firat letter sa $2 s$ line 3 C .
    ${ }^{2}$ The oz is probably a mistale for $r 2$, bee $p 216$
    ${ }^{2}$ This word $1 s$ very diffeult, see note on it arffra
    "Or perhaps "the aaint, the hing" (see p 216)

[^160]:    ${ }^{1}$ See J R A S 1909, p 645
    ${ }^{2}$ See Childers' Pali Dictionary, s \% Kusato, and Angut Nih fol I, $p$ 203 Dr Thomastahes it to mesa'a worh of merts', 'a pions work'

    * Prof Lūders explans it as equivalent to tho plrase which is fonnd at Mathura, anena (or emena) deyadharmaparityagena (J R A S 1909, p 660) Is not that a parallel rather thun an equiralent phrase ?
    *See Childers' Pali Dictionary
    ${ }^{5}$ Journ Abiat, per 9, vol. VII, p 10 See note to padıyamfan infra

[^161]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unless we adopt a valunble suggestion by Dr Thomas Taking agrabhaga in its hternl meaung "chisp ahare," he thuks that at denotes a chief shnre in the merit resulting from this donation To my mand the addition of the word pratyamsa makes a difficulty in this reodering

    2 Jonrn Asıat, sór 8, vol XV, p 123 , aud sér 9, vol VII, p 10
    ${ }^{2}$ Dr Thomas 18 inchned to think at may be a genitive plural an räme
    4 Pischel's Prahrit Grammar, § 391
    B This explanation as supported by the exactly anslogous nse of the genitives bhagavata(h) Śäkyamunê(h). see p 209

    8 The word bhratara appears in the Taxila plate, and Buhler takes bhratara sarva there as = bhrätrin sarvän, bnt finds tho constraction irrcgular, as it occurs an the middle of sevoral genitives it is ronlly the genitive, exaotly analogous to mātā-pitara here, and as snch accords fully with the other gonitures there That passago would then run thns - sa putra darasa (ayu bala-vardhee) bhratara sarva (cha)-natiga [bam]dhavasa oha, the frat oha being ingerted parenthetically (ilko cha in this inscription, see note on bhradaba) with reference to sarva natiga, and the secoud cha being in its correct place grammatically but referring specially to (sarva) [bam]dhava These oha's are nsed more with regard to the senen thau strict grammar Bhatara also occurs on the Mānikyāa atone
     here, see note on it

[^162]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr Thomas however thinks that there mase be a mictake, and that the word mutended is bhradara, gent sing of $b 7 r a d a$, that is $b h r a \bar{a} \bar{a}$, 'brother', $b$ being $n$ rongly mritten for $r$ as in rajatibaja
    ${ }^{2}$ Dr Thomas suspects tbat it is really an error for sō cha nud $=$ tat cha Tat appearsastam in Prährit (P.sehel's Prahrit Gramuar, §425)
    ${ }^{3}$ Pischel's Prahrit Grammar, §§ 459, 464
    *This rord might also be read ns mahya, beeanse sand $y$ are often made alihe here (see $p$ 203), and Dr Thomas monld read it so and tahe it as $=$ makyam or mama, referring to the donor, but if so, the two passages seem tautologenl ns regards the donor
    ${ }^{3}$ Bühler read this as "fatäyäs tu, and translated it "(their) share beng a preferentıal ono" (E I, 1, 241), but Prof Lüders takes it as ${ }^{\circ}$ fatäya astry, and I agree with hm I wonld translato at thos, "may it tend to the condifion of (ther obtaming) a share of a pre-emineot ecistence"
    *Whach Bübler t-enslated as, "man, (the merit of thas gift) be hy preference for therr parents" ( E I, , 390) bat I would suggest that agra 25 short for and = the fnll phrase agrëbhāva or agrabhaga, for agra 15 a nonn and means the "forcmost or topmost point", and that the translation should be, "may it tend to their parents' having the condition of (obtaming) a share in a pre eminent position"
    "Wheh Prof Lüders translates "for the principal share of (my) brother Prarabnddhi", bat Inould suggest it means, "(let it tend) to my brother Sverabnddhis (having a) skare in a pre eminent position"

    - Referred to in I A, vol 33 (1904), p 156
    - I have to thanh Dr Thomas for thas suggestion Be thinks further that nabağra may be a mutake for
    

[^163]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr Thomas would resd paryata na a paryamta
    ${ }^{2}$ Adra might=a possıble noun ärdrya, "monsture", but the general character of thıs Prāhrit scems aganst it Dr Thomas would read adra amtara as atra amtara and as =atrântare, but as regards tho character $d r$, see p 208, note 3
    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Dr}$ Thomas however would ruad the word as $\delta a(r)$ setıga, that 18 sãmsvädika, equivalent to Pah samsēda-
     ginal d to Präkrit $t$ (see rules 1 and 3, pp 207, 208)

    - Sazhpa bhug is in the dietionary
    ${ }^{3}$ Dr Thorras would tale thas word as -arüpyämta

[^164]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dr Thomas would patadaferent meaning on the text, thus-"I propose (tentatively) to understand all ereatares to be referred to, 'from äryas to the beings in hell, and all the andajas, ete in betreen (atrcintare) zaciuding the mwabic ( $a-\bar{a} p a$ )'"
    ${ }^{2}$ Can any Palis selolar identify these verses?
    ${ }^{2}$ Särciyär occus ma Skt quotation in Prechel’s Prakrit Grammars § 16

    - See Pānur vai, 3, 689
    - Pis hal's Prakit Grammar, § 426
    

[^165]:    ${ }^{1}$ This ry is dufferent from the ry in paryata ( 3 A ) in that the left limb extende downoride here mueh farther than in paryata Whether this difference 19 accidental or amphes a distinction 15 doubtfil
    ${ }^{3}$ Though, on this interpretation, ono mould hape expected Jrahäsanghikc ächâryãna,

    * Above, Vol X p 52 f.
    - Joxra Bombay Br Bi So Soc. Vol. XXIII. p 6 £

    T Txd, Ant Vol. XVIII $p 270$

[^166]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sea Ind $4 n t$ Vol XXII p 173, and Prof Rapzon's Catalogue of the Corns of the Andhra Dynasty, etc, p. $2 x$ di.
    

[^167]:     atrser of inluablo aggestops, almost all of nhach I have adopted.

    2 Sion 204 to 208 of the Gorerament Epigrephat's collection for 1908

[^168]:    ${ }^{1}$ These five mseriptions havo also beea pablighed by Mre T $\Lambda$ Gopinatha Rao, $M A$, in the Indzan ditzo quary, Fol XL pp 104114 It will be seen that thero are somodifferences both in the readings and an tho tranalntions Further, 1146 to 58 of $B$ are altogether omtted by him and 1118 to 43 or $C$ are left out as they are fragmentary
    ${ }^{2}$ Madras Epıgraphical Report for 1903 04, p 24, No 212 of 1003
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare South Ind Insers, Vol 11 p 111, note 1
     malan (Ne 350 of 1904)

[^169]:    ${ }^{1}$ South-Ind Inscrs Vol III p 3
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid p 113 [Velaehcheri in the North Arcot distriet 8 as noother such village, see Nos $302,308,312$ and 315 of the Madras Epigraphcal Collection for 1911 - H K S]
    : No 229 of the Madras Epigraphenal collection for $1003 \quad \Lambda s$ will he seen from the aecompanying photolithographse plate, 11 I to 24 are engraved on one of the broad ardes of the stone and $1125-26$ on one of the narrow sides

    4 The secondary $\bar{a}$ of rà $^{a}$ 1s a eeparate symbol

    - The visarga 18 used here as a aign of pnactnalion, compare South Ind Insers Vol II p 111, note I

    6 The aksharas hādē are much smaller than the rest of the inscription
    7 The pallz which is conspienons by ats absence iu the whole inseription is marhed on the last letter m
    ${ }^{8}$ The term pelai zrävanai oceurs twice in a samiarcontext in South-Ind Insers Vol III p 105, text-line 5
    2 With turuvilakku-ney ppuram compare ambala-ppuram (abore, Vol III p 285, tert line 7), nandarinaw puram (South-Ind Inscrs Vol IIl p 5, text-hne 5), pudukku-ppuram (ibtd p 7, text-lme 8) and *ynälgaz pparam (3)sd p 19, text-lu e 6)

[^170]:    ${ }^{1}$ It 15 also possible that the rcuing is scikk u!lana (rustead of fekk ullana), iu which csse tho translation would bo "all (the crops) for which the fields of the village are (fit) shall he rased ou thas field, and the oul required for the sacred lamps shall be purchased (out of the produce)."
    ${ }^{2}$ No 226 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1903
     occara in 11 87, 46 and 52 below
    *This symbol for one thousand occnre in one of the Nānägbät ruscriptions Thrs liac has heen read by Mr Gopiuaths Rao as ${ }^{\circ}$ näraz, which $1 s$ prohably a printcr's mistske for ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{n}$ äras Tho readıng ${ }^{\circ}$ närà is unlikely as the symbol for $a_{i}$, in other cases whero it occurs in the inscription (11 6, 22 and 80 ), 18 not separated from tho cousonant to which it helongs Besides tho accusabive ${ }^{\circ}$ näraz would be wrong and would have to be corrected anto ${ }^{\circ} n a ̈ n$ or ${ }^{\circ} n a \bar{r}$
    ©Thas side of the atone is damaged, bat no lettera harc suffered on that acconut
    ${ }^{6}$ The length of $n \bar{a} 18$ added to tho right of $n$ and goos up, compare South-Ind Inscrs Vol I. p. 114 and Vol II p 200, note 1

    7 The vowel $\theta$ is almost a semacircle with a loop at tho left end.

[^171]:    ${ }^{2}$ No $22 S$ of the Madras Epis ripheal collcction for 1003 As will he seen from the accompanying photohithographe plate, Il 1 to 15 and 18 to 40 are engraved on the two broad sides of the stone and the rest of the anseriptions ou the two narion sudes
    ${ }^{2}$ The secondary $\bar{a}$ is sided to the $y$ at the bottom
    ${ }^{2}$ One or more hnes of the nseription are completely brohen at the top of this side of the stone

    - A fem aksharas are lost at the heginning of each linc on this side of the stone
    - Rexd sabhayōm

[^172]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 223 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1903
    3 The recondary $\bar{a} 15$ added to the raght of $y$
    ${ }^{3}$ If my readng be correct, the old form of $a$ is used here as in the Grantha portion of the INasahads grant, South Ind Insels Vol II plate facing p 351, text lune 103

    - This hane 19 donbtful Perbaps the reading is
    - ddharmman patmā

    26 hēsvararēy=hhaık
    5 The ä of $r \bar{o}$ is a separate symbol instead of beng added to the $r$ as in modern Tamıl, compare South$Z_{n d}$. Inscrs Vol III p 00

[^173]:    ${ }^{2}$ Another translation of the passage $1 s$ "Son of Maraka madigal, who was the great queen mahāders. Adigal) of the glorions Vānavidyädhara."
    ${ }^{2}$ No 224 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1903
    $\therefore$ The atshara fi ( $j z$ ) is corrected from $f u$

    - Above the akshara ttâ is a secondary 1 which seems to have been erased by the engrarcr himaelf

[^174]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Mr}$ Gopınatha Rao identıfies Nandıppottarayar with Kö-Vizaya Nandıvhramavarman aud aceordagly conclades that $B$ is older than A It will bo seen that the photo-lithographs of theso two reeords issued with this paper do not hear out thes eouclasion Apart from has wholosalo identifieation of all hings in whose names the word nandi is found as well as of thoso with dantz as part of therr names, both of wheh I eonsider untenablo, even Mr Gopinatha Rao caunot deay that there were at least two hinge with tho name Nandivarman, viz Nandivarman Pallavamalia and $\bar{K}$ a Fijaya-Nnndivihramavarmau or Nandıvarmau Surely it cannot be contended that Nandıvarman Pallavamalla has left no stono inserıptions And as ho 2 s called Nandıpötaràja in the Kacàkudı plates, Nandıppottarayar of $A$ may for the present bo 1 dentified with hm Thas natial mistahe of Mr Gopiantha Rao has led him to other errors an tho chrouology of tho Benas
    ${ }^{2}$ The faet that the Śaba era 15 used 10 them fs probably duo to the fact that tho Ganga-Pallavas had eompletely collapsed and the overlordshap of the Cholas had not yet been recognised in that part of the country Tho Banas who had been feadatories of the Ganga-Pallavas had probably not yet mado np their mands to transfer their allegranee to the Cholas Similarly, in a Tiravallam unscription, we find the Śaka date 810 given and no orerlord of the Bäna chiof is mentroned (South-Ind Inscry Fol III p 95) Other Tamil eprgraphs wheh are dated in tho Saha era are Nos 338 and 356 of 1902 (Ep Ind Vol VII pp 186 and 137) and No 426 of 1903 (Annual Report on Epigraphy for 1903 04, paragraph 20) Ono of the mscripthons of tho Chöla king Firarajēndra I 18 dated in tho Ǵaha year eonpled with the cyelic year (No 273 of 1004) A record of Parāntaha I found at Gramam in the Sonth Arcot District 15 dated in the Kaliynga ora and gives the namber of days that had netnally elapsed on a certan day during tho reagh of the Cböla king ( $E p$ Ind Vol VIII p 261) Another of Parakēsarivarman Uttama Chōla found at Tirnvidamaradur in the Tanjore Distriet is also dsted in the Kaliynga era (Mararas Epigraphical Report for 1007 08, paragraph 53)
    s See the Mfaitas Epigraphical Report for 1906 07, Part II, paragraph 38
    ${ }^{4}$ No 262 of tho Madras Epigraphesl collection for 1904
    B Seo tho Director General's Ansual for 1906 07, Part II, p, 238, note 7

[^175]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sre above vol VIII p 293
    $24_{\text {uc }}$ the Drector Generats -1 muk 1 for 170607 , Purt II, p $230 f$
    
    *Above, Vol \ILI p 3 ; She Brihad Büna of verse 15 comresponds to the term Perum Büna of the Icratornat term Penmbiniplide whith qperers to denote the Binz domazons
    ${ }^{5} 1$ rom the nas in whuli he is here mentioned at loohs as if he dommons were not very far from Sriparvatam, :c Srioulun in the Kurnonl Distriet

    - Hhove, Vol III $p$ 76, tert hane 21
    - South-Ind Inscrs Vol III p 90
    § Undras Fpigraphical Report for 1906 07, Part II, paragraph 45
    - Ind Ant Vol XV p 1 Fo Dr Ilect has told me that the real readiug as not Andhramandalè duadasac but Anchramandaladealasasihasrarrana sampädita, ete, " lord of the seven and a balf lakh country sappled wented br the Audhramandala twelve thousand millages"

    2. Arodras Ipigraphacal Report for 1906 7, Part II paragraph 45
[^176]:    1 Aborc, Vol YI p 88

    - See the Drector General's Annual for 19067 , Part II p 238, note 2
    ${ }^{3}$ Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western Woild, Tol II p 217 f
    - Ind Ant Vol XXII p 173

    5 The Western Gangas called themselves lords of Fuvalilapurs thonef ther capatal was Talahid The
     familas elruming descent in the Pallavs race called themsulves lords of Kañentura and desotees of the goddess
     original name of their ternitory to any distriet oecupied by them in later times Sce $2 l s o$ pp 238 and 239 belon
    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{Mr}$ Serell's $I$ ists of Antiquities, Vol I p 123

    - Abore, Vol IV p 221 Here the forms Parizaz and Prapuri oceur Parnipuri nceurs in the Udayēndiram plates of Prituripati II (South Ind Inscrs Vol II p 388) rad Parigipera in an mscription of tho Bāna chref Aggaparija (Nellore Inscriptions by Mqusrs Batterworth and Venugopul Chetty, $p$ 1201) and Paptuapura in No 86 of tho Madras Epigraphral collection for 1000 (Annucl fipport for 1906 7, Part II paragrapb 46) The form Parti is furushed by No 194 of the gamo collection for 1809 (Annual Report for 1899 1800, paragraph E5)

[^177]:    'South Ind Inscrs Vol III, p 89
    "Sc゙eg Afadras Epıgraphrcal Report for 1899 1900, paragrapb 85
    ${ }^{3}$ These are mentioned for the first time 10 the Udajēndiram plates of tbe Ganga-Bãna Ling Prithivipatı II (South Ind Inscre Vol II p 388, verse 24.)
     hutōdohavajis translated by Mr Bice, on the strengtb of some Kanarese tradition, "born of tbe family of Mahäbain, who had made ParaméSvara, lord of gods and demons worshipped in all the three norlds, (his) door-keeper," Ep Carn Yol I. p 11, note 5
    *Above, Vol III p 74 f

    - The title Pugalvippstargande was also borne by a brotber in-law of the Chöle prinee Rājäditya, son of Parantaha I (above, Vol VIl p 134) This Pogalvippavarganda 18 called Ilādarāja (ı $e$ ehef of Viràta or Berar) (Madras Epegraphecal Report for 1906 7, Part II, paragraph 65) Hıs son Vira Chola was a contemporary of the Chöla Ling Rijarija I and made a gift to the Jama shrine at Pañebapāndavamalain the North Arcot District (above Vol IV p 139)

[^178]:    ${ }^{2}$ Soufh Ind Insers Yol III p 92
    2 Abose, Vol VIlI pp 21 if

    * He also bore the otber naines Filli Valaran, Mivanhill, Vadvorl ill and Vensörhilh bad is sail to liave wedded a Nägs princess named Plivalai It is heheved that he fonpht a bittle on the bank of the riser Finri aganst the Chíras and Pandybs The Chöla king also overcanc the former by besieging Kaiuruir It was apparently during his reign tliat Kivinplumpattinam, the Chölz capial, was destioyed by a tidal wave Jhe bing is said to hare died at a placecalled Kultumrmin and he was hown in later times as "Killivalavar, who died at Kulanurram" In the lamil ant'ology known as Paranünüru, there aro 18 pieess in his honour composed by ten poeta In the note apoended to eqch of these poems $1 s$ mentioned this naine of the king shach does not figure in the body of the peen Consequently, the assumption that theso ten poets were contem poraries of the hing is bised on tradition cuisent at the tume mhen the notes were added In the absence of definte $u$ uformation as to tho autheuticity of the tradition on whel the notes are based, it is safer to abstan from riveing any historiest conclusions from them The onthology wheh these 18 poems are ineluiled is beheved to have been compiled by a pot anmed Perunderann, who probably flourisbed in the sth or ?th centurs A D (Annual Repoit on Epigraphy for 189399 , prigiaph 16) The date AD 105120 for Is ! 1. Valevan asgigned by the lute Mi IVanahasubhai Pilai is based ou the Singhalese chroniclec, whose chronology is far from sati,factory Withont being dogmate on the point, I would leave the chronology of early Trmil literature an open question until indispatable evidence is aralable on the point For a tentative date of the Chols king Karihala, who was the grandfathri of hilh-Valaranarcording to Vir Kanakasabhai, seo tho Director feneral's Sunual fir 10067 , Part II, 224 , note 1 , and p 225, note 10
    * South Ind Inscrs, Vol 11 p 387, versc 13
    s Ep Carn Vol X Kōar, Bp Is
    B Ep Carn Vol $X$ Introduction, $p$ i Tho date given for tho same record on $p 137$ of the Translation is "about 890 A D"

[^179]:    ${ }^{1}$ Scuth-Inf Irscrs Fol II $n$ 3St, reree 9
    $=$ The Sl olnghur rocn a scription wheh refers to the erent (abore, Tol If $p$ 225, feree $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}$ is dated in the 9ta reat of Pa-autsha I coresporinar to A D 9156
    = Sorin Tra Trsers hol II p 359

    * Ep Carn Vol A Intralretion, $p$ m
    *Telsure Iusc-ig'ions of lic sts Battemorth ard Fenugopan Chetty, $p$ 1201 If
    c He vandel Frona a 945 to 970
    - Abser Vor Yl p 340
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     Congememan
    
     Pat II parserayb

[^180]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Fol VIf $p 105$ Th is Prithrigmanatyar, who aloo hore the surnamo Lastmalla, was difereut from and late than han nameabe who was a contemporary of the Chön hin! Paraistala I In $n$ Tiruhhounlír mscrip-
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Mfodrats Lpeqraphecal Rleporl for 1001-5, Fart II, paragraph 28
    : Itred for 10067 , Part II, paragrapl 40

    - No 80 of the Vadras Epmsapheal collection for 1906 This is how ho is deacribed in the rascription -
    
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ No St of the Medras Epsrapheal collection for 1906
    ${ }^{6}$ No 109 of the same collection
    FIn the thate of Kulötungz I, has throae at Mudigondafoll puram nas callon V゙inailhrājun (Vos 93,94, 95 and 96 of 1910) Onc of his officers was Virarijan or Vänaraya (No 138 of 1890 and No 312 of 1901) Vikrama Chöla had also an officer named Mahibal, Vanaray (No b45 of 1004 from Tirurārãi) aud nuother called Varudarajablas auhara TVimhórarziyon (No 112 of 1805)
    ${ }^{4}$ No 153 of the M farras Ephrapheal co ectiou for 1906
    
    
    
    ${ }^{11}$ The chacf's che m to have cosered with gold (ponparappina) is olsecure He might have git the Taruvannä. mala temple It may also be that he regilt the Siva temple at Chudambaram
    ${ }^{22}$ Boinbag Gazettecr, Vol I Part If, p 507
     is probably adeutinal with Toludur on the aradras Trichanopoly 10 ad, 8 moles from Váhanadapuram and 28 males west sonth west of Yrudthachaiam

[^181]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 10 of the Jiadras Epigraphical collection for 1003 The donee on the Madras Musenm plates of the Pandya hing Jatula ramnan nas a native of Sabdali in the provinco of Magadha In editing the plates, I assumed (Ind Ant Vol XXII p 74, footnote 91) that thas $n$ ns the well-hoown pronnec of that name in Northern Iuda. It is, however, not impossible that the former as identical rith Magada-mandalam
    ${ }^{2}$ Nos 12, 14 and 15 of the Madras Epigrapheal collection for 1903
    I It is worthy of note that there 18 a village named Ponparappi in the Kallah urelin tanlukn of the South Arcot Distret wheh may be sapposed to have been included in the dominions of the juinn chief Magailsan It se just possible that the name Ponparappi has to be traced to the Bana chef of whom we are now speahing

    - Above, Vol VII p 108
     fendatory "is Ala ${ }^{\text {gundar }}$ alias Mahäbalı Vinaraijar The hug's surname was apparently Avanis indarama wheh mas be tunt of a Pändya hing, Arch Sure of Southern India, Vol IV $p$ 1s5 In the reggu of Maravarman
     Vhrama landya Vandiarasan was ooc of the oflecers of Jativarman Sundira-i弓hmha! (A D 1251-G1) Prmce Fuldfahara-3fainbali \anarayar figures in a record of Jativarman Vira Pabdiadisa from Sinnamanir in the 2indura Distrat

    6 Trate of Ant quitics, Vol II p 223

    - No 113 of the Xhdras Epigrapheal collection for 1003
    * No 585 of the same collection for 1902 and No 109 of 1003

    2 Nio 121 of the same collection for 1903
    vo No $55 \%$ of the sume collection for 1902
    ${ }^{11}$ cee the Madras Epigraphical Report for 10089 , Part II paragraph 32, ind the same report for 1000 10, Part 11 paragraph 35

[^182]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 624 of tho Madras Epigrapheal collection for 1903
    ${ }^{2}$ The letter $m$ is engraved at the begnming of lino $G$ Tho tathe may also be tho aisteonth

    - Mr Krishna Sastri's reason for thinhiug of the solar day and not of the tithe is that the nambers of lunar tethis are in Sonth India commonly given in Sanshrit aud not in Tamil Bat neither the j4th nor the 16th solnr day of Karka was Wednesday in this year, hut Saturday and Monday respeetively, and tho ase of the Tamil numeral is prohably a consequence of the high age of the record
    - No 647 of the Madras Epigraphacal collection for 1309 B Read Trabhuva-

[^183]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read nänrut
    ${ }^{2}$ No 653 of the Madras Eprerephical collection for 1909
    8 No 620 of the Mradras Epigraphical collection for 1909

    - Read 21וひhuv

[^184]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 621 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1909
    ${ }^{2}$ No 97 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1910
    -The gylable ppa is written as a group The syllable ndu as perhaps written as a groap.

    - ãoadu is denoted calso by an ancomplete flourish added smmedistely after the figure 13.

[^185]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 109 of the Madras Epugraphical collection for 1910
    ${ }^{2}$ No 733 of the Madras Epigrapheal collection for 1909
    sThere is, in the original, a letter erased betriecn the sy llables ra and $v a$

    - Resd prathamayum

[^186]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 400 of the Mradras Epigraphisel collection for 1909
    ${ }^{2}$ vadu 15 expressed by a flourish nded to the figure 9
    ${ }^{2}$ No 732 of the Mradrus Epugraphical collection for 1909

[^187]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 662 of the Mradras Epugraphical collection for 1909
    2 No 30 of the Mradras Epigrapheal collction for 1910
    ${ }^{2}$ Read -pakshattu

[^188]:    ${ }^{1}$ After examanation of the origmal Mr Krishns Sastra mforms me that it is possible, though not probable, that the regnal jear was " 2 ," and not " 3 " I hava consequently calculated the date for the 2nd year of each of these haggs, findug it arregular in ench case, both week day and nahshatra being different to the given ones
    ${ }^{2}$ No 728 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1909
    $s$ The lettcr $S_{2}$ appcars to bo a correction, read Semia

[^189]:    
     date finting thit it is arregular for the solar month Vrishabiz in the reign of eather of the bnown Majallirijase In no ease can either a 10 th Suhla or 10th hrishna tathe in solar Visshabla be connected with the nakstatre Mūla the weel drys ako do not correspond

    2 No 264 of the Madras Elugraphical collection for 1909
    : No 270 of the Madras Epigraphacol collechion for 1909
    *The usunl flonrisl for erpressing arradu is also writlea n continuation of the figure '3'
    ${ }^{2}$ Rcad chaturfhr-

[^190]:    1 No 701 of the Madras Epipinpliten collection for 1909
    ${ }^{2}$ No 661 of the Tadras Eprgraphical collection for 1900
    ${ }^{3}$ The stlable $\overline{0}$ secms to be a correction from srava
    4 No 7\$6 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1909

[^191]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sance the above was in print I have been informed that a clause in the bolv of the ingniption mabes it clear that the 6 h resnal yeir mers intended This bemg so the dite must be beld to be irre gular
    ${ }^{2}$ No 268 of the Vadras Engrapheal collection for 1909

    - Śa 19 engraved below the line
    ${ }^{4}$ 'I be syllable $n d u$ is represented by a fiourish added to $y \bar{a}$
    - The word $\bar{a}$ radu 15 represented by a flourish added to the figure 13
    - riead ditiyat.

[^192]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 396 of the IIndras 「prgrapincal collection for 1909
    ${ }^{2}$ Between the , vllables $k$; and $\boldsymbol{r} a$ is a letter wneb 18 milistiuct
    ${ }^{3}$ Cancel tho svilable i/t
    The word aiadu is represented by a flourshi added to the figure 20
    s Mead t,itiunz
    

[^193]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 84 of the Madrus Epigraphical collection for 1910
     Natesa Sastri's Mindu Fearts, Fasts, and Ceremonees, p 60 Ur L D Swaminanu Pillai (Indian Chronology, p 50,) pianes at on the 11 th SuLle of Pausia, but I beheve that this is nut the case

[^194]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 446 of tle Vndias Epigraphincal collection for 1909
    ${ }^{2}$ No 680 of the Madras Epigrapheal collection for 1909
    3 There 15 some space between Sri aud Kö wheh might have been intended for a punctuation.

    - No 303 of tle Mrdras Epigraphical collection for 1909
    - tudu 15 erpiessed by a floursh added to the figure 7

[^195]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 319 of the Madras Eprgraphical collection for 1909
    ${ }^{2}$ Read Tribhuvana ${ }^{3}$ vadtu is represented by a flourshh *ead tritiyasyum

    - No 677 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1909
    - The portion of this hne, from ndiza to vadu, is wnitten over an erasure
    ${ }^{7}$ Read padınonräradu

[^196]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 315 of the Madras Eprgraphical collection for 1909
    ${ }^{2}$ radu is represented by a flourish
    ${ }^{2}$ No 305 of the Hadras Epigraphical colleetion for 1909

    - cadu is expressed by a floursh added to the figaro 8

[^197]:    2. Since the text was in print I am informed that the figare " 8" 18 quate clear in the origiaal
    ${ }^{2}$ No 541 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1909
    ${ }^{3}$ No 667 of the Madrus Epigraphical collection for 1909
[^198]:    ${ }^{1}$ cadu is represented by a flourish
    ${ }^{2}$ No 418 of the Madras Epigrapheal collection for 1809
    : The syllables yum are engraved below the lane

    - The akshara $m$ is engraved blow the liue
    - Tue atshara ! is engraved below the hac

[^199]:    ${ }^{1}$ No $\mathbf{3 0 2}$ of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1909
    ${ }^{2}$ No. 308 of the Madras Epigraphical colection for 1909

[^200]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since this paper was in print I havo seen Professor Jacobr's artucle abovo (pp 132, ff, Lis No 86 (p 136) coufirms my opinion, sace it show that the hing conld not dave began to reigu earher than 10th August AD 1276, 9th August of that jear being stil in his 14th 3 ear This still further redaces the donbtful days of has accession, and, being aceepted, proves that ho aseended the throne ou a day between 10 th and 2515 Agguat A.D. 1276.
    ${ }^{2}$ No 135 of the Msdras Eprgraphical collection for 1910
    ${ }^{2}$ A letter $k u$ has been engraved and crased after friz, kula 26 writion orer an erasure.

[^201]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have since been informed that, while it is difficult to decide the point from characters alone, the carlier date is the more probable
    ${ }^{2}$ No 123 of the Miauras Epigraphical collection for 1910

[^202]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 734 of the Radras Eplgraphical collection for 1909
    ? The oa of Sva 15 not added in tho right place
    ${ }^{2}$ Read apara pakshattu dafamyym

    - No 124 of the Mautas Epigraphical collection for 1910
    - No 126 of the Madram Epigraphical collection for 1910
    - The year maght also bo read as 20 What as taken for 8 wonld in that case bo the first letter an of abadu

[^203]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 742 of the Madras Eprgraphical collection for 1909
    ${ }^{2}$ No 487 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1809

    * The syllableo tēdr are expressed by a symbol

[^204]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 410 of the Mradras Epigraphical collection for 1909
    2 The length of $r \bar{a}$ is written in continuation of the letter $r$
    ${ }^{3}$ Read Vikrama-Pàndyadē .

    - [Mr Krıshna Sastrinow informs Mr Sewell that palmographically the anscription more probsbly belongs to the end of the 18th centary. Mr Swamikannu Pillat has accordaggly calcalated the date as correspondagg to Sunday, Augast 29, AD 1288 -S K j

[^205]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 395 of the Miadras Epigraphical collection for 1009
    ${ }^{2}$ Read Tribhueana
    ${ }^{2}$ Since the above was in print Iamassured that the title higrararman is quite clearin the original

[^206]:    "The ordunary Tibetan character is the more ancient of the two , and the Liañtsha orig'nated several centaries later Both were derived from Indian scipts, but quite independently of each other The time of their origin can be approximately fixed, by a comparison with the charac-

[^207]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare A H Franke, The smmlarty of the Thbetan to the Kashgar Brahm Alphabet Memorrs of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, Vol I, pp 43 ff [Tho correspondence between Central Abian Gupta and Tibetan is not so great that it 15 necessary to assume that they bave been developed in the same locality They have both been developed from the same source, and that explanas the sumiarity-S K]
    ${ }^{2}$ Plate III, e of Sarat Ch Dab' article 'The Sacred and Ornamental Characters of Tibet, $J \& S B$, Vol ZVII, p, 41

[^208]:    I There are sereral incomplete thbles of Tibetan alphabots from tho eaghth or minth centary among the docnments of the stem collection There, the arrangement of the characters is in tho folloming respeot different from the present day arrangement $T^{t} e$ letter $b$ (often prononnced $v$ ) 18 not placed betreen $p h$ and in, as shown abore, bnt between 7 and $f$ Thns it has the place of the $n$ in the Sanskit ulphabet in the camo tables tho letter rno eppears as a ba with a superudded 'a This ancient alpuabet consists of 29 lettors ouly,

[^209]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Indidn Antrquary, Vol XVIII p 230, et seq
    ${ }^{2}$ Thus word ecems to have some connection with the Bindi word säla 'year,' unless it 15 a mistake for Saka
    [As will be seen from the accompanying photo hithograph the first two figares look vers much hike the Englash numeral 5 Shära ${ }^{\text {as prohably meant for Shäka - V V] }}$

[^210]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sce Indiant Antıquary, Vol XVIII, p 234
    2 [The text is so full of mistuhes that tho exact senge of the original 18 not apparent in several cases For mstance the part played by Śamragana (if it has to be taken as a proper name) in line 9 , is obscare No attemptis therefore made to correct the text-V V]
    ${ }^{2}$ In the Multai plates the name of the hing is Nandaräja The name Nanna in the Rähtrakūta family ${ }^{13}$ also known from later times A prant of Śnkaragana, the pon of Nanna, the son of Kakkaraja, dated Saka 715 , has been publıshed above, Fol IX, pp 193 ff

[^211]:    1 Indzan Antıquary, Vol XVIII, pp 230231
    2 Chammak, the old Charmãnka, which was granted by a Vākātaka king is only 6 miles from Elhchpur, see Gupta znscriptions, p 236
    ${ }^{3}$ In the Firvãna hãnda, a manuserıpt preserved in the Deccan College Labrary at Poona, the name of Benares appears as $F \overline{\text { änärası, whereas latterly elsewhere we have it as } V \text { ärānasi }}$

    A modern recorded example of smilar transposition may be seen in Mr R Haghes Bnller's Cenaus Report of Baluchutsn for 1901 where on $p 99$ he sayg "The Jleagals, Bizanjos and Kahris, the three largest of the Brahui tribes are termed Jadgäl or Jagdàl"

    - Sco Retul Dintract Gazetteer (1907), p 249

[^212]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Śsinnkäpurāna as the name of a norb by Subhnchandra presorved in the Decean College Library at Pooua $-\nabla \vee]$
    ${ }^{2}$ Expressed by a symbol $\quad{ }^{8}$ Resd tasy $a=a v=\bar{a}-$

    - head àsit
    ${ }^{5}$ Read -sampanna.
    ${ }^{5}$ Read -bhatta-. ${ }^{\text {Y Probnoly Mahā̃ärtitkyäm }}$
    ${ }^{8}$ The word suahastena has heon added undor the hne and probably apples to the correction whoch is madn at the end of this line The syllables mäzakärtizki are entered over an erasure
    - Probably Samkaraganēna
    ${ }^{10}$ [On the mpression and on the plate the reading seems to bo ${ }^{\circ} k h e \begin{gathered}\text { en }\end{gathered}{ }^{\circ}-\mathrm{V} \nabla$ ]
    ${ }^{11}$ Read shashtim varsha ${ }^{\circ}$
    12 Read trshthatı bhümdah
    ${ }^{18}$ Read dharmakasa or dharmānkusa

[^213]:    
    
    s Firartana is a lend-mesare which the dichoneries gire as equirsleat to 20 rots The word ocents in a
    
     From enquines Thach I permonally mede in Bers', I ceme scooss some old mea both of the hiterate and the illiterite class vho told me tist in therr jounger daye, about 50 years ago, a land measure colled retona equal to 9
    
     than 5 acres or 20 rods which contsin $24,200 \mathrm{Eq}$ Jde. Taken with reference to a c太änur of 120 bighas a retang wonld te eqnal to $99_{13}^{3}$ bighas $0: 24,646 \mathrm{sq}$ jds, $e$ shightly in excese of 5 acres In popular cslculations ench ermplex fractions as ${ }^{3}$ IJ are ofter neglected and is seems to me that the real ralue of a retana was somembere
     that netara is a corruption of the old mstartara In the sannads in possession of the Berar jägirdars netana occa-s as a land measure That the nse of the metnfa measure was unch prevalent in the Decean may beinferred from the Gedvis plates of Fibramedityal of ED 674 (Ebuat the esme period as onr grant), above, Fol $X$, pe 102 to 105.

[^214]:     Frigraphy, Sonthern Circle, in 1906 by $\operatorname{Tr}$ D P Bhatarlar bir the later wag the acting are mon it Sarvegor of the Bengal Circle The plates were riturned to Nr Bhandarhar with a set of inh mir cusim is ior poblication in the Ipegraphaa Indica (tide Report on Epigraphy for 1005-6, Appendix A, No 8) 7 the accompanying photo-hthographic plato is prepared from the impressions anplied by the Assistant Archaological Saperintendent's office (Madras) - $\overline{\mathrm{V}}$ ]
    : Above, Volume III, p 41 f

    - Volnme XVI, p. 193.

[^215]:    ${ }^{1}$ [From the accompanying photo hithographac plate it wall be clear that the date is bere vers bady damaged What is seen 19 a circle which may denote 20 It 25 juit possble that the regnal year of the king is amply repeated in numerical symbols preceded probably by the word sambatsare - $\nabla \vee$ ]
    ${ }^{2}$ It may lave been imported from Northern Indis as was the Gupta era in the case of the date of the Ganjara plates of the time of Sasânka, above Tol VI, p 143 [Palmography is bardly in favour of thas early dato Profesor Kiellora thought that tha Baguda plates, which are esrier, may belong to the 10th centary ; see above, Toi. VII, p 102-S K]
    : Above, Fol III, pp 41 If and Fol VII, pp 100 fir and plata

    - $\Delta$ bare, Vol VI, pp 143 fif
    - J. $A$ S $B$, Vol LXXIII, Pt. I, 1804, pp 282 \#.

[^216]:    ${ }^{1}$ [It is difficult to follow Mr. Banerji when ho asys that the alphabet of the Ganjam and Khnrda plates rs much olaer than that of the Buguda and Pariknd plates and at the camo time seserts they may be lecal varieties qurrent ampltancously -V. V]
    ${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol, III, $\mathrm{p} 42 . \quad$ Abevo, Vol VI, $\mathrm{p} 144 . \quad$ Above, Fol VII, p 100.

    - Aboye, Vol. KI, p 186
    - Abore, Vol. VII, p 101

[^217]:    
     2.
    ", x........
    
    
    
    l'ca: pritate
    
    

    * madea $e=$

[^218]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read -usthnämisn tējüss=
     parport nould stall be indefinite
    ${ }^{1}$ Pead chach=chhalla

    - Read titham
    ${ }^{\bullet}$ Read yuyo
    - This sign of puructuntion 18 superfuoas
    © One would evpect hridya suh ruta guna kath alapän
    ${ }^{-}$Read param. The missing gyllables may be sesvayum

[^219]:    ${ }^{1}$ This sign of panctuation 15 snperfluous Fead chäpa deayam
    ${ }^{2}$ Read $=$ bhrisam
    \$ This corrupt phrase 19, perhrps, to be corrected into Prsthaisuta samas Ratna-Eshits, as applied to Kōngöda, is difficult to explan Perhaps Köngöda rád-rahshatā was mtended.

    4Read yugapat=
    ${ }^{5}$ Read $\sim a ̄ r a ̄ t=$
    ${ }^{6}$ Compare this verse with veree 10 of the Baguds plates, which 3 omitted in the Gret part of the rabjoined anseription Sainy abhïta Mādhavasarman is there compared to the San, while bere Madhysmarija so compared to tho Moon
    ${ }^{7}$ Read Katahäch=chhri-
    s Read -dandanāyah-
    ${ }^{8}$ Purōgādz is pleonastir Read psrōgāīajānapadāmf=cha Ono would have expectad, as in other allid plates, jänapadär=anyäms=cha
    ${ }^{10}$ Perhaps we have to read na kena chıt=partgrähyah,

[^220]:    ${ }^{1}$ [The reading intended is perhaps prpaschate -V V ]
    ${ }^{2}$ See above, Vol III, p 45 , note 15 .
    ${ }^{3}$ This sign of punctuation 28 superfious

[^221]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 85 of the Goverameat Epigraphist's collection for 1895
    ${ }^{2}$ No 405 of the same for 1902

[^222]:    ${ }^{2}$ No 572 of the Government Eprgraphasts collection for 1907
    ${ }^{2}$ No 553 of tho same collection for 1004

[^223]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 401 of the Governmeat Fpirrapust's collection for 1902
    
     21 Xo 315 of the Governmeur Epyraulist's collect on for 1002 (No 251, belon)

    8 Niv 83 of the Gorcrnmeat Epigraphist's colletinan for 1595

[^224]:    ${ }^{2}$ No 109 of thin Madras Epigraphecal collection for 1905
    2 It is vorthy of note that $t$ so of the siguatures at the end of the mecription are in Sanshrit Such admoture of Sanshith words in a 'Iaml record of this period mas be accounted for, io a eertan extent, by the reviral of learning brought abont by the 1 mm grat on to the Southern eountry of a large uumber of Brahmonas from the north as areanlt of the conquests of Rajeandra Cböla I, wheh extended as far as the Ganges
    ${ }^{2}$ Annual Report on Lpigraphs for 1905, Part II, p 56, paragraph 25

    - Iltdem, 1006, Part 1I, p 6S, paragraph 17

[^225]:    ${ }^{1}$ South-Ind Iascrs, Vol III, Part I, p 02
    ${ }^{2}$ The title Vammar, ollnn was first borne by Rijuiju I
    ${ }^{3}$ Hajeindra Chöla I appears to have first assumed the tatle Gangahondasolin after lus couquest of the Noath The cuty of Gangal ondrchollapuram owes its name to han
    ${ }^{4}$ South-Ind Inscrs, Vol IIt, Part I, p 30
    ${ }^{5}$ No 112 of the Miutras Epigrapheal colleetion for 1905
    ${ }^{8}$ Nos 69 and 70 of the same collection for 1896
    ; No 408 of the same colle tion for 1900
    
    
     Truralaugidu piate give Amarablujang: as the uame of the Pandya soveresg defeatud by litjaijal RijendraChöla I, ligidhniza and Virarajendra I elam to have defeated the Pändjas The Pand ns seem to have asserted their andepeudenee alreadv durang the aelgn of Finlottunga I At any rate, wo have no reason to suppose that the Chöls Pandga hings coutmied very long In fact therr rulc could not have lasted more than half a centriy, theh Fras probably oecunid by the rule of the few prinees hoona fro $n$ asseriptions

    - Annual Repor on $\Gamma_{\ell}$ ngraply for 1905, Part II, paiagr iph 25
    ${ }^{10}$ Sandara Chöls Mindauram udayar, the ancient name of the raned Sinz tople at Perundurai in the
    
    ${ }^{11}$ No 18 of the Madras Eprgrapheal collection for 1594 and no 435 for 1300
    ${ }^{12}$ His moscriftions found at the following plates rou dily madicatc the extent of the eountry ruled by lum -
    
     Ādanür in th Tinnetclli distinct, Chölaparam aud Suchindram an the Travancore State
    ${ }^{18}$ No 32 of the Madias Lfrgraphical eollection for 1890

[^226]:    ${ }^{1}$ We do not hoon of any Lastern Chälnhya prince wath this name at the time About A D 1030 tho approximate inte of the Cholapuram msarıption, the raler of Vengi mas Rajaräja I (A D 1022-1063)

    2 It cannot, homeser, be inferred from this that the Pandyas remansed independent even antal this date, beranse carlier mseriptions of Räjēndra-Chöla I bave been found an thc Thuncvelly and Madura distacts The cristence of these records in that part of the conutry might be tahcn to show that the Pandyas aehnowledged the overlordship of the Chöla sovercign even before the anrasion, conquerell as the Pänilja eonatry was by Parintsha I zud Rajarija I It was perhaps the Pāndya Eng's attempt to mssert has andepcadence that cansed the intasion in the preacut instance
    ${ }^{3}$ Perhaps he $\pi$ is uot formally installed in the Viceroyalty bat allomed to isine orders in his own name as sonn as lic $\pi$ ns placed in charge of the landya territory This privilege mas probably granted some time after ho had been netnally gorarming the subjugated province
    ${ }^{4}$ Nos 111, 113 and 114 of the Xadras Eprgraphical collection for 1005
    ${ }^{5}$ No 112 of the same ${ }^{6}$ Mannanär means Fishnn
    ${ }^{7}$ No 102 of the Madras Epigrapheal collcetion for 1905 The ancient ame of Ambãsamudram mas Ifongōyhads
    ${ }^{8}$ No 90 of the a me collcetion for 1907
     at Vilarkurichch, the north mestcrn bamlet of Räjarija chatnrsed mangalam (No 124 of the Madras Epigrapbical eollection for 1007 )
     Fädiru, the north-mestern hamlet of Rajaraja chatnrrēdimangalam (No 121 of the Nadras Epigrapheal coulecthon for 1007 )

[^227]:     kulam The finmer depends on ran for ats supply of water, whie the latter is full by a channel fiom a mer
    ${ }^{2}$ Nos 103 and 194 of the Maniris Epigrapheal collection for 1895 and No 106 of the collection for 2005
    ${ }^{3}$ No 18 of the collection for 1804

    - See remarl s agamet No 416 for 1906
    - No 408 of 1906 No 100 for 1896

    T No 34 of 1806
    ${ }^{*}$ No 32 for 1800

    - The ca in minnrâvadu is written above the line

[^228]:    ${ }^{1}$ oru-pü and $\begin{aligned} \text { rru } \\ p u \bar{u} \\ \text { are terms still emploged in some parts of the country to mena surgle crop and donble }\end{aligned}$ crop lands
    ${ }^{2}$ The akshara $k \bar{a}$ eeems to be corrected from $k u$
    ${ }^{4}$ Read àrupày hehal
    © The $y$ of this word la a correctinn from $p$
    ${ }^{9}$ Somáfi is the abbreviated Tamıl form of the Sanshrit sömayaju

    - Kiramavittar stands for the Sanshrit Pranavid, one who hnows the $k$ ramapätha of the Vödas.
    
    ${ }^{12}$ Resd-chaturvëd ${ }_{3}$ matigalatlu
    ${ }^{3}$ This word is written below the line in the orgginal
    5 The ahshara y lnohs like $p$ in tbe onginal
    Here the onginal reads $p$ a imstead of $y a$

    1 Read paramastämıgalukku

[^229]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read Räšpurattu $\quad{ }^{2}$ Read Yajñan
    ${ }^{2}$ Read Śärngınath This 18 n verse in tho $\Delta$ nukhtubh metre
    4 Laterally tbis mord means a path cet througb a hill
     gondstolapperarpa which is over filled with water', but the real aignificanco of the words seems to be that Mrndigondasolas=ppëräru as another name for Tan Porundam or the cool Pormandam Porandam and Poranaı are other names of the river Tamraparni

    B The word nasikkantrya seems to be used in this sense elso in No 326 of the Epigraphical collection for
     to mean cultivating of plonghing in which sense at foes not appear to be used at present.

[^230]:    ${ }^{1}$ Its contents hare since beon committed in Jóranagrari charactors to a copper plate which bas been fixed on the left hand of the entrance and in the front wall (facing tho deity) of tho Sabhâmendapa for tho reason that if the etone, which so now old enough, be broken, the contents may not be destroyed $A$ new prasasti has bean added, composed by Pandit Ram Karna, and giving the genealogy of tho Rathöra from Siha and down to the late Mabärija, Sir Sardar Singh [The accompanying plato bes been prepared from an impresbion prepared by Pandit Lam Karna himself -EA]
    ${ }^{2}$ Pablshed in Ind $\langle n t$, Vol XV, p. 224 fit and Gupta Inscrs, p. 152.

[^231]:    ${ }^{1}$ [Perhaps the same as $\operatorname{Fatsa}-\mathrm{V}$ V]
    ${ }^{2}$ Its meaning 1s, O goddess Närāyhnı, obeisance to thee, who art the source of all blessings, doer of good, accomplasher of desired objects, girer of shelter, endoried with three eyes and Gauri (s.e the sponse of the god Mshādẽrt).

[^232]:    ${ }^{2}$ [A cioscr approximstion to the as mbol uxed in the present anseripion occurs in Pandit $G$ H Ojha's Palcoography of Ind a, piate 42, rolumn 9, where it 15 stated that the symbols in that column aro taken from "varous records and charters"-V V]
    ${ }^{2}$ Furtber repars to the tomple are bring execnted by the Dahmin mahisubha, by raisng snbseriptions from the rhole commumty of Dälumi Bruhmanas reathes an all parts of Inda Niearly a sum of Rs, 10,000 has already been collected and spent for the porpose
    '[Thoogh st secms most natnral to read fri dadhya, I feel hettlo doubt that what tho engravor bad before hum ras sri-dēcyà -S K]

    - The names of the six castos who interdane bot do not intermarry are - -1 Dähmas, 2 Pärikha, 3 Ganda, 4. Güyara gaựa, 5 Bäravata, and 6 Śll hawăla (some say Khandềlawäla).

[^233]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the months of Chatra and Aśrina falls the Nava-rätra, $\tau \in$ the first mine days of the hright half of the
     is contemplating to re assame the management of the temple which was formerly in their own hands eren when the Sōragas were the ro-shippers The annuai meetings of the sabhà have been held in this tomple for three consecutive days in every Chaitra-Navarātra, for the last four years, and the Mahäsabbă has recently started a school there
    ${ }^{2}$ P 15 of the Bihl Ind. Edition, Calcatta, 1862
    *This statement kas apparently heen made on the artherity of the Beagal manascripts of the Parana that were at Mr Banerjes's disposal vhen eritigg the mort, but the Marthala and other manuscripts of the aame Porans recount 2 u the ordinary way tho names of the eighteen Puranas and their author

    * Futep 1
    

[^234]:    ${ }^{1}$ [The above should be compared with the able diecusbion of the age of the Markendeya by Mr Pargiter, in the antroduction to his tranglation in the Bibl Ind, Csleatta, 1904, where be sums up has results on $p 22$ as follows, 一
     6th century A D. The thard and fifth parts, which constıtuted the original Puranns, were vory probahly in existence in the tbrid centary, and perbaps even carlier, and the first and second ts were composed between those two perrode "-S K]
    

    - Read ज्राॅम ${ }^{\circ}$ - Resad ${ }^{\circ}$ नागममुखा

    8 Read विच्चापयन्ति 9 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ सिन्दें
    ${ }^{21}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ नाध्यपोरविं ${ }^{22}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ नागो जाँ
    ${ }^{26}$ Read वच्छसगोन a $^{\circ} \quad 25$ Read ज्ञाध्य ${ }^{\circ}$
    17 Read नातुल्य.
    ${ }^{20}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}$
    ${ }^{15}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ पुन $^{15}{ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{29}$ Read $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s }}$
    ${ }^{29}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ मोप्तर
    ${ }^{29}$ Reyd ${ }^{\circ}$ ㅍ․
    6 Eead जाह्ञ ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{5}$ Read देवों दधिसतों.
    20 Bead "
    ${ }^{18}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ y ${ }^{\text {F }}$
    ${ }^{15}$ Read ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{y}$ 규
    ${ }^{19}$ Read ज्ञाद्यययश्मपतिर्यं०.
    22 Read पद्दत्र
    28 Read दाघरिदेत्र.
    ${ }^{25}$ Read दशा
    ${ }^{n}$ Read दत्र.

[^235]:    ${ }^{2}$ See above, fol IX, p 83
    2 Above, Yol X, p 68.

[^236]:    ${ }^{1}$ The sur acts prescibed for the Brähnianes are sacrificing, conducting sacrifices, studying, teaching, giring and taking
     ērhska of Kanïda, Sänthya of Kapila and Föga of Patañjal
    ${ }^{2}$ The foar Yédes are, Rih, Fajur, Säma, and Alharvan
    4 The six Fēdãngas are, Sikshā (orthography or phonehes), Kalpa (rituais or hiturgy), Tyāharana (grammar), Niruhta (ety mology and lexicograpity), Chhuadah (prosody), sud Jyōtısha (astronomy)

[^237]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ep Ind Vol IX, p 79 $\quad{ }^{2}$ Mrd, p 1
    ${ }^{8}$ The present inscription does not give us the relation which Vigralapäla bore to his predecessor Bnisajan It is talen from Kielhorn's Genealogical Table (above, Vol IX, p 83)

    - Called Jēndraräja (Jinduraja) in Prof Kielhorn's Table

[^238]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the latest date given for Labshmane by Mr D, RS Bhandarlar, above, table opposite $p$ 7S
    ${ }^{2}$ Ep. Ind., Yol IX, p 71
    ${ }^{2}$ Besides Gündach proper, he holds the followng villages as his Jägir - Eōnalī, Bachūjı rō Gnrhō, tro dhänıs (hamlets) near Gündoch, Pratāpparā and Gōpälgarh The annual reatal of all these villages is estimated at \#7,000 per annnm by the State for the purposes of levsing State tares, etc The majority of the popnlation consists of two different eastes of Brāhmanas, tiz Gurjar Gudas and Sanhhavālas The former claim the village to have teen granted to their ancestor named Gangega (a corrnption of Gōrinds of our inscription) The kurcha grass stall grows here in abondance-a fact which leaves not even a shadow of donbt as to its being the same vallage

    - Prom the original plates,

    7 Metre Anushtubh
    © Kead पुषष氏ी नेच्ना.
    12 Read ${ }^{\circ}$ दमूद.
    ${ }^{5}$ Expressed by a symbol,
    ${ }^{6}$ Read जघ्म $^{\circ}$
    8 Read सकायोसेथा.
    ${ }^{10}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ व्वरिकूते $\quad 11$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ नान्वयं
    ${ }^{18}$ Metre: Indransjrä.
    14 Read य.

[^239]:    3 Resd ${ }^{\text {тानिनो }}$
    

    - Besi ब्वतिन्न त्त्

    12 Pead समरार्दमिभ 1 चस
    15 Peed निन हने
    15 Pc 祘

[^240]:    ${ }^{1}$ See his Theory of Ardhãnusrära，Edition of 1592
    ${ }^{2}$ Seo the late Ral Bahadur Yenhayya＇s remarhs on the Pharangiparam mascription，in his Report on Epigraphy tor $1900, \mathrm{p} 21$ ，paragraph $55^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{3}$ sbove，Vol VIII，pp 9 Mf
    That is，as great as any of the ems emperors（skatchakracartin）spoken of in the Paranuas

[^241]:    ${ }^{1}$ Above, Vol VIII, pp 9 ff
    ${ }^{2}$ [Vıdyädhıkarrın appears to have been a responsible hagh place somerrhat similar to the 'President, Board of Examiners' of the present day That Śrinäthe dd occopy such a place as evident from what is and of hum in tho
     vèlalandu-स K. S]

    2 Śriaätha's conection with the Reddas probably began an the reigu of Ana Vâma to maose minister he dedieated his Śr rngāranaıshachamu

[^242]:    ${ }^{1}$ Śrinitliz is also the reputed author of the ballad Pal täfuiracharitramu, but some dispato his autborship and the point requires miestigation
     as " di-trict stuated 'ou the shore of the Easturn Ocean ( $2 e$ the Bay of Bengal); aneluding within it the tomn Uh cambsminpura, ze the molern Nellure Pügio' Püng vishaya, on the other hand, was a part of Paba, coufind in catent to sether bank of the wer Kund or Gundiah rmmanand extending from Srienia to the Bay of Bengal Prampàdu 'Tastern Comity' as apphed to themstives, by tho Pahanătı Brähmonas of the Nellore distriet applais, thereforc, to line been the correc ervmological interpretation of the term Pahanãdn, though the
    
    
    
    
     pp 18974 a all

    - Lbore, Vol VIIf, p 10
    s In anotber place it is called Santihantapōtaräju
     edition of 1010, pp 59 and 60 In this booh the Redd! hing who was linled, is called Anasema-Redds But thes is cleally a mastahe for dilnja Vēma Redaı

[^243]:    ${ }^{1}$ The deseription as uspal meludes the mention of a number of anthils distingushed bv diferent trees that Frow over or near them and of tho villages, Pedapūndı Mandüru (noıth east), Tāndıparatı (south west), Jutalammapädn and Chuncūrn
     Madhavabhatta had thoroughly necomplished (the repetition of) the mantra (moling the bicosinss) of Lakshmi. Nrismba
    ${ }^{3}$ [It will be snen froml 29 that thero wis an echinse of the sno in connexion with tho Sevaratri Mir Sewell has kundly ufformed me that the Śvarātri ended at mean sunarise on Wednesday, I obuuary litt There was an important eelipse of the sun on that morning (Wednesday), conjninction tahing place at 3 h 58 m after mesn sanrise - $\left.\mathbf{S}_{1} \mathbf{K}\right]$

[^244]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is stated that the share 18 givon to provido Akhamāmbikū with haridrā ( $\imath$ e turmeric powder used as a toilet only by women with hiving husbands)
    ${ }^{2}$ The hist of donees eads with the signature (eràtu) of the donor Visfeesvarabhaţta
    ${ }^{5}$ The inceription gives him the following nttributes -" the gem of plenty (chritamari) in (bestoming) gifts (rentioned $\ddot{b}_{y}$ ) Hémãdrı, (the very god) Śambara (Śiva) (riding) on the bulls (toauva), viz, tho euemy kings, Phalguna (Arjuna) on the battle field and the paramour of prostitutes, $2 e$ the proud hosule chiefs "The third attribute was a surname of bis father Pedda-Kōmatı- Vōma

    - The chaunel was evidently so named after Juganobbaganda, a tatle of frequent occurrence among the Reddis kıngs
    *Abore, Vol III, p 12 f
    - From ink impressions supphed by Mr Krishna Sastri.

    TThe anubuära stands at the beginning of the next line,

[^245]:    ${ }^{2}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ तान पाधीनिधिर्नाधं. ${ }^{2}$ Read धाटी०.
    3 The anesuăra stands at the beginnung of the noxt line

    - Read चृfि

    8 Read यड्रमंकील्यौंबत,

    - Read "भितो घसाधि०.
    - The anustara stands at the becinning of the next line.

[^246]:    : The anustära stands at the begunnog of the next line
    ${ }^{2}$ Rexd Krishraqennä-
    $s$ Read =onaiche $\quad$ Read -tirtz.

    * Read samphulla

[^247]:    2 Mr Browngives the form chichechutammu in the sense of 'rocaet' The word as a compound of chacticku anà ammu and means a fiery arrow.

    2Read achichholfallc. $\quad$ Rend-ndhyam
    -Fead शृघवीव्वस्स
    8 Read oनेलio

    - Real ${ }^{\circ}$ दुर्f

[^248]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read ${ }^{\circ}$ विधान ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{2}$ Read धर्सां⿱्घं
    ${ }^{2}$ Read गौकीमटॉद्रस.

    * Thas verse is fouad also in the Śringāradipikā Hend orृiqut
    ${ }^{5}$ Read दुर्मदपरिपधिं
    - Read गुणीचर.
    
    - The rest of thas sude as well as the whole of plate 3 which are tahen up with a description of tho boundary line of Alapida in Telugu prose have not been transeribed Plates 4 and 5 which contun the names of donees, who recesved the graat from Vispeesvara-bliatta, are also left out

[^249]:    ' licad izunun=opps

    - Read Magha
    * Lical basara
    - Sewell's Forgotien Enpire, $p 218$
    ${ }^{5}$ A fagmentary transiation of an inscription of tha hing rom Devanahalli in Mjeore is publishes in Mr
    

[^250]:    ${ }^{1} E p I_{n d}, V o l I V, p 270$
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibrd p 12
    ${ }^{1}$ Ind Ant, Vol XIII, p 129
    4 Identical with these are the verses $2427,29,30$ and 32 of the Tumkar Plates of Tiramalaraga (see Ep Oarn, Vol XII, pp 23)

[^251]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ind $A n t$, Vol III, pp 2389
    ${ }^{2}$ This a already known to us from tro inseriptions pnblished by Mr Rice (Ep Carn Vol VII, Sh 83, and Vol. XII, Ch 39), sec Ind Ant, Fol, XXXVIII, p 34
    : Ep Ind , Vol. IV, p 270 SEwell's Indian Calendar, p LXXX

    - Perhaps, the Ramasramin temple at Penngonda $2 s$ referred to bere It may be noted in thas connection that sithongh the capital of thas dynasts bad been removed to Penngonda by hing Tirumala, the father of the present donor, the name of the gaardian delty occurring at the and of the grant is gaven as Sri-Yurupahsha.

[^252]:    ${ }^{1}$ Büdıgumma 38 the name of a village in the Rayadtag talake of the Bellary District. It 2829 miles east ó Rāyadrug

    2 The correct form of thig biruda is Davalanta Bhtma as will be eeen in the nert paragraph,
    ${ }^{2}$ Ep Carn, Vol III, S: 95

    - ${ }^{\text {bratem, Vol }} \boldsymbol{V}, \mathrm{H}$ N. 5
    
    
    - Metre Anushtubh Ficad नमस्तुग ${ }^{0}$
    - Read ${ }^{\circ}$ चार्व. 10 Mretre. Anaghtabh

    11 Read जाधिक-

[^253]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here is a half of an Anushtublh verse, the other half is manting Metre Anushtabh
    ${ }^{5}$ Metre Gitı - llead नाणिक्य ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{5}$ The corresponding passage in the Tumhor plates of Tirumalaraya publishod in Ep Carn, Vol XIJ, p E runs thus -

    Keilatikasa konarārī-kōtala gonga-jaya diruda bhanta sfihi

    - Read रम्यतरकौर्तिरी ${ }^{\circ}$
    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Metre. Sragdhan
    

[^254]:    ${ }^{2}$ Read वरदय्यप्प ${ }^{2}$ Perbups वोरहुण्यां ${ }^{\circ}$ as meant.
    
    

    - Read यfि वर्ष". ${ }^{\circ}$ Bead ${ }^{\circ}$ हाया.

    30 leed
    ${ }^{25}$ The chartcters are old Kaunzeme.

[^255]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some of the stone records which wero not enther set up in temples or otherw 180 properly cared for, wore removed to the Miadras Masenu for preservation in the year 1905
    ${ }^{2}$ Madras Epzgrapheal Report for 1904 5, $p 48$
    ${ }^{2}$ See the accompanying plate

    - Eg in the lustorical introductions of Virarajuöndra I the hing as stated to have "despatched (thee banner of) the ferocious tiger into all darections" ( $S$-I I, Vol III, Part I, p 37), see also, above, Vol III, p 125, note 3, Fine specimens of the Chōn thger are depieted on the seal of the large Leyden Grant, the Truvilangadu plates and tho Madras Nusenm plates of Vttama Cholja (Ep Ind Vol III, Plate facing p 104) They aro seen also on the coins of Uttama Chöla and on the Chōla pular on the top of Mahẽudragiri in the Ganjam district (No 396 of the Madras Epigraphi al collection for 1896)

[^256]:    ${ }^{1}$ No 851 of the Mranas Eppraphend collecion for 1 100a
    2 No 400 of the enmo rollection for 1004 .
    ${ }^{2}$ No 231 of the enmo collection for 1908
    4 Mradras Inpigraphical Ileport for $10080, \mathrm{p} 112$

    - Aboro, Vol Vi, 1 320
    - Mradias İingraphical Repol/ for $10080, \mathrm{p}, 110$, patngranh 60
    - Abow, Vol IV, Mate, facing p $24 d$
    ${ }^{8}$ Ind. $d n t$, Vol V, Mlate, fnemg 3 , 60
    - Drector Gonerni's Arriumological Antual for $10060, \mathrm{p} 16,6$
     frontispice of Mr Rico's Epigraphaca Carnatza, Vol V, has ahno fot the twisted taii, the mano, the donvoitional elendor waist, and tho face of a lion Sit Wallor Elhot meter prots samilar fipioren (P) on two Mroy afora fold coina
    
     tiger was also represented by the artists of tho day, wath the mano and othor fentures that woo maturnily olnanator-
     the difference being only in the mano whele the latter poanesses
    ${ }^{11}$ Abovo, Vol. V, Mato, facing p 120 ,

[^257]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ie an ornament to tho famaly wheh was as high (noble) as the monatan Mandara
    ${ }^{2}$ Laterally 'one who delighted in stone script ( $\begin{aligned} & \text { e m inscriptions) ' }\end{aligned}$
    ${ }^{2}$ Ie a ner Räma
    4 A Rama in front of battle It may be noticed that the first component of this title, viz pōr is a Dravidian word meamng'battle' and eannot according to striet rules of Grammar be eompounded with non-Dravianan Sauskrit mords
    ${ }^{5}$ A tiger among men (ie the best of men)

    - One whose heart is tender

    7 Amoroas like (tho god of) Love (Madana)
    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Mr}$ Kanakarabhars Tamıls, Ch V
    I Ind Ant, Vol XLI, pp 144 to 149
    10 Mradras Epvgraphtcal Report for 1905 6, Part II, paragraph 15

[^258]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mradras Ephigraphical Report for 1000, paragraph 44
    2 Tho actual phrase which precedes tho name Karikala un theso mscriptions as charanc saroruha-vihta-
     vihata for mihta In this case the explanation wonld perhaps bo charana-sarörukēna vikatāh=tädttāh ata èra
     of earth whose ayes were blnrred hy (the halhance of) has ( 0 : $z$ Karihala's) lotns-feet (on the occasson when they prostrated to him) Karihala 18 stated to hare got thonsauds of Ceylonese coolies "to worh on the embankments of the Kāvīri river, a handred mules in length, which he constracted" (Smith's Early Fistory of India, $p$ 416) Almost all the famines of hings and chiefs an the sonth which trace tbeir orign to the Sun, mention Karuhala among their ancestors and describe him as having constructed hanks on eather ade of the niver Kāvèri The Eakatiyas of Warangal and, in latter tımes, tho Matla chiefs of Cnddapsh and the Sälnva chiefa of Käreētıagar and a number of feudatory families who intermarried with the Vijayanagara kings of the lnnar race, mention Karibăla in their genealogy In the Telpgu poem Narasabkīpātīyamu which was dedicated to one of the Toraganta chnefs of the solar race who were related to the lings of the third Vijayanagara dynasty hy intermarriage, it as stated that Karihala planted rowa of a renue trees on either banh of the Kaveri morder to hidẹ her 'who was the qneen of the ocean' from public gazc
    ${ }^{3}$ See eg above, Vol FI, p 277, footnote 2

    - Ibtd, p 348 f
    s The modern village Peddamndıram in the Jammalamadnga talaba of the Cnddapah district, is called Tritōohanapura in onc of its later records it was, as Mr Ramayya Pantnla has pointed ont to me tho anciont Mndivēm Agrahàra whsro Fishnnvardbana, the son of Vijayādıtya of Ayōdhyã, was born and brought np Its name Trilochanapnra connects it with the mytheal king Trilöchana Pallava who opposed Fijayàditya The Pallara genealogy so far disclosed, either from copper plates or stone inscriptions, docs not mention any name Like Triōchana-Pallara, hnt the Kädamhas of Goa (Dyn Kan Distr, $p$ 566) and the Nolambas of Hêmaratz (above, Vol, X, $\mathbf{P}$ 58) clanm, respectively, Trulochans-Kādamba and Trinayana-Pallara as tho foundere of thoge dynesties.
    - Dyn Ean Distr, p 352 and Ind Ant, Vol XX, p 283
    - Arch Suro Report for 1905 6, p 174 f

[^259]:    "Tamil literature refers to "the battle at Tennil where Karshala defeated the Chöra and the Pändya kings" (Ind Ant, Vol LLI, p 140) It is not impossible to interpret the word trairajya sthits of i fo in tho sense of "the poation of traragya" In Weatern Cbaluky copper plates the Pallava King fo often referred to as
     of Sonthera Inda
    ${ }^{2}$ Above, Vol $\lambda$, pp $26 f$
    'Abore, Fol V,p 123, note Professor Kiollorn who has compared tho palcography of theso with that of the Maulipatam piates of Vijayaditya III (A,D 8d4-888), is of opinion that the Madrae Musenm plates of Srikantha are ulso of about the same period It may bo poonted oat that an anerent eorn of about tho 4th or 5th century AD, discovered at Bojzanahonda, bears on one of ts sides the legend Śripamta and on tho other the Pallara symbol of the rise and the hon (Madras Epigraphical Report for 1908 9, Part I, paragraph 5)

    - Ep Carn, Vol XII, Introdoction, p (7)

    6 No 380 of tho Madras Epigrapheal collection for 1801

    - $A S R$ for 1903 4, p 271, and Madras Tpıgraphical Report for 19089 , Part YJ, paragraph 14 Tho names Navarāma and Mabëndravarman $a^{\prime}$ bo oecur in tho plates of Srihantha noticed above
    ${ }^{1}$ Dyn Kan $D_{18 t r}, \mathrm{p} 350$
    B Mfadras Epıgraphical Report for 1908 9, Part II, paragraph 14

[^260]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ind $A n t$, Vol V, p 53
    ${ }^{2}$ Ifadras Epagraphical Report for $190 \pm 05$, Part II, paragraph 2
    3 Ind Ant, Vol XXXVII, p 283 and note
    ${ }^{4}$ Nellore Inscriptions, p 1179 The occurreiec of epithets lihe raddodiya and raddōdlu in connection wits the original founders of the temple as stated in thes record, is also worthy of note
    ${ }^{3}$ No 384 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for $190 \pm$ SNo 299 of the same collection for 1905
    ${ }^{7}$ Names ending an pidugu nere common in Pallata trmes Perambidngn was the name of a enannel Whach was dug from the river Palur to feed the Paramétuara tanh in the fillage of Küram near Conjecveram, in the time of the Pallarahng Paramísraravarman I (South Ind Insors, Vol I, p 155) Pagāppadugu nas the sarame of hing Mahendravarman I Margidagu was the epithet cither of a Pallava king named Dantivarman or of one of his subordinates in a hose time a well was constructed at Tiruveljarai in the Trichnopoly disariet A tank at Ālambäham 10 the same dastriet, was called Märpidugirı Agranipidugu ocears among tho names of the aneestors of the Telogn Chôla chief Śribantha who peihaps belonged to the same family as Punjainuma According to the NandidkalambaKam, Vidèlvidaga was a sarname of the hero of that Tamal poem,

[^261]:    "For a detailed eriticism on the terims ratta and rāshtrakeita scc above, Vol VII, p 221 f A sumilar development of the title Gauda from the carher grämahüta, gã mauda has been mantanned by Dr Fleet, zbıdem, p 183
    ${ }^{2}$ Nos 405, 406 and 408 of the Madras Epigraplucal collection for 1904, No 352 of the collection for 1905 and Nos 466 and 517 of the colleetion for 1906
    ${ }^{8}$ This oulogy rans as follows - Svaslı srī arı durdhara vara bhug äsı bhāsura prachanda-pradyōtae Dınahara-hula-nandana Kã́syapa gôtra Karıkāl ānvaya-'Hal! Prosperıty' (Chṑa Mahärāja) who is resplendent with an excellent sword in hand which has enemses conld not oppose, who gladdens the family of the Sun whose rays are powerful, who belongs to the Käsyapa götra (and) to the family of Karibala.'
    ${ }^{4}$ No 403 of the Madras Epigraphical collection for 1904 © No 400 of the same collection
    *No 393 of the same collection The text of thas record with translation is publishcd below, in a postscript
    7 No 350 of the same collection for 1905 (below p. 344, n 2) Inscriptions of the 16 th century A D. at Peddamudiyam and Dombara Nandyajia refer to the country in nhich these villages were satuated as Banatamima an the Ghandibö́ta rāyya

[^262]:    ${ }^{1}$ Read -mivarttanam Kshötram ${ }^{2}$ Read adattam Read tribhirs

    * No 398 of the Madras Epigraphacsl collection for 190s Tho charactora are quite similar to those of the Alupa inscriptions of Udıyavara (above, Vol, IX, pp 15 24), whoh Prof Hultzsch assigns to about A D 800 et deserves to be noted that the record 28 written from the bottom uprards like tho Amaravati pillar inscription of Sumhavarman (above, Vol IX, p 43 and Plate) and one of the sudes of the Bezoäda pillar inscription of Tuddhamalla (Mradraz Epigraphical Report for 1910, p 82)

[^263]:    ${ }^{1}$ The vowel fign for $u$ is added, though wrongly, to the compound letter nra
     position of the anusrära is often fonnd in the Telugu records of thes period The pronuaciation intended may be eıther Chirambūrn or Chirrabūru (the modern Chilamhūru near the Kalamalla railnay station) The latter possibulity $1 s$ supported by the system of gpelling generslly followed in Prakrit manuseripts where an anwseära placed st the top of any letter has tho effect of doubling tho preceding letter. Tho simular position of the anuscara in the words punyambu and cakrambu ( 123 f) cannot be explamed in the same way ${ }^{3}$ Resd nilpina
    *The subjumed is only a tentative translation of the inseription Its pecularities of orthography, grammar and adom requre andependent stady and discussion I conld only bere draw particnar attention to the ase of new nب for nelu, ndi, the use of tho Dravidian ! for $l$, the wrong forms götrintes for gütrunks (1 12) and denns for dint (119), the addition of the gonotive suffix to the qualifying sdjective anstead of to the noun (11 10 to 12).
     cadya for cautha Agan, I am unable to explann the phrase env marztru-gänutägiry in 1 if $f$

    3 Iarutru 18 evidently the plural of marutw, wheh ggain is a coriuptin of the Kansrese mattar, mattara 's mesure cf land.'

[^264]:    ${ }^{2}$ The figurea refar to pagen; $n$ after is figure, to lochnoteg The following other abbrovistious are uged -ch m
    
    

